Subjective sleep related breathing disorders and executive function in children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma

Dimos Gidaris a, b *, Stella Stabouli a *, Kleio Eleftheriou c, Dimitrios Cassimos d, Don Urquhart e, Vasilios Kotsis f, Dimitrios Zafeiriou a

a 1st Department of Pediatrics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Hippokratio General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Central Macedonia, Greece

b University of Nicosia Medical School, Nicosia, Cyprus

c Pulmonology Private Practice, Thessaloniki, Central Macedonia, Greece

d Department of Paediatrics, Medical School, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece

e Department of Paediatric Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Edinburgh, UK

f 3rd Department of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Papageorgiou Hospital, Greece.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/CRJ.13364

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved
Corresponding author:
Dr Dimos Gidaris FRCPCH, MRCPE, PhD, MSc, PGCertMed
European Diploma in Paediatric Respiratory Medicine
9A, Pantazopoulou str, Ampelokipi 56121 – Central Macedonia – GREECE
tel: +306947932194 – e-mail: dgidaris@doctors.org.uk

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Disclosure statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Authorship statement

DG, SS and KE designed and performed the study, collected and analyzed the data and wrote the initial draft. DC, DU VK and DZ contributed to the analysis of the data and revised the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Abstract

Objective: The impact on executive function performance of sleep-related disorders in asthmatic children has been scarcely studied in community settings. The aims of the present study were to assess the prevalence of sleep-related breathing disorders (SRBD) in children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma in primary care settings, and to examine possible correlations with measures of executive function.

Methods: We performed a case–control study including 76 children with asthma (intermittent or mild persistent), and 85 healthy controls. The parents of both patients and controls completed the Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire (PSQ), and the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) questionnaire.
Results: We did not find any statistically significant differences regarding the scales of PSQ. Additionally, there were no statistical differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding the scales of the BRIEF questionnaire. In both asthmatic children and controls the score of the scale of obstructive sleep related breathing disorder was significantly correlated with the T scores of the two composite scales (BRI and MI) and the Global Executive Composite (GEC).

Conclusion: In children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma under the care of private general paediatricians there were no statistically significant differences regarding subjective SBD compared to healthy controls. Also there were no statistical differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding behavioral correlates of executive function during everyday life.
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1. Introduction

Bronchial asthma is the most common chronic disorder in children. Sleep disordered breathing (SDB) is increasingly common in children and can result in disruption of sleep and health problems requiring intervention. SDB and asthma are both characterized by airways and systemic inflammation; they share common risk factors (obesity, rhinitis and gastroesophageal reflux) and their relationship appears to be bidirectional. Asthmatic children are more likely to be habitual snorers or have SDB. A history of poorly controlled asthma has been shown to be associated with more severe obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). On the other hand, children with SDB are more likely to develop wheeze or asthma. There are no data on the influence of asthma prophylactic treatment on SDB. Contrary, there is limited evidence supporting that surgical treatment of SDB (adenotonsillectomy) is associated with asthma improvement. Bhattacharjee et al -using data from a large private insurance database – showed that in asthmatic children without co morbidities
adenotonsillectomy was associated with a significant reduction in asthma attacks as well as significant reduction in asthma medication refills\(^5\).

The aims of the present study were to assess the prevalence of sleep-related disorders in children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma, and to investigate possible correlations of sleep-related disorders scales’ scores with measures of different domains of executive function. Given the limited published data, our research hypothesis was that sleep-related disorders are more common in children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma compared to controls.

2. Methods

2.1 Study setting and population

Between November 2015 and July 2017 asthmatic patients were recruited from private primary care paediatric practices from Central Macedonia (Greece). A diagnosis of asthma was made by experienced general paediatricians on clinical grounds according to international guidelines (recurrent or chronic symptoms of wheezing, cough and/or dyspnoea that responded to antiasthmatic medication). Confirmation of wheezing by the paediatrician at any point was a prerequisite for making the diagnosis of asthma. All asthmatic children had intermittent or mild persistent asthma that required step 1 or step 2 personalized management\(^6\). The control group consisted of healthy children - with no history of wheezing - visiting the same primary care paediatric practices for well-child visits, who volunteered to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria included diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, autism spectrum disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, any neurocognitive delay, epilepsy, cerebral palsy and treatment with sedative or hypnotic medications. We also excluded children aged less than 5 years (where several other wheezing phenotypes exist), since day time napping is normal for this age group and cannot be used as an indicator of daytime sleepiness. Informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from the children’s parents and in the adolescent age range from both the parents and the adolescents. Since we hypothesized that parental ability to pay out of pocket healthcare expenses reflects middle upper financial status, no data were collected on the socioeconomic status of study participants. All individuals fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria that were approached consented to participate in the study. The study was performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and the institutional review board approved the human research protocol.
2.2 Sleep-related disorders, executive function measures and other measurements

Our group’s research methods on sleep and executive function have been previously published\(^7\). Briefly, parents completed two validated pediatric questionnaires, the Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire (PSQ), and the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) questionnaire, which were translated and validated in the Greek language following previous published methodology by the investigators after permission. For the BRIEF and PSQ questionnaires, translation and validation into the Greek language have been previously described\(^7,8\). The final Greek version of PSQ has been deposited with the copyright owners (Regent of the University of Michigan) (http://inventions.umich.edu/technologies/3766_pediatric-sleep-questionnaire-designed-as-research-screen-for-symptoms-of-obstructive-sleep-apnea-and-other-sleep-disorders-in-children).

The PSQ was designed to assess symptoms of sleep disorders in children and it includes multiple scales to evaluate for different sleep disorders, including obstructive sleep-related breathing disorder (SRBD), snoring, daytime sleepiness, insomnia, and restless legs syndrome (RLS)\(^9\). The scales of obstructive SRBD, snoring, and daytime sleepiness have been validated against laboratory polysomnography and may be used as a valid and reliable alternative when polysomnography is not feasible\(^10\). This tool has been widely utilized and has shown adequate psychometric properties\(^11\). Scores greater than 0.33 are considered suggestive of a sleep-related disorder diagnosis. PSQ has recently been validated in asthmatic children\(^12\).

The BRIEF questionnaire is an 86-item parent-report designed to evaluate behavioral correlates of executive function in 5- to 18-year-old children during everyday life. It includes eight subscales of executive function performance (Inhibit, Shift, and Emotional Control, Initiate, Working Memory, Plan/Organize, Organization of Materials, Monitor), two composite scales (Behavioral Regulation Index (BRI) and Metacognition Index (MI)) and an overall summary score referred as Global Executive Composite (GEC). Raw scores are converted to T-scores according to previously published normative values. Higher scores indicate greater degrees of dysfunction\(^13\). This inventory is reported to have high internal consistency, good convergent validity, and good test–retest reliability\(^8,13\).
Body weight and height were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively, with the subjects in light clothing without shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height$^2$ (m$^2$). Overweight and obesity was defined according to the revised International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) criteria$^{14}$. BMI lambda-mu-sigma coefficients corresponding to the international IOTF cut-offs were used to calculate BMI z scores. Past medical history, current medications and disease severity were recorded by the treating physician.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The IBM SPSS 24.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) statistical package was used to analyze the data. Standard descriptive statistics, $t$-test or non-parametric methods (Mann-Whitney test, $\chi^2$ test) were used as appropriate for the comparison between the groups. Bivariate correlation tests were used to assess relationships among PSQ and BRIEF scales. A $p$-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and anthropometric data

The study population included 76 children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma and 85 controls. All children were Caucasian and were under the care of general paediatricians in private community clinics. Demographic and anthropometric data are summarized in Table 1. Mothers answered the questionnaires in most of the cases (80%). Half of asthmatics (n=36, 50.7%) were on prophylaxis with inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) and about one third (n=25, 35.3%) on montelukast.

3.2 PSQ Data

There were no statistically significant differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding the scores of PSQ scales concerning SRBD, snoring, restless leg syndrome, insomnia, daytime sleepiness, parasomnias and behavior. Asthmatic children appeared to sleep for a statistically significant longer time according to parental reports (9.61±0.77 hours/day vs. 9.11±0.77 hours/day, $p$ <0.001). Data regarding PSQ scales in asthmatic children and controls are summarized in table 2. The prevalence of high SRBD score ($\geq$0.33) was 14.5% in asthmatics.
compared to 7.1% in controls, but this difference was not statistically significant.

3.3. Data on executive function

There were no statistical differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding the eight subscales of executive function performance (Inhibit, Shift and Emotional Control, Initiate, Working Memory, Plan/Organize, Organization of Materials, Monitor), two composite scales (Behavioral Regulation Index, BRI and Metacognition Index, MI) and an overall summary score referred as Global Executive Composite (GEC) of the BRIEF questionnaire (table 3). In asthmatic children and controls the score of the SRBD scale was correlated with the T scores of the two composite scales (BRI and MI) and the Global Executive Composite (GEC) (table 4).

4. Discussion

Children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma under the care of private general paediatricians had no statistically significant differences regarding subjective SDB compared to healthy controls. Also there were no statistical differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding behavioral correlates of executive function during everyday life.

Contrary to our findings, the core of the literature points towards a link between asthma and SDB. Brockmann et al in a systematic review published in 2014 reported a significant association between asthma and SDB in children; they concluded that children with asthma had a significantly higher risk for SDB (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.7 - 2.2). When only studies that used polysomnography were included in this meta-analysis, the overall OR was 1.49(95%CI 1.04 - 2.13). We believe that our findings could be explained by the fact that the asthmatic children in our sample belong to the milder end of the spectrum of this heterogeneous disease. Goldstein et al reported a “dose-dependent” effect of asthma as children with more severe asthma in their study had increased odds ratio for snoring and a positive PSQ16. Also the majority of studies in
Brockmann et al’s aforementioned systematic review come from hospital settings signifying asthma of increased severity compared to our study. Additionally, the fact that families in the present study afforded private paediatric care may have introduced bias due to higher socioeconomic status. An alternative explanation could be that of questioning the diagnosis of asthma in our asthmatic group (“diagnostic transfer”, i.e. overdiagnosis of asthma). This cannot be ruled out since we have had no spirometric data; however, we believe it is unlikely given that confirmation of wheezing by the paediatrician was a prerequisite for making the diagnosis of asthma and that children in the control group had no history of wheezing.

There are only 3 papers in the literature with similar findings. Ramagopal et al reported that a parentally reported history of asthma decreased the likelihood of polysomnographically diagnosed OSA. The authors speculated that their findings could be attributed to referral bias (parents of asthmatic children being more vigilant to nocturnal symptoms). Urschitz et al reported that history of asthma was not a risk factor for habitual snoring. Finally, in a recent retrospective study from Italy, Zaffanello et al reported that children with wheeze/asthma and unaffected ones had a similar obstructive apnoea-hypopnoea index) and oxygen desaturation index. In the same study asthmatics had longer total sleep times (9.1 ± 1.1 hours in asthmatics versus 8.7± 0.9 in controls, $p =0.02$) similar to our findings. We cannot provide a biologically plausible explanation for this finding.

In our study there were no statistical differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding the BRIEF scales of executive function performance. This is in contrast to the findings of the only study that has used this questionnaire to evaluate behavioral correlates of executive function in asthmatic children. Fryt et al found more parentally reported difficulties in asthmatic children compared to controls regarding their emotional control, holding information in their working memory and initiating everyday activities. All asthmatics in Fryt’s study were on ICS compared to only half of the participants in our study; therefore this discrepancy could be attributed to different disease severity, i.e. milder asthma in our sample. BRIEF questionnaire has been used to investigate impact of SDB on executive function in children with chronic kidney disease and type 1 diabetes mellitus. The lower executive function performance in patients with these chronic conditions could be in part attributed to sleep-related disorders. Given that in the present study there were no statistically significant differences between asthmatic children and
controls regarding the scores of PSQ scales it is no surprise that there was no impact on executive function. In the same direction, SRBD score was correlated with the T scores of BRI, MI and GEC in both asthmatics and controls. Our findings are compatible with those of a large American study that showed that mild and moderate asthma symptoms were not related to neurocognitive functioning\textsuperscript{24}. Along the same lines, Milton et al meta-analyzing studies of academic achievement found that children with asthma performed as well as their healthy peers\textsuperscript{25}.

5. Limitations and strengths

The results of the present study should be interpreted in the light of potential limitations and strengths. One limitation of the study is the relatively small population sample. Our asthmatic children were on the mild end of the asthma spectrum and there were no data regarding asthma control, medication adherence or pulmonary function. However, this mirrors the pragmatic situation of paediatric asthma follow up in the community by generalists. Ideally, polysomnographic data should have been used to evaluate sleep disorders, given that polysomnography is the gold standard for diagnosing obstructive sleep apnoea. Unfortunately, there is no organized paediatric sleep laboratory in our area; this is a common limitation of studies in this field\textsuperscript{15}. However, in the present study we used the PSQ that includes scales validated against formal sleep studies. PSQ was administered once only possibly introducing a recall bias. The evaluation of both sleep-related disorders and executive functioning relied on parental report with its potential biases (mono-informant bias or common rater effect, using the same informant to determine both sleep and executive function problems may inflate correlations due to item overlap)\textsuperscript{23}. In future studies it would be worth adding data on executive function from questionnaires filled in by teachers and study participants themselves\textsuperscript{22}. Furthermore, inclusion of formal executive function tests would be appropriate in future studies. Despite these limitations, our findings may add data to under-investigated fields. Strengths of this study include its prospective nature, the inclusion of a control group and physician diagnosis of asthma (in contrast to self reporting of asthma symptoms). Inclusion and exclusion criteria were clear and the sleep questionnaire that we have used has been recently validated in asthmatic children\textsuperscript{12}. This is only the second study using BRIEF to evaluate behavioral correlates of executive function in asthmatic children. The present study has considerable more participants than the first study\textsuperscript{22} and is one of the very few studies on children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma receiving care away
from specialized hospital settings.

6. Conclusions

In children with intermittent or mild persistent asthma under the care of private general paediatricians there were no statistically significant differences regarding subjective SDB compared to healthy controls. Also there were no statistical differences between asthmatic children and controls regarding behavioral correlates of executive function during everyday life.
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### Table 1. Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of children with bronchial asthma (BA) and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BA (N=76)</th>
<th>Control group (N=85)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>8.08±2.74</td>
<td>10.11±3.47</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>41 (53.9%)</td>
<td>37 (43.5%)</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height z score</td>
<td>0.8±1.29</td>
<td>1.08±1.06</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI z score</td>
<td>0.76±0.98</td>
<td>0.57±1.05</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Differences in Pediatric Sleep Questionnaire scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Scale</td>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRBD</td>
<td>0.21 ±0.15</td>
<td>0.18±0.12</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snoring</td>
<td>0.09±0.19</td>
<td>0.06±0.16</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restless leg syndrome</td>
<td>0.17±0.2</td>
<td>0.13±0.18</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRIEF scale</td>
<td>BA (mean±SD)</td>
<td>Controls (mean±SD)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibit T score</td>
<td>49.32±7.70</td>
<td>50.03±9.06</td>
<td>0.614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shift T score</td>
<td>49.5±9.81</td>
<td>49.51±9.37</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional control T score</td>
<td>50.88±10.24</td>
<td>51.30±10.91</td>
<td>0.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRI T score</td>
<td>49.91±8.15</td>
<td>50.41±10.33</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate T score</td>
<td>51.73±8.96</td>
<td>51.26±10.47</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working memory T score</td>
<td>47.29±8.33</td>
<td>47.96±8.24</td>
<td>0.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan/organize T score</td>
<td>46.85±10.02</td>
<td>49.33±9.53</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org. of material T score</td>
<td>49.67±9.49</td>
<td>48.13±9.07</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor T score</td>
<td>47.30±10.33</td>
<td>49.78±9.85</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) scales scores in patients and controls.
Table 4. Correlations between SRBD scale and Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRI T score</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI T score</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEC T score</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BA, Bronchial asthma; BRI, Behavioral Regulation Index; GEC, Global Executive Composite; MI, Metacognition Index.
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