

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Prediction of reduction in aggressive behaviour of growing pigs using skin lesion traits as selection criteria

Citation for published version:

Desire, S, Turner, SP, D'Eath, RB, Doeschl-Wilson, AB, Lewis, CRG & Roehe, R 2016, 'Prediction of reduction in aggressive behaviour of growing pigs using skin lesion traits as selection criteria', *Animal*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1243-1253. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116000112

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1017/S1751731116000112

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Animal

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	Prediction of reduction in aggressive behaviour of growing pigs using skin
2	lesion traits as selection criteria
3	
4	S. Desire ¹ , S.P. Turner ¹ , R.B. D'Eath ¹ , A.B. Doeschl-Wilson ² , C.R.G. Lewis ³ , and R.
5	Roehe ¹
6	
7	¹ Animal and Veterinary Sciences Group, Scotland's Rural College, West Mains
8	Road, Edinburgh, Scotland, EH9 3JG.
9	² The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Division of Genetics and Genomics,
10	Easter Bush, Midlothian, Scotland, EH25 9RG.
11	³ Genus, PIC, Avda. Ragull, 80, 08173, Sant Cugat del Valles (Barcelona), Spain
12	
13	
14	
15	Corresponding author: Suzanne Desire
16	Email: suzanne.desire@sruc.ac.uk
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 Abstract

Aggression at regrouping is a common issue in pig farming. Skin lesions are 27 genetically and phenotypically correlated with aggression and have been shown to 28 29 have a significant heritable component. This study predicts the magnitude of reduction in complex aggressive behavioural traits when using lesion numbers on 30 different body regions at two different time points as selection criteria, to identify the 31 32 optimum skin lesion trait for selection purposes. 1.146 pigs were mixed into new social groups, and skin lesions were counted 24 hours (SL24h) and 3 weeks 33 (SL3wk) post-mixing, on the anterior, centre and posterior regions of the body. An 34 animal model was used to estimate genetic parameters for skin lesion traits and 14 35 aggressive behavioural traits. Estimated breeding values (EBVs) and phenotypic 36 37 values were scaled and standardized to allow direct comparison across multiple 38 traits. For each body region, individuals with SL24h and SL3wk EBVs in the least aggressive 10% of the population and compared to the population mean to predict 39 the expected genetic and phenotypic response in aggressive behaviour to selection. 40 At mixing, selection for low anterior lesions was predicted to affect substantially more 41 behavioural traits of aggressiveness than lesions obtained on other body parts, with 42 EBVs between -0.21 and -1.17 SD below the population mean. Individuals with low 43 central SL24h EBVs also had low EBVs for aggressive traits (-0.33 to -0.55). Three 44 weeks later, individuals with high SL3wk EBVs had low EBVs for aggression at 45 mixing (between -0.24 and -0.53 SD below the population mean), although this was 46 predicted to affect fewer traits than selection against SL24h. These results suggest 47 that selection against anterior SL24h would result in the greatest genetic and 48 phenotypic reduction in aggressive behaviour recorded at mixing. Selection for 49 increased SL3wk was predicted to reduce aggression at mixing; however current 50

- 51 understanding about aggressive behaviour under stable social conditions is
- 52 insufficient to recommend using this trait for selection purposes.

Keywords: pigs, aggression, skin lesions, selection, genetics

56 Implications

Pigs fight to establish dominance when mixed into new social groups. This 57 aggressive behaviour affects growth and is a welfare concern. We estimated the 58 effect of selective breeding on aggressive behaviour, using the number of skin 59 lesions (scratches received) on different regions of the body to identify the least 60 aggressive individuals to breed from. The results suggest that selection for reduced 61 62 skin lesions at the front of the body at 24hrs post-mixing would result in the greatest reduction in aggressive behaviour and is therefore the best selection criterion of all 63 analysed lesion traits to reduce aggressiveness at mixing. 64

66 Introduction

In indoor commercial farming systems pigs are housed in inflexible group sizes 67 under space-limited conditions. In order to create groups of a predetermined and 68 69 uniform size, growing pigs are often mixed with unfamiliar individuals throughout the production cycle. Physical aggression usually occurs at mixing, which serves to 70 71 establish dominance relationships (Meese and Ewbank, 1973). Mixing induced 72 aggression has been associated with stress and injury (Mendl et al., 1992). suppressed immune responses (de Groot et al., 2001), and reduced growth (Stookey 73 and Gonyou, 1994), carcass (Faucitano, 2001) and meat guality (D'Eath et al., 74 2010). Although a number of practical interventions continue to be explored, an 75 under-explored solution to reduce aggression is via genetic selection. This study 76 77 aimed to examine the best trait to select upon in order to reduce aggressive behaviour. 78

79

Phenotyping aggressive behaviour by direct observation or from video is highly 80 labour intensive; therefore skin lesions - which occur as a result of physical 81 aggression – may constitute valuable proxies for aggressive behaviour (Turner et al., 82 2006; Guy et al., 2009). Skin lesions are genetically and phenotypically correlated 83 with aggression and have been shown to have a significant heritable component (h^2 : 84 0.08 to 0.43); therefore it is expected that selection against the quantity and body 85 location of skin lesions will result in a corresponding reduction in aggression (Turner 86 et al., 2009; Desire et al., 2015a). 87

88

Deciding how skin lesions should be used to select against aggression requires
 understanding of the complex relationship between skin lesions and aggressive

91 behaviour. Skin lesions on one animal can be an indication of the behaviour of other members of the social group (via an unreciprocated attack), as well as the individual 92 in question (via willing involvement in aggression). Failing to distinguish between the 93 94 underlying causes of lesions may lead to biased estimates of individual aggression. On a phenotypic level, Turner et al. (2006) showed that skin lesions to the anterior 95 region of the body are strongly correlated with the proportion of time involved in 96 97 reciprocal aggression, whereas lesions to the rear of the body indicated a larger proportion of time spent receiving non-reciprocal aggression. 98

99

100 Previous work suggests this relationship is partly under genetic regulation (Turner et 101 al., 2009). In addition to measuring aggression performed immediately post-mixing, 102 skin lesions have been explored as a method of phenotyping aggression 103 experienced under socially stable conditions (several weeks post-mixing). Studies on 104 both on a phenotypic (Desire et al., 2015a) and genetic (Turner et al., 2009) level 105 have found that individuals involved in much aggression at mixing tend to have fewer lesions several weeks later. These results suggest that avoidance of aggression 106 upon first mixing may be detrimental to the individual's long term welfare. Finally, 107 108 genetic variation and heritabilities of skin lesion traits differ between different body 109 regions and time points. In light of the above, it is important to carefully assess the potential impact of selection for reduced aggression via skin lesion traits. Although 110 genetic correlations between skin lesion traits and some aggressive behavioural 111 traits have been previously published (Turner et al., 2009) these correlations do not 112 give an indication of the magnitude of the expected response to selection. In 113 addition, the estimated genetic correlations among skin lesion and behaviour traits 114 are complex, often in conflict with one another, and associated with high errors of 115

estimation. This means that predicting the selection response based on genetic 116 correlations can be difficult. Due to time constraints, only one skin lesion trait is likely 117 to be recorded under practical conditions, therefore it is necessary to identify the 118 single best skin lesion trait for selection. The objective of this study was to identify 119 the optimum skin lesion trait for selection purposes, by determining the magnitude of 120 the reduction in aggressive behavioural traits at mixing, when using lesion numbers 121 122 recorded on different body regions at mixing and in the stable group as selection criteria. 123

124 Materials and methods

125

126 Animals and housing

127 Data were collected from a commercial herd. Three individuals (average age 71 days, SD 4.5) taken from each of five litters were mixed to form a new social group 128 of 15 same sex, same breed individuals. Animals with all skin lesion and behavioural 129 130 phenotypes were included in the analyses, and the final dataset contained 1,146 individuals (698 purebred Yorkshire and 448 Yorkshire x Landrace) from 77 social 131 groups. Experimental animals were the progeny of 82 sires and 217 dams, and a 2-132 generation pedigree was used (total 1,862 animals). Groups mixed on the same day 133 were classed as the same batch. At time of mixing, animals were approximately 134 135 matched for body weight in order to minimise variation between pen mates. Pigs were weighed 24 hours following mixing. Animals were housed indoors in partially 136 slatted pens (30% slats, 70% solid flooring with light straw bedding) and were 137 provided with pelleted feed and water ad libitum. 138

139

140 Skin lesion traits

Fresh skin lesions were counted separately on the anterior (head, neck, forelegs and 141 shoulders), centre (flanks and back), and posterior (hind legs and rump) regions of 142 the body 24 hours post-mixing (SL24h). In order to ensure injuries inflicted prior to 143 mixing were not included in the analyses, lesions were also counted immediately 144 prior to mixing, and pre-mix lesion counts deducted from the post-mixing count. 145 Lesions were deemed to be fresh if they were a vivid red colour. bleeding or recently 146 scabbed. Lesions were counted in the same manner three weeks post-mixing 147 (SL3wk) as a measure of aggression under stable social conditions. 148

149

150 Behavioural traits

Animals were video recorded for 24 hours following mixing. The behavioural traits used in this study were based on data recorded during these behavioural observations. Each interaction was classed as either reciprocal aggression (RA) or non-reciprocal aggression (NRA) as defined by Turner *et al.*, (2006). Behavioural traits used in the analyses are defined in Table 1.

156

157 Characteristics of the data

Only aggressive behavioural traits that had been previously shown to be predictive of skin lesion traits on a phenotypic level in the same population were chosen for analysis (Desire *et al.*, 2015a). Skin lesion and aggressive behavioural traits showed considerably skewed distributions (Supplementary Table S1), therefore a log transformation ($y = log_e+1$) was used to approach the normal distribution.

163

164 Statistical Analyses

165 Univariate analyses were used to estimate genetic components and estimated 166 breeding values (EBVs) of all log transformed skin lesion and behavioural traits using 167 the following animal model:

168 169

y = Xb + Za + Wc + e

where **y** is the vector of records for skin lesions (SL24h and SL3wk) and aggressive behaviour, and **X**, **Z** and **W** are the incidence matrices of fixed effects, genetic effects, and environmental (pen) effects, respectively. Vectors **b**, **a**, **c** and **e** represent fixed effects, additive direct genetic effects, common environmental effects (shared by all pigs in a pen), and residual error, respectively. Genetic line, sex, and

batch were included in all models as fixed categorical effects, while bodyweight at time of mixing was fitted as a covariate. Age at time of mixing was included for SL24h and aggressive behavioural traits. Bivariate analyses were used to estimate genetic and group level correlations between skin lesion traits and aggressive behavioural traits using the same fixed and random effects described for the univariate analyses. Genetic analyses were performed using ASReml (Gilmour *et al.*, 2009).

182

As skin lesion and behavioural traits are measured on different scales, it is 183 impractical to directly compare genetic and phenotypic values across multiple traits. 184 Breeding values and untransformed phenotypic values were therefore scaled and 185 186 standardised, and expressed in terms of standard deviations from a population mean of zero. Individuals were chosen for inclusion in each subsequent analysis based on 187 either SL24h EBVs in the lowest 10% of the population, or SL3wk EBVs in the 188 189 highest 10% of the population. This methodology was chosen as it allows the selection response to be predicted based on the given data, rather than complex 190 genetic correlations that have a high level of estimation error, which might affect the 191 192 accuracy of predicted response using population genetics theory.

193 **Results**

194

195 Heritabilities and common environmental effects

Heritabilities estimated for skin lesion traits ranged from 0.11 to 0.43 (Table 2). A substantially higher heritability was estimated for anterior SL3wk than anterior SL24h. Heritabilities for behavioural traits ranged from 0.09 to 0.44 (Table 2). The proportion of variance attributed to common environmental effects was generally lower than estimated heritabilities for skin lesion and behavioural traits ($c^2 = 0.06$ to 0.15), except for posterior SL24h, average fight duration, and duration of time spent receiving NRA.

203

204 Genetic and pen level correlations between skin lesion and behaviour traits

Genetic correlations. Where significant, genetic correlations between anterior SL24h 205 and aggressive behavioural traits were positive (Table 3). The proportion of fights 206 207 won was negatively correlated with central and posterior SL24h, while the duration of NRA received, and the number of pen mates that NRA was received from were 208 positively correlated with these traits (Table 3). Genetic correlations between anterior 209 210 SL3wk and aggressive behavioural traits were generally negative (Table 4), except duration of NRA received and number of pen mates that NRA was received from. 211 Positive correlations were found between central SL3wk and duration of NRA 212 received, and number of pen mates that NRA was received from (Table 4). No 213 significant genetic correlations were found between posterior SL3wk and aggressive 214 behavioural traits (Table 4). 215

216

217 Pen level correlations. Most pen level correlations between skin lesions and aggressive traits did not significantly differ from zero. Those that did were mainly 218 positive for SL24h (Table 3). Statistically significant negative pen level correlations 219 220 were found between posterior SL24h and the number of reciprocal fights involved with, and duration of NRA received (-0.08, SE 0.03; Table 3). Negative pen level 221 correlations were found between all SL3wk traits and the number of pigs attacked by 222 223 (RA), and between anterior or central SL3wk and the duration of NRA received 224 (Table 4).

225

226 Low EBVs for SL24h

Associations with SL24h. Individuals with low EBVs for anterior, central or posterior SL24h had low EBVs (-0.69 SD and -1.89 SD; (Figure 1 [a, c, e]) and phenotypic values (-0.38 SD to -0.94 SD; Figure 2 [a, c, e]) for all skin lesion traits at mixing

Associations with SL3wk. Individuals with low EBVs for SL24h had low EBVs for SL3wk (-0.15 SD to -0.41 SD (Figure 1 [a, c, e]). Phenotypically, individuals with low EBVs for SL24h did not differ significantly in the number of SL3wk in comparison to the population as a whole (-0.05 SD to 0.07 SD; Figure 2 [a, c, e]).

235

Associations with aggressive behaviour. Individuals with low EBVs for anterior SL24h also had low EBVs for all aggressive behavioural traits (-0.21 to -1.17 SD; Figure 3 [a]). Other than proportion of fights won, duration of NRA initiated, and number of pen mates attacked (NRA), individuals with low EBVs for central SL24h had significantly lower EBVs for aggressive behavioural traits (-0.28 to -0.51 SD), compared to the population average. Individuals with low EBVs for posterior SL24h

had EBVs that were significantly lower than the population mean for duration of NRA received (-0.74 SD); duration of RA received (-0.41 SD); number of pigs attacked by (RA) (-0.27 SD); total number of RA received (-0.27 SD), and higher than the population average for proportion of fights won (0.45 SD) and duration of RA initiated (0.19 SD; Figure 3 [e]).

247

Phenotypic values for individuals with low EBVs for anterior, central and posterior
SL24h largely mirrored those observed on the genetic level (Figure 4 [a, c, e]).
Individuals with low EBVs for anterior SL24h received non-reciprocal attacks for
11.55 seconds less than the population mean (Supplementary Table S2).

252

253 High EBVs for SL3wk

Associations with SL24h. Individuals with high EBVs for SL3wk did not differ significantly from the population mean for anterior SL24h EBVs but had higher than average EBVs for central and posterior SL24h (0.19 to 0.42 SD; Figure 1 [b, d, f]). On a phenotypic level, only central SL24h significantly differed from the population mean in individuals with low EBVs for central SL3wk (0.25 SD ; Figure 2 [d]). No other significant associations were found between SL24h and SL3wk at the phenotypic level(Figure 2 [b, d, f]).

261

Associations with SL3wk. Individuals with high SL3wk EBVs had high EBVs for all other skin lesion traits at this time point (0.91 to 1.69 SD; Figure 1 [b, d, f]). These individuals also had high skin lesion numbers on a phenotypic level compared to the population mean (0.52 to 1.45 SD; Figure 2 [b, d, f]).

266

Response on aggressive behaviour. Except for the duration of NRA received, high EBVs for anterior SL3wk corresponded with low EBVs for all aggressive behavioural traits (-0.37 to -0.54 SD; Figure 3 [b]). The same trends were also observed for high central SL3wk EBVs (-0.30 to -0.53 SD; Figure 3[d]). Individuals with high EBVs for posterior SL3wk had low mean EBVs for all behavioural traits (-0.28 to -0.46 SD), except for proportion of fights won and duration of NRA received, which did not significantly differ from zero (Figure 3 [f]).

274

Phenotypic values for individuals with low EBVs for anterior, central and posterior
SL24h largely mirrored those observed on the genetic level (Figure 4 [b, d, f]).
Individuals with low EBVs for anterior SL3wk were involved in 2.39 fewer reciprocal
interactions than the population mean (Supplementary Table S3).

280 Discussion

281

282 Heritabilities

283 Heritabilities for skin lesion traits were of a low to moderate magnitude. These estimates differed from those reported by Turner et al. (2009) for the same 284 population, as only those animals with behavioural data available were used in this 285 286 analysis. Heritabilities for SL3wk were higher than SL24h, which is likely to be due to lower environmental variance 3 weeks post-mixing compared to 24 hours post-287 mixing. The lowest heritability estimated for behavioural traits was for receipt of NRA. 288 Receipt of NRA results from the behaviour of other individuals in a pen, and not the 289 individual itself, which may explain why direct genetic effects account for so little of 290 291 the genetic variation in this trait. The highest heritabilities were estimated for traits 292 related to RA. During engagement in RA, the individual animal is actively involved in the event, choosing to either attack or respond to an attack, which may explain why 293 294 these traits showed the highest heritabilities.

295

Social genetic effects describe genetic variation due to interactions between pen-296 297 mates (Bijma and Wade, 2008). It is likely that social genetic effects contribute significantly to mixing-related aggression in pigs. Ideally, both direct and social 298 genetic effects would be considered when assessing the genetic basis of aggression 299 300 in pigs, however these effects are difficult to estimate, optimally requiring several hundred groups composed of few families (Bijma, 2010). It was therefore not 301 possible to include social effects in this study, however common environmental 302 effects were included in the genetic model to approximate social effects. Common 303 environmental effects had a low influence on the number of skin lesions and 304

involvement in aggression. As expected, traits that related to behaviour of other
 group members, for example the receipt of NRA, tended to have higher common
 environmental effects.

308

309 Expected response following selection for reduced SL24h

310

311 Consistent with the strength and direction of genetic correlations published previously (Turner et al., 2009), individuals with low SL24h EBVs had significantly 312 lower genetic and phenotypic values for SL24h to all body regions compared to the 313 population as a whole. The results suggest that selection for anterior SL24h would 314 have the lowest effect on posterior SL24h and vice versa. This is likely to be 315 316 because lesions to these body regions reflect involvement in opposing behaviours. On a genetic level, there was generally a positive association between SL24h and 317 SL3wk, in that individuals with low SL24h EBVs had slightly reduced EBVs for 318 319 SL3wk compared to the whole population, and vice versa. However, this relationship was not universally observed on a phenotypic level. 320

321

If the aim of using skin lesions for selection purposes were to simply reduce lesion 322 numbers, central or anterior SL24h should be used. However, the main goal of any 323 breeding program incorporating skin lesions would be to reduce aggression, 324 325 preferably on both a short and long term basis; therefore the results suggest that selection against anterior SL24h would have the greatest effect on aggressive 326 behaviour. Associations between EBVs in the bottom or top 10% of skin lesion traits 327 with aggressive traits were generally in accordance with genetic correlations 328 between the same traits. The results suggest that selecting individuals based on low 329

330 anterior SL24h would result in the greatest reduction in mean EBVs for behavioural traits relating to RA. Reciprocal contests make up the majority of time spent engaged 331 in physical aggression and carry the biggest risk of injury, therefore reducing this 332 333 behaviour is highly desirable. A slightly greater reduction in receipt of RA was predicted, in comparison to initiation of RA, suggesting that the recipient of an attack 334 may be more likely to become injured than the initiator. This may be because the 335 336 initiator is more likely to win a contest, inflicting more damage in the process 337 (Stukenborg et al., 2011).

338

The possible role of social genetic effects on social aggression was mentioned 339 above. Where there is a negative correlation between direct and social genetic 340 341 effects, selection based on direct breeding values alone can result in an undesirable result (for example, selecting for reduced SL24h could theoretically result in 342 increased aggression; Ellen et al., 2014). Previous studies suggest a positive 343 344 correlation between direct and social effects for aggressive behaviour, meaning that animals with a low genetic propensity to become involved in aggression also have a 345 low chance of being attacked (Wilson et al., 2011; Alemu et al., 2014). Negative 346 correlations between social and direct effects have been found for dominance traits 347 (Wilson et al., 2009; Sartori and Mantovani, 2012) however social effects accounted 348 for little of the variation in these studies. If a positive correlation exists between social 349 and direct effects for aggressive traits, combined selection for social and direct EBVs 350 for SL24h may reduce aggressive behaviour to a greater degree than our results 351 352 suggest.

353

354 Individuals with low EBVs for anterior SL24h had EBVs that were close to the population mean for the proportion of fights won. This suggests that selection for low 355 anterior SL24h would not result in a strong selection for individuals that win a high 356 357 proportion of fights. It is likely that the low genetic correlation between anterior SL24h and proportion of fights won is due to the fact that proportion of fights won is 358 independent from the duration of time spent engaged in aggression. For example, an 359 360 individual with very high fight success may have spent little time engaged in 361 aggression (receiving few lesions in the process), or much time engaged in aggression (receiving many lesions). Likewise, the same can be true for animals 362 with a low fight success rate. Because of this relationship, individuals with low 363 anterior SL24h EBVs were involved in low levels of aggression, but contained 364 365 individuals with both high and low EBVs for proportion of fights won. These results may address the criticism that selection for low lesions may simply result in selection 366 for meek animals, as it would seem that some dominant individuals are able to 367 368 convey social rank with very little aggression, possibly via behavioural cues, or short, 369 decisive fights.

370

Genetic correlations indicate that high fight success and low receipt of non-reciprocal 371 attacks are associated with few lesions to the central and posterior regions of the 372 body. These correlations alone would suggest that selection against either of these 373 traits could result in selection for highly dominant individuals. The results of the 374 analysis performed in this study suggest that selection for low central SL24h EBVs 375 would result in a reduction of several other behaviours, including initiation of 376 reciprocal fighting. This suggests that individuals with low EBVs for central SL24h 377 have a low propensity to be involved in both reciprocal and NRA. In contrast to 378

379 genetic correlations, traits likely to be related to dominance were not predicted to be 380 affected by selection for low central SL24h. This conflict seems to suggest that 381 central lesions are an ambiguous proxy measure of aggression, as they appear to 382 capture both aggressive and unaggressive individuals. In contrast, individuals with low posterior SL24h had high values for proportion of fights won and duration of RA 383 initiated, and a decrease in all traits relating to the amount of aggression received. 384 385 This suggests that selecting against posterior SL24h would result in selection for dominance related behaviours. Correlations between central or posterior SL24h and 386 aggressive traits presented in this study sometimes conflicted with those previously 387 calculated by Turner et al. (2008) using similar phenotyping methods. However, the 388 strength and direction of genetic correlations between anterior SL24h and behaviour 389 390 traits were similar between the two populations, providing further evidence that 391 anterior SL24h is the best trait overall for reducing aggression at mixing.

392

393 Expected response following selection for increased SL3wk

Due to lower and opposing genetic correlations between aggressive traits at mixing 394 and SL3wk, selection for increased SL3wk was predicted to reduce mean levels of 395 aggressive behaviour to a lesser extent than selection for low anterior SL24h. 396 Despite this, aggressive EBVs and phenotypes were still significantly lower than the 397 population mean in individuals with high EBVs for SL3wk. Selecting for increased 398 anterior or central SL3wk is expected to change mean EBVs for all aggressive traits 399 to a similar degree. Most behavioural EBVs were lower than the population as a 400 401 whole in this cohort; suggesting selection for increased lesions under stable social conditions would result in a reduction in aggressive behaviour at mixing. 402

403

404 In accordance with genetic correlations, individuals with high EBVs for central SL3wk had significantly higher EBVs for the duration of NRA received, which conflicts with 405 the aim of reducing aggression via selection. However, selection for increased 406 407 central SL24h is predicted to result in a higher proportion of unaggressive animals in subsequent generations, and a lower proportion of aggressive animals. It would 408 therefore also be expected that duration of NRA received would actually decrease in 409 410 subsequent populations, despite positive genetic correlations between skin lesions and this behavioural trait. Similarly, it is expected that the number of skin lesions 411 would also reduce under stable conditions, despite selecting for increased lesions at 412 this time, as this would ultimately reduce the amount of aggression experienced by 413 subordinate animals as hypothesised above. From a behavioural perspective, the 414 415 results suggest there would be little difference between using anterior or central 416 SL3wk for selection purposes.

417

Aggression is most intense upon first mixing and it is behaviour at this time point that 418 has been the focus of most research. It is worth considering the implications of 419 aggression under stable social conditions as, once mixed, animals are often housed 420 for several weeks or months within these groups. Practically, counting skin lesions 421 on larger, older animals in a socially stable environment is less time consuming than 422 counting lesions on younger animals, as there are fewer lesions, the animals are 423 more settled and tend to show less avoidance of an observer present in the pen. 424 Furthermore, heritability estimates of skin lesion numbers under stable social 425 conditions have been found to be of a higher magnitude to those inflicted under 426 newly mixed conditions, possibly due to less environmental noise, (Turner et al., 427

2009; Desire *et al.*, 2015b), potentially increasing the response to selection for these
traits.

430

431 At present, it is still not well understood how lesions three weeks post-mixing are related to longer-term aggressive behaviour. No study has yet looked at long-term 432 aggressive behaviour in sufficient detail to allow for thorough investigation into the 433 434 genetic and phenotypic relationships between skin lesion traits and aggression under stable and unstable social conditions. Lower correlations between behaviour at 435 mixing and SL3wk suggests that individuals with the most lesions at three weeks 436 may not always be the least aggressive individuals at this time point. Without 437 behavioural information it is unknown what factors contribute to aggression under 438 439 stable social conditions, and under what circumstances individuals engage in 440 aggression. For example, lesions received under stable social conditions may be the result of attacks by dominant individuals or reciprocal fighting between subordinate 441 442 individuals, perhaps partly due to unstable or ambiguous dominance hierarchies. Genetic correlations between SL24h, SL3wk and aggressive behaviour at mixing 443 provide a conflicting narrative. Positive genetic correlations between SL24h and 444 445 SL3wk (Turner et al., 2009; Desire et al. 2015b) suggest individuals that receive many lesions at mixing go on to receive many lesions under stable social conditions, 446 whereas negative correlations between most behavioural traits at mixing and SL3wk 447 suggest that on the whole, animals that are aggressive at mixing go on to have fewer 448 lesions 3 weeks later. Direct behavioural observations on animals under stable social 449 450 conditions are required to explore this further. Until long-term aggressive behaviour is better understood, skin lesions recorded under stable social conditions only 451 provide information on the aggression performed by a group as a whole and not the 452

453 individual in guestion. In contrast, the relationship between skin lesions at mixing and aggressive behaviour is well established. In particular, anterior SL24h are highly 454 correlated with RA, meaning that skin lesions on this body region result from the 455 456 actions of the individual in guestion. When anterior SL24h are used as a phenotype, it is a good proxy measure of an individual's behaviour, rather than other animals in 457 458 the social group. Moreover, although mixing aggression has been studied for several 459 decades, the damaging effects of long-term aggression have not been quantified. It should be noted that in this study skin lesion numbers recorded immediately prior to 460 mixing were subtracted from those counted 24 hours later, to ensure only those 461 lesions resulting from mixing aggression were included in the analysis. This 462 methodology effectively doubles the amount of labour required to record SL24h, 463 464 however correlations between raw anterior lesion numbers recorded 24 hours postmixing and lesion numbers adjusted for pre-mix counts were very high (0.95; P < 465 0.001) suggesting that recording skin lesions prior to mixing is not necessary. 466

467

This study provides evidence that significant reductions in social aggression could be 468 achieved via selection for skin lesions. Much of the variation in skin lesion numbers 469 470 is attributed to environmental factors, and previous research has demonstrated that variation in management systems can affect the phenotypic expression of 471 aggression (Arey and Edwards, 1998), however information regarding how 472 environmental factors affect the genetic expression of these traits is limited. Although 473 previous studies have found phenotypic correlations between skin lesions and 474 aggression (Stukenborg et al., 2011; Tönepöhl et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2006) few 475 studies have estimated genetic correlations across traits. Results from populations 476 housed under different management systems suggest anterior SL24h is a reliable 477

478 measure of social aggression in growing pigs (Turner *et al.*, 2008; Turner *et al.*, 479 2009). In practice, selection for skin lesions would be incorporated into a selection 480 index tailored to a wider breeding goal. Therefore, further research is required to 481 estimate the genetic correlation with other traits in the breeding goal and derive the 482 marginal economic and non-economic value of skin lesions to allow these traits to be 483 weighted within a multi-trait commercial index.

484

485 Conclusion

Results suggest that selection against anterior SL24h would have the greatest effect 486 on behaviour at mixing, both on a genetic and phenotypic level. The results also 487 suggest that anterior SL24h are a more accurate representation of the behaviour of 488 489 separate individuals, as opposed to other skin lesion traits which may be more representative of the behaviour of others in the pen. There is also evidence that 490 selection for increased SL3wk would have the favourable effect of reducing 491 492 aggressive behaviour at mixing, although to a lesser degree than selection against anterior SL24h. Although there are several advantages to using skin lesions 493 recorded under stable social conditions to phenotype individuals for selection 494 495 purposes, more research into the relationship between aggressive behaviour at mixing and aggression under stable social conditions is needed. In conclusion, with 496 the evidence currently available, anterior SL24h would be the preferable trait for 497 genetic selection, as it has the potential to significantly reduce levels of aggression 498 observed in the first 24 hours post-mixing, and also reduce the genetic trend in 499 500 longer-term aggression (three weeks post-mixing).

501

502 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge BBSRC, KTN, and Genus PLC for PhD
studentship funding, as well as the Scottish Government. The data used are from a
previous project funded by the European Union Sixth Framework Programme and
Scottish Government. The assistance of data recording of Quality Genetics, S. Ison,
M. Farish, M. Jack, B. Olsson, U. Schmidt, J. Vallgårda, E. Merin, and Vallrums
Lantbruk (Sweden) staff is gratefully acknowledged.

509

511 **References**

- Alemu SW, Bijma P Møller, SH Janss, L and Berg, P 2014. Indirect genetic effects
 contribute substantially to heritable variation in aggression-related traits in
 group-housed mink (Neovison vison). Genetics Selection Evolution 46:30.
- Arey D and Edwards S 1998. Factors influencing aggression between sows after
 mixing and the consequences for welfare and production. Livestock Production
 Science 56, 61–70.
- 518 Bijma P. 2010. Estimating indirect genetic effects: precision of estimates and 519 optimum designs. Genetics 186, 1013–1028.
- Bijma P and Wade, M. J 2008. The joint effects of kin, multilevel selection and
 indirect genetic effects on response to genetic selection. Journal of Evolutionary
 Biology 21, 1175–1188.
- D'Eath RB, Turner SP, Kurt E, Evans G Thölking L, Looft H, Wimmers K, Murani E,
 Klont R, Foury A, Ison SH, Mormède P 2010. Pigs' aggressive temperament
 affects pre-slaughter mixing aggression, stress and meat quality. Animal 4, 604–
 616.

527 De Groot J, Ruis MA, Scholten JW, Koolhaas JM and Boersma WJ 2001. Long-term 528 effects of social stress on antiviral immunity in pigs. Physiology and Behavior 529 73, 145–158.

Ellen ED, Rodenburg TB, Albers GAA, Bolhuis JE, Camerlink I, Duijvesteijn N, Knol
EF, Peeters K, Reimert I, Sell-Kubiak E, Van Arendonk JAM, Visscher J, Bijma,

- P 2014. The prospects of selection for social genetic effects to improve welfare
 and productivity in livestock. Frontiers in Genetics 5, 1–14.
- 534 Faucitano L 2001. Causes of skin damage to pig carcasses. Canadian Journal of 535 Animal Science 3, 39-45
- 536 Gilmour AR, Gogel BJ, Cullis BR and Thompson R 2009. ASReml User Guide 537 release 3.0. VSN International Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK. www.vsni.co.uk
- 538 Guy JH, Burns SE, Barker JM and Edwards SA 2009. Reducing post-mixing 539 aggression and skin lesions in weaned pigs by application of a synthetic 540 maternal pheromone. Animal Welfare 18, 249–255.
- 541 Meese GB and Ewbank R 1973. The establishment and nature of the dominance 542 hierarchy in the domesticated pig. Animal Behaviour 21, 326-334.
- Mendl M, Zanella AJ and Broom DM 1992. Physiological and reproductive correlates
 of behavioural strategies in female domestic pigs. Animal Behaviour 44, 11071121.
- Sartori C and Mantovani R 2012. Indirect genetic effects and the genetic bases of
 social dominance: evidence from cattle. Heredity 110, 3–9.
- Stookey JM and Gonyou HW 1994. The effects of regrouping on behavioral and
 production parameters in finishing swine. Journal of Animal Science 72, 2804–
 2811.

- Stukenborg A, Traulsen I, Puppe B, Presuhn U, and Krieter J 2011. Agonistic
 behaviour after mixing in pigs under commercial farm conditions. Applied Animal
 Behaviour Science 129, 28–35.
- Tönepöhl B, Appel AK, Voß B, König von Borstel U and Gauly M 2013. Interaction
 between sows' aggressiveness post-mixing and skin lesions recorded several
 weeks later. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 44, 108-115.
- 557 Turner SP, D'Eath RB, Roehe R and Lawrence AB 2010. Selection against 558 aggressiveness in pigs at re-grouping: practical application and implications for 559 long-term behavioural patterns. Animal Welfare 19, 123-132
- Turner SP, Farnworth MJ, White IM, Brotherstone S, Mendl M, Knap P, Penny P,
 Lawrence AB 2006. The accumulation of skin lesions and their use as a
 predictor of individual aggressiveness in pigs. Applied Animal Behaviour
 Science 96, 245–259.
- Turner SP, Roehe R, D'Eath RB, Ison SH, Farish M, Jack MC, Lundeheim N,
 Rydhmer L, Lawrence AB 2009. Genetic validation of postmixing skin injuries in
 pigs as an indicator of aggressiveness and the relationship with injuries under
 more stable social conditions. Journal of Animal Science 87, 3076–3082.
- Turner SP, Roehe R, Mekkawy W, Farnworth MJ, Knap PW and Lawrence AB 2008.
 Bayesian analysis of genetic associations of skin lesions and behavioural traits
 to identify genetic components of individual aggressiveness in pigs. Behavior
 Genetics 38, 57-75.

572	Wilson AJ, Morrissey MB, Adams MJ, Walling CA, Guinness FE, Pemberton JM,
573	Clutton-Brock TH, Kruuk LEB 2011. Indirect genetics effects and evolutionary
574	constraint: An analysis of social dominance in red deer, Cervus elaphus. Journal
575	of Evolutionary Biology 24, 772–783.

Wilson AJ, Gelin U, Perron MC and Réale D 2009. Indirect genetic effects and the
evolution of aggression in a vertebrate system. Proceedings. Biological
Sciences / The Royal Society, 276(October 2008), 533–541.

















Supplementary Table S1 Characteristics of skin lesion traits recorded on pigs 24h post-mixing (SL24h) and 3 weeks post-mixing (SL3wk) and

behavioural data for all animals included in the statistical analysis

	Original scale				Transformed scale			
Trait	N	Min-Max	Mean (SD)	SK	Κ	Mean (SD)	SK	κ
Anterior SL24h	1146	0 - 99	19.07 (17.35)	1.37	2.27	2.58 (1.07)	-0.90	0.43
Central SL24h	1146	0 - 100	10.82 (12.03)	1.43	6.03	2.06 (1.1)	-0.64	-0.52
Posterior SL24h	1146	0 - 41	3.69 (8.30)	-0.73	4.08	1.36 (1.02)	-0.12	-1.30
Anterior SL3wk	1146	0 - 63	10.40 (5.62)	1.59	8.89	2.3 (0.56)	-1.15	2.66
Central SL3wk	1146	0 - 40	10.36 (5.93)	1.03	1.90	2.28 (0.6)	-0.92	1.54
Posterior SL3wk	1146	0 - 30	4.53 (3.49)	1.16	2.92	1.49 (0.71)	-0.52	-0.34
Number of RA involved with	1146	0 - 56	8.43 (7.16)	1.37	3.04	1.91 (0.9)	-0.60	-0.35
Proportion of fights won	1047	0 - 1	0.30 (0.25)	0.54	-0.27	0.25 (0.19)	0.20	-0.85
Average duration NA and NRA involved (s)	1138	1 - 250	42.70 (27.97)	2.03	8.24	3.59 (0.64)	-0.39	0.46
Duration NRA initiated (s)	1146	0 - 996	41.71 (68.81)	4.64	40.72	2.53 (1.82)	-0.19	-1.27
Duration NRA received (s)	1146	0 - 444	41.47 (46.53)	2.88	13.9	3.12 (1.34)	-0.88	0.36
Duration of RA initiated (s)	1146	0 - 2394	289.8 (366.2)	2.07	5.30	4.3 (2.34)	-0.88	-0.53
Duration RA received (s)	1146	0 - 2997	329.6 (353)	2.08	6.62	5.1 (1.45)	-1.08	1.45
Number of pen mates attacked (RA)	1146	0 - 11	2.86 (2.32)	0.65	-0.20	1.14 (0.69)	-0.40	-0.94
Number of pen mates attacked by (RA)	1146	0 - 9	2.86 (2.06)	0.40	-0.52	1.18 (0.63)	-0.59	-0.66
Number of pen mates attacked (NRA)	1146	0 - 14	2.57 (2.69)	1.32	1.54	1.00 (0.75)	0.06	-1.10
Number of pen mates attacked by (NRA)	1146	0 - 9	2.57 (1.67)	0.61	0.1	1.26 (0.45)	-1.64	2.39
Number of attacks initiated (RA)	1146	0 - 36	4.23 (4.30)	1.75	4.97	1.32 (0.85)	-0.14	-0.89
Number of attacked received (RA)	1146	0 - 25	4.20 (3.78)	1.44	3.04	1.37 (0.79)	-0.32	-0.72
Number of pen mates interacted with	1146	0 - 14	6.69 (3.06)	0.02	-0.66	1.94 (0.49)	-1.13	1.48

RA = reciprocal aggression; NRA = non-reciprocal aggression; SK = skewness; K = kurtosis

Supplementary Table S2 Comparison of lesion traits and aggressive behavioural traits for all pigs and pigs in the lowest 10th percentile of EBVs for anterior skin lesions recorded 24 hours post mixing (SL24h). Raw mean values for all pigs, mean phenotypic differences (in SD) between all pigs and selected pigs, and expected mean change (in trait units) after selection for SL24h.

	Trait	Raw mean of all pigs	Mean difference between all and selected pigs in SD (SE) ¹	Expected change after selection ²
SL24h	Anterior (selection trait)	19.07	-0.91 (0.03)	-15.85
	Central	10.82	-0.61 (0.05)	-7.35
	Posterior	3.69	-0.43 (0.07)	-3.60
SL3wk	Anterior	10.40	-0.06 (0.08)	-0.34
	Central	10.36	0.07 (0.10)	0.43
	Posterior	4.53	0.00 (0.07)	0.01
Behaviour	Number of RA involved with	8.43	-0.63 (0.06)	-4.51
	Proportion of fights won	0.30	-0.06 (0.14)	-0.01
	Average duration of NA and NRA involved (s)	42.70	-0.53 (0.06)	-14.76
	Duration of NRA initiated (s)	41.71	-0.24 (0.06)	-16.29
	Duration of NRA received (s)	41.47	-0.25 (0.07)	-11.55
	Duration of RA initiated (s)	289.80	-0.44 (0.06)	-160.42
	Duration of RA received (s)	329.60	-0.62 (0.05)	-217.42
	Number of pen mates attacked (RA)	2.86	-0.57 (0.08)	-1.32
	Number of pigs attacked by (RA)	2.86	-0.74 (0.07)	-1.52
	Number of pen mates attacked (NRA)	2.57	-0.28 (0.09)	-0.76
	Number of pen mates attacked by (NRA)	2.57	-0.44 (0.11)	-0.73
	Total RA initiated	4.23	-0.47 (0.07)	-2.03
	Total RA received	4.20	-0.66 (0.06)	-2.48
	Number of pen mates interacted with	6.69	-0.60 (0.09)	-1.83

SL24h = skin lesions recorded 24 hours post-mixing; SL3wk = skin lesions recorded 3 weeks post-mixing; RA = reciprocal aggression; NRA = non-reciprocal aggression

¹ Bold font signifies change significantly different from 0

² Untransformed phenotypes were scaled and standardised (see Table S1) and the corresponding change in SD after selection based on breeding values was used to calculate the expected change in aggressive behaviour. **Supplementary Table S3** Comparison of lesion traits

and aggressive behavioural traits for all pigs and pigs in the highest 10th percentile of EBVs for anterior skin lesions recorded 3 weeks post mixing (SL3wk). Raw mean values for all pigs, mean phenotypic differences (in SD) between all pigs and selected pigs, and expected mean change (in trait units) after selection for SL3wk

	Trait	Raw mean of all pigs	Mean difference between all and selected pigs in SD (SE) ¹	Expected change after selection ²
SL24h	Anterior	19.07	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.76
	Central	10.82	0.21 (0.11)	2.58
	Posterior	3.69	0.22 (0.11)	1.79
SL3wk	Anterior (selection trait)	10.40	1.42 (0.13)	7.95
	Central	10.36	1.00 (0.12)	5.91
	Posterior	4.53	0.52 (0.12)	1.82
Behaviour	Number of RA involved with	8.43	-0.33 (0.08)	-2.39
	Proportion of fights won	0.30	-0.31 (0.09)	-0.08
	Average duration of NA and NRA involved (s)	42.70	-0.19 (0.07)	-5.30
	Duration of NRA initiated (s)	41.71	-0.13 (0.08)	-8.72
	Duration of NRA received (s)	41.47	-0.10 (0.07)	-4.50
	Duration of RA initiated (s)	289.80	-0.30 (0.07)	-108.12
	Duration of RA received (s)	329.60	-0.28 (0.07)	-98.06
	Number of pen mates focal pig attacked (RA)	2.86	-0.35 (0.09)	-0.81
	Number of pigs attacked by (RA)	2.86	-0.35 (0.08)	-0.71
	Number of pen mates attacked (NRA)	2.57	-0.28 (0.08)	-0.75
	Number of pen mates attacked by (NRA)	2.57	0.1 (0.09)	0.17
	Total RA initiated	4.23	-0.29 (0.08)	-1.25
	Total RA received	4.20	-0.3 (0.07)	-1.14
	Number of pen mates interacted with	6.69	-0.39 (0.09)	-1.19

SL24h = skin lesions recorded 24 hours post-mixing; SL3wk = skin lesions recorded 3 weeks post-mixing; RA = reciprocal aggression; NRA = non-reciprocal aggression

¹ Bold font signifies change significantly different from 0

² Untransformed phenotypes were scaled and standardised (see Table S1) and the corresponding change in SD after selection based on breeding values was used to calculate the expected change in aggressive behaviour.