

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Brawn before brains in placental mammals after the end-Cretaceous extinction

Citation for published version:

Bertrand, O, Shelley, S, Williamson, TE, Wible, JR, Chester, SGB, Flynn, JJ, Holbrook, LT, Lyson, TR, Meng, J, Miller, IM, Puschel Rouliez, H, Smith, T, Spaulding, M, Tseng, ZJ & Brusatte, S 2022, 'Brawn before brains in placental mammals after the end-Cretaceous extinction', *Science*, vol. 376, no. 6588, pp. 80-85. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abl5584

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1126/science.abl5584

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Science

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Brawn before brains in placental mammals after the end-Cretaceous extinction

5	Ornella C. Bertrand ^{1*} , Sarah L. Shelley ^{1,2} , Thomas E. Williamson ³ , John R. Wible ² , Stephen G.B. Chester ^{4,5,6} , John J. Flynn ^{7,8,9} , Luke T. Holbrook ¹⁰ , Tyler R. Lyson ¹¹ , Jin Meng ⁷ , Ian M. Miller ^{12,11} , Hans P. Püschel ¹ , Thierry Smith ¹³ , Michelle Spaulding ¹⁴ , Z. Jack Tseng ¹⁵ , and Stephen L. Brusatte ^{1,3*}
10	¹ School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Grant Institute; Edinburgh, Scotland, EH8 9XP_UK
10	² Section of Mammals, Carnegie Museum of Natural History; Pittsburgh, PA, USA.
	³ New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science; Albuquerque, NM, USA.
	⁴ Department of Anthropology, Brooklyn College, City University of New York; Brooklyn, NY,
	USA.
15	⁵ Department of Anthropology, The Graduate Center, City University of New York; New York,
	NY, USA.
	⁶ New York Consortium in Evolutionary Primatology; New York, NY, USA.
	⁷ Division of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History; New York, NY, USA.
	⁸ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
20	⁹ PhD Programs in Biology (Ecology, Evolutionary Biology, and Behavior subprogram) and
	Earth and Environmental Sciences, The Graduate Center, City University of New York, New
	York, NY, USA
	¹⁰ Department of Biological Sciences, Rowan University; Glassboro, NJ, USA. ¹¹ Denver Museum
	of Nature & Science; Denver, CO, USA.
25	¹² National Geographic Society. Washington, DC
	¹³ Directorate Earth and History of Life, Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences; Brussels,
	Belgium.
	¹⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University Northwest; Westville, IN, USA.
	¹³ Department of Integrative Biology and Museum of Paleontology, University of California;
30	Berkeley, CA, USA.

*Corresponding author. Email: <u>Ornella.Bertrand@ed.ac.uk</u>, <u>Stephen.Brusatte@ed.ac.uk</u>

Abstract: Mammals are the most encephalized vertebrates, with the largest brains relative to body size. Placental mammals have particularly enlarged brains with expanded neocortices for sensory integration, the origins of which are unclear. We used computed tomography scans of newly discovered Paleocene fossils to show that contrary to the convention that mammal brains have steadily enlarged over time, early placentals initially decreased their relative brain sizes
 because body mass increased at a faster rate. Later in the Eocene, multiple crown lineages independently acquired highly encephalized brains via marked growth in sensory regions. We argue that the placental radiation initially emphasized increases in body size as extinction survivors filled vacant niches. Brains eventually became larger as ecosystems saturated and competition intensified.

One-Sentence Summary: Placental mammals had a smaller brain-to-body-size ratio after the dinosaur extinction but later, through competition, developed the largest vertebrate brains.

Mammals have the largest brains, absolutely and relative to body size, of all vertebrates, reaching an extreme in the hyperinflated brain of humans (1, 2). The mammalian brain was assembled over 200+ million years of evolution, beginning with encephalization pulses increases in brain size relative to body size—on the mammal stem lineage in the Mesozoic. These increases were associated with heightened olfaction and the origin of the neocortex, a novel part of the cerebrum involved in higher cognition and sensory integration (*3*). Among extant mammals, the 6000+ species of placentals (*4*) exhibit remarkable diversity of encephalization (*5*) and sensory abilities (*6*), the result of complex interplay between brain and body size changes over time and across taxa (*7*). It has long been recognized that the earliest fossils of extant placental orders (herein 'placental crown orders') from the Eocene (56-34 Ma) had brains similar in structure to (*8*, *9*), but smaller than, those of their modern-day counterparts (*10*, *11*), and that relative brain size generally increased over the Cenozoic (*12*, *13*). However, much about the origin of the placental brain, and when and how it encephalized to modern levels, remains unclear.

In particular, little is known about the transition from the ancestral brains of Mesozoic mammals to the more modern brains of Eocene crown placentals. The principal gap in understanding is the Paleocene (66-56 Ma), the interval following the end-Cretaceous mass extinction, when placentals and close kin radiated into niches vacated by dinosaurs (14), ballooned in body size (15, 16), and inaugurated the Age of Mammals (17, 18). Jerison (1) posited that the 'archaic' placentals replacing dinosaurs (herein 'placental stem taxa', those that do not clearly belong to extant orders) were overgrown versions of Mesozoic mammals, which passively increased their absolute brain sizes to keep pace with body size expansion, then later in the Eocene actively encephalized to increase relative brain sizes. This hypothesis was based on a small sample of skulls, of uncertain Paleocene or Eocene age, whose brain cavities were

10

15

5

20

measured with basic volumetric techniques unable to distinguish sensory regions. Recently, a broad study of mammals instead identified increases in relative brain size after the end-Cretaceous extinction (7), but this alternative hypothesis was inferred from phylogenetic comparative data that did not directly include Paleocene fossils. Testing these competing hypotheses has proven difficult, because well preserved Paleocene mammal skulls have been notoriously rare.

5

10

15

We assess the early evolution of the placental brain with an expansive dataset of Mesozoic and Cenozoic mammals, including newly discovered Paleocene skulls from the San Juan Basin of New Mexico (*19*) and Denver Basin of Colorado (*20*). To do so, we use highresolution computed tomography (CT) to measure the size of the brain and its individual sensory components (neocortex, olfactory bulbs, cerebellar petrosal lobules involved in control of eye movements (*21*) (Fig. 1). Our dataset includes 34 new Paleogene CT scans (17 Paleocene, 17 Eocene; table S1), alongside data from previous work. We examine patterns over time and across taxa in order to quantify body size, brain size, and sensory regions change during the placental radiation and after the end-Cretaceous extinction. This enabled us to test the competing hypotheses for placental encephalization, and more broadly to ask when and how the modern placental brain and sensory repertoire emerged, and what role the end-Cretaceous extinction played?

We found that Mesozoic and Paleocene mammals had smaller brains relative to their body mass than Eocene stem taxa and crown orders (Fig. 2c). When expressed as a phylogenetic encephalization quotient (PEQ), a measure of relative brain size compared to its value predicted by allometry and phylogeny (22), Paleocene forms were less encephalized than Mesozoic and Eocene taxa (Fig. 2a,b; table S2). Following the Paleocene low in PEQ, both average PEQ and variance significantly expanded in the Eocene, in both stem taxa and crown orders (Figs. 2a,b,

4a). These results are robust to phylogenetic uncertainty (figs. S1-S2; tables S3-S5), body mass estimates (Figs. S3-S9; Tables S6-S8), and whether placentals originated in the Cretaceous or immediately after the end-Cretaceous extinction (fig. S10). Our results are broadly in line with Jerison's 'body-before-brain' hypothesis rather than the alternative, but reveal an unexpected wrinkle. The earliest placentals were neither scaled up versions of Mesozoic mammals nor did they encephalize rapidly. Instead, their relative brain size actually decreased as they increased in body size more than in brain size while radiating after the end-Cretaceous extinction.

5

10

15

20

Proportions of sensory regions also changed markedly over time. The olfactory bulbs and petrosal lobules of Paleocene species and Eocene placental stem taxa essentially maintained their Mesozoic sizes, relative to both body mass and brain volume (endocranial volume) (Fig. 3; fig. S11; table S2). The olfactory bulbs became a significantly smaller component of the brain, and the petrosal lobules a larger component, in Eocene crown orders (Fig. 3; fig. S11; table S2). Additionally, the neocortex enlarged over time, and covered significantly more of the brain surface in Eocene crown orders versus Paleocene and Eocene stem forms (Fig. 3; table S2). Thus, the Eocene increase in relative brain size was underpinned by expansions of the petrosal lobules and, especially, the neocortex, but not the olfactory bulbs. Most of these changes occurred in the Eocene crown orders, helping explain why they had significantly higher PEQs than their Eocene stem contemporaries, despite insignificant differences in body mass among them (Fig. 2; table S2). These results are also robust to phylogenetic uncertainty and placental origin timing (figs. S12-S17; tables S3-S5).

Phylogenetic context helps untangle the trends and tempo of these changes. Both body mass and brain volume significantly increased from the Mesozoic to the Paleocene (Fig. 2d-g; table S9), as did the rate of change in both measures on the phylogeny (figs. S18-S19), regardless of placental origin time (fig. S20). However, during the Paleocene, the majority of branches

exhibited faster rates of body mass increase than brain volume increase (fig. S21), and while this largely held in the Eocene, crown orders accelerated to faster relative increases in brain volume rate compared to Eocene stem and Paleocene taxa. This discrepancy explains why there was a drop in PEQ in the Paleocene, followed by relative brain expansion in the Eocene.

Rates of change in body mass, brain volume, and PEQ were stable across most of the Mesozoic, but increased dramatically at or near the origin of Placentalia, whether it occurred in the Cretaceous (Fig. 4b, figs. S18-S19) or immediately after the end-Cretaceous extinction (figs. S20, S22). This makes it difficult to differentiate the effects of the extinction itself, but because both dating approaches place the key pulses at/near the origin of Placentalia, this implies that fundamental changes to the mammalian brain and its allometric relationships happened around this event, perhaps associated with changes in metabolic rate and reproductive style (*23*). The body mass, brain volume, and PEQ rate increases for early Placentalia were not followed by stasis or decline; rather, high rates continued through the Paleocene and Eocene. Brain evolutionary rate increased in placentals, although it would take until the Eocene for those persistently high rates to assemble greatly encephalized modern placental brains with relatively large neocortices and petrosal lobules and small olfactory bulbs (figs. S23-S26).

Phylogenetic character mapping reveals lineage-specific changes in body, brain, and sensory region sizes. The Paleocene decline in PEQ was the net result of several independent decreases in relative brain volume, largely due to independent increases in body mass in 'archaic' groups like 'condylarths', pantodonts, and taeniodonts (Fig. 4, figs. S18-S20, S22, S27-S29). Then, in the Eocene, there were several independent increases in PEQ, particularly in crown orders like artiodactyls (including cetaceans), perissodactyls, carnivorans, euprimates, and rodents (Fig. 4, figs. S18-S20, S22, S27-S29). Omnivores/carnivores were statistically indistinguishable in PEQ compared to contemporary herbivores in the Paleocene, but both guilds

significantly increased PEQ in the Eocene, with omnivores/carnivores eclipsing herbivores (fig. S30; table S10). The two most speciose placental subclades experienced different fates: raw body mass increased markedly in Paleocene-Eocene laurasiatherians (carnivorans, perissodactyls, artiodactyls) but not in euarchontoglirans (rodents, primates). Both subclades underwent substantial encephalization (Fig. 4), but rates of change in both body mass and brain volume were higher in laurasiatherians (Fig. 4; figs. S18-S20, S21).

Small relative brain size after the end-Cretaceous extinction—even more so a decline in encephalization—is surprising, and counter to the convention that mammal brains have steadily gotten larger over time (*10-13*) but see (*7*). Although relative brain size is but one aspect of cognition, and we lack information on neuron density and connectivity in virtually all fossil mammals, experimental evidence indicates that extant mammals with relatively larger brains are better problem solvers (*24*). There also is ample evidence that larger relative brain size is linked to greater behavioral flexibility and capacity to cope with novel or altered environments (*25-28*). All of these skills, presumably, would have been beneficial after a mass extinction, yet the placentals diversifying as devastated ecosystems recovered and new food webs emerged did not develop relatively larger brains, suggesting other factors were behind their radiation.

It appears that the post-extinction placental radiation emphasized body size rather than brain size changes, as survivors proliferated to fill vacant niches, no longer constrained to shrewto-badger sizes by incumbent dinosaurs (15). Brain size increased, as a result of body size expansion, and because there were no marked relative increases in key sensory regions (olfactory bulbs, petrosal lobules), the growth was primarily in regions permitting control of larger bodies (brain stem, diencephalon, striatum) (29, 30). This suggests that selection acted differently on brain and body size, and adds to growing evidence that body size shifts, rather than pronounced

10

5

15

20

alterations in brain size, drove much of the variation in mammalian encephalization (7, 31). At the very least, relatively enlarged brains were not necessary for Paleocene placentals.

As the Paleocene transitioned to the Eocene, the mode of placental brain and body evolution shifted. Relative brain size greatly increased, in both average and variance, signaling a new regime in which brain size changes were more paramount than those in body size. Brains were not only becoming larger, but growth focused in regions involved in advanced senses, as these mammals added better balance, vision, eye movement, head control, and sensory integration to their pre-existing keen olfaction, thus greatly expanding the placental sensory toolkit. This corroborates arguments that mosaic evolution of brain regions, not merely changes in overall size, underlie the adaptive potential of the mammalian brain-not only across phylogeny (32), but also in deep time. Primarily, encephalization and sensory enhancements occurred in the crown orders, with predators developing significantly larger relative brain size than prey groups as ecosystems saturated. It was these crown placentals—among them the first horses, whales, dogs, bats, and euprimates—that achieved high PEQs, while the smaller-brained and more olfactory-driven stem taxa waned, with groups once so instrumental in the end-Cretaceous recovery and initial placental radiation-like 'condylarths' and pantodontsultimately succumbing to extinction.

References

- 1. H. J. Jerison, *Evolution of the Brain and Intelligence*. (Academic Press, New York, 1973).
 - 2. M. Tsuboi *et al.*, Breakdown of brain–body allometry and the encephalization of birds and mammals. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 1492-1500 (2018).
 - 3. T. B. Rowe, T. E. Macrini, Z. X. Luo, Fossil evidence on origin of the mammalian brain. *Science* **332**, 955-957 (2011).
 - 4. C. J. Burgin, J. P. Colella, P. L. Kahn, N. S. Upham, How many species of mammals are there? *J. Mammal.* **99**, 1-14 (2018).
 - 5. J. R. Burger, M. A. George, Jr., C. Leadbetter, F. Shaikh, The allometry of brain size in mammals. *J. Mammal.* **100**, 276-283 (2019).

25

20

5

10

- 6. B. Finlay, Brain evolution: developmental constraints and relative developmental growth. *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience* **2**, 337-345 (2009).
- 7. J. B. Smaers *et al.*, The evolution of mammalian brain size. *Science Advances* **7**, eabe2101 (2021).

10

20

25

30

35

40

- 8. L. Radinsky, Oldest horse brains: more advanced than previously realized. *Science* **194**, 626-627 (1976).
- 9. H. J. Jerison, Digitized fossil brains: neocorticalization. *Biolinguistics* **6**, 383-392 (2012).
- 10. E. Lartet, De quelques cas de progression organique vérifiables dans la succession des temps géologiques sur des mammifères de même famille et de même genre. *Comptes rendus des séances de l'académie des sciences* **66**, 1119-1122 (1868).
- 11. O. C. Marsh, Small size of the brain in tertiary mammals. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* **14**, 167-167 (1874).
- 12. H. J. Jerison, Quantitative Analysis of Evolution of the Brain in Mammals. *Science* **133**, 1012-1014 (1961).
- 15 13. H. J. Jerison, Brain Evolution: New Light on Old Principles. *Science* **170**, 1224-1225 (1970).
 - 14. D. M. Grossnickle, S. M. Smith, G. P. Wilson, Untangling the Multiple Ecological Radiations of Early Mammals. *Trends Ecol Evol* **34**, 936-949 (2019).
 - 15. J. Alroy, The Fossil Record of North American Mammals: Evidence for a Paleocene Evolutionary Radiation. *Syst. Biol* **48**, 107-118 (1999).
 - 16. F. A. Smith *et al.*, The Evolution of Maximum Body Size of Terrestrial Mammals. *Science* **330**, 1216-1219 (2010).
 - 17. G. G. Simpson, The beginning of the age of mammals. *Biological Reviews* **12**, 1-46 (1937).
 - 18. K. D. Rose, *The Beginning of the Age of Mammals*. (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2006), pp. 448.

19. T. E. Williamson, *The Beginning of the Age of Mammals in the San Juan Basin, New Mexico: Biostratigraphy and Evolution of Paleocene Mammals of the Nacimiento Formation: Bulletin 8.* (New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, 1996), vol. 8.

- 20. T. R. Lyson *et al.*, Exceptional continental record of biotic recovery after the Cretaceous– Paleogene mass extinction. *Science* **366**, 977-983 (2019).
- 21. H. Rambold, A. Churchland, Y. Selig, L. Jasmin, S. G. Lisberger, Partial Ablations of the Flocculus and Ventral Paraflocculus in Monkeys Cause Linked Deficits in Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements and Adaptive Modification of the VOR. *J. Neurophysiol.* **87**, 912-924 (2002).
- 22. X. Ni, J. J. Flynn, A. R. Wyss, C. Zhang, Cranial endocast of a stem platyrrhine primate and ancestral brain conditions in anthropoids. *Science Advances* **5**, eaav7913 (2019).
- 23. B. K. McNab, An analysis of the factors that influence the level and scaling of mammalian BMR. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* **151**, 5-28 (2008).
 - 24. S. Benson-Amram, B. Dantzer, G. Stricker, E. M. Swanson, K. E. Holekamp, Brain size predicts problem-solving ability in mammalian carnivores. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 2532-2537 (2016).
- 45 25. D. Sol, S. Bacher, Simon M. Reader, L. Lefebvre, Brain Size Predicts the Success of Mammal Species Introduced into Novel Environments. *The American Naturalist* **172**, S63-S71 (2008).

- 26. D. Sol, Revisiting the cognitive buffer hypothesis for the evolution of large brains. *Biol. Lett.* **5**, 130-133 (2009).
- J. M. Ratcliffe, M. B. Fenton, S. J. Shettleworth, Behavioral Flexibility Positively Correlated with Relative Brain Volume in Predatory Bats. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 67, 165-176 (2006).
- 28. J. T. van Woerden, E. P. Willems, C. P. van Schaik, K. Isler, Large brains buffer energetic effects of seasonal habitats in catarrhine primates. *Evolution* **66**, 191-199 (2012).
- 29. S. Herculano-Houzel, K. Catania, P. R. Manger, J. H. Kaas, Mammalian Brains Are Made of These: A Dataset of the Numbers and Densities of Neuronal and Nonneuronal Cells in the Brain of Glires, Primates, Scandentia, Eulipotyphlans, Afrotherians and Artiodactyls, and Their Relationship with Body Mass. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **86**, 145-163 (2015).
 - 30. S. Herculano-Houzel, Numbers of neurons as biological correlates of cognitive capability. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* **16**, 1-7 (2017).
 - 31. J. B. Smaers, D. K. N. Dechmann, A. Goswami, C. Soligo, K. Safi, Comparative analyses of evolutionary rates reveal different pathways to encephalization in bats, carnivorans, and primates. *PNAS* **109**, 18006-18011 (2012).
 - 32. R. A. Barton, P. H. Harvey, Mosaic evolution of brain structure in mammals. *Nature* **405**, 1055-1058 (2000).
 - 33. V. S. Group. (Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum für Informationstechnik, Berlin (ZIB), Germany, 1995-2019).
 - 34. O. C. Bertrand, H. P. Püschel, J. A. Schwab, M. T. Silcox, S. L. Brusatte, The impact of locomotion on the brain evolution of squirrels and close relatives. *Commun. Biol* **4**, 1-15 (2021).
 - 35. E. C. Kirk, S. Hoffmann, A. D. Kemp, D. W. Krause, P. M. O'Connor, Sensory Anatomy and Sensory Ecology of *Vintana Sertichi* (Mammalia, Gondwanatheria) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **34**, 203-222 (2014).
- 36. S. Legendre, Les communautés de mammifères du Paléogène (Eocène supérieur et Oligocène) d'Europe occidentale: structures, milieux et évolution. *Münch. Geowiss. Abh.* (A) 16, 1-110 (1989).
 - 37. J. Damuth, J. Damuth, B. MacFadden, Eds. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), pp. 229–253.
 - 38. N. Vandenberghe *et al.*, in *The Geologic Time Scale*, F. M. Gradstein, J. G. Ogg, M. D. Schmitz, G. M. Ogg, Eds. (Elsevier, Boston, 2012), pp. 855-921.
 - 39. D. W. Bapst, paleotree: an R package for paleontological and phylogenetic analyses of evolution. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 803-807 (2012).
 - 40. RCoreTeam. (Vienna, Austria, 2019).
 - 41. R. Team. (Boston, MA, 2019).

10

15

20

25

30

35

- 40 42. D. W. Bapst, A stochastic rate-calibrated method for time-scaling phylogenies of fossil taxa. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**, 724-733 (2013).
 - 43. D. W. Bapst, in *Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology: Concepts and Practice*, L. Z. Garamszegi, Ed. (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014), pp. 515-544.
 - 44. A. Rambaut, A. Drummond, D. Xie, G. Baele, M. Suchard, Posterior summarisation in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. *Syst. Biol*, (2018).
 - 45. F. F. Nascimento, M. d. Reis, Z. Yang, A biologist's guide to Bayesian phylogenetic analysis. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 1446-1454 (2017).

- 46. A. Rambaut, FigTree v1.4.4 2006-2018: Tree Figure Drawing Tool. Retrieved from http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/. (2018).
- 47. N. S. Upham, J. A. Esselstyn, W. Jetz, Inferring the mammal tree: Species-level sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and conservation. *PLOS Biology* **17**, e3000494 (2019).
- 48. J. Felsenstein, Phylogenies and the Comparative Method. *The American Naturalist* **125**, 1-15 (1985).
- 49. M. S. Springer, W. J. Murphy, A. L. Roca, Appropriate fossil calibrations and tree constraints uphold the Mesozoic divergence of solenodons from other extant mammals. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* **121**, 158-165 (2018).
- 50. R. J. Asher, M. R. Smith, A. Rankin, R. J. Emry, Congruence, fossils and the evolutionary tree of rodents and lagomorphs. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **6**, 190387 (2019).
- 51. J. Meng, The auditory region of *Reithroparamys delicatissimus* (Mammalia, Rodentia) and its systematic implications. *Am. Mus. Novit.* **2972**, 1-35 (1990).
- 52. D. Anderson, in *Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America: Small Mammals, Xenarthrans, and Marine Mammals,* C. Janis, K. Scott, L. Jacobs, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008), vol. 2, pp. 311-325.
 - 53. A. E. Wood, The Early Tertiary Rodents of the Family Paramyidae. *Trans. Am. Philos. Soc.* **52**, 3-261 (1962).
- 54. W. W. Korth, *The Tertiary record of rodents in North America*. (Plenum Press, New York, 1994).
 - 55. J. H. Wahlert, W. W. Korth, M. C. Mckenna, The skull of *Rapamys* (Ischyromyidae, Rodentia) and description of a new species from the Duchesnean (late middle Eocene) of Montana. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A* **277**, 39-51 (2006).
- 56. X. Ni *et al.*, The oldest known primate skeleton and early haplorhine evolution. *Nature* **498**, 60-64 (2013).
 - 57. J. I. Bloch, M. T. Silcox, D. M. Boyer, E. J. Sargis, New Paleocene skeletons and the relationship of plesiadapiforms to crown-clade primates. *PNAS* **104**, 1159-1164 (2007).
 - 58. M. T. Silcox, A. E. Benham, J. I. Bloch, Endocasts of *Microsyops* (Microsyopidae, Primates) and the evolution of the brain in primitive primates. *J. Hum. Evol.* **58**, 505-521 (2010).
 - 59. M. T. Silcox, J. I. Bloch, D. M. Boyer, P. Houde, Cranial anatomy of Paleocene and Eocene *Labidolemur kayi* (Mammalia: Apatotheria), and the relationships of the Apatemyidae to other mammals. *Zool J Linnean Soc* **160**, 773-825 (2010).
- 60. M. T. Silcox *et al.*, Endocranial morphology of *Labidolemur kayi* (Apatemyidae, Apatotheria) and its relevance to the study of brain evolution in Euarchontoglires. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **31**, 1314-1325 (2011).
 - 61. F. Solé *et al.*, in *Biological Consequences of Plate Tectonics: New Perspectives on Post-Gondwana Break-up–A Tribute to Ashok Sahni*, G. V. R. Prasad, R. Patnaik, Eds. (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020), pp. 279-304.
 - 62. J. H. Geisler, M. R. McGowen, G. Yang, J. Gatesy, A supermatrix analysis of genomic, morphological, and paleontological data from crown Cetacea. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **11**, 112 (2011).
 - 63. S. H. Montgomery *et al.*, The evolutionary history of cetacean brain and body size. *Evolution* **67**, 3339-3353 (2013).
 - 64. R. Tabuce, R. J. Asher, T. Lehmann, Afrotherian mammals: a review of current data. *Mammalia* **72**, 2 (2008).

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

- 65. J. Benoit, N. Crumpton, S. Mérigeaud, R. Tabuce, A Memory Already like an Elephant's? The Advanced Brain Morphology of the Last Common Ancestor of Afrotheria (Mammalia). Brain Behav. Evol. 81, 154-169 (2013).
- T. E. Macrini, J. J. Flynn, D. A. Croft, A. R. Wyss, Inner ear of a notoungulate placental 66. mammal: anatomical description and examination of potentially phylogenetically informative characters. J. Anat. 216, 600-610 (2010).
- 67. M. Fernández-Monescillo et al., Virtual Endocast Morphology of Mesotheriidae (Mammalia, Notoungulata, Typotheria): New Insights and Implications on Notoungulate Encephalization and Brain Evolution. J. Mamm. Evol. 26, 85-100 (2019).
- D. A. Croft, J. N. Gelfo, G. M. López, Splendid Innovation: The Extinct South American 68. Native Ungulates. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 48, 259-290 (2020).
 - 69. Z. Csiki-Sava, M. Vremir, J. Meng, S. L. Brusatte, M. A. Norell, Dome-headed, smallbrained island mammal from the Late Cretaceous of Romania. PNAS 115, 4857-4862 (2018).
- 70. D. W. Krause et al., Skeleton of a Cretaceous mammal from Madagascar reflects longterm insularity. Nature 581, 421-427 (2020).
 - M. A. O'Leary *et al.*, The placental mammal ancestor and the post-K-Pg radiation of 71. placentals. Science 339, 662-667 (2013).
 - J. R. Wible, G. W. Rougier, M. J. Novacek, R. J. Asher, The Eutherian Mammal 72. Maelestes gobiensis from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia and the phylogeny of cretaceous eutheria. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. 2009, (2009).
 - 73. T. J. D. Halliday, P. Upchurch, A. Goswami, Resolving the relationships of Paleocene placental mammals. Biological Reviews 92, 521-550 (2017).
 - 74. D. L. Rook, J. P. Hunter, Rooting Around the Eutherian Family Tree: the Origin and Relations of the Taeniodonta. J. Mamm. Evol. 21, 75-91 (2014).
 - T. J. Gaudin, R. J. Emry, J. R. Wible, The Phylogeny of Living and Extinct Pangolins 75. (Mammalia, Pholidota) and Associated Taxa: A Morphology Based Analysis. J. Mamm. *Evol.* **16**, 235 (2009).
 - 76. G. D. Wesley-Hunt, J. J. Flynn, Phylogeny of the Carnivora: Basal relationships among the carnivoramorphans, and assessment of the position of 'Miacoidea' relative to Carnivora. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 3, 1-28 (2005).
 - G. D. Wesley-Hunt, The Morphological Diversification of Carnivores in North America. 77. Paleobiology 31, 35-55 (2005).
 - 78. M. Spaulding, J. J. Flynn, Phylogeny of the Carnivoramorpha: The impact of postcranial characters. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 10, 653-677 (2012).
 - 79. F. Solé, R. Smith, T. Coillot, E. de Bast, T. Smith, Dental and tarsal anatomy of 'Miacis' latouri and a phylogenetic analysis of the earliest carnivoraforms (Mammalia, Carnivoramorpha). J. Vertebr. Paleontol 34, 1-21 (2014).
 - C. D. Muizon, G. Billet, C. Argot, S. Ladevèze, F. Goussard, Alcidedorbignya inopinata, 80. a basal pantodont (Placentalia, Mammalia) from the early Palaeocene of Bolivia: anatomy, phylogeny and palaeobiology. Geodiversitas 37, 397-634 (2015).
 - 81. M. C. Mihlbachler, Ph.D., Columbia University, Ann Arbor (2005).
 - K. D. Rose et al., Early Eocene fossils suggest that the mammalian order Perissodactyla 82. originated in India. Nature Communications 5, 5570 (2014).
- 83. K. D. Rose et al., Anatomy, Relationships, and Paleobiology of Cambaytherium (Mammalia, Perissodactylamorpha, Anthracobunia) from the lower Eocene of western India. J. Vertebr. Paleontol 39, 1-147 (2020).

15

10

20

25

30

35

40

84.	P. E. Kondrashov, S. G. Lucas, Nearly Complete Skeleton of <i>Tetraclaenodon</i> (Mammalia, Phenacodontidae) from the Early Paleocene of New Mexico: Morpho- Functional Analysis <i>L. Paleontol</i> 86 , 25, 43 (2012)
85	L. T. Holbrook, On the skull of <i>Radinskya</i> (Mammalia) and its phylogenetic position. <i>J. Vertebr. Paleontol</i> 34 , 1203-1215 (2014).
86	S. Ladeveze, P. Missiaen, T. Smith, First skull of <i>Orthaspidotherium edwardsi</i> (Mammalia, "Condylarthra") from the late Paleocene of Berru (France) and phylogenetic affinities of the enigmatic European family Pleuraspidotheriidae. <i>J. Vertebr. Paleontol</i> 30 , 1559-1578 (2010)
87.	S. L. Shelley, T. E. Williamson, S. L. Brusatte, The osteology of <i>Periptychus carinidens</i> : A robust, ungulate-like placental mammal (Mammalia: Periptychidae) from the Paleocene of North America <i>PloS one</i> 13 , e0200132-e0200132 (2018).
88	L. Van Valen, The beginning of the age of mammals. <i>Evolutionary Theory</i> 4 , 46-80 (1978)
89.	D. Prothero, E. Manning, M. Fischer, in <i>The phylogeny and classification of the tetrapods</i> , B. MJ, Ed. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1988), pp. 201-234.
90	E. D. Bast, T. Smith, Reassessment of the small 'arctocyonid' Prolatidens waudruae from the early Paleocene of Belgium, and its phylogenetic relationships with ungulate-like mammals. <i>J. Vertebr. Paleontol</i> 33 , 964-976 (2013).
91	M. Spaulding, M. A. O'Leary, J. Gatesy, Relationships of Cetacea (Artiodactyla) among mammals: increased taxon sampling alters interpretations of key fossils and character evolution. <i>Plos one</i> 4 , e7062 (2009).
92.	G. Tsagkogeorga, J. Parker, E. Stupka, James A. Cotton, Stephen J. Rossiter, Phylogenomic Analyses Elucidate the Evolutionary Relationships of Bats. <i>Current</i> <i>Biology</i> 23 , 2262-2267 (2013).
93.	A. Grafen, M. J. Hamilton, The phylogenetic regression. <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London</i> , B. Biological Sciences 326 , 119-157 (1989).
94.	J. Felsenstein, Maximum-likelihood estimation of evolutionary trees from continuous characters. <i>Amer. J. Hum. Genet.</i> 25 , 471-492 (1973).
95.	M. Pagel, Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. <i>Nature</i> 401 , 877-884 (1999).
96	L. J. Harmon <i>et al.</i> , Early bursts of body size and shape evolution are rare in comparative data. <i>Evolution</i> 64 , 2385-2396 (2010).
97.	Marguerite A. Butler, Aaron A. King, Phylogenetic Comparative Analysis: A Modeling Approach for Adaptive Evolution. <i>The American Naturalist</i> 164 , 683-695 (2004).
98.	J. Pinheiro, D. Bates, S. DebRoy, D. Sarkar, nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3. 1-137 (2018).
99.	H. Wickham, ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. (Springer-Verlag New York, 2016).
10	D. T. Hothorn, K. Hornik, M. van de Wiel, A. Zeileis, A Lego system for conditional inference. <i>The American Statistician</i> 60 , 257–263 (2006).
10	1. S. Mangiafico, rcompanion: Functions to support extension education program evaluation [R statistical package]. (2016).
102	2. B. L. Welch, On the Comparison of Several Mean Values: An Alternative Approach. <i>Biometrika</i> 38 , 330-336 (1951).
10	3. O. Dag, A. Dolgun, N. M. Konar, Onewaytests: An R Package for One-Way Tests in Independent Groups Designs. <i>R Journal</i> 10 , 175-199 (2018).
104	4. A. Kassambara, Package 'ggpubr'. (2020).

40

5

10

15

20

25

30

- 105. C. Venditti, A. Meade, M. Pagel, Multiple routes to mammalian diversity. *Nature* **479**, 393-396 (2011).
- 106. J. Baker, A. Meade, M. Pagel, C. Venditti, Positive phenotypic selection inferred from phylogenies. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **118**, 95-115 (2016).
- 107. L. J. Revell, *phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things)*. Methods in Ecology and Evolution (2012), vol. 3, pp. 217-223.
- 108. O. C. Bertrand *et al.*, Virtual endocranial and inner ear endocasts of the Paleocene 'condylarth' *Chriacus*: new insight into the neurosensory system and evolution of early placental mammals. *J. Anat.* **236**, 21-49 (2020).
- 109. A. Long, J. I. Bloch, M. T. Silcox, Quantification of neocortical ratios in stem primates. *Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop.* **157**, 363-373 (2015).
- 110. M. J. Orliac, C. Argot, E. Gilissen, Digital cranial endocast of *Hyopsodus* (Mammalia, "Condylarthra"): a case of paleogene terrestrial echolocation? *PloS one* **7**, e30000-e30000 (2012).
- M. J. Orliac, E. Gilissen, Virtual endocranial cast of earliest Eocene *Diacodexis* (Artiodactyla, Mammalia) and morphological diversity of early artiodactyl brains. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279, 3670-3677 (2012).
 - 112. L. Radinsky, Evolution of Brain Size in Carnivores and Ungulates. *The American Naturalist* **112**, 815-831 (1978).
 - 113. S. G. Lucas, Yale University, (1984).

10

20

25

35

- 114. O. C. Marsh, On some characters of the genus *Coryphodon* Owen. *American Journal of Science* **s3-11**, 425-428 (1876).
- 115. E. D. Cope, On the Brain of Coryphodon. Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. 16, 616-620 (1877).
- 116. J. Piveteau, Traite de Paleontologie. Tome VI, Vol. 2. (1958).
- 117. J. Piveteau, Traite de Paleontologie. Tome VI, Vol. 1. (1961).
 - 118. T. Edinger, Objets et resultats de la paleoneurologie. *Annales de Paléontologie* **62**, 97-116 (1956).
 - 119. D. E. Russell, Les mammifères paléocènes d'Europe. *Mémoires du Museum national d'histoire naturelle Série C Géologie* **13**, 1-324 (1964).
- 30 120. T. E. Macrini, Description of a digital cranial endocast of *Bathygenys reevesi* (Merycoidodontidae; Oreodontoidea) and implications for apomorphy-based diagnosis of isolated, natural endocasts. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **29**, 1199-1211 (2009).
 - 121. J. G. M. Thewissen, P. D. Gingerich, Skull and endocranial cast of *Eoryctes melanus*, a new palaeoryctid (Mammalia: Insectivora) from the early Eocene of western North America. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **9**, 459-470 (1989).
 - 122. L. Radinsky, Brains of Early Carnivores. *Paleobiology* **3**, 333-349 (1977).
 - 123. M. T. Silcox, C. K. Dalmyn, J. I. Bloch, Virtual endocast of *Ignacius graybullianus* (Paromomyidae, Primates) and brain evolution in early primates. *PNAS* **106**, 10987-10992 (2009).
- 40 124. O. C. Bertrand, F. Amador-Mughal, M. T. Silcox, Virtual endocasts of Eocene *Paramys* (Paramyinae): oldest endocranial record for Rodentia and early brain evolution in Euarchontoglires. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283, (2016).
 - 125. O. C. Bertrand, F. Amador-Mughal, M. M. Lang, M. T. Silcox, New Virtual Endocasts of Eocene Ischyromyidae and Their Relevance in Evaluating Neurological Changes Occurring Through Time in Rodentia. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **26**, 345-371 (2019).
 - 126. P. D. Gingerich, G. F. Gunnell, Brain of *Plesiadapis cookei* (Mammalia, Proprimates): surface morphology and encephalization compared to those of Primates and Dermoptera.

Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan **31**, 185-195 (2005).

- 127. M. J. Orliac, S. Ladevèze, P. D. Gingerich, R. Lebrun, T. Smith, Endocranial morphology of Palaeocene *Plesiadapis tricuspidens* and evolution of the early primate brain. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **281**, 20132792 (2014).
- 128. E. C. Kirk, P. Daghighi, T. E. Macrini, B. S. Bhullar, T. B. Rowe, Cranial anatomy of the Duchesnean primate *Rooneyia viejaensis*: new insights from high resolution computed tomography. *J. Hum. Evol.* **74**, 82-95 (2014).
- 129. A. R. Harrington, M. T. Silcox, G. S. Yapuncich, D. M. Boyer, J. I. Bloch, First virtual endocasts of adapiform primates. *J. Hum. Evol.* **99**, 52-78 (2016).
- 130. A. Harrington, G. Yapuncich, D. Boyer, The digital endocast of *Necrolemur antiquus*. *Palaeovertebrata* **43**, 1-10 (2020).
- 131. A. Ramdarshan, M. J. Orliac, Endocranial morphology of *Microchoerus erinaceus* (Euprimates, Tarsiiformes) and early evolution of the Euprimates brain. *Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop.* **159**, 5-16 (2016).
- 132. L. Marino, D. W. McShea, M. D. Uhen, Origin and evolution of large brains in toothed whales. *The Anatomical Record Part A: Discoveries in Molecular, Cellular, and Evolutionary Biology* **281A**, 1247-1255 (2004).
- 133. P. D. Gingerich, Body Weight and Relative Brain Size (Encephalization) in Eocene Archaeoceti (Cetacea). J. Mamm. Evol. 23, 17-31 (2016).
- 134. J. I. Bloch, K. D. Rose, P. D. Gingerich, New Species of *Batodonoides* (Lipotyphla, Geolabididae) from the Early Eocene of Wyoming: Smallest Known Mammal? J. Mammal. 79, 804-827 (1998).
- 135. M. D. Uhen, University of Michigan, (1996).
- 136. P. D. Gingerich, M. Arif, W. C. Clyde, New Archaeocetes (Mammalia, Cetacea) from the Middle Eocene Domanda Formation of Sulaiman Range, Punjab (Pakistan). (1995).
 - 137. L. Radinsky, Brain Evolution in Extinct South American Ungulates. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 18, 169-187 (1981).
 - 138. J. Maugoust, M. J. Orliac, Endocranial Cast Anatomy of the Extinct Hipposiderid Bats *Palaeophyllophora* and *Hipposideros (Pseudorhinolophus)* (Mammalia: Chiroptera). J. *Mamm. Evol.*, (2021).
 - 139. D. W. Krause, Z. Kielan-Jaworowska, The endocranial cast and encephalization quotient of Ptilodus (Multituberculata, Mammalia). *Palaeovertebrata* **22**, 99-112 (1993).
 - 140. Z. Kielan-Jaworowska, Multituberculate endocranial casts. *Palaeovertebrata* **13**, 1-12 (1983).

 Z. Kielan-Jaworowska, Evolution of the therian mammals in the Late Cretaceous of Asia. Part VI. Endocranial casts of eutherian mammals. *Palaeontologia Polonica* 46, 157-171 (1984).

- 142. T. E. Macrini, C. De Muizon, R. L. Cifelli, T. Rowe, Digital cranial endocast of *Pucadelphys andinus*, a Paleocene metatherian. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **27**, 99-107 (2007).
- 143. T. E. Macrini, G. W. Rougier, T. Rowe, Description of a cranial endocast from the fossil mammal *Vincelestes neuquenianus* (Theriiformes) and its relevance to the evolution of endocranial characters in therians. *Anat. Rec.* **290**, 875-892 (2007).
- 144. S. Hoffmann, P. M. O'Connor, E. C. Kirk, J. R. Wible, D. W. Krause, Endocranial and Inner Ear Morphology of *Vintana Sertichi* (Mammalia, Gondwanatheria) from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **34**, 110-137 (2014).

40

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

- 145. J. Quiroga, The brain of the mammal-like reptile Probainognathus jenseni (Therapsida, Cynodontia). A correlative paleo-neoneurological approach to the neocortex at the reptile-mammal transition. *Journal fur Hirnforschung* **21**, 299-336 (1980).
- 146. T. E. Macrini, PhD dissertation, University of Texas, Austin. 278 p., (2006).
- 147. N. E. Campione, D. C. Evans, A universal scaling relationship between body mass and proximal limb bone dimensions in quadrupedal terrestrial tetrapods. *BMC Biology* **10**, 60 (2012).
 - 148. R. J. Smith, W. L. Jungers, Body mass in comparative primatology. *J. Hum. Evol.* **32**, 523-559 (1997).
- 149. M. Silva, J. A. Downing, CRC handbook of mammalian body masses. (1995).
 - 150. M. N. Muchlinski, University of Texas at Austin, (2008).
 - 151. O. C. Bertrand, M. A. Schillaci, M. T. Silcox, Cranial dimensions as estimators of body mass and locomotor habits in extant and fossil rodents. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **36**, e1014905 (2016).
- 152. M. D. Middleton, University of Colorado at Boulder, (1983).
 - 153. E. L. Simons, The Paleocene Pantodonta. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. 50, 3-99 (1960).
 - 154. B. Patterson, E. L. Simons, A new barylambdid pantodont from the late Paleocene. *Breviora, Museum of Comparative Zoology* **93**, 1-8 (1958).
 - 155. P. D. Gingerich, C. Childress Jr, *Barylambda churchilli*, a new species of Pantolambdidae (Mammalia, Pantodonta) from the late Paleocene of western North America. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan* 26, 141-155 (1983).
 - 156. M. D. Uhen, P. D. Gingerich, Evolution of *Coryphodon* (Mammalia, Pantodonta) in the late Paleocene and early Eocene of northwestern Wyoming. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan* **29**, 259-289 (1995).
 - 157. R. M. Schoch, Yale University, (1983).
 - 158. J. J. Flynn, *Hyopsodus* (Mammalia) from the Tepee Trail Formation (Eocene), northwestern Wyoming. *Am. Mus. Novit.* **3007**, 1-19 (1991).
 - 159. W. P. Wall, The Genus *Amynodon* and Its Relationship to Other Members of the Amynodontidae (Perissodactyla, Rhinocerotoidea). *J. Paleontol* **56**, 434-443 (1982).
 - 160. G. F. Gunnell, V. L. Yarborough, Brontotheriidae (Perissodactyla) from the late early and middle Eocene (Bridgerian), Wasatch and Bridger formations, southern Green River Basin, southwestern Wyoming. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **20**, 349-368 (2000).
 - 161. L. B. Radinsky, *Hyrachyus, Chasmotherium*, and the early evolution of helaletid tapiroids. *Am. Mus. Novit.* **2313**, 1-23 (1967).
 - 162. T. E. Williamson, S. G. Lucas, *Meniscotherium* (Mammalia," Condylarthra") from the Paleocene-Eocene of Western North America. *Bulletin New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science* **1**, 1-75 (1992).
 - 163. R. M. West, North American Phenacodontidae (Mammalia, Condylarthra). *Milwaukee Public Museum Press*, (1976).
 - J. A. Wilson, Early Tertiary vertebrate faunas, Vieja Group Trans-Pecos Texas: Agriochoeridae and Merycoidodontidae. *Bulletin Texas Memorial Museum* 18, 1-83 (1971).
 - 165. K. Miyata, New species of *Trogosus* (Tillodontia, Mammalia) from the Green River Basin, Wyoming, U.S.A. J. Vertebr. Paleontol **27**, 661-675 (2007).
 - 166. T. Meehan, L. D. Martin, New leptictids (Mammalia: Insectivora) from the Early Oligocene of Nebraska, USA. *Neues Jahrbuch Fur Geologie Und Palaontologie-Abhandlungen* **256**, 99-107 (2010).

5

10

15

25

30

35

- 167. W. B. Scott, *On some new and little known creodonts*. (Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 1888).
- 168. W. D. Turnbull, The Mammalian Faunas of the Washakie Formation, Eocene Age, of Southern Wyoming: The Uintatheres. *Fieldiana* **47**, (2002).
- 169. M. Morlo, G. F. Gunnell, Small Limnocyonines (Hyaenodontidae, Mammalia) from the Bridgerian middle Eocene of Wyoming: *Thinocyon, Prolimnocyon,* and *Iridonon,* new genus. *Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan* **31**, 43-78 (2003).
 - 170. B. Bai, J. Meng, Y.-Q. Wang, H.-B. Wang, L. Holbrook, Osteology of The Middle Eocene Ceratomorph *Hyrachyus modestus* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla). *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **2017**, 1-70, 70 (2017).
 - 171. A. S. Romer, *Vertebrate Paleontology*. (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, ed. 3rd, 1966).
 - 172. H. F. Osborn, *Amynodon mongoliensis* from the Upper Eocene of Mongolia. *Am. Mus. Novit.* **859**, 1-10 (1936).
 - 173. H. F. Osborn, *The titanotheres of ancient Wyoming, Dakota, and Nebraska*. (Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey, 1929), vol. 55.
 - 174. H. F. Osborn, Remounted skeleton of *Phenacodus primaevus*; Comparison with Euprotogonia. Bulletin of the AMNH; v. 10, article 9. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **10**, 1-8 (1898).
 - 175. K. Miyata, T. A. Deméré, New material of a 'short-faced' *Trogosus* (Mammalia, Tillodontia) from the Delmar Formation (Bridgerian), San Diego County, California, U.S.A. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **36**, e1089878 (2016).
 - 176. W. H. Wheeler, *Revision of the uintatheres*. (Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, 1961).
 - 177. J. J. Flynn, in Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America: Volume 1, Terrestrial Carnivores, Ungulates, and Ungulate like Mammals, C. M. Janis, K. M. Scott, L. L. Jacobs, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1998), vol. 1, pp. 110-123.
 - 178. R. F. Kay, J. G. M. Thewissen, A. D. Yoder, Cranial anatomy of Ignacius graybullianus and the affinities of the Plesiadapiformes. *Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop.* **89**, 477-498 (1992).
 - 179. D. M. Boyer, The Graduate School, Stony Brook University: Stony Brook, NY., (2009).
 - M. T. Silcox, G. F. Gunnell, J. I. Bloch, Cranial anatomy of *Microsyops annectens* (Microsyopidae, Euarchonta, Mammalia) from the middle Eocene of Northwestern Wyoming. J. Paleontol 94, 979-1006 (2020).
 - 181. R. F. Kay, E. C. Kirk, Osteological evidence for the evolution of activity pattern and visual acuity in primates. *Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop.* **113**, 235-262 (2000).
 - 182. Z. Kielan-Jaworowska, T. E. Lancaster, A new reconstruction of multituberculate endocranial casts and encephalization quotient of Kryptobaatar. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **49**, 177-188 (2004).
 - Z. Kielan-Jaworowska, D. Dashzeveg, New Late Cretaceous mammal locality in Mongolia and a description of a new multituberculate. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 23, (1978).
 - 184. Z.-X. Luo, A. W. Crompton, A.-L. Sun, A New Mammaliaform from the Early Jurassic and Evolution of Mammalian Characteristics. *Science* **292**, 1535-1540 (2001).
 - 185. N. Egi, Body mass estimates in extinct mammals from limb bone dimensions: the case of North American hyaenodontids. *Palaeontology* **44**, 497-528 (2001).

10

5

25

20

30

40

35

- 186. J. G. M. Thewissen, Limb Osteology and function of the primitive Paleocene ungulate *Pleuraspidotherium* with notes on *Tricuspiodon* and *Dissacus* (Mammalia). *Geobios* 24, 483-495 (1991).
- 187. A. R. Wood, R. M. Bebej, C. L. Manz, D. L. Begun, P. D. Gingerich, Postcranial Functional Morphology of *Hyracotherium* (Equidae, Perissodactyla) and Locomotion in the Earliest Horses. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **18**, 1-32 (2011).
- 188. H. E. Ahrens, Johns Hopkins University, (2017).
- M. Lorente, J. N. Gelfo, G. M. López, First skeleton of the notoungulate mammal *Notostylops murinus* and palaeobiology of Eocene Notostylopidae. *Lethaia* 52, 244-259 (2019).
- 190. G. W. Rougier, Universidad Nacional de Buenos Aires Buenos Aires, (1993).
- 191. C. M. Janis, in *Body size in mammalian paleobiology: estimation and biological implications*, J. Damuth, B. MacFadden, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1990), chap. 13, pp. 255-299.
- 15 192. L. B. Radinsky, Evolution of the tapiroid skeleton from *Heptodon* to *Tapirus*. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.* **134**, 69-106 (1965).
 - 193. S. D. Armstrong, J. I. Bloch, P. Houde, M. T. Silcox, Cochlear labyrinth volume in euarchontoglirans: implications for the evolution of hearing in primates. *Anat. Rec.* **294**, 263-266 (2011).
 - 194. J. A. Runestad, C. B. Ruff, Structural adaptations for gliding in mammals with implications for locomotor behavior in paromomyids. *Amer. J. Phys. Anthrop.* **98**, 101-119 (1995).
 - 195. D. M. Boyer, J. I. Bloch, in *Mammalian Evolutionary Morphology: A Tribute to Frederick S. Szalay*, E. J. Sargis, M. Dagosto, Eds. (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2008), pp. 233-284.
 - 196. M. Dagosto, C. J. Terranova, Estimating the body size of Eocene primates: a comparison of results from dental and postcranial variables. *International Journal of Primatology* **13**, 307-344 (1992).
 - 197. A. Larramendi, M. R. Palombo, Body Size, Biology and Encephalization Quotient of *Palaeoloxodon* ex gr. *P. falconeri* from Spinagallo Cave (Hyblean plateau, Sicily). *Hystrix* **26**, 102-109 (2015).
 - 198. E. Gheerbrant, P. Tassy, D. P. Domning, in *The Rise of Placental Mammals: Origins and Relationships of the Major Extant Clades*, K. D. Rose, J. D. Archibald, Eds. (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2005), pp. 84-105.
 - 199. D. K. Sarko, D. P. Domning, L. Marino, R. L. Reep, Estimating body size of fossil sirenians. *Marine Mammal Science* **26**, 937-959 (2010).
 - 200. A. Elissamburu, Estimación de la masa corporal en géneros del Orden Notoungulata. *Estudios Geológicos* **68**, 91-111 (2012).
 - 201. M. A. Reguero, A. M. Candela, G. H. Cassini, in *The paleontology of Gran Barranca:* evolution and environmental change through the Middle Cenozoic of Patagonia, R. H. Madden, A. A. Carlini, M. G. Vucetich, R. F. Kay, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2010), chap. 24.
 - 202. D. A. Croft, University of Chicago, Chicago (2000).
 - 203. J. W. Gidley, *Notes on the fossil mammalian genus Ptilodus with descriptions of new species*. (US Government Printing Office, 1909), vol. 293.
 - 204. C. Argot, Postcranial Analysis of a Carnivoran-Like Archaic Ungulate: The Case of *Arctocyon primaevus* (Arctocyonidae, Mammalia) from the Late Paleocene of France. J. *Mamm. Evol.* **20**, 83-114 (2012).

10

20

25

30

35

40

- 205. A. G. Flynn *et al.*, Early Paleocene Magnetostratigraphy and Revised Biostratigraphy of the Ojo Alamo Sandstone and Lower Nacimiento Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA. GSA Bulletin 132, 2154-2174 (2020).
- C. Leslie et al., High-resolution magnetostratigraphy of the Upper Nacimiento 206. Formation, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA: Implications for basin evolution and mammalian turnover. American Journal of Science 318, 300-334 (2018).
- 207. W. C. Clyde, J. Ramezani, K. R. Johnson, S. A. Bowring, M. M. Jones, Direct highprecision U-Pb geochronology of the end-Cretaceous extinction and calibration of Paleocene astronomical timescales. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 452, 272-280 (2016).
- M. O. Woodburne et al., Revised timing of the South American early Paleogene land 208. mammal ages. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 54, 109-119 (2014).
- 209. D. Lofgren et al., New Records of Eutherian Mammals from the Goler Formation (Tiffanian, Paleocene) of California and Their Biostratigraphic and Paleobiogeographic Implications. Am. Mus. Novit. 2014, 1-57, 57 (2014).
- J. W. Gidley, Notice of a new Paleocene mammal, a possible relative of the titanotheres. 210. (US Government Printing Office, 1917), vol. 52.
- J. J. Hooker, The mammal fauna of the Early Eocene Blackheath formation of Abbey 211. Wood, London. (Palaeontographical Society, London, 2010).
- 212. C. L. Gazin, A Taniodont Skull from the Lower Eocene of Wyoming. Proc. Am. Phil. Soc. 76, 597-612 (1936).
 - C. L. Gazin, A study of the Eocene condylarthran mammal Hyopsodus. Smithsonian 213. Miscellaneous Collections 153, 1-116 (1968).
 - A. Ravel, M. J. Orliac, The inner ear morphology of the 'condylarthran' Hyopsodus 214. lepidus. Historical Biology 27, 957-969 (2015).
 - M. O. Woodburne, Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic mammals of North America: 215. biostratigraphy and geochronology. (Columbia University Press, New York, 2004), pp. 412.
 - 216. A. G. Flynn, D. J. Peppe, Early Paleocene tropical forest from the Ojo Alamo Sandstone, San Juan Basin, New Mexico, USA. Paleobiology 45, 612-635 (2019).
 - C. M. Janis, G. F. Gunnell, M. D. Uhen, Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North 217. America: Volume 2, Small Mammals, Xenarthrans, and Marine Mammals. (Cambridge University Press, 2008).
 - 218. T. M. Bown, A. J. Kihm, Xenicohippus, an Unusual New Hyracotheriine (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from Lower Eocene Rocks of Wyoming, Colorado and New Mexico. J. Paleontol 55, 257-270 (1981).
 - D. B. Kitts, American Hyracotherium (Perissodactyla, Equidae). Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. 219. Hist. 110, 1-67 (1956).
 - 220. H. Osborn, Mammalia of the Uinta Formation. Part III, The Perissodactyla. Trans. Am. Philos. Soc. 16, 461-572 (1890).
 - H. F. Osborn, New or little known titanotheres from the Eocene and Oligocene. Bull. Am. 221. Mus. Nat. Hist. 24, 1-20 (1908).
 - F. Tilney, Fossil brains of some early Tertiary mammals of North America. Bulletin of 222. the Neurological Institute of New York 1933, 430-505 (1933).
- 223. B. J. Mader, A species-level revision of the North American brontotheres Eotitanops and 45 Palaeosyops (Mammalia, Perissodactyla). Zootaxa 2339, 1-43 (2010).

10

20

15

30

25

40

- 224. M. C. Mihlbachler, Species Taxonomy, Phylogeny, and Biogeography of the Brontotheriidae (Mammalia: Perissodactyla). *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **2008**, 1-475 (2008).
- 225. C. L. Gazin, A further study of the lower Eocene mammalian faunas of southwestern Wyoming. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* **144**, 1-118 (1962).
- 226. W. D. Matthew, W. Granger, A revision of the Lower Eocene Wasatch and Wind River faunas. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **34**, 329-361 (1915).
- 227. M. C. Coombs, W. P. Coombs, Anatomy of the ear region of four Eocene artiodactyls: *Gobiohyus*, *?Helohyus*, *Diacodexis* and *Homacodon*. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **2**, 219-236 (1982).
- 228. P. D. Gingerich, New earliest Wasatchian mammalian fauna from the Eocene of northwestern Wyoming: composition and diversity in a rarely sampled high-floodplain assemblage. *Papers on Paleontology* **28**, 1-112 (1989).
- 229. J. A. Wilson, "Stratigraphic occurrence and correlation of early Tertiary vertebrate faunas, Trans-Pecos Texas," (Texas Memorial Museum, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, 1977).
- 230. T. Edinger, in *Evolution of the Forebrain: Phylogenesis and Ontogenesis of the Forebrain*, R. Hassler, H. Stephan, Eds. (Springer US, Boston, MA, 1966), pp. 153-161.
- 231. BiochroM'97, in *Actes du Congrès BiochroM'*97. (Mémoires et Travaux de l'Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Institut de Montpellier, 1997), pp. 769-805.
 - 232. J. Sudre, L'Évolution du genre *Robiacina* Sudre 1969, et l'origine des Cainotheriidae; Implications systématiques. *Geobios* **10**, 213-231 (1977).
 - 233. M. Schuler, C. Cavalier, C. Dupuis, E. Steurbaut, N. Vandenberghe, The Paleogene of the Paris and Belgian Basins. Standard-stages and regional stratotypes. *Cahiers de micropaléontologie* **7**, 29-92 (1992).
 - 234. J. Crochet *et al.*, Les nouvelles faunes de vertébrés antérieures à la "Grande Coupure" découvertes dans les phosphorites du Quercy. *Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle* **4**, 255-266 (1981).
- M. J. Orliac, M. A. O'Leary, Comparative Anatomy of the Petrosal Bone of Dichobunoids, Early Members of Artiodactylamorpha (Mammalia). *J. Mamm. Evol.* 21, 299-320 (2014).
 - 236. M. J. Orliac, The petrosal bone of extinct Suoidea (Mammalia, Artiodactyla). *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **11**, 925-945 (2013).
- 237. W. B. Scott, H. F. Osborn, Preliminary Report on the Vertebrate Fossils of the Uinta Formation, Collected by the Princeton Expedition of 1886. *Proc. Am. Phil. Soc.* 24, 255-264 (1887).
- 238. J. G. Astruc, G. Escarguel, B. Maranda, R. Simon-Coinçon, B. Sigé, Floor-age constraining of a tectonic paroxysm of the Pyrenean orogen. Late Middle Eocene mammal age of a faulted karstic filling of the Quercy phosphorites, south-western France. *Geodinamica Acta* **13**, 271-280 (2000).
- 239. C. L. Gazin, The Tillodontia, an early Tertiary order of mammals. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* **121**, 1-134 (1953).
- T. Gaudin, J. Wible, K. Rose, R. Emry, M. Spaulding, Skeletal Anatomy of the Basicranium and Auditory Region in the Metacheiromyid Palaeanodont *Metacheiromys* (Mammalia, Pholidotamorpha) Based on High-Resolution CT Scans. *Journal Name: Fossil Imprint; Journal Volume: 75; Journal Issue: 3-4; Conference: null; Patent File Date: null; Patent Priority Date: null; Other Information: null; Related Information:*

15

20

25

30

35

40

242.	O. C. Marsh, <i>Dinocerata: a monograph of an extinct order of gigantic mammals</i> . (US
243	P D Gingerich H A Deutsch Systematics and evolution of early Focene
273.	Hyaenodontidae (Mammalia Creodonta) in the Clarks Fork Basin Wyoming
	Contributions from the Museum of Paleontology. University of Michigan 27, 327-391
	(1989).
244.	M. R. Thorpe, Notes on the Bridger (Eocene) Carnivora. <i>American Journal of Science</i> s5 - 5 , 23-39 (1923).
245.	J. L. Wortman, ART. XVStudies of Eocene Mammalia in the Marsh Collection, Peabody Museum. <i>American Journal of Science (1880-1910)</i> 7 , 143 (1901).
246.	M. Morlo, Niche structure and evolutionin creodont (Mammalia) faunas of the European and North American Eocene. <i>Geobios</i> 32 , 297-305 (1999).
247.	S. Hand, B. Sigé, M. Archer, K. Black, An evening bat (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) from the late early Eocene of France, with comments on the antiquity of modern bats. <i>Palaeovertebrata</i> 40 , e2 (2016).
248.	J. Piveteau, Etudes sur quelques creodontes des phosphorites du Quercy. <i>Annales de Paleontologie</i> 24 , 73-95 (1935).
249.	J. Piveteau, in <i>Traite de paleontologie</i> , J. Piveteau, Ed. (Masson, Paris, 1961), vol. 6, pp. 806-820.
250.	B. Van Valkenburgh, Extinction and replacement among predatory mammals in the North American late Eocene and Oligocene: Tracking a paleoguild over twelve million years. <i>Historical Biology</i> 8 , 129-150 (1994).
251.	C. M. Janis, K. M. Scott, L. L. Jacobs, <i>Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America:</i> <i>Volume 1, Terrestrial Carnivores, Ungulates, and Ungulate like Mammals.</i> (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998), vol. 1, pp. 353.
252.	D. R. Prothero, R. J. Emry, <i>The Terrestrial Eocene-Oligocene Transition in North America</i> . (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
253.	W. Matthew, The Carnivora and Insectivora of the Bridger Basin, middle Eocene. <i>Memoirs of the American Museum of Natural History</i> 9 , 291-576 (1909).
254.	M. Morlo, K. Bastl, W. Wenhao, S. F. K. Schaal, The first species of Sinopa
	(Hyaenodontida, Mammalia) from outside of North America: implications for the history of the genus in the Eocene of Asia and North America. <i>Palaeontology</i> 57 , 111-125 (2014)
255.	G. A. Lyras, The evolution of the brain in Canidae (Mammalia: Carnivora). <i>Scripta</i> geologica 139 , 1-39 (2009)
256.	X. Wang, R. H. Tedford, Basicranial anatomy and phylogeny of primitive canids and closely related miacids (Carnivora, Mammalia). <i>Am. Mus. Novit.</i> 3092 , 1-34 (1994).
257.	O. C. Marsh, ART. XXVIIIPreliminary Description of New Tertiary Mammals. American Journal of Science and Arts (1820-1879) 4 , 202 (1872).
258.	G. F. Gunnell, Mammalian fauna from the lower Bridger Formation (Bridger A, early middle Eocene) of the southern Green River Basin, Wyoming. <i>Contributions from the</i>
259.	 Museum of Paleontology, University of Michigan 30, 83-130 (1998). L. B. Radinsky, Evolution of Skull Shape in Carnivores. 3. The Origin and Early Radiation of the Modern Carnivore Families. Paleobiology 8, 177-195 (1982).
	21

null, Medium: X; Size: 484 to 503; Quantity: null; OS: null; Compatibility: null; Other:

L. Radinsky, The brain of Mesonyx a middle Eocene mesonychid condylarth. Fieldiana

10

5

null (2019).

33, 323-337 (1976).

241.

20

15

30

25

40

35

261.	D. M. Boyer, P. D. Gingerich, Skeleton of Late Paleocene Plesiadapis cookei
	(Mammalia, Euarchonta): Life History, Locomotion, and Phylogenetic Relationships.
	Papers on Paleontology 38, (2019).
262.	R. F. Kay, R. W. Thorington, P. Houde, Eocene plesiadapiform shows affinities with
	flying lemurs not primates. Nature 345, 342-344 (1990).
263.	P. A. Holroyd, E. Simons, T. M. Bown, P. a. Polly, M. Kraus, New records of terrestrial
	mammals from the upper Eocene Qasr el Sagha Formation, Fayum Depression, Egypt.
	Palaeovertebrata 25, 175-192 (1996).
264.	T. Anan, A. El Shahat, Provenance and sequence architecture of the Middle–Late Eocene
	Gehannam and Birket Oarun formations at Wadi Al Hitan, Fayum Province, Egypt.
	Journal of African Earth Sciences 100, 614-625 (2014).
265.	J. Benoit, A new method of estimating brain mass through cranial capacity in extinct
2001	proboscideans to account for the non-neural tissues surrounding their brain <i>L Vertebr</i>
	Paleontol 35 (2015)
266	R I G Savage D P Domning I G M Thewissen Fossil sirenia of the west Atlantic
200.	and Caribbean region V The most primitive known sirenian <i>Prorastomus sirenoides</i>
	Owen 1855 I Vertehr Paleontol 14 427-449 (1994)
267	E Seiffert in Conozoic mammals of Africa W Sanders I Werdelin Eds (University of
207.	California Press Berkeley 2010) pp. 19-26
268	M D Liben A review of North American Basilosauridae Bullatin of the Alabama
200.	Mi. D. Ohen, A Tevlew of North American Dashosadridae. Dutterin of the Mubuma Museum of Natural History 31 , 1-45 (2013)
260	P. D. Gingerich Marine mammals (Cetacea and Sirenia) from the Eocene of Gebel
209.	Mokattam and Eaving Egypt: stratigraphy age and paleoenvironments. Paners on
	Paleontology 30 (1002)
270	P D Gingerich in The Emergence of Whales: Evolutionary Patterns in the Origin of
270.	Cetacea I G M Thewissen Ed (Springer US Boston MA 1008) pp 423 440
271	P. F. Koy et al. Povised geochronology of the Cosemovoron South American Land
271.	Mammal Age: Climatic and biotic implications, PNAS 96 , 13235, 13240 (1990)
272	G. G. Simpson, Skulls and brains of some mammals from the Notastylans beds of
212.	Detegonia Am Mus Novit 578, 1, 12 (1932)
272	Falagonia. Am. Mus. Novu. 576, 1-12 (1952). E. Maitra Wastern European middle Econa to aerly Oligopana Chiropters: systematics
213.	E. Matte, western European middle Eocene to early Ongocene Chiloptera. systematics,
	Lowrad of Palacontology 133, 141, 242 (2014)
274	G G Simpson Skull structure of the Multituberculete Bull Am Mus Nat Hist 73 1 42
274.	(1027)
275	(1957). D. Deshraves et al. New Strationenhie Subdivision Depositional Environment and Age
273.	D. Dashzeveg <i>et al.</i> , New Stratigraphic Subdivision, Depositional Environment, and Age
	Estimate for the Opper Cretaceous Djadoknia Formation, Southern Ofan Nur Bashi, Mongolio, Am. Mug. Navit 2005, 1-21 (2005)
276	Moligolia. Am. Mus. Novu. 2003, 1-51 (2003).
270.	J. H. Hurum, The inner Ear of Two Late Cretaceous Multituderculate Mammals, and its Light sector for Maltitude sector $L_{\rm M}$, $E_{\rm m} = 1.5$, (5, 02, (1009)
077	Implications for Multituderculate Hearing. J. Mamm. Evol. 5, 65-93 (1998).
277.	A. O. Averianov, New late Cretaceous mammals of southern Kazakhstan. Acta
	Palaeontologica Polonica 42, 243-236 (1997).
	22

P. C. Murphey, T. S. Kelly, K. R. Chamberlain, K. Tsukui, W. C. Clyde, Mammals from

southwestern Wyoming, USA, Part 3: Marsupialia and a reevaluation of the Bridgerian-Uintan North American Land Mammal Age transition. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **21**, 1-

the earliest Uintan (middle Eocene) Turtle Bluff Member, Bridger Formation,

260.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

52 (2018).

- 278. S. Apesteguía, The sauropod diversity of the La Amarga Formation (Barremian), Neuquén (Argentina). *Gondwana Research* **12**, 533-546 (2007).
- 279. T. C. Laduke, D. W. Krause, J. D. Scanlon, N. J. Kley, A Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) snake assemblage from the Maevarano Formation, Mahajanga Basin, Madagascar. J. Vertebr. Paleontol 30, 109-138 (2010).
- 280. G. G. Simpson, Mesozoic Mammalia, IX; the brain of Jurassic mammals. *American Journal of Science* **s5-14**, 259-268 (1927).
- 281. K. A. Kermack, The cranial structure of the Triconodonts. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **246**, 83-103 (1963).
- 282. Z. Luo, A. Sun, *Oligokyphus* (Cynodontia: Tritylodontidae) from the Lower Lufeng Formation (Lower Jurassic) of Yunnan, China. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol* **13**, 477-482 (1994).
 - 283. S. Lucas, in *Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America: Volume 1, Terrestrial Carnivores, Ungulates, and Ungulate like Mammals,* C. Janis, K. Scott, L. Jacobs, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1998), vol. 1, pp. 274-283.
- 284. J. D. Archibald, in Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America: Volume 1, Terrestrial Carnivores, Ungulates, and Ungulate like Mammals, C. M. Janis, K. M. Scott, L. L. Jacobs, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1998), vol. 1, pp. 292-329.
 - 285. S. Lucas, R. Schoch, T. Williamson, in Evolution of Tertiary Mammals of North America: Volume 1, Terrestrial Carnivores, Ungulates, and Ungulate like Mammals, C. Janis, K. Scott, L. Jacobs, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1998), vol. 1, pp. 260-267.
 - 286. J. M. Rensberger, Early Chewing Mechanisms in Mammalian Herbivores. *Paleobiology* **12**, 474-494 (1986).
 - 287. J. J. Hooker, M. E. Collinson, Mammalian faunal turnover across the Paleocene-Eocene boundary in NW Europe: the roles of displacement, community evolution and environment. *Austrian Journal of Earth Sciences* **105**, 17-28 (2012).
 - 288. C. M. Janis, P. B. Wilhelm, Were there mammalian pursuit predators in the tertiary? Dances with wolf avatars. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **1**, 103-125 (1993).
- 30 289. G. Billet, C. Blondel, C. de Muizon, Dental microwear analysis of notoungulates (Mammalia) from Salla (Late Oligocene, Bolivia) and discussion on their precocious hypsodonty. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **274**, 114-124 (2009).
 - 290. M. T. Clementz, P. A. Holroyd, P. L. Koch, Identifying Aquatic Habits Of Herbivorous Mammals Through Stable Isotope Analysis. *PALAIOS* **23**, 574-585 (2008).
 - 291. D. P. Domning, in *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals (Third Edition)*, B. Würsig, J. G. M. Thewissen, K. M. Kovacs, Eds. (Academic Press, 2018), pp. 856-859.
 - 292. R. Tabuce, R. J. Asher, T. Lehmann, Afrotherian mammals: a review of current data. **72**, 2-14 (2008).
 - 293. J. G. Napoli, T. E. Williamson, S. L. Shelley, S. L. Brusatte, A Digital Endocranial Cast of the Early Paleocene (Puercan) 'Archaic' Mammal *Onychodectes tisonensis* (Eutheria: Taeniodonta). *J. Mamm. Evol.* **25**, 179-195 (2018).
 - 294. S. G. Lucas, P. E. Kondrashov, Taxonomic revision of the genus *Ectoconus* (Mammalia, Periptychidae) from the Paleocene of the western United States. *New Mexico Museum of Natural History Bulletins* **26**, 33-44 (2004).
- 45 295. D. J. Froehlich, Quo vadis *eohippus*? The systematics and taxonomy of the early Eocene equids (Perissodactyla). *Zool J Linnean Soc* **134**, 141-256 (2002).

10

20

25

15



Acknowledgments: We thank Fangyuan Mao, Morgan Hill, Ruth O'Leary, Michael Novacek, and Suzann Goldberg for scanning specimens and logistic support at the AMNH; Arianna Harrington and Doug Boyer for providing access to several Euprimates virtual endocasts 5 accessioned in Morphosource; Simone Hoffman for providing access to the virtual endocast of Vintana; Ulysse Lefèvre and the IRSNB for scanning Arctocyon and Orthospidotherium; Sophia Anderson, Paige dePolo, Naoko Egi, Sofia Holpin and, Zoi Kynigopoulou for supplying postcranial measurements for several Paleocene and Eocene specimens; and Tom Stubbs, 10 Benjamin Moon and Andrew Meade for fruitful discussions about the evolutionary rates methods. Funding to JJF and for scanning American Museum specimens was provided by the Microscopy and Imaging Facility, American Museum of Natural History Frick Fund, Division of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History, and U.S. National Science Foundation (DEB-1257572). Raw CT scans of American Museum of Natural History specimens are 15 available from the American Museum of Natural History under a material transfer agreement with that institution. The 3D models of the brains will be stored in Morphosource at https://www.morphosource.org/projects/0000C1223?locale=en. Access to the 3D models will be approved by OCB. The endocasts cannot be described or figured without permission from OCB or SLB. We would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments that improved this manuscript.

20

25

Funding:

Marie Skłodowska- Curie Actions: Individual Fellowship, H2020-MSCA-IF-2018-2020; No. 792611 (OCB)

European Research Council (ERC) Starting Grant, No. 756226 under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (SLB)

Philip Leverhulme Prize (SLB)

NSF DEB 1654952 (TEW, SLB)

NSF DEB 1654949 (JRW, SLS)

NSF DEB1456826 (LTH, SGBC)

Belgian Science Policy Office project BRAIN BR/121/A3/PalEurAfrica (TS) 30

Lisa Levin Appel Family Foundation, M. Cleworth, Lyda Hill Philanthropies, David B. Jones Foundation, M. L. and S. R. Kneller, T. and K. Ryan, and J. R. Tucker as part of the Denver Museum of Nature & Science (DMNS) No Walls Community Initiative (TRL and IMM).

National Agency for Research and Development (ANID)/PFCHA/Doctorado en el extranjero Becas Chile/2018-72190003 (HPP)

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: OCB, SLB, SLS, TEW, JRW Methodology: OCB, SLB, SLS, JJF, ZJT, HP Formal analyses: OCB, SLS, ZJT, HP 10 Investigation: OCB, SLB, SLS, TEW, JRW Finding acquisition: OCB, SLB, SLS, TEW, JRW Raw data acquisition (specimens and CT data): OCB, SLB, SLS, TEW, JRW, SGBC, JJF, LTH, TRL, JM, IMM, TS, MS, ZJT Supervision: SLB 15 Writing – original draft: OCB, SLB Writing – review & editing: all authors Visualization: OCB, SLS **Competing interests:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** Code and data to run analyses using topology 1 20 (unconstraint) is provided with this article and is also available at https://zenodo.org/badge/latestdoi/378366152. Raw data are available in the supplementary materials. All fossils are accessioned in public collections and, upon curator approval, should be available for study by qualified researchers. All (other) data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials. 25

Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Sensitivity analyses results

30

5

Captions for Tables S1 to S24 References (*33–295*)

Keletenees (33–293)

Figs. S1 to S35

Code and data files



Fig. 1. Virtual endocasts of Cenozoic mammal exemplars used in this study with sensory 5 regions highlighted and PGLS regressions for Mesozoic and early Cenozoic mammals. (A) Late Paleocene 'stem placental' 'condylarth' Arctocyon primaevus (IRSNB M2332). (B) Middle Eocene 'stem placental' tillodont Trogosus hillsii (USNM 17157). (C) Middle Eocene 'crown placental' perissodactyl Hyrachyus modestus (AMNH FM 12664). (D) Phylogenetically corrected PGLS regression of endocranial volume vs. body mass. (E) Phylogenetically corrected PGLS regression of PEQ vs. log₁₀ (body mass) and graphical summary of the results. The petrosal lobules are absent in (\mathbf{C}) and (\mathbf{D}). Scale = 10mm.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic encephalization quotient (PEQ), endocranial volume and body mass of Mesozoic and early Cenozoic mammals. (A) Boxplot of PEQ for Mesozoic, Paleocene, Eocene stem taxa and Eocene crown orders. (B) PEQ average through time per 10-million-year bins. (C) Boxplot of \log_{10} (body mass). (D) Boxplot of \log_{10} (endocranial volume) for groups in (A). (E) \log_{10} (body mass) average through time per 10 million year bins for groups in (A). (F) \log_{10} (endocranial volume) average through time per 10 million year bins.



Fig. 3. Relative size of the olfactory bulbs, petrosal lobules, and neocortex of Mesozoic and early Cenozoic mammals. (A) Boxplot of the residuals from a PGLS regression of log₁₀ (olfactory bulb volume vs. endocranial volume) for Mesozoic, Paleocene, Eocene stem taxa and Eocene crown orders. (B) Boxplot of the residuals from a PGLS regression of log₁₀ (petrosal lobule volume vs. endocranial volume) for the groups in (A). (C) Boxplot of the residuals from a PGLS regression of log₁₀ (neocortical surface area vs. endocranial volume) average through time per 10-million-year bins. (E) Residuals of log₁₀ (petrosal lobule volume vs. endocranial volume) average through time per 10 million year bins. (F) Residuals of log₁₀ (neocortical surface area vs. endocranial surface area vs. endocranial surface area vs. endocranial surface area vs. endocranial volume) for the groups as (A). (H) PGLS regression of log₁₀ (petrosal lobule volume vs. endocranial volume) for the groups as (A). (I) PGLS regression of log₁₀ (neocortical surface area vs. endocranial volume) for the groups in (A). (I) PGLS regression of log₁₀ (neocortical surface area vs. endocranial volume) for the groups in (A). (I) PGLS regression of log₁₀ (neocortical surface area vs. endocranial volume) for the groups in (A). (I) PGLS



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic encephalization quotient (PEQ) evolutionary change across time and phylogeny in Mesozoic and early Cenozoic mammals. (A) PEQ density plot and ancestral state reconstruction mapped onto a phylogeny for Mesozoic, Paleocene and Eocene mammals. (B) PEQ evolutionary rate mapped onto a phylogenetic tree and averaged through time per 10-million-year bins. Virtual endocasts illustrated to the right with highlighted brain regions are

scaled in terms of their PEQ, and are from top to bottom: *Arctocyon primaevus* (IRSNB M2332), *Hyopsodus paulus* (USNM 17980), *Meniscotherium chamense* (USNM 22673), *Trogosus hillsii* (USNM 17157), *Acmeodon secans* (KU 7912), *Ectoganus copei* (USNM 12714), *Leptictis* sp. (AMNH 62369), *Hyrachyus modestus* (AMNH FM 12664), *Metacheiromys marshi* (USNM 452349), *Paramys delicatus* (AMNH 12506), and *Smilodectes gracilis* (UM 32773). Silhouettes from http://phylopic.org/ (i.e., Euprimates, Perissodactyla and Rodentia).