THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

ESC Study Group on Cardiac Biomarkers of the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care

Citation for published version:

Jaffe, AS, Lindahl, B, Giannitsis, E, Mueller, C, Cullen, LA, Hammarsten, O, Moeckel, M, Mair, J, Krychtiuk, KA, Huber, K, Mills, NL & Thygesen, K 2021, 'ESC Study Group on Cardiac Biomarkers of the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care: A fond farewell at the retirement of CKMB', *European Heart Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa1079>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa1079](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa1079)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

European Heart Journal

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A Fond Farewell to CK-MB

Current opinion from the ESC Study Group on Cardiac Biomarkers of the Association for Acute CardioVascular Care

Allan S. Jaffe¹, Bertil Lindahl², Evangelos Giannitsis³, Christian Mueller⁴, Louise Cullen⁵, Ola Hammarsten⁶, Martin Moeckel⁷, Johannes Mair⁸, Konstantin A Krychtiuk⁹, Kurt Huber¹⁰, Nicholas L Mills¹¹, and Kristian Thygesen¹²

- 1 Departments of Cardiology and Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota U.S.A.
- 2 Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University and Uppsala Clinical Research Center, Uppsala University, Sweden
- 3 Medizinische Klinik III, Department of Cardiology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany;
- 4 Department of Cardiology and Cardiovascular Research Institute Basel, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland
- 5 Emergency and Trauma Center, Royal Brisbane and Women Hospital, University of Queensland, Australia
- 6 Department of Clinical Chemistry and Transfusion Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
- 7 Division of Emergency Medicine and Department of Cardiology, Charite-Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- 8 Department of Internal Medicine III – Cardiology and Angiology, Heart Center, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria
- 9 Department of Internal Medicine II Medical University of Vienna
- 10 Department of Medicine, Cardiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Wilhelminen Hospital, and Sigmund Freud University Medical School, Vienna, Austria
- 11 University/BHF Centre for Cardiovascular Science and Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
- 12 Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

Word count – 2506 with references and figure legends

Correspondence to
Allan S. Jaffe MD
Department of Cardiology
Mayo Clinic
200 First St SW.
Rochester, Minnesota 55905
Phone – 507-284-1648
Fax -507-266-0228
E mail – jaffe.allan@Mayo.edu

Conflicts of Interest

1. Dr. Jaffe has in the past or presently consults for most of the major diagnostic companies
2. None
3. Dr. Giannitsis has received speaker honoraria from AstraZeneca, Roche Diagnostics, Bayer Vital, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo. He has received research funding from Brahms Thermo Fisher, Daiichi Sankyo, Roche Diagnostics. He is a consultant for Brahms Thermo Fisher, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Bayer Vital.
4. Dr. Mueller has received research support/grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation, the Swiss Heart Foundation, the KTI, the Cardiovascular Research Foundation Basel, the University Hospital Basel, the University of Basel, Abbott, Beckman Coulter, BRAHMS, Ortho Clinical, Quidel, Roche, Siemens, Singulex, Somalogic, and Sphingotec, as well as speaker/consulting honoraria from Acon, Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, Osler, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi.
5. Dr. Cullen has received research support/grants from the Abbott, Beckman Coulter and Siemens, as well as speaker/consulting honoraria from Abbott, Astra Zeneca, Osler and Siemens.
6. None
7. None
8. None
9. None
10. None
11. Dr. Mills is supported by the Butler Senior Clinical Research Fellowship (FS/16/14/32023) from the British Heart Foundation. The University of Edinburgh has received research grants from Abbott Diagnostics and Siemens Healthineers, and Dr Mills has received honoraria from Abbott Diagnostics, Roche Diagnostics, Siemens Healthineers, and LumiraDx.
12. none

Transitions in medicine often are difficult especially when they involve diagnostic methods that are used widely. Clinicians may find some of the new tools hard to understand especially when they are comfortable with the approaches in use. This may particularly be the case with laboratory testing which often has far reaching consequences. The graphic of the changes in the biomarkers used to diagnose acute myocardial infarction (MI) over time is provided in figure 1. The problems associated with incorporating new testing into clinical paradigms may reflect in part the fact that important elements of the analytics of laboratory testing are less emphasized during medical school and clinical training than they were in the past. In addition, there is a need for closer communication between laboratory professional and diagnosticians so that the technical and analytical advances with the testing and application of novel biomarkers are better appreciated.

The declining use of the muscle/brain (MB) isoenzyme of creatine kinase (CK) is a good example. Initially, the use of CKMB was a major advance in the ability to detect acute myocardial injury because it was more sensitive and specific than other markers that had been applied such as lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT or AST 3) and total CK.¹ Originally it appeared that CKMB activity might be highly specific for injury of myocytes in the myocardium.¹ This was a function of the way in which CKMB activity was measured because in essence detection depended on CKMB being present as a high percentage of total CK activity. Otherwise it might be missed (Appendix 1). This problem was appreciated by those in the laboratory but was only unmasked for clinicians when CKMB mass concentration assays were developed, which were more sensitive.² It then became clear that increases of CKMB values were common especially after non cardiac surgeries where skeletal muscle was injured,¹ trauma,¹ exercise,¹ or with renal failure.¹ These increases were especially

large when chronic skeletal muscle disease was present because damage to skeletal muscle results in a return to embryological patterns of isoforms and re-expression of the B chain of CK as part of the reparative process.³ Because myocardium has a higher proportion of CKMB than most skeletal muscle,¹ there were a variety of attempts to use the percentage of CK-MB relative to the absolute amount of total CK to distinguish cardiac release from skeletal muscle release.¹ This approach worked reasonably well when only cardiac injury or only skeletal muscle injury was present. However, when there was conjoint skeletal muscle and myocardial injury, the percentage of CKMB elevation was lowered by the high levels of total CK and thus, the sensitivity of CKMB was lost.³ This imperfection was clearly shown early on with the use of cardiac troponin (cTn) assays.⁴ In addition, spurious increases in CKMB values were detected in patients with renal failure, hemolysis, hyperbilirubinemia,¹ and in case of circulating macrokinases, i.e., immunoglobulins linked to CK.¹ In addition, there could be cross reactivity with the tags used for detection in the mass assays.¹

Many clinicians were pleased with that state of the art. The fact that there were elevations of CKMB not due to cardiac abnormalities allowed them flexibility to determine which increases they would view seriously and which ones they could reasonably ignore. In the hands of good clinicians, this approach probably worked fairly well although there likely were times when important diagnoses were missed even by astute clinicians. But problems occurred when there was conjoint skeletal muscle and cardiac injury became more common when CKMB was used to screen patients in the Emergency Department (ED) and after surgical procedures. Finally, a time lag of up to 4 hours and sometimes even more from the onset of chest pain to the detection of increasing concentrations of CK and CKMB in plasma limited the possibility of a rapid diagnosis

of acute myocardial infarction (AMI).⁵ This has obviously be remedied with the use of high sensitivity cardiac troponin (cTn) assays.⁶

The use of CKMB diagnostically has diminished as a result of these problems and the development of cTn assays which are more sensitive and specific. Many now question whether there is still any role for CKMB at all.⁷ Thus, in many places, the use of CKMB has been obsoleted. However, some clinicians particularly invasive cardiologists remain faithful to CKMB because it is less sensitive and fluctuates less despite its many analytical confounds. Although, one can analytical problems with cTn, they are infrequent⁷. In addition, the release of cTn is highly specific and very sensitive for myocardial injury/necrosis and thus cTn has become the biomarker of choice for diagnosing and risk stratifying AMI.⁸ Moreover, increases in CK-MB not accompanied by increases in cTn values have been found not to be associated with adverse prognostic affects.⁹ For that reason, the use of CKMB has no role in this setting as stated in the most recent ESC guidelines⁷.

Some clinicians might argue there are other reasons where the measurement of CKMB might be useful. The original ESC/ACC redefinition of MI document that over time became the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (UDMI) included the use of CKMB for detection of possible reinfarction.¹⁰ At that time, there were sparse data about this area and given that cTn increases persisted for days or weeks it was hypothesized that a marker that disappeared more quickly such as CKMB might be helpful. However, later it was shown that this hypothesis was incorrect. In fact, diagnostically important re-elevations of cTn values despite an elevated level of cTn was easy to observe.¹¹ In contrast when CKMB was increased, it can be difficult to see a changing pattern.

An additional area of controversy that persists even to this day is related to the desire of some interventionalists to use CKMB in the periprocedural period.¹² The initial reports in this area suggested that increases in CKMB were associated with complications related to the procedures that were done.¹² Their prognostic significance was not thought to be of importance. This changed when the studies began to include patients who had acute MI.¹³ At that time, it was argued that CKMB elevations after PCI imparted similar prognostic significance as that seen prior to the PCI.¹⁴ Most importantly, the data seemed to suggest that despite the fact that even though the procedures were uncomplicated, the increases of CKMB were due to PCI. Subsequently, it was appreciated that CKMB was rising slowly, although it was still within the normal range in the majority of patients. This was hard to appreciate because of the lack of sensitivity of CKMB testing but when one sees other markers that are more sensitive like cTn rising, it is clear that CKMB likely is rising as well.¹⁵ Consequently, the assumption that the adverse prognosis associated with this additional myocardial injury was due to the procedure itself was understandable but likely erroneous. Indeed, it is likely that in the vast majority of cases the poor outcomes in those with periprocedural increases were due to the original insult that led the patient to be admitted to hospital. In more elective situations, where the biomarkers are not rising prior to the procedure, an increased cTn value is associated with more extensive and more complex anatomy,¹⁶ often not detected with CKMB. In both situations, whether the values are increasing or are simply elevated, including the baseline sample in the evaluation ablates the prognostic impact of post PCI increases regardless of the biomarker used for detection.¹⁷ Some clinicians have been reluctant to embrace this reality and the response has been to use cTn at higher than normal values as a baseline or to use CKMB.¹² Neither approach is desirable or helpful. This does not mean that important degrees of myocardial injury do not

occur with procedures, only that most of the important prognostic information is contained in the baseline sample. It is for that reason that the UDMI has insisted on showing a normal cTn value or at least one that is stable prior to the procedure before one can attempt to define a significant procedural-related MI.¹⁸ When the baseline cTn value is normal, increases of cardiac biomarkers post-procedurally are of prognostic significance whether with CKMB or with cTn.^{12,16,17}

Similar claims have been made when dealing with postoperative cardiac surgical settings. More marked increases in CK-MB and cTn are associated with a worse prognosis although cTn is a more robust predictor of mortality than CKMB.¹⁹ Thus, cTnI had the strongest association with 5-year mortality compared with CKMB in a large study of CABG patients.¹⁹

Finally, there are issues of cost. In a recent ED analysis, by nearly eliminating CKMB ordering lowered costs by \$47,000 per year.²⁰ Not included was personnel time nor charges to the health care systems. None of the 17 patients with increased CKMB and normal cTn had events. Given, its clinical efficacy is poor to non-existent and cost is significant, it is hard to understand the persistence of this marker in the armamentarium of laboratories.

Given the above (see table 1), it is hard to define clinical scenarios where CK-MB is helpful. It adds cost but not clinical benefit.^{8,10,20} In addition, it may keep clinicians from learning how to use cTn for these clinical scenarios. Furthermore, the advent of high-sensitivity cTn assays has increased the ability to detect myocardial injury at an even earlier phase permitting rapid rule-in of AMI⁶ and so CKMB is no longer needed. Therefore, the ESC Biomarker Study Group of the Association for Acute Cardiovascular Care suggests that CK-MB be eliminated from the menu of biomarkers available for use in the evaluation of patients with cardiovascular disease.

References

1. Jaffe AS. Biochemical detection of acute myocardial infarction. In: Acute Myocardial Infarction. Gersh and Rahimtoola, editors. Elsevier Co., New York, NY, 1990; p110-127.
2. Vaidya HC, Maynard Y, Dietzler D, Ladenson JH. Direct measurement of creatine kinase-MB activity in serum after extraction with a monoclonal antibody specific to the MB isoenzyme. Clin Chem 1986; **32**:657-663.
3. Larca LJ, Coppola JT, Honig S. Creatine kinase MB isoenzyme in dermatomyositis: A noncardiac source. Ann Int Med 1981;**94**:341-343.
4. Adams JE III, Bodor GS, Davila-Roman VG, Delmez JA, Apple FS, Ladenson JH, Jaffe AS. Cardiac troponin I: A marker with high specificity for cardiac injury. Circulation 1993;**88**:101-106.
5. Hørder M, Petersen PH, Thygesen K, Nielsen BL. Plasma enzymes in myocardial infarction. An appraisal of quantitative, clinical and pathophysiological information. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 1981;**41**:41-47.
6. Collet JP; Thiele H; Barbato E; Barthelémy O; Bauersachs J; Bhatt DL; Dendale P; Dorobantu M; Edvardsen T; Folliguet T; Gale CP; Gilard M; Jobs A; Juni P; Lambrinou E; Lewis BS; Mehilli J; Meliga E; Merkely B; Mueller C; Roffi M; Rutten FH; Sibbing D; Siontis GCM; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. Eur Heart J (2020)00,179 ESC GUIDELINES doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa575.
7. Saenger AK, Jaffe AS. Requiem for a heavyweight: The demise of creatine kinase-MB. Circulation 2008;**118**:2200-2206.

8. Thygesen K, Mair J, Katus H, Plebani M, Venge P, Collinson P, Lindahl B, Giannitsis E, Hasin Y, Galvani M, Tubaro M, Alpert JS, Biasucci LM, Koenig W, Mueller C, Huber K, Hamm C, Jaffe AS; Study Group on Biomarkers in Cardiology of the ESC Working Group on Acute Cardiac Care. Recommendations for the use of cardiac troponin measurement in acute cardiac care. *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**:2197-2204.
9. Goodman SG, Steg PG, Eagle KA, Fox KA, López-Sendón J, Montalescot G, Budaj A, Kennelly BM, Gore JM, Allegro J, Granger CB, Gurfinkel EP; GRACE Investigators. The diagnostic and prognostic impact of the redefinition of acute myocardial infarction: Lessons from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). *Am Heart J* 2006;**151**:654-660.
10. The Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee. Myocardial infarction redefined — a consensus document of the Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2000;**21**:1502-1513.
11. Apple FS, Murakami MM. Cardiac troponin and creatine kinase MB monitoring during in-hospital myocardial reinfarction. *Clin Chem* 2005;**51**:460-463.
12. Jaffe AS, Apple FS, Lindahl B, Mueller C, Katus HA. Why all the struggle about CK-MB and PCI? *Eur Heart J* 2012;**33**:1046-1048.
13. Califf RM, Abdelmeguid AE, Kuntz RE, Popma JJ, Davidson CJ, Cohen EA, Kleiman NS, Mahaffey KW, Topol EJ, Pepine CJ, Lipicky RJ, Granger CB, Harrington RA, Tardiff BE, Crenshaw BS, Bauman RP, Zuckerman BD, Chaitman BR, Bittl JA, Ohman M. Myonecrosis after revascularization procedures. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998;**31**:241–251.

14. Simoons ML, van den Brand M, Lincoff M, Harrington R, van der Wieken R, Vahanian A, Rutsch W, Kootstra J, Boersma E, Califf RM, Topol E. Minimal myocardial damage during coronary intervention is associated with impaired outcome. *Eur Heart J* 1999;**20**:1112–1119.
15. Miller WL, Garratt KN, Burritt MF, Lennon RJ, Reeder GS, Jaffe AS. Baseline troponin level: Key to understanding the importance of post-PCI troponin elevations. *Eur Heart J* 2006; **27**:1061-1069.
16. Jeremias A, Kleiman NS, Nassif D, Hsieh WH, Pencina M, Maresh K, Parikh M, Cutlip DE, Waksman R, Goldberg S, Berger PB, Cohen DJ. Evaluation of Drug Eluting Stents and Ischemic Events (EVENT) Registry Investigators. Prevalence and prognostic significance of preprocedural cardiac troponin elevation among patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: Results from the evaluation of drug eluting stents and ischemic events registry. *Circulation* 2008;**118**:632–638.
17. Silvain J, Zeitouni M, Paradies V, Zheng HL, Ndrepepa G, Cavallini C, Feldman D.N, Sharma S.K, Mehilli J, Gili S, Barbato E, Tarantini G, Ooi SY, von Birgelen C, Jaffe AS, Thygesen K, Montalescot G, Bulluck H, Hausenloy DJ. Cardiac procedural myocardial injury, infarction and mortality in patients undergoing elective PCI: a pooled analysis of patient-level data. *EHJ*, in press.

18. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, White HD; Executive Group on behalf of the Joint European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA)/World Heart Federation (WHF) Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *Eur Heart J* 2019;**40**:237-269.
19. Muehlschlegel JD, Perry TE, Liu KY, Nascimben L, Fox AA, Collard CD, Avery EG, Aranki SF, D'Ambra MN, Sherman SK, Body SC; CABG Genomics Investigators. Troponin is superior to electrocardiogram and creatinine kinase MB for predicting clinically significant myocardial injury after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Eur Heart J* 2009;**30**:1574-1583).
20. Le, RD, Kosowsky JM, Landman AB, Bixho I, Melanson SEF, Tanasijevic MJ. Clinical and financial impact of removing creatine kinase-MB from the routine testing menu in the emergency setting. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2015;**33**:72-75.

Figure legends

1. Timing of the initiation of the use of various biomarkers and the definition of MI. Data predicated on the timing of publications. Full references can be found in Appendix 2.

Appendix 1 Electrophoretic separations for CKMB activity

In order to measure CKMB activity assessed by international units per liter (IU/L), the biochemical substrate conditions need to be optimized to make sure that reagents used in the assay are not depleted because of high CK activity. This meant that the total CK activity of the sample was diluted so it was no more than 300 IU/L. Then, the sample was run on an electrophoretic system a sensitivity of somewhere between 5 and 10 IU/L. If CKMB was not detected, the sample was viewed incorrectly as having no CKMB. For example, if the sample had been diluted tenfold from the value of 3000 IU/L and the true value was 4 IU/L (below the sensitivity of the electrophoretic separation), then one would have had an absolute value of 40 IU that was missed.

References

Roberts R, Henry PD, Witteveen SAGT et al. Quantification of serum creatine phosphokinase isoenzyme activity. *Am J Cardiol* 1974;33:650-654.

Morin LG. Evaluation of current methods for creatine kinase isoenzyme fractionation. *Clin Chem* 1977; 23:305-210.

Appendix 2 – Full References for figure 1.

1. Ladue JS; Wroblewski F; Karmen A. Serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase activity in human acute transmural myocardial infarction. *Science* 1954; 120:497-9.
2. Karmen A; Wroblewski F; Ladue JS. Transaminase activity in human blood. *J Clin Invest.* 1955;34:126-31.
3. Anonymous. HYPERTENSION and coronary heart disease: classification and criteria for epidemiological studies. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser.* 1959; 58:1-28.
4. Rosalki SB. Creatine phosphokinase isoenzymes. *Nature* 1965; 207:414.
5. Roberts R, Gowda KS, Ludbrook PA, Sobel BE. Specificity of elevated serum MB creatine phosphokinase activity in the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1975; 36:433-438.

6. Katus HA, Hurrell JG, Matsueda GR, Ehrlich P, Zurawski VR, Khaw BA, Haber E. Increased specificity in human cardiac-myosin radioimmunoassay utilizing two monoclonal antibodies in a double sandwich assay. *Mol Immunol* 1982; 19:451-5.
7. Katus HA, Yasuda T, Gold HK, Leinbach RC, Strauss HW, Waksmonski C, Haber E, Khaw BA. Diagnosis of myocardial infarction by detection of circulating cardiac myosin light chains. *Am J Cardiol.* 1984; 54: 964-70.
8. Vaidya HC, Maynard Y, Dietzler DN, Ladenson JH. Direct measurement of creatine kinase-MB activity in serum after extraction with a monoclonal antibody specific to the MB isoenzyme. *Clin Chem* 1986; 32:657-662.
9. Cummins B, Auckland ML, Cummins P. Cardiac-specific troponin-I radioimmunoassay in the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J* 1987; 113:1333-1344.
10. Katus HA; Remppis A; Looser S; Hallermeier K; Scheffold T; Kubler W. Enzyme linked immuno assay of cardiac troponin T for the detection of acute myocardial infarction in patients. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 1989; 21:1349-53.
11. Bodor GS, Porter S, Landt Y, Ladenson JH. Development of monoclonal antibodies for an assay of cardiac troponin-I and preliminary results in suspected cases of myocardial infarction. *Clin Chem* 1992; 38:2203-2214.
12. Chambless L; Keil U; Dobson A; Mahonen M; Kuulasmaa K; Rajakangas AM; Lowel H; Tunstall-Pedoe H. Population Versus Clinical View of Case Fatality From Acute Coronary Heart Disease: Results From the WHO MONICA Project 1985-1990. *Circulation* 1997; 96:3849-59.
13. The Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee. Myocardial infarction redefined — a consensus document of the Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2000;21:1502-1513.
14. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Jaffe AS, on behalf of the Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the redefinition of myocardial infarction Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *EHJ* 2007; 28:2525-2538.
15. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD; the Writing Group on behalf on the Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction Third universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2012; 33:2551-2567.
16. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, White HD; Executive Group on behalf of the Joint European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA)/World Heart Federation (WHF) Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *Eur Heart J* 2019;40:237-269.