

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Breakdown of deterministic lateral displacement efficiency for non-dilute suspensions: a numerical study

Citation for published version:

Vernekar, R & Krueger, T 2015, 'Breakdown of deterministic lateral displacement efficiency for non-dilute suspensions: a numerical study', *Medical Engineering and Physics*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 845-854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2015.06.004

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.medengphy.2015.06.004

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Medical Engineering and Physics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Breakdown of deterministic lateral displacement efficiency for non-dilute suspensions: a numerical study $\stackrel{k}{\approx}$

R. Vernekar, T. Krüger*

5 School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FB, United Kingdom

1

2

3

4

 $Preprint\ submitted\ to\ Elsevier$

 $^{^{\}diamond}7139$ words

^{*}Corresponding author, email: timm.krueger@ed.ac.uk, phone: +44 131 650 5679, fax: +44 131 650 6554

Breakdown of deterministic lateral displacement efficiency for non-dilute suspensions: a numerical study $\stackrel{\Leftrightarrow}{\Rightarrow}$

R. Vernekar, T. Krüger*

10 School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FB, United Kingdom

11 Abstract

We investigate the effect of particle volume fraction on the efficiency of deterministic lateral displacement (DLD) devices. DLD is a popular passive sorting technique for microfluidic applications. Yet, it has been designed for treating dilute suspensions, and its efficiency for denser samples is not well known. We perform 3D simulations based on the immersed-boundary, lattice-Boltzmann and finite-element methods to model the flow of red blood cells (RBCs) in different DLD devices. We quantify the DLD efficiency in terms of appropriate "failure" probabilities and RBC counts in designated device outlets. Our main result is that the displacement mode breaks down upon an increase of RBC volume fraction, while the zigzag mode remains relatively robust. This suggests that the separation of larger particles (such as white blood cells) from a dense RBC background is simpler than separating smaller particles (such as platelets) from the same background. The observed breakdown stems from non-deterministic particle collisions interfering with the designed deterministic nature of DLD devices. Therefore, we postulate that dense suspension effects generally hamper efficient particle separation in devices based on deterministic principles.

- ¹² Keywords: Deterministic lateral displacement, Blood cell separation,
- 13 Simulation, Haematocrit, Microfluidics

14 1. Introduction

Separation of cellular blood components is an important step in clinical diagnosis of diseases, such as malaria [1], as well as in medical research focusing on phenotype and/or genotype of the various subtypes of blood cells. The tra-

 $^{^{\}circ}7139$ words

 $_{Preprint sponding author, email: timm.krueger@ed.ac.uk, phone: +44 131 659,5679, fax: +44 131 650 6554$

ditional blood separation methods employed for clinical tests typically involve 18 large sample volumes and often costly specialist equipment [1]. The advent of 19 microfluidic separation techniques for biological cells has opened up the possibil-20 ity of replacement of traditional blood tests with lab-on-chip diagnostics [2, 3]. 21 By scaling the separation process down to the cellular length scale one can re-22 duce the sample volume and the time required for the tests. Furthermore, these 23 microfluidic separation techniques lend easily toward downstream integration 24 for analysis and diagnosis of cell populations of interest. 25

Deterministic lateral displacement (DLD) is a high-resolution and relatively 26 straightforward size-based microfluidic separation technique first applied to the 27 separation of hard polystyrene beads [4]. It has the advantage of being label-28 free, relying solely on the device geometry without need for additional external 29 forces to achieve separation (passive separation). For this reason, it has been 30 put to use in diverse separation applications, such as parasites from human 31 blood [5], polystyrene micro-beads [6], purification of fungal spores [7], sepa-32 ration of epithelial cells from fibroblast cells [8], fractionation of human whole 33 blood [9], removal of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) from blood [10] and mi-34 crofluidic droplet fractionation [11]. For a given geometry, a DLD device has two 35 operation modes: "displacement", for larger particles, and "zigzag", for smaller 36 particles as presented in section 3. Since DLD relies on *deterministic* interactions 37 between micro-obstacles and sample particles as they flow through the device, 38 DLD devices are designed to operate under dilute conditions. In this regime, the interaction of particles and obstacles is not much affected by particle-particle 40 collisions so that particles can follow deterministic paths in the device. 41

In recent years, the DLD technique has received much attention in the area 42 of human blood cell separation. In a previous work, the use of DLD has been 43 demostrated for fractionating all cellular components of human blood [9, 12]. 44 With cell size as the sole criterion, DLD devices have been applied for separation 45 of leukocytes from blood [9, 13, 14], red blood cells (RBCs) from blood [9, 13, 15], 46 platelets from blood [9, 16] and even plasma from whole blood [9]. Furthermore, 47 the use of DLD for deformability-dependent blood cell separation [17, 18] and 48 fingerprinting [19, 18] has been demonstrated. In addition to fractionating blood 49 constituents, external pathogens such as Trypanosomes have been separated 50

⁵¹ from human blood using DLD [5].

Having achieved passive, label-free separation, those previous works show 52 much promise for integration of the DLD principle in point-of-care blood diag-53 nostic devices or even *in vivo* use in human vasculature implants. However, to 54 the best of our knowledge, all the experiments use a diluted sample of blood. 55 The dilution is carried out either in the blood sample preparatory stage and/or 56 by use of buffer streams in the device. The blood cells are therefore always at 57 a lower volume fraction than their natural haematocrit value. Higher volume 58 fractions close to the physiological haematocrit value of $\sim 40-45\%$ are desirable, 59 though. This would mean smaller sampling volumes, potentially faster results 60 and minimum pre-treatment of the blood sample. These factors become espe-61 cially important when the species to be isolated (e.g. pathogens) are sparse and 62 sample dilution would further reduce their already small concentration. 63

The performance of a DLD device at higher haematocrit values remains an 64 open question and is a key requirement to get this technology into medical 65 practice. A few authors have only briefly looked at this matter and report that 66 device clogging and separation breakdown due to cell deformability and stiction 67 to device surfaces are some of the concerns [14, 9]. To address this question, 68 we require consideration from device manufacture as well as design aspects. 69 Going for an experimental evaluation of the DLD design is time-consuming and 70 expensive due to the large number of different devices required. At this point, 71 simulations of cellular flow through DLD devices can reduce the workload since 72 they allow for simple parameter variations. Furthermore they provide unique 73 insight into the deformation of cells, the resulting complex flow fields and the 74 effect that these and other parameters (such as haematocrit) have on the device 75 efficiency. 76

In this paper, we examine the effect of RBC volume fraction on the DLD performance using 3D computer simulations based on the immersed-boundary, lattice-Boltzmann and finite-element methods (section 2). RBCs are the most abundant cellular blood components (about 98%) and therefore dominate the blood rheology. Specifically, we analyse how the zigzag and displacement modes are affected by an increased number density of RBCs in the device. We quantify the performance of these modes by defining appropriate failure probabilities.

One of our main results (section 4) is that the zigzag mode is relatively 84 robust. The displacement mode, however, is strongly affected by even moderate 85 volume fractions (around 10-20%). We observe an eventual breakdown of the 86 displacement mode at high volume fractions, rendering nearly all RBCs moving 87 on zigzag-like trajectories. This leads us to believe that it would probably be 88 easier to separate a few larger cells from a dense RBC background than a few 89 smaller particles from the same background. Our results show that the failure 90 probabilities have a different character in both device operation modes. While 91 failure events in the zigzag mode can annihilate each other, displacement failures 92 always accumulate. This explains why the zigzag mode is relatively robust upon 93 haematocrit increase, while the displacement mode finally breaks down. 94

Our study provides valuable insight into the DLD behaviour at larger haema-95 tocrit, as summarised in section 5. The mechanism for the displacement break-96 down is the increasing importance of non-deterministic effects due to particle 97 collisions. Therefore, we expect those findings to apply to essentially all sep-98 aration mechanisms relying on deterministic sorting of dense suspensions of qq particles of any kind. The key question to make DLD devices more suitable for 100 denser suspensions is how to reduce the failure probability in the displacement 101 mode. We believe that our study provides impetus to further research in the 102 field. 103

¹⁰⁴ 2. Methods and geometry

The employed numerical methods (section 2.1) and geometry (section 2.2) are the same as in [17]. The major difference is the number of cells simulated simultaneously to vary the volume fraction (section 2.3).

108 2.1. Numerical methods

Several research groups have simulated RBC suspensions in the past years [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Here, we use the lattice-Boltzmann method (LBM) [27, 28] for the fluid, the finite-element method (FEM) [29, 30] for the RBC membrane and the immersed-boundary method (IBM) [31] for the fluid-membrane coupling.

We model the RBCs as biconcave closed membranes, meshed with 2,000 triangular surface elements. The RBCs have a radius of $r = 3.9 \,\mu\text{m}$ along their ¹¹⁶ major axis and a thickness of 2.4 µm. The elastic behaviour of the membrane ¹¹⁷ is specified entirely by its shear, area dilation and bending moduli $\kappa_{\rm s}$, κ_{α} and ¹¹⁸ $\kappa_{\rm b}$. We keep the dimensionless value of $\kappa_{\alpha} = 0.5$ and $\kappa_{\rm b}/(\kappa_{\rm s}r^2) = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ ¹¹⁹ constant, resulting in only one free parameter specifying the elastic behaviour ¹²⁰ of the RBCs. The dimensionless interior and exterior fluid viscosities are $\eta = \frac{5}{6}$ ¹²¹ and $\frac{1}{6}$, respectively.

All rigid boundaries (confining walls and obstacles of the DLD geometry) are modelled by the no-slip bounce-back method [32]. Membrane nodes experience a short-range repulsion near those boundaries and between each other to maintain a thin lubrication layer. We employ periodic boundary conditions along the yaxis and shifted boundary conditions along the x-axis to realise a finite row shift.

A constant force density mimicking a pressure gradient drives the flow along the x-axis. Additionally we impose a variable pressure gradient along the y-axis which counteracts any non-zero average flow along that axis. The reason for this measure is that in real DLD devices there are also confining walls in y-direction (we assume they are far away but existing). Due to the continuity equation and incompressibility condition, fluid cannot accumulate at those walls so that the average flow along the y-axis must vanish.

135 2.2. Geometry

¹³⁶ We choose a shallow DLD device, with a height of $H = 4.8 \,\mu\text{m}$. The large ¹³⁷ confinement along the z-axis forces the RBCs to align parallel with their major ¹³⁸ axis lying in the plane of the device (x-y-plane). This arrangement ensures that ¹³⁹ the RBCs are not susceptible to orientation-dependent separation [19]. The ¹⁴⁰ RBCs, however, remain deformable, which significantly influences their apparent ¹⁴¹ size [17] and consequently their trajectories.

In accordance with most of the experimental work involving blood cells in DLD devices, we use a cylindrical pillar design for the obstacles as shown in Fig. 1. The pillar radius is $R = 10 \,\mu\text{m}$, and the centre-to-centre distance is $\lambda = 32 \,\mu\text{m}$. This leaves a gap size of $G = 12 \,\mu\text{m}$ in between adjacent pillars. These device dimensions are kept invariant in all simulations. The only free geometrical parameter is the row shift d, which defines the dimensionless displacement parameter $\epsilon = d/\lambda$ and the angle $\alpha = \arctan \epsilon$.



Figure 1: Employed DLD geometry with pillar radius R, centre-to-centre distance λ and row shift d, which define the gap size G and shift angle α . Reprinted with permission from T. Krüger, D. Holmes, P.V. Coveney, Biomicrofluidics, Vol. 8, Page 054114, (2014). Copyright 2014, American Institute of Physics.

Table 1: Streamline separation distances s for selected row shift values d.

row shift	displacement parameter	separation distance
$d[\mu\mathrm{m}]$	ϵ	$s[\mu { m m}]$
2.0	5/80	1.2
4.4	11/80	2.1
6.0	15/80	2.7

We previously identified the streamline separation distances for the current DLD layout [17]. The distances obtained for the row shifts d used in the present work are collected in Table 1.

- 152 2.3. Simulations
- ¹⁵³ We use the capillary number

$$Ca = \frac{p'\ell r}{\kappa_{\rm s}} \tag{1}$$

as dimensionless measure for the RBC deformation in the ambient flow field. The length $\ell = \sqrt{GH}$ is the geometric average of the width and height of the gap between two neighbouring pillars, and p' is the pressure gradient along the x-axis. Inertial effects are negligible [17].

The simulation domain encloses a single pillar of the DLD device and consists of $80 \times 80 \times 12$ lattice units along the *x*-, *y*- and *z*-axes, respectively. This gives a lattice constant of $\Delta x = 0.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ and an undeformed RBC diameter of 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating only one pillar, we assume that the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δx . By simulating the entire device is 19.6 Δ

We previously mapped out the parameter space for deformability-based RBC separation [17]. As a result, we obtained a line in the *Ca-d* parameter space which separates regions with RBCs moving on zigzag or displaced trajectories. However, only a single RBC, *i.e.* the dilute limit, was considered. Here, we use the known parameter map as a guide to investigate the effect of RBC volume fraction on the separation efficiency.

The relevant simulation parameters to explore are d (device geometry), Ca171 (RBC deformability) and Ht (haematocrit, RBC volume fraction). We consider 172 five different Ht-values (8.0, 16.1, 32.2, 40.2 and 45.6%; the latter being close to 173 the physiological value in humans). Due to the periodic boundary conditions, we 174 achieve these volume fractions with particle counts between 3 and 17. All RBCs 175 in a simulation have the same elastic properties. The initial RBC positions and 176 orientations are chosen arbitrarily, only taking care to avoid overlap with walls 177 and other cells. All simulations with identical Ht share the same initial state. 178 For each considered volume fraction we have simulated three different row shifts 179 $(d = 2.0, 4.4 \text{ and } 6.0 \,\mu\text{m})$ and three different capillary numbers $(Ca = 0.2, 0.5 \,\mu\text{m})$ 180 and 1.0) by varying the shear modulus $\kappa_{\rm s}$. Therefore, we have run and analysed 181 $5 \times 3 \times 3 = 45$ simulations in total. The shear modulus for healthy RBCs is 182 $\kappa_{\rm s} = 5.3 \,\mu {\rm N/m}$. Thus, in order to achieve Ca between 0.2 and 1.0, we would 183 require real-world pressure gradients between 35 and 175 kPa/m. 184

185 3. DLD operation modes

A DLD device designed to separate cells (*e.g.* white blood cells or platelets) from an RBC background can be classified into two cases (operation modes): one where the RBCs move in the displacement mode and the other where they are expected to move in the zigzag mode. This is illustrated in Fig. 2. As we will demonstrate in the subsequent discussion, the behaviour of the cells in either mode is different from the other. In view of this fact, we briefly discuss the



Figure 2: Operation modes of a DLD device for particle separation from a background species (*e.g.* RBCs). In the displacement mode, the particles of the background species are forced on displaced trajectories (solid line) while the desired *smaller* particles are expected to move horizontally on average. In the zigzag mode, the device is designed such that particles of the background species move on zigzag trajectories (dashed line) while the desired *larger* particles move diagonally. The former mode may be used for separation of platelets from RBCs while the latter is usually employed for the separation of white blood cells from RBCs.

displacement and zigzag modes separately. In section 4, we will show how the
RBC volume fraction affects both operation modes.

• Zigzag mode: As the name suggests, in the zigzag mode a cell follows 194 a zigzag path through the device as it moves downstream (dashed line 195 in Fig. 2). In this setup a single cell with a diameter smaller than the 196 critical diameter travels along the flow streamlines, only moving around 197 the pillars in order to compensate for the row shift. Thus, we expect the 198 mean lateral displacement of the cell from its starting position to be zero 199 at the outlet of a well designed DLD device. In order to achieve zero net 200 displacement, a particle has to fall down to a lower lane (*i.e.* a diagonal 201 along the pillars) every $n = \lambda/d$ pillar encounters. This is nicely borne 202 out in Fig. 2 (dashed line). For example, for a row shift $d = 6.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ and a 203 centre-to-centre distance $\lambda = 32 \,\mu\text{m}$, we find $n = 32/6.0 \approx 5.3$. 204

• Displacement mode: In the displacement mode the cell diameter is 205 larger than the critical diameter. Therefore, the cell is laterally shifted 206 at each pillar, along the array inclination, as it flows downstream in the 207 device (solid line in Fig. 2). Ideally, a particle in the displacement mode 208 always remains in one single lane. In contrast to the zigzag mode, in this 209 setup a cell is forced to interact with a pillar at every subsequent column. 210 211 The expected lateral displacement is the product of the row shift d and the number N of pillars crossed. 212



Figure 3: Considered parameter space. We have simulated three different row shifts $(d = 2.0, 4.4, 6.0 \,\mu\text{m})$, each at three capillary numbers (Ca = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0). Based on the results from [17], we know that three of those data points lead to zigzag trajectories (circles) and the other six to displaced trajectories (triangles) in the dilute limit. The solid line indicates the separation of zigzag (above) and displaced trajectories (below), as identified in [17].

Zigzag is the natural state in a DLD device, displacement is not. On the one hand, fluid particles (*e.g.* water molecules) and other small particles always follow zigzag trajectories on average. Their mean lateral motion is not affected by the presence of the obstacles, as long as the pressure gradient is along the device horizontal. On the other hand, the displacement mode results from nonhydrodynamic volume exclusion effects forcing a sufficiently large particle to jump to another streamline at each obstacle.

Based on our previous analysis [17], we know that the following parameters lead to zigzag trajectories of a single RBC: $(d, Ca) = (4.4 \,\mu\text{m}, 1.0), (6.0 \,\mu\text{m}, 0.5)$ and $(6.0 \,\mu\text{m}, 1.0)$. All other simulated parameter combinations lead to displaced trajectories. These results are summarised in Fig. 3.

In order to analyse the performance of the DLD devices upon an increase in volume fraction, we define four imaginary outlets of equal width for collecting the RBCs after the 100th encountered pillar (N = 100). This arbitrary number is a compromise between the desired statistics and simulation runtime considerations. The outlet layout in relation to the device geometry is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Definition of the DLD outlets. We define four imaginary equisized outlets at $x = 100\lambda$ (O1–O4). O1 and O2 are supposed to collect zigzag (Z) cells, O3 and O4 displaced (D) cells. The total outlet width is $100d + 3\lambda$ ($d/\lambda = 0.1875$ for this figure). Note that we have extended the outlet width below the horizontal (dashed line) by 2λ since a few cells tend to be negatively displaced (Fig. 6). The solid diagonal line indicates the trajectory of an ideally displaced particle entering at the inlet (I) and staying in a single lane.

It is obvious that the total outlet width is different for different row shifts *d* at the 100th pillar. We track individual RBC trajectories and make sure that the simulations run until all cells have encountered at least 100 pillars (3.2 mm downstream distance).

We emphasise that the above outlet definition is convenient for our analysis, but it does not necessarily reflect the requirements of a typical experiment. Real devices may have fewer or more outlets or outlets with different sizes or outlets after a different number of pillars. This, however, does not make our observations and conclusions any less relevant.

238 4. Results and discussion

We present our numerical results with a particular focus on the effect of the volume fraction *Ht*. After discussing the RBC trajectories in section 4.1, we introduce and analyse the failure event probabilities of RBCs (section 4.2). Finally, we investigate the spatial distribution of RBCs in the outlets of the DLD device (section 4.3).

244 4.1. Cell trajectories

Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 depict the RBC trajectories in the DLD device. We show representative data for two different volume fractions: Ht = 8.0% (3 simulated cells) in Fig. 5 and Ht = 45.6% (17 simulated cells), which is close to the physiological value, in Fig. 6. The *x*- and *y*-axes are normalised by the pillar-topillar distance λ so that the label on the *x*-axis indicates the number of pillars



Figure 5: Cell trajectories (different red shades for individual cells) for Ht = 8.0%. The black solid line indicates the inclination of the DLD lanes. Expected operation modes are designated by D for displacement or Z for zigzag according to Fig. 3. Both axes are normalised by the pillar-to-pillar distance λ . Note that the x- and y-axes are not shown to scale.



Figure 6: Cell trajectories (different red shades for individual cells) for Ht = 45.6%. The dashed line indicates the device horizontal. See Fig. 5 for further explanations. Note that the x- and y-axes are not shown to scale.

passed by the cells. Both figures consist of a set of subfigures with different row shifts ($d = 2.0, 4.4, 6.0 \,\mu\text{m}$, varied row-wise) and different capillary numbers (Ca = 0.2, 1.0, varied column-wise). The expected operational mode (Z for zigzag or D for displacement, *cf.* Fig. 3) for a single RBC is marked in each subfigure. Small jumps along the *y*-axis indicate cells passing over and above a pillar. Sharp intermittent dips in negative *y*-direction show how cells pass below a pillar and fall down to another lane.

From Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 we see that, except for $d = 2.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ at Ht = 8.0%, 257 all RBCs fail to follow their designated trajectories: cells are neither in a pure 258 displacement nor a pure zigzag mode. At Ht = 45.6%, the cells display a large 259 scatter about their mean lateral position. Since cell scatter, which is caused 260 by cell collisions, is not deterministic, it is an indicator for a reduced DLD 261 predictability and efficiency. At the outlet $(x/\lambda = 100)$, the maximum cell 262 scatter is as large as $\approx 7\lambda$ for Ht = 45.6% (Fig. 6(c,e)), whereas for Ht = 8.0%263 the cell scatter is $\approx \lambda$ and therefore relatively small (Fig. 5). 264

An increase in volume fraction results in cells deviating from their expected 265 ideal mean trajectory that is followed by a single cell in the device under the 266 same conditions. A DLD operation mode can be termed "robust" if most of the 267 cells end up in their designated outlets and cellular separation can therefore be 268 achieved. We obseve that, in the zigzag mode, the mean outlet cell position 269 does not deviate more than $\approx 3\lambda$ from the initial position. Therefore, the zigzag 270 mode is relatively robust upon an increase of volume fraction. However, the 271 lateral mean position in displacement mode is far from the expected one and 272 varies with d and Ca. This means that the displacement mode is not robust. 273

Based on the phase space in Fig. 3, we expect the zigzag mode for Ht =45.6% with d = 4.4, 6.0 µm and Ca = 1.0 (Fig. 6(d,f)). Indeed we observe only relatively small undesired lateral cell displacements. On average, these cells travel along near horizontal trajectories and could be collected within the first device outlet. This leaves device outlets farther away from the horizontal free for collection of larger sized particles (*e.g.* white blood cells).

The situation is different for the expected displacement mode. In Fig. 6(a– c,e) we observe that the displacement mode deteriorates significantly with increased volume fraction. In fact, at Ht = 45.6%, the mean cell trajectory direction is close to the horizontal. This would make it difficult to separate smaller particles (*e.g.* platelets) from a background of dense RBCs.

285 4.2. Failure rates

We define a *failure* as an event when a cell takes a "wrong turn". For example, a failure in the displacement mode (displacement failure) means that a cell is not bumped up and changes the streamline but drops to a lower lane. Each failure is associated with a lateral displacement penalty. A displacement failure leads to a particle being located by λ lower than its expected lateral position, so $-\lambda$ is the lateral penalty for a single displacement failure.

The zigzag mode behaves differently because a particle on a zigzag trajectory experiences a number of displacement events followed by one zigzag event. Therefore, there are two different failure types in the zigzag mode: one where a cell is bumbed up once too often, the other when it falls to a lower lane once too often. In any case, each failure leads to an unexpected lateral cell displacement by about $\pm \lambda$, so the penalty is the same, up to its sign, for all failure modes.

The crucial point is that both zigzag failure modes tend to cancel each other 298 because they lead to an unexpected displacement in different directions. One 299 occurrence of the first failure mode compensates for one occurrence of the other 300 failure mode. This is completely different for the displacement mode where 301 cells can only drop to a lower lane, but we have never observed a cell jumping 302 an entire lane up. Therefore, displacement failures always accumulate while 303 zigzag failures can annihilate each other. This makes the displacement mode 304 susceptible to a breakdown when the failure rate increases. Obviously, as shown 305 in section 4.1, this happens for increasing volume fractions where cell collisions 306 lead to less deterministic behaviour. 307

Our observation suggests that the zigzag mode survives at higher volume fractions because both failure modes approximately cancel each other on average. However, individual cells still behave differently. Some experience more failures with a $+\lambda$ penalty, others more with a $-\lambda$ penalty. This leads to the lateral cell scatter in the trajectory plots (Fig. 6(d,f)).

313 4.2.1. Zigzag failure

In order to quantify the effect of the device parameters on the cell trajectories, we define failure probabilities. Let Δ_y be the lateral displacement error for a cell in the zigzag mode at the N^{th} column. This means that the cell is laterally displaced by Δ_y compared to its expected position. A cell travelling on its expected trajectory obviously yields $\Delta_y = 0$. For cells moving too far up (positive y-direction) we get $\Delta_y > 0$, for those moving too far down we have $\Delta_y < 0$.

Introducing failure probabilities $p_{f,Z}^+$ and $p_{f,Z}^-$ for both zigzag failure modes ($p_{f,Z}^+$ corresponds to an event where the cell is bumped above a pillar once too often, which leads to a positive lateral shift, while $p_{f,Z}^-$ indicates that the cell falls down to a lower lane once too often), we can write

$$\Delta_y = N\lambda \left(p_{\rm f,Z}^+ - p_{\rm f,Z}^- \right). \tag{2}$$

This equation means that a cell may experience a failure at each of the Ncolumns with probability $p_{f,Z}^{\pm}$. Each failure contributes with $\pm \lambda$ to the displacement Δ_y as discussed in section 4.1. Note that both zigzag failure modes tend to cancel each other, so only the net failure probability $p_{f,Z} = p_{f,Z}^+ - p_{f,Z}^-$ will affect the final lateral cell position. The probability $p_{f,Z}$ is a measure of the intrinsic failure mechanism for a given device under the given flow conditions (Ca, Ht).

In the zigzag mode, let $N_{\rm up}$ and $N_{\rm dn}$ be the number of times a cell chooses to move above or below a pillar obstacle encountered in the flow. Furthermore, let $N_{\rm up}^0$ and $N_{\rm dn}^0$ be the number of times a single cell would flow above or below a pillar obstacle in an ideal zigzag mode. It is clear that the total number of events equals the number N of pillars encountered in any case:

$$N = N_{\rm dn}^0 + N_{\rm up}^0 = N_{\rm dn} + N_{\rm up}.$$
 (3)

³³⁷ Furthermore, we know from the zigzag design requirements that

$$\frac{N_{\rm dn}^0}{N} = \frac{d}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n},\tag{4}$$

where *n* is the period for the row shift of the device. The last equation reflects the fact that on average a cell on a perfect zigzag trajectory does not have a lateral net displacement. In other words, the ratio of N_{dn}^0 to N_{up}^0 is uniquely determined by the device geometry (*d* and λ).

In practice, we calculate the net zigzag failure probability $p_{\rm f,Z}$ for each individual cell via eq. (2) and the observed values for Δ_y at $x = 100\lambda$ (N = 100).



Figure 7: Zigzag failure probability $p_{f,Z}$ as function of the volume fraction Ht for different parameters d and Ca. Error bars indicate the standard deviation obtained from the cell ensemble in each simulation. Data points are slightly shifted along the Ht-axis to avoid overlap of error bars. Lines connecting the data points are guides for the eyes.

This allows us to compute the average and variance over all the cells in a particular simulation. In terms of number of events, we can also write

$$p_{\rm f,Z} = \frac{N_{\rm dn}^0 - N_{\rm dn}}{N} = \frac{N_{\rm up} - N_{\rm up}^0}{N}.$$
 (5)

Fig. 7 depicts the average zigzag failure probability $p_{\rm f,Z}$ as function of Ht346 for various parameters (d, Ca). The error bars in Fig. 7 show the standard 347 deviation as obtained from the cell ensemble and therefore represent the cell 348 scatter at the outlet. We see that the net failure probability $p_{f,Z}$ is small and 349 remains below 3% for all investigated data points. We also observe that the 350 failure probability increases sharply with a small increase in the volume fraction 351 and then, with further increase in Ht, drops to a near constant value at high 352 volume fractions. This underlines the relative stability of the zigzag mode at 353 high volume fractions. 354

The reason for this stability is that at higher volume fractions the RBCs tend to occupy most of the operational volume in the device. Their behaviour is therefore akin to the fluid molecules flowing through the device, which is the natural state for a DLD device. The relatively densely packed RBCs therefore tend to follow the natural division of streamlines.

The standard deviation of $p_{f,Z}$ is seen to increase with Ht. This is probably due to the related increase of the collision rate between cells. For a practical device this suggests the requirement for an outlet with greater width for RBC
 collection.

Cell collisions tend to make the system less deterministic. We expect that 364 systems with parameters (d, Ca) close to the separation line in Fig. 3 are less 365 robust upon an increase of Ht than those systems which are farther away from 366 the separation line. Indeed, from Fig. 7 we see that the data point $(d = 6.0 \,\mu\text{m})$, 367 Ca = 1.0 leads to a lower failure rate than the other two data points which 368 are closer to the separation line in Fig. 3. This interpretation will be again 369 corroborated in section 4.2.2. We conclude that, at larger volume fractions 370 and therefore lower determinacy, there is no longer a sharp separation between 371 displacement and zigzag modes. Therefore, the critical separation line loses its 372 original meaning and can only be used as a reference. 373

374 4.2.2. Displacement failure

For a single cell in an ideal displacement mode, all pillar encounters lead to a bumping event and we have $N_{dn}^0 = 0$ and $N_{up}^0 = N$. Therefore, there cannot be more bumping events as ideally expected, so $p_{f,D}^+ = 0$. This means that there is only one failure mode and only one corresponding failure probability $p_{f,D} = p_{f,D}^-$. We can write the displacement error with respect to the expected lateral position as

$$\Delta_y = -N\lambda p_{\rm f,D}.\tag{6}$$

Note the minus sign indicating that a displacement failure always leads to a downward motion, toward the horizontal. This can also be written in terms of events as

$$p_{\rm f,D} = \frac{N_{\rm up}^0 - N_{\rm up}}{N} = \frac{N_{\rm dn} - N_{\rm dn}^0}{N} = \frac{N_{\rm dn}}{N}.$$
(7)

As for the zigzag mode, we compute the displacement failure probability for individual cells, which gives us access to the mean and standard deviation of the cell ensemble.

In Fig. 8 the average displacement failure probabilities are plotted as function of Ht for different combinations of d and Ca. In addition, the *limiting displacement failure probability* $p_{f,D}^{\infty}$ for each row shift d is shown as a horizontal line. $p_{f,D}^{\infty}$ corresponds to the case where the cells effectively move along the horizontal and is given by $p_{f,D}^{\infty} = d/\lambda$. This is equivalent to the complete



Figure 8: Displacement failure probability $p_{\rm f,D}$ as function of the volume fraction Ht for different parameters d and Ca. Error bars indicate the standard deviation obtained from the cell ensemble in each simulation. Lines connecting the data points are guides for the eyes. The horizontal lines indicate the limiting displacement failure probability $p_{\rm f,D}^{\infty} = d/\lambda$ for each value of d (solid for $d = 2.0 \,\mu\text{m}$, dashed for $d = 4.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ and dotted for $d = 6.0 \,\mu\text{m}$).

³⁹² breakdown of the displacement mode and obviously the worst-case scenario for
³⁹³ a DLD device intended to be run in displacement mode.

From Fig. 8 we see that $p_{\rm f,D}$ increases monotonically with Ht for all com-394 binations of d and Ca and asymptotically approaches $p_{f,D}^{\infty}$. The highest failure 395 rate is observed at the maximum haematocrit value studied (Ht = 45.6%). In 396 this scenario, we find RBCs nearly everywhere in the DLD device. As explained 391 in the previous section, under these circumstances, the cellular flow closely re-398 sembles the behaviour of a continuum fluid in the device. When the cells become 399 densely packed, not all of them can undergo displacement at every pillar crossed. 400 Every cell missing a displacement event increases the failure probability. There-401 fore, at high volume fractions, the cells tend to approach the horizontal and 402 move on near-zigzag trajectories, which in turn leads to the breakdown of the 403 displacement mode. 404

At lower volume fractions (Fig. 8), Ca has a significant effect on $p_{\rm f,D}$ for fixed d. In contrast, at higher volume fractions, the probability $p_{\rm f,D}$ converges for various Ca-values at given row shifts d. Therefore, at high volume fractions, the failure probability is no longer a function of Ca. This shows that effects due to dense cell packing become more important than cell deformability. Generally, the variation of $p_{f,D}$ with d and Ca can be correlated with the distance of the (d, Ca) point from the critical separation line in Fig. 3. For the zigzag case in section 4.2.1, we found that points closer to the critical separation line have higher values of $p_{f,Z}$. Here, for the displacement mode, the same argument holds, as long as $p_{f,D}$ is normalised by its asymptotical value $p_{f,D}^{\infty}$. This indicates again that those systems which are closer to the critical separation line are less robust upon an increase of Ht.

We also see from Fig. 8 that the failure probability $p_{f,D}$ increases with d at all 417 volume fractions. Therefore, we postulate that failure events in the displacement 418 mode generally become rarer with decrease in row shift d. Why is this the 419 case? For larger values of d, the critical particle separation radius becomes 420 larger (cf. Tab. 1). Therefore, there are more particles in the device that are 421 potentially close to the streamlines passing below the next pillar. This means 422 that more particles can accidentally be pushed by neighbouring particles onto 423 such a streamline and subsequently fall down to a lower lane. 424

It is misleading to claim that a smaller value of d will make DLD devices 425 more robust, though. DLD devices with small d are typically longer, *i.e.* N is 426 larger. This is so because at small d a particle has to be displaced many times in 427 order to achieve a significant lateral displacement at the outlet. We can assume 428 that the required N for separation typically scales with 1/d. It is important to 429 note that the relevant failure indicator is the product $p_{f,D}N$. If N grows faster 430 than $p_{f,D}$ decreases upon decreasing d, the device will not be more robust in 431 the end. Therefore, a design criterion for an improved DLD device operating at 432 large Ht is to reduce the product $p_{f,D}N$. 433

434 4.3. Outlet distributions

The failure probabilities by themselves do not present a complete picture of the effectiveness of the device. To reveal the robustness of the device we count the number of cells in each of the four outlets (*cf.* section 2.3) and show the cell count histograms in Fig. 9. We present the histograms for Ht = 16.1% and 436 45.6% at d = 0.2, 4.4, 6.0 µm and Ca = 0.2, 1.0. For the sake of a simpler comparison, the histograms are normalised by the maximum outlet width and the total number of cells collected at the outlets.

442 We consider the DLD device as robust if all cells supposed to travel on



Figure 9: Histograms of RBC outlet distributions for various control parameters. The row shift assumes the values $d = 2.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ (left column), $d = 4.4 \,\mu\text{m}$ (middle column) and $d = 6.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ (right column). The first and second rows show the results for Ca = 0.2, the third and fourth rows the results for Ca = 1.0. The volume fraction is Ht = 16.1% (first and third rows) and Ht = 45.6% (second and fourth rows). The expected operation mode is indicated (D for displacement or Z for zigzag, *cf.* Fig. 3). The inlet position relative to the total outlet width is marked as a black box at the bottom of each histogram (*cf.* Fig. 4). Outlets 1 and 2 are supposed to collect zigzag cells, outlets 3 and 4 displaced cells. Slanted hatching with green colour indicates successful and red-coloured vertical hatching erroneous collection of cells into their expected outlets.

⁴⁴³ zigzag trajectories are collected in outlets 1 and 2, whereas all cells expected to ⁴⁴⁴ be displaced are collected in outlets 3 and 4. This definition would still allow for ⁴⁴⁵ successful separation of particles in a real-world device. Note that the values of ⁴⁴⁶ d and Ca already decide whether the cells are supposed to be displaced or not ⁴⁴⁷ (cf. Fig. 3).

We observe that, for the zigzag mode at Ht = 16.1% (Fig. 9(h,i)), all RBCs are collected in their designated outlets 1 and 2. When we increase the volume fraction to Ht = 45.6% (Fig. 9(k,l)), still all cells end up in the expected outlets 1 and 2. This may allow for larger particles to be displaced into outlets 3 and 4 from a background of RBCs even at high volume fractions.

However, the RBCs in the displacement mode reveal a different picture. 453 In Fig. 9(a,b,g), at Ht = 16.1%, (nearly) all RBCs are displaced into their 454 designated outlets. But, when the row shift d is increased to $6.0 \,\mu\text{m}$, there is 455 total failure to obtain RBCs in outlets 3 and 4 (Fig. 9(c)) even at rather dilute 456 16.1% volume fraction. With an increase in the volume fraction to 45.6%, we 457 get about 50% correctly collected RBCs at a low row shift, $d = 2.0 \mu m$. This 458 deteriorates quickly when d is increased, with all cells collected in the zigzag 459 outlets (1 and 2) at d = 4.4 and $6.0 \,\mu\text{m}$ (Fig. 9(e,f)). 460

This evidence corroborates our postulate that a DLD device lends relatively easily to separation of larger particles (such as white blood cells) from a background of RBCs designated to be in the zigzag mode. However, it will be more difficult to achieve efficient separation at high volume fractions when the RBCs are expected to be the displaced particle species in order to separate smaller particles (such as platelets).

Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 suggest that already small volume fractions, around 10%, 467 can significantly spoil the displacement mode efficiency, at least for larger val-468 ues of d. The large displacement failure probability $p_{f,D}$ is the reason for this 469 behaviour. Since $p_{f,D}$ is governed by cell collisions which reduce the determi-470 nacy of the device, we believe that this problem is not specific to the considered 471 device geometry and particle type (RBCs). It is rather a general problem for 472 all devices which rely on some kind of deterministic displacement of any type of 473 particles (not only RBCs) interacting with obstacles. We therefore expect that 474 most DLD devices will not work reliably at large particle volume fractions. 475

Inglis et al. [33] experimentally observed a decreasing white blood cell enrichment efficiency with increasing haematocrit in a DLD device (see Fig. 6 in [33]). Apart from this result, we did not find discussions of the haematocrit effect on the separation efficiency of blood components in the literature.

It is an open question how to keep the advantages of a DLD device (*deterministic* displacement of large particles) and at the same time avoid displacement failures caused by *non-deterministic* particle collisions. Therefore, a key requirement for more robust DLD devices is to reduce the displacement failure probability $p_{f,D}$ at larger volume fractions. This may be achieved by optimising the pillar shape or the size of the gaps between the pillars. We believe that DLD devices will not be suitable for suspensions with high volume fractions (above about 10–20%) before this problem is solved.

488 5. Summary and conclusions

Deterministic lateral displacement (DLD) devices are commonly used in microfluidics to separate particles based on their size or deformability, *e.g.* cells in whole blood. Depending on its size, a particle experiences one of two possible trajectory modes in a DLD device: "zigzag", where a small particle follows the fluid streamlines on average, and "displacement", where larger particles bump into obstacles in the flow and are forced on displaced trajectories. However, DLD devices are designed for the treatment of relatively dilute suspensions.

In the present work, we examine the effect of red blood cell (RBC) volume 496 fraction (haematocrit Ht) on the performance of a DLD device via 3D simu-497 lations based on the immersed-boundary, lattice-Boltzmann and finite-element 498 methods. In order to quantify failure in each mode, we analyse displacement 499 and zigzag failure probabilities. A failure event denotes a cell encounter with a 500 DLD obstacle which leads to a "wrong" outcome, *i.e.* the cell moves in a different 501 lateral direction than expected for a dilute suspension in the same device geome-502 try. We find that the mean and standard deviations of these failure probabilities 503 are significant performance indicators for a DLD device. 504

Our main observation is that the displacement mode breaks down upon an increase of the RBC volume fraction, caused by large failure probabilities. At the same time, the zigzag mode shows relatively few failure events and is more robust at higher volume fraction. We find that, in contrast to the displacement failure, the mean zigzag failure probability seems largely independent of the haematocrit. This difference stems from the fact that, in the zigzag mode, a cell suffers from two failure modes which tend to cancel each other. In the displacement mode, however, there exists only one failure mode whose effect accumulates over time.

Furthermore, we investigate at which lateral position the simulated RBCs 514 are found when they reach the end of the device. We define four outlet bins 515 and analyse the cell count in each outlet. We observe that RBCs expected in 516 the zigzag mode are essentially collected in the designated zigzag outlets, while 517 RBCs meant to travel on displacement trajectories fail to be collected in dis-518 placement outlets at volume fractions above about 10–20%. As a consequence, 519 it seems to be easier to separate larger particles (e.g. white blood cells) from a 520 dense RBC background than smaller particles (e.g. platelets) from RBCs. 521

The essential reason for the breakdown of the displacement mode at larger 522 Ht is that DLD devices rely on *deterministic* processes while particle collisions 523 in dense suspensions are intrinsically non-deterministic. We therefore believe 524 that our results are not a peculiarity of the specific DLD geometry and choice 525 of red blood cells studied here, but that basically all separation devices relying 526 on deterministic displacement would suffer from any dense suspension effects. 527 This would make it difficult, if not impossible, to separate dense suspensions in 528 DLD devices without prior diluting. 529

The key for future applications of the DLD technique for dense suspensions, blood in particular, is to understand how the failure probabilities are affected by particle collisions and how this effect may be reduced, *e.g.* by novel obstacle shapes.

534 Acknowledgement

T.K. thanks the University of Edinburgh for the award of a Chancellor's Fellowship and full funding of this work. We thank David Inglis for stimulating discussions. There are no conflicts of interest. Ethical approval was not required.

538 Bibliography

- [1] M. T. Makler, C. J. Palmer, A. L. Ager, A review of practical techniques for
 the diagnosis of malaria, Ann. Trop. Med. Parasit. 92 (4) (1998) 419–433.
 doi:10.1080/00034989859401.
- [2] P. Yager, T. Edwards, E. Fu, K. Helton, K. Nelson, M. R. Tam, B. H.
 Weigl, Microfluidic diagnostic technologies for global public health, Nature
 442 (7101) (2006) 412–418. doi:10.1038/nature05064.
- [3] H. W. Hou, A. A. S. Bhagat, W. C. Lee, S. Huang, J. Han, C. T. Lim,
 Microfluidic devices for blood fractionation, Micromachines 2 (3) (2011)
 319–343. doi:10.3390/mi2030319.
- L. R. Huang, E. C. Cox, R. H. Austin, J. C. Sturm, Continuous particle
 separation through deterministic lateral displacement, Science 304 (5673)
 (2004) 987–990. doi:10.1126/science.1094567.
- [5] S. H. Holm, J. P. Beech, M. P. Barrett, J. O. Tegenfeldt, Separation of
 parasites from human blood using deterministic lateral displacement, Lab
 Chip 11 (7) (2011) 1326–1332. doi:10.1039/C0LC00560F.
- [6] K. Loutherback, J. Puchalla, R. H. Austin, J. C. Sturm, Deterministic
 microfluidic ratchet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (4) (2009) 045301. doi:10.
 1103/PhysRevLett.102.045301.
- D. W. Inglis, N. Herman, G. Vesey, Highly accurate deterministic lateral
 displacement device and its application to purification of fungal spores,
 Biomicrofluidics 4 (2) (2010) 024109. doi:10.1063/1.3430553.
- [8] J. V. Green, M. Radisic, S. K. Murthy, Deterministic lateral displacement as a means to enrich large cells for tissue engineering, Anal. Chem. 81 (21)
 (2009) 9178–9182. doi:10.1021/ac9018395.
- [9] J. A. Davis, D. W. Inglis, K. J. Morton, D. A. Lawrence, L. R. Huang, S. Y.
 ⁵⁶⁴ Chou, J. C. Sturm, R. H. Austin, Deterministic hydrodynamics: Taking
 ⁵⁶⁵ blood apart, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. 103 (40) (2006) 14779–14784. doi:10.
 ⁵⁶⁶ 1073/pnas.0605967103.

- [10] K. Loutherback, J. D'Silva, L. Liu, A. Wu, R. H. Austin, J. C. Sturm,
 Deterministic separation of cancer cells from blood at 10 mL/min, AIP
 Advances 2 (4). doi:10.1063/1.4758131.
- ⁵⁷⁰ [11] H. N. Joensson, M. Uhlén, H. A. Svahn, Droplet size based separation
 ⁵⁷¹ by deterministic lateral displacement—separating droplets by cell-induced
 ⁵⁷² shrinking, Lab Chip 11 (7) (2011) 1305–1310. doi:10.1039/C0LC00688B.
- J. McGrath, M. Jimenez, H. Bridle, Deterministic lateral displacement for
 particle separation: a review, Lab Chip 14 (21) (2014) 4139–4158. doi:
 10.1039/C4LC00939H.
- [13] N. Li, D. Kamei, C.-M. Ho, On-chip continuous blood cell subtype separation by deterministic lateral displacement, in: 2nd IEEE International Conference on Nano/Micro Engineered and Molecular Systems, 2007. NEMS
 ⁵⁷⁹ '07, 2007, pp. 932–936. doi:10.1109/NEMS.2007.352171.
- [14] S. Zheng, R. Yung, Y.-C. Tai, H. Kasdan, Deterministic lateral displace ment MEMS device for continuous blood cell separation, in: 18th IEEE In ternational Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems, 2005. MEMS
 2005, 2005, pp. 851–854. doi:10.1109/MEMSYS.2005.1454063.
- [15] K. K. Zeming, S. Ranjan, Y. Zhang, Rotational separation of non-spherical
 bioparticles using i-shaped pillar arrays in a microfluidic device, Nature
 Commun. 4 (2013) 1625. doi:10.1038/ncomms2653.
- [16] D. W. Inglis, K. J. Morton, J. A. Davis, T. J. Zieziulewicz, D. A.
 Lawrence, R. H. Austin, J. C. Sturm, Microfluidic device for label-free
 measurement of platelet activation, Lab Chip 8 (6) (2008) 925–931. doi:
 10.1039/B800721G.
- [17] T. Krüger, D. Holmes, P. V. Coveney, Deformability-based red blood
 cell separation in deterministic lateral displacement devices—a simulation
 study, Biomicrofluidics 8 (5) (2014) 054114. doi:10.1063/1.4897913.
- [18] D. Holmes, G. Whyte, J. Bailey, N. Vergara-Irigaray, A. Ekpenyong,
 J. Guck, T. Duke, Separation of blood cells with differing deformabil ity using deterministic lateral displacement, Interface Focus 4 (6) (2014)
 20140011. doi:10.1098/rsfs.2014.0011.

- [19] J. P. Beech, S. H. Holm, K. Adolfsson, J. O. Tegenfeldt, Sorting cells by
 size, shape and deformability, Lab Chip 12 (6) (2012) 1048–1051. doi:
 10.1039/C2LC21083E.
- [20] M. M. Dupin, I. Halliday, C. M. Care, L. Alboul, L. L. Munn, Modeling
 the flow of dense suspensions of deformable particles in three dimensions,
 Phys. Rev. E 75 (6) (2007) 066707. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.75.066707.
- [21] R. M. MacMeccan, J. R. Clausen, G. P. Neitzel, C. K. Aidun, Simulat ing deformable particle suspensions using a coupled lattice-Boltzmann and
 finite-element method, J. Fluid Mech. 618 (2009) 13–39. doi:10.1017/
 S0022112008004011.
- [22] S. K. Doddi, P. Bagchi, Three-dimensional computational modeling of mul tiple deformable cells flowing in microvessels, Physical Review E 79 (4)
 (2009) 046318. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.79.046318.
- [23] D. A. Fedosov, W. Pan, B. Caswell, G. Gompper, G. E. Karniadakis, Pre dicting human blood viscosity in silico, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108 (29)
 (2011) 11772–11777. doi:10.1073/pnas.1101210108.
- [24] H. Zhao, E. S. G. Shaqfeh, Shear-induced platelet margination in a microchannel, Phys. Rev. E 83 (6) (2011) 061924. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.
 83.061924.
- ⁶¹⁷ [25] J. B. Freund, Numerical Simulation of Flowing Blood Cells,
 ⁶¹⁸ Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 46 (1) (2014) 67–95. doi:10.1146/
 ⁶¹⁹ annurev-fluid-010313-141349.
- [26] D. A. Fedosov, H. Noguchi, G. Gompper, Multiscale modeling of blood
 flow: from single cells to blood rheology, Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.
 13 (2) (2014) 239–258. doi:10.1007/s10237-013-0497-9.
- [27] S. Succi, The Lattice Boltzmann Equation for Fluid Dynamics and Beyond,
 Oxford University Press, 2001.
- [28] C. K. Aidun, J. R. Clausen, Lattice-boltzmann method for complex
 flows, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 42 (2010) 439–472. doi:10.1146/
 annurev-fluid-121108-145519.

tic membranes in relation to thermoforming — non-axisymmetric problems, 629 J. Strain Anal. Eng. 24 (2) (1989) 55-74. doi:10.1243/03093247V242055. 630 [30] S. Shrivastava, J. Tang, Large deformation finite element analysis of non-631 linear viscoelastic membranes with reference to thermoforming, J. Strain 632 Anal. Eng. 28 (1) (1993) 31-51. doi:10.1243/03093247V281031. 633 [31] C. S. Peskin, The immersed boundary method, Acta Numerica 11 (2002) 634 479-517. doi:10.1017/S0962492902000077. 635 [32] A. J. C. Ladd, Numerical simulations of particulate suspensions via a dis-636

[29] J. M. Charrier, S. Shrivastava, R. Wu, Free and constrained inflation of elas-

628

- cretized boltzmann equation. part 1. theoretical foundation, J. Fluid Mech.
 271 (1994) 285–309. doi:10.1017/S0022112094001771.
- [33] D. W. Inglis, M. Lord, R. E. Nordon, Scaling deterministic lateral displacement arrays for high throughput and dilution-free enrichment of leukocytes,
 J. Micromech. Microeng. 21 (5) (2011) 054024. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/
 21/5/054024.