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A family of octametallic heteronuclear manganese-lanthanide [Mn$_{\text{III}}$$_2$Ln$_2$] complexes were isolated and characterized by magnetic measurements.
A family of [Mn$^{III}_6$Ln$^{III}_2$] rod-like clusters

Thomais G. Tziotzi,$^a$ Dimitris A. Kalofolias,$^a$ Demetrios I. Tzimopoulos,$^c$ Milosz Siczek,$^d$
Tadeusz Lis,$^d$ Ross Inglis*$_b$ and Constantinos J. Milios*$_{ab}$$^a$

Employment of H$_3$L (= 2-(β-naphthalideneamino)-2-hydroxymethyl-1-propanol) in mixed-metal manganese-lanthanide cluster chemistry has led to the isolation of five new octametallic heteronuclear isostructural [Mn$^{III}_6$Ln$^{III}_2$] complexes. More specifically, the reaction of Mn(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O with H$_3$L and the corresponding lanthanide nitrate in MeCN in the presence of base, NEt$_3$, yielded five complexes with the general formula [Mn$^{III}_6$Ln$^{III}_2$(OH)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$(HL)$_6$(NO$_3$)$_3$]·6MeCN·0.5H$_2$O (Ln: Gd, 1; MeCN·0.5H$_2$O; Tb, 2; MeCN·0.5H$_2$O; Dy, 3; MeCN·0.5H$_2$O; Er, 4; MeCN·0.5H$_2$O). Furthermore, the Y$^{III}$ analogue, [Mn$^{III}_6$Y$^{III}_2$(OH)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$(HL)$_6$(NO$_3$)$_3$]·6MeCN·0.5H$_2$O (5), was also synthesized in the same manner. All five clusters describe a central rod-like topology consisting of four face-sharing defective cubane metallic units, forming a planar hexametallic [Mn$^{III}_6$Ln$^{III}_2$] core, which is further capped by two Mn$^{III}$ ions. Dc magnetic susceptibility studies in the 5 – 300 K range for complexes 1-5 reveal the presence of dominant antiferromagnetic exchange interactions within the metallic clusters, while ac magnetic susceptibility measurements show temperature and frequency dependent out-of-phase signals for the Dy$^{III}$ analogue (3·MeCN·0.5H$_2$O), suggesting potential single molecule magnetism character. Furthermore, the Y$^{III}$ analogue yielded a diamagnetic ground-state for the [Mn$^{III}_6$] core, thus proving that the SMM character displayed by 3·MeCN·0.5H$_2$O is due to the presence of the Dy$^{III}$ centres.

Introduction

Over the last few years the field of molecular magnetism has been expanding and evolving rapidly into an independent, multi-dynamic field of science and technology; initially involved with the study of the magnetic interactions between the metallic centers within dimeric$^{[1]}$ and oligonuclear complexes,$^{[2]}$ witnessed a major boost during the last two decades upon: i) the discovery of polynuclear species that can function as molecular nano-magnets at very low temperatures retaining their magnetization once magnetized in the absence of an external magnetic field, termed as Single Molecule Magnets, SMMs,$^{[3]}$ and ii) the appearance of analogous behavior in 1D coordination polymers, termed as Single Chain Magnets, SCMs.$^{[4]}$ Especially in the former case, the compounds that have been found to function as SMMs have grown exponentially; a phenomenon firstly observed for “traditional” transition metal centers like Mn, Fe and Co.$^{[5]}$ It has now expanded to include 3d$^{4}$-4d$^{5}$/5d, 3d$^{4}$-4f, as well as 4f- and 5f-compounds;$^{[5]}$ most importantly, the properties of these “new” candidates seem to be very promising towards technological applications, and species with extremely large energy barriers for the re-orientation of the magnetization have been isolated,$^{[6]}$ given that an Arrhenius analysis is valid for such systems.$^{[1]}$

We recently reported the use of the naphthalene-based triol ligand LH$_3$ (= 2-(β-naphthalideneamino)-2-hydroxymethyl-1-propanol, Scheme 1) in Co(II/III), Ni(II) and Cu(II) chemistry leading to the formation of a [Co$^{II}$-Co$^{III}_2$], [Ni$^{III}_2$] and two [Cu$_2$] clusters,$^{[8]}$ and we have now expanded our studies in mixed-metal Mn-Ln chemistry, and herein we report the use of this triol ligand for the synthesis of a family of octanuclear [Mn$^{III}_6$Ln$^{III}_2$] complexes.

![Scheme 1. The structure of H$_3$L and its coordination modes in 1-5.](image-url)
Experimental Section

Materials and physical measurements

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions, using materials as received. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed by the University of Ioannina microanalysis service. Variable-temperature, solid-state direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility data down to 2.0 K were collected on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 7 T DC magnet at the University of Edinburgh. Diamagnetic corrections were applied to the observed paramagnetic susceptibilities using Pascal’s constants. Powder XRD measurements were collected on freshly prepared samples of 1, 2 and 5 on a PANalytical X’Pert Pro MPD diffractometer at the University of Crete, while EDS measurements were performed on a JEOL Scanning Electron Microscope.

Syntheses

General synthetic strategy applicable to 1-5:

Mn(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (120.33 mg, 0.33 mmol), Ln(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.25 mmol), H$_2$L (86 mg, 0.33 mmol) and NET$_3$ (~1 mmol) were dissolved in MeCN (30 mL) forming a yellow suspension that was left upon stirring for ~25’ to yield a dark brown solution. The solution was then filtered and left undisturbed to evaporate at room temperature. Dark-brown single-crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were formed after ~2 days in 35-40% yields, and they were washed with Et$_2$O and dried in air.

Elemental Anal. calcd (found) for 1MeCN: C 40.88 (40.99), H 3.72 (3.53), N 7.10 (7.23); 2MeCN: C 41.39 (41.23), H 3.82 (3.65), N 7.88 (7.76); 3MeCN: C 40.75 (40.89), H 3.74 (3.51), N 7.07 (6.98); 4MeCN: C 41.14 (41.01), H 3.77 (3.20), N 7.49 (7.32); 5MeCN: C 40.61 (40.53), H 3.66 (3.49), N 6.69 (6.58)%.

X-Ray Crystallography

Diffraction data for 3MeCN·0.5H$_2$O and 4MeCN·0.5H$_2$O were collected at 100 K on an Xcalibur PX diffractometer. The structure of Er salt was solved by direct methods. The final atomic parameters of Er crystal were used as starting data for Dy crystal. Both structures were refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques on $F^2$ with SHELXL.\textsuperscript{1} Data collection parameters and structures solution and refinement details are listed in Table S1. Full details can be found in the CIF files: CCDC 1044737 and 1044738.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses

The reaction between Mn(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O with Ln(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Ln = Gd, Tb, Dy, Er and Y) and H$_2$L in 1:0.75:1 ratio in the presence of base, afforded five new heterometallic octanuclear clusters of the form [Mn$_{III}$Ln$_{III}$O$_2$(OH)$_2$H$_2$O]$_2$(HL)$_6$(NO$_3$)$_2$·6MeCN·0.5H$_2$O (Ln: Gd, Tb, Dy, Er, Y, 5MeCN·0.5H$_2$O; Y, 5MeCN·0.5H$_2$O) general formulae. The initial synthetic efforts in this reaction system were performed in a 1:1:1 reagents’ ratio, but we were not able to isolate any crystalline material, and thus we modified the reaction’s stoichiometry. The nature of the base, as well as the presence of counterions in the reaction mixture, did not affect the identity of the products. We managed to characterize the Gd, Tb, Dy and Er analogues, as well as the Y version, while in the case of larger lanthanide ions we were not able to isolate any crystalline or micro-crystalline material, suggesting that the size of the 4f- ion does affect the formation/stability of the products. For 1-5 we obtained large single-crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography and we chose to solve the representative crystal structures of the Dy (3) and Er (4) analogues, while the remaining analogues were undoubtedly established by means of IR spectroscopy, PXRD comparison (Figure 1) and elemental analysis. Finally, the purity of the crystalline products was verified by means of energy dispersive spectroscopy, EDS (Figure 2), yielding Mn:Ln ratio of 72.8:27.2, in agreement with the theoretical value of 75:25 as expected for the crystal structure.

Description of structures

The molecular structure of complex 3 is presented in Figure 3, while selected interatomic distances and angles for 3 and 4 are given in Table S2 and S3, respectively. Since all crystals are isostructural, we will only discuss the structure of 3; the compound crystallizes in the triclinic P-1 space group; its structure describes a central planar hexametallic [Mn$_{III}$Ln$_{III}$]$_2^-$ core which is further capped by two Mn$_{III}$ ions. More specifically, Mn1, Mn3, Dy and their symmetry related, form a...
central planar \{\text{Mn}^{III}\text{Dy}^{III}\text{O}_2\text{OH}_2\}\text{^{12\alpha}} \text{unit}, which is further stabilized by a combination of deprotonated bridging alkoxide groups from the six ligands found in the molecule, and capped by the two “outer” \text{Mn}^{III} ions, \text{Mn}2 and \text{Mn}2’. Four of the six ligands are found in a 2.2101 coordination mode (Harris notation)\textsuperscript{[10]} with each one forming two chelate rings, while the remaining two are found in a rather unusual 4.2210 mode. Two of the nitrate ions are serving as monoatomic bridges between the central planar core and the capping Mn centres, while the remaining four are bound in a chelate manner on the Dy ions. The coordination environment is further completed by the presence of two terminal \text{H}_2\text{O} molecules coordinated on \text{Mn}3 and \text{Mn}3’. All Mn ions are found in the 3+ oxidation state as evidenced by bond valence sum calculations\textsuperscript{[11]} (BVS: 3.08, 3.10 and 3.14 for Mn1, Mn2 and Mn3, respectively) and are six-coordinate adopting JT distorted octahedral geometry, while the Dy ions are nine-coordinate adopting spherical capped square antiprismatic geometry as calculated from SHAPE.\textsuperscript{[12]}

There is a number of intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds that stabilize the structure of 3 (Figure 4); these H-bonds involve the \mu_1-OH group (O2-H2) which bounds to the alkoxo O1C [O2-H2...O1C (1-x,1-y,1-z) 2.07 Å; O2...O1C 2.813(3) Å; \angle O2-H2...O1C 145°] and the coordinated \text{H}_2\text{O} molecule (OW1) which bounds to the phenolate O1B atom and to the nitrate O12 atom [OW1-H1W1...O1B 1.95 Å; OW1...O1B 2.789 Å; \angle OW1-H1W1...O1B 165° and OW1-H1W1...O12 1.95 Å; OW1...O12 2.767(4) Å; \angle OW1-H1W1...O12 157°]. In the lattice, the molecules of 3 are also hydrogen-bonded via the pending –CH\_3-\text{OH} groups of the ligand to form a chain running parallel to the a axis. In this arrangement, each molecule of 3 participates in four H-bonds [one unique: O15B-H15B...O15A (x-1,y,z) 1.92 Å; O15B...O15A 2.780(5) Å; \angle O15B-H15B...O15A 176°], while the second pending –CH\_2-\text{OH} group is attached to a CH\_2CN molecule [O15A-H15D...N5 1.88 Å; O15A...N5 2.753(6) Å; \angle O15A-H15D...N5 177°]. Several weaker intra- and intermolecular C-H...O interactions are also present, while besides the presence of several phenyl groups there are not any \pi-\pi interactions. Complexes 1-5 join only a handful of structurally characterized \{\text{Mn}_6\text{Ln}_2\} complexes.\textsuperscript{[13]}

![Figure 3](image-url)  
Figure 3. The molecular structure of 3. Solvent molecules and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Color code: Mn\textsuperscript{III} = red, Dy\textsuperscript{III} = dark-yellow, O=green, N=blue, C=yellow.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
Figure 4. The hydrogen-bonded chain of 3 along a. Dashed-red lines represent hydrogen bonds. Symmetry codes: (’) 1+x, y, z; (’’) x-1, y, z. Color code: same as in Fig.3

**Magnetic Chemistry**

**Dc Magnetic Susceptibility Studies**

Direct current magnetic susceptibility studies were performed on polycrystalline samples of 1-5 in the 5 – 300 K range under an applied field of 0.1 T. The results are plotted as the $\chi_M$T product vs. $T$ in Figure 5. From a quick glance at Figure 5, we can clearly see that all complexes display similar behaviour, i.e. the $\chi_M$T product decreases upon cooling, suggesting the presence of dominant antiferromagnetic interactions, although this statement is risky due to the simultaneous depopulation of the Stark sub-levels (for complexes 2, 3 and 4). For all five \{\text{Mn}_6\text{Ln}_2\} complexes the room temperature $\chi_M$T values were found very close to the theoretical \(g=2.00\) and two \text{Ln}^{III} (Ln = Gd, Tb, Dy, 3; Er, Y, 5) ions with their corresponding \(g\) values specifically, for complex 1, the room temperature $\chi_M$T value of 33.73 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K (theoretical value of 33.75 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K) remains unchanged upon cooling until ~100 K, before it drops to its minimum value of 15.81 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K at 5 K. For complex 2 the room temperature $\chi_M$T value of 41.16 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K (theoretical value of 41.62 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K) remains constant upon cooling until ~90 K, before it drops to the minimum value of 22.34 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K at 5 K. Complex 3 displays analogous behaviour; the room temperature $\chi_M$T value of 46.14 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K (theoretical value of 46.31 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K) remains constant upon cooling until ~100 K, before it drops to the minimum value of 28.62 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K at 5 K. The \text{Er} \text{III} \text{complex} (\text{Er} = \text{Er}, 5) displays slightly different behaviour; the room temperature $\chi_M$T value of 23.36 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K remains constant upon cooling until ~90 K, before it drops to the minimum value of 15.81 cm\(^{-1}\) mol\(^{-1}\) K at 5 K.}

![Figure 5](image-url)  
Figure 5. $\chi_M$T vs. $T$ plot for complexes 1 ([Mn\text{Gd}]), 2 ([Mn\text{Tb}]), 3 ([Mn\text{Dy}]), 4 ([Mn\text{Er}]) and 5 ([Mn\text{Y}]) under an applied dc field of 1000 G. The solid lines represent fit of the data in the 5 – 300 K range (see text for details).
temperature $\chi_M T$ value of 38.83 cm$^{-1}$ K (theoretical value of 40.95 cm$^{-1}$ K) remains constant upon cooling until -150 K below which it decreases to reach the minimum value of 28.68 cm$^{-1}$ K at 10 K, while upon further cooling it slightly increases to reach the value of 29.87 cm$^{-1}$ K. Finally, for the “diamagnetic” analogue $\tilde{5}$ the room temperature $\chi_M T$ value of 18.16 cm$^{-1}$ K (theoretical value of 18.00 cm$^{-1}$ K) increases slightly upon cooling to reach the maximum value of 19.50 cm$^{-1}$ K at 19.49 cm$^{-1}$ K at 110 K, below which it decreases to its minimum value of 2.92 cm$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$ K at 5 K. In order to get a qualitative view of the dominant interactions present in each cluster we performed a Curie-Weiss analysis of the high-temperature (50 – 300 K) magnetic susceptibility data (Figure 6) yielding $\theta$ values of -2.06 K, -0.95 K, -1.71 K, -10.04 K and 2.60 K, for 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

Using exactly the same parameters found for $\tilde{5}$, we were able to successfully fit the $\chi_M T$ data for the [Mn$_x$Gd$_y$] cluster (1) by simply adding the exchange interaction $J_3$ between the Mn$^2^+$-Gd$^{3+}$ ions, retaining the $J_1$ and $J_2$ values as found in $\tilde{5}$. Therefore, adopting the 3-J model (Figure 7, bottom) and the Hamiltonian in eqn (2)

$$\hat{H} = -2J_1 (\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_5 \cdot \hat{S}_6) - 2J_2 (\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_3 + \hat{S}_2 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_5 \cdot \hat{S}_6) - 2J_3 (\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_5 + \hat{S}_2 \cdot \hat{S}_6 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_5 \cdot \hat{S}_6) + \hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_5 + \hat{S}_2 \cdot \hat{S}_6 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_5 \cdot \hat{S}_6$$

(2)

afforded the parameters $J_1 = -1.46$ cm$^{-1}$, $J_2 = 11.09$ cm$^{-1}$ and $g = 1.97$. These parameters lead to an $S = 0$ ground-state, with the first excited state of $S = 1$ located only 1.2 cm$^{-1}$ above. The ferromagnetic nature of $J_2$ is in good agreement with previously reported “out-of-plane” [Mn$^{2+}$(OR)$_2$]$^{3+}$ units.$^{[10]}$

Finally, the system may be treated as two antiferromagnetically coupled $S = 2$ triangles. In Figure 8, the plot of the relative error surface for fitting the data for $\tilde{5}$ as a function of $J_1$ and $J_2$ is shown, following the sum of squares approach and by using PHI,$^{[14]}$ the $J$ values obtained belong to a well-defined minimum for this system in the [-2.5, -1.6](for $J_1$) - [8.4, 13.5 cm$^{-1}$](for $J_2$) region, with $J_1$ deviating only by 0.14 cm$^{-1}$.

![Figure 7](https://example.com/figure7.png)

**Figure 7.** Exchange interaction scheme for complexes $\tilde{5}$ (top) and 1 (bottom); see text for details.
Furthermore, magnetization data were collected for 1 in the magnetic field and temperature ranges of 1 – 7 T and 2.0 – 7.0 K, but a good fit for the reduced magnetization data was not possible assuming that only the ground state is populated, as was already evidenced by the dc magnetic susceptibility fit. Still, we managed to successfully simulate the $M$ vs. $H$ data with the parameters obtained from the $dc$ susceptibility fit (Figure 9).

Ac Magnetic Susceptibility Studies

Ac magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples of all 1-5 complexes, in the 1.8 – 10 K range in zero applied dc field and 3.5 G ac field oscillating at 100 – 1500 Hz range, as a means of investigating possible SMM behaviour. From all complexes studied, only cluster 3, $[\text{Mn}^\text{III} \text{Dy}_2]$ displayed interesting behaviour; the in-phase, $\chi''_M$ (plotted as $\chi''_M$ vs. $T$, Figure 10, top) signal decreases upon decreasing temperature, indicating the presence of low-lying excited states with higher “S” values than the ground-state. Furthermore, it displays frequency-dependent out-of-phase, $\chi'_M$, signals below ~ 4 K, but no peaks are seen (Figure 10, bottom), indicating the possibility of SMM behavior, albeit with a small barrier to magnetization reversal.

Since: i) the Y$^{\text{III}}$ analogue, cluster 5, is diamagnetic and as such does not display SMM behavior, and ii) the Dy$^{\text{III}}$ shows SMM characteristics, we can safely assume that the replacement of the Y$^{\text{III}}$ ions with the Dy$^{\text{III}}$ ions led to an increase of the “ground-state” and an increase of the magnetic anisotropy present. Given that in the absence of high symmetry, the ground-state of Dy$^{\text{III}}$ ions is a doublet along the anisotropy axis with $m_s = \pm 15/2$,\textsuperscript{17} we were able to calculate the anisotropy axis for each Dy$^{\text{III}}$ ion using a simple, and yet genius, electrostatic model recently reported by Chilton et al., based on electrostatic energy minimization for the prediction of the ground state magnetic anisotropy axis.\textsuperscript{18} Following this method and program MAGELLAN, the ground state magnetic anisotropy axes for each Dy center in 3 was found tilted towards the oxygen atom on the hydroxide group connected on the lanthanide center, and towards O21 belonging to the bidentate NO$_3^-$ anion (Figure 11, left). Finally, the two axis of 3 were found co-parallel since the two ions are related by inversion symmetry (Figure 11, right).

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have reported the syntheses, structures, and magnetism of five octanuclear heteronuclear $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_3\text{Ln}_2]$ clusters upon employment of the (2-(β-naphthalenemine)-2-hydroxymethyl-1-propanol) ligand, LH$_3$. Following our results upon employment of LH$_3$ in Co(II/III), Ni(II) and Cu(II) chemistry,\textsuperscript{8} we have now found that this versatile ligand can also lead to beautiful 3d-4f structures with interesting magnetic properties. Work is currently underway in order to investigate and isolate more examples of 3d-4f species, from analogous systems.
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Figure 8. (Left) 2D-contour plot of the relative error surface for fitting the magnetic data of 5; (right) zoom-in view of the 2D-contour plot, showing the (-2.5, -1.6)μ$_B$ (-8.4, 13.5 cm$^{-1}$|μ$_B$) region (dark-blue).

Figure 9. M vs. H for 1 in the 1 – 7 T and 2.0 – 7.0 K field and temperature range. The solid lines represent simulation of the magnetization isotherms in the 1.5 T field range and 2-7 K temperature range (top-to-bottom), assuming the parameters obtained from the $dc$ susceptibility fit (see text for details).

Figure 10. Plot of the in-phase ($\chi''_M$) signal as $\chi''_M$ vs. temperature for complex 3 (top); plot of the out-of-phase $\chi'$ signal vs. temperature for complex 3 (bottom).

Figure 11. (Left) Ground state magnetic anisotropy axis for the Dy center present in 3; (right) parallel orientation of the two magnetic anisotropy axis of the Dy$^{\text{II}}$ ions in 3.
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