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Abstract—In this paper we address the problem of scalable and load balanced routing for wireless sensor networks. Motivated by the analog of the continuous setting that geodesic routing on a sphere gives perfect load balancing, we embed sensor nodes on a convex polyhedron in 3D and use greedy routing to deliver messages between any pair of nodes with guaranteed success. This embedding is known to exist by the Koebe-Andreev-Thurston Theorem for any 3-connected planar graphs. In our paper we use discrete Ricci flow to develop a distributed algorithm to compute this embedding. Further, such an embedding is not unique and differs from one another by a Möbius transformation. We employ an optimization routine to look for the Möbius transformation such that the nodes are spread on the polyhedron as uniformly as possible. We evaluated the load balancing property of this greedy routing scheme and showed favorable comparison with previous schemes.

I. INTRODUCTION

In large scale sensor networks it is critical to balance out work load on different sensors, to prevent some nodes running out of battery immaturely. In terms of routing, we hope that the selected routes are ‘load balanced’ such that the node residual battery levels are as uniform as possible. There has been a lot of work in the literature about load balanced routing. One formulation is to select route such that the maximum load is minimized. Unfortunately finding the optimal solution for this problem is NP-hard (modeled as unsplittable flow problem) even in very simple networks (such as grid). There have been approximate algorithms [16], [17] developed for the problem. But they all require centralized knowledge, and thus are inappropriate for a distributed setting.

In this paper we focus on the load balancing issue of greedy routing solutions, where nodes deliver messages by forwarding to the neighbor closer to the destination under some distance metric [10], [4]. Greedy routing may not always be successful if there is a node whose neighbors are all further away from the destination. In the past few years various schemes have been proposed to find an embedding and a related distance function such that greedy routing guarantees delivery [14], [6], [12], [1], [18], [7], [11], [20], [2]. But these methods have nearly no consideration of load balancing.

In this paper we design load balanced, greedy routing solutions by first examining how load balancing relates to the network ‘geometry’. Take an example with sensors uniformly spread in a disk or a square and consider shortest path routing (or geographical greedy routing [10], [4]) for all pairs, the nodes near the center carry more traffic than the nodes near the boundary. In general, for a surface in 3D, the points with negative curvatures (the saddle points) attract geodesic paths, while points with positive curvatures repel geodesic paths. Therefore surface curvature and geometry are intrinsically related to load distribution in routing.

Existing load balanced, greedy routing methods. The idea of examining network geometry and changing network shape for better load balancing has only been done on networks of special topology. In [8], a greedy routing scheme that achieves both constant routing stretch factor (compared with shortest path routing) and constant load balancing ratio (compared with the optimal load balanced routing) is proposed, but only for wireless nodes distributed in a narrow strip. Mei and Stefa [13] studied load balancing for a regular square shape sensor network and proposed to use the ‘outer space’ by essentially wrapping up the network into a torus. Routing is done in a greedy manner by using the coordinates on the torus, possibly bounding off from the boundary. The method depends on geographical coordinates and thus does not guarantee delivery. Popa et al. [15] examined load balanced routing for a disk shape network and proposed to use stereographic projection to map the network on a hemisphere. Routing is guided by the spherical distance in a greedy manner. Improved load balancing is shown as the routes are made to ‘curve’ around the network center. Again, delivery is not guaranteed. These algorithms are for special cases. It is unclear how they apply to irregular networks possibly with holes.

Our approach: spherical embedding. For a surface with positive constant curvature everywhere, i.e., a sphere, the shortest paths have uniform distribution and greedy routing (in terms of spherical distance) on the sphere has guaranteed delivery and perfect load balancing. Motivated by this, we investigate the mapping of a sensor network to a spherical metric. The well-known Koebe-Andreev-Thurston Theorem describes the spherical embedding of a 3-connected planar graph, which can be seen as a convex polyhedron with all edges tangent to a unit sphere. Each vertex is associated with a circle on the sphere. The circles of adjacent vertices are tangent to each other. See Figure 3. With the spherical embedding, the distance function $d(u,v) = -c(u) \cdot c(v)$, where $c(u)$ is the 3D coordinate of $u$, has guaranteed delivery for all pairs of vertices [14]. This is referred to as polyhedron routing.
Our contributions in this paper are two folds. First we proposed a distributed algorithm to compute the spherical embedding for a general 3-connected planar graph using discrete Ricci flow. Previous algorithms either require a triangulation as input [19], [5] or a centralized computation [3]. Second, the spherical embedding is not unique and differs from one another by a Möbius transformation. Thus we exploit this degree of freedom and find the spherical embedding that favors load balancing. By simulations we show that this idea works well in practice and compares favorably with previous load balanced routing schemes that also use greedy routing ideas [13], [15].

Jonckheere et al. [9] examined the negative curvature and load congestion in both artificial data and real networks. They proposed to use Yamabe flow with free boundary condition to alleviate network congestion. We remark that the relationship between the network ‘curvature’ and routing congestion remains as an interesting open problem.

II. SPHERICAL REPRESENTATION

A. Circle Packing and Spherical Representation

A circle packing is a connected collection of circles on some (Riemann) surface, whose interiors are disjoint. The intersection graph (the tangency graph or contact graph) of a circle packing is the graph having a vertex for each circle, and an edge for every pair of circles that are tangent.

Suppose $G$ is a 3-connected planar graph, and $\tilde{G}$ is the dual graph of $G$, the following theorem shows the existence of a pair of circle packings for $\tilde{G}$ and $G$ respectively.

**Theorem 2.1 (The Koebe-Andreev-Thurston Theorem):**
There is a pair of circle packing $P$, $\tilde{P}$, where the intersection graph of $P$ and $\tilde{P}$ are isomorphic to $\tilde{G}$ and $G$ respectively. Furthermore, for any vertex $v \in G$ and an adjacent face $f$, the vertex circle in $P$ is orthogonal to the face circle in $\tilde{P}$.

**Corollary 2.2 (Spherical Representation):** Each 3-connected planar graph can be realized by a 3-polytope which has all edges tangent to the unit sphere. The realization is unique up to Möbius transformations.

A Möbius transformation is a map that maps a complex plane to itself, $f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$, where $a, b, c, d$ are four complex numbers satisfying $ad - bc = 1$. A Möbius transformation is a conformal map and maps circles to circles.

B. Algorithm for Computing Spherical Representation

**Step 0: Extract a Planar Subgraph.** Algorithms for extracting a planar graph from the communication graph have been developed in the past literature, see the references in [18]. In our implementation, we have used the restricted Delaunay graph approach [18].

**Step 1: Compute the Dual & Overlap Graph.** Given a planar 3-connected graph $G$, denote the $k$-th vertex as $k$, the $j$-th face as $f_j$. Note that, one face is the infinite face. The overlap graph

\[
\tilde{G} = \frac{a + z + b}{c + z + d},
\]

where $a, b, c, d$ are four complex numbers satisfying $ad - bc = 1$. A Möbius transformation is a conformal map and maps circles to circles.

**Step 2: Select an Infinity Edge Node.** Select one edge node to be mapped to the infinity point. We call it the infinity edge node and denote it as $e_\infty$.

**Step 3: Compute the Reduced Graph $\tilde{G}$**. Suppose the infinity edge node is given by $e_\infty = [v_1, v_2] \cap \{f_k, f_l\}$, then we remove all the quadrilateral facets adjacent to $v_1, v_2$ or $f_k, f_l$ in the overlap graph $D$, to get the reduced graph $\tilde{G}$. Each edge $e_{kl}$ of the faces $f_k$ and $f_l$ is represented as a blue square.

Each facet on the overlapped graph $D$ is a topological quadrilateral, we denote the quadrilateral as $\square(v_1, f_j)$.

**Step 4: Run Discrete Ricci Flow.** On the reduced graph $\tilde{G}$, each quadrilateral facet $\square(v_i, f_j)$ is triangulated by adding one virtual edge $[v_i, f_j]$ connecting the vertex node $v_i$ and the face node $f_j$. Then we run discrete Ricci flow on the triangulated reduced graph in the following way. Each triangle on the triangulated reduced graph has one vertex node $v_i$, one face node $f_j$ and one edge node $e_h$, as shown in

\[D\text{ is defined as } G \cup \tilde{G}, \text{ where } \tilde{G} \text{ is the dual graph of } G. \text{ On the overlap graph } D, \text{ there are three types of nodes:}

1) Vertex node $v_i$: corresponding to a vertex in $G$, is represented as a red round dot.
2) Face node $f_j$: corresponding to a face in $G$, is represented as a green round dot.
3) Edge node $e_{kl}$: corresponding to an intersection of an edge $[v_i, v_j]$ in $G$, and an edge $[f_k, f_l]$ in the dual graph $\tilde{G}$, where $[v_i, v_j]$ is the common edge of the faces $f_k$ and $f_l$, represented as a blue square.

Fig. 1. An example of the reduced graph.

Fig. 2. Step 4. Ricci flow
error bound $\varepsilon$ is given by $O(\frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{\delta})$, where $\delta$ is the step size in the Ricci flow algorithm. The total communication cost is thus $O(\frac{n \log(1/\varepsilon)}{\delta})$.

C. Polyhedron Routing

Given source $v_i$ and destination $v_j$, polyhedron routing is a greedy routing method with distance function $d(v_i, v_j) = -c(v_i) \cdot c(v_j)$, where $c(v_j)$ is the 3D coordinate of $v_j$ in the spherical representation. $v_i$ delivers the message to the neighbor closer to $v_j$. To see that this polyhedron routing guarantees delivery, we note that the distance function $d(v_i, v_j)$ is essentially the projection of the vector $\overrightarrow{v_i}^t$, on the vector $-\overrightarrow{v_j}$. $d(v_i, v_j)$ is clearly a linear function of $v_j$ and achieves the global minimum when $v_i = v_j$. The function cannot have a local minimum as for a linear function any local minimum is also the global minimum.

III. LOAD BALANCING

The spherical representation of the 3-connected graph is not unique. They differ by a Möbius transformation. All these spherical representations guarantee successful delivery with polyhedron routing. But they give different load balancing properties. In the following we look for the one that spreads the vertices such that the vertex circles are proportional to their residual battery levels.

For a discrete finite network, the network outer boundary is a large face. We map it to the equator of a unit sphere, such that the vertices are then mapped to a hemisphere. For that, we apply a circular reflection, i.e., a special case of Möbius transformation that maps the points inside a circle $C$ with center $c$ and radius $r$ to the points outside, and vice versa: $\tau(z) = \frac{c + rz}{r - z}$.

We first translate and scale the exterior face node circle $C(f_s, \gamma_\infty)$ to the unit circle. Then all the other face circles are mapped to the exterior of the unit disk. Then we use circular reflection to map the exterior of the unit circle to the interior. Then we use the Möbius transformation to map the interior of the unit disk to itself. All such kind of maps are parameterized by an interior point of the unit disk $z_0$, $\eta_{\theta, z_0}(z) = e^{\theta} \frac{z - z_0}{1 - \theta z_0}$. The choice of $\eta$ is to make the spherical vertex node circle area proportional to the residual battery level.

Because the graph is 3-connected, each vertex circle $C_v$ goes through at least 3 edge nodes, denoted as $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. The stereographic projection $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S^2$ maps them to points on the unit sphere $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, $\phi(e)$. These three points determine a plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the normal is given by $n = \frac{(s_1 - s_2) \times (s_1 - s_3)}{|(s_1 - s_2) \times (s_1 - s_3)|}$, the plane is given by $\pi_v : (p - s_1, n) = 0$. The distance from the origin to the plane $\pi_v$ is given by $d_v = \langle s_1, n \rangle$.

Now we want to find the Möbius transformation such that all the vertex radii are as uniform as possible, by minimizing the energy $E(\theta, z_0) = \sum_{v \in G} (d_v - d)^2$, where $d = \sum_v d_v / n$, $n$ is the total number of nodes in $G$. We can also use the following energy to consider the vertex residual battery $E(\theta, z_0) = \sum_{v \in G} (d_v - wd)^2$, where $w = B_v / B$, $B_v$ is the...
residual battery power of node $v$, and $\hat{B}$ is the average battery level of all nodes.

The energy $E(\theta, z_0)$ is highly non-linear and has multiple local optima. Direct gradient descend method does not guarantee to reach the global optimum. We randomly choose initial seeds and use gradient descend method to reach the optimum in the neighborhood of each seed. Figure 4 shows the spherical representation before and after the Möbius optimization.

### IV. Simulations

We conducted extensive simulations to evaluate routing properties of our method and compared with previously published methods, namely, curveball routing [15] and outer space routing [13]. We also included simple greedy routing as a baseline comparison scheme.

**Curveball and Outer Space Routing.** In curveball routing [15], the entire network is mapped to a sphere using stereographic projection. The network is assumed to be of the shape of a disk of some radius $R$. In outer space routing [13], the network is assumed to be in the shape of a square in the first quadrant. The quadrupled network therefore has the topology of a torus. Neither of these methods guarantee delivery. The presence of holes causes these methods to fail easily.

**Summary of Simulation Results.** Our main observations are:

- The polyhedron routing is the only one that has 100% delivery guarantee. Other methods in consideration perform progressively worse as the density decreases and the number of holes increases.
- Polyhedron routing is better in distributing loads than other methods, closely followed by curveball.
- Outer space routing balances traffic loads by creating high loads everywhere.
- Polyhedron routing is the only method that can adapt to specific requirements of a network, such as variations in density and variations in available energy (battery levels) across the network.

**Delivery Guarantees.** Different routing methods have different success rates in delivering messages, depending on the structure of the network. In a simple dense network such as the one in Figure 5(a), all the methods have 100% success rate. In networks with more complex topology such as Fig 5(b) or sparse Fig 5(c), the success rates vary. The results are shown in Table I. This shows that polyhedron routing is the only one with perfect delivery guarantee. Outer space routing is the worst. The long travel path increases the chances of failure.

Load balancing becomes difficult to compare when messages are not always delivered. In the rest of this section, we will consider only the dense network in Figure 5(a), where messages are delivered by all the methods, to ensure a fair comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Polyhedron</th>
<th>Curveball</th>
<th>Outer Space</th>
<th>Simple Greedy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fig 5(b)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95.42%</td>
<td>95.76%</td>
<td>93.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig 5(c)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>61.14%</td>
<td>50.32%</td>
<td>57.16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I**

**SUCCESS RATES ON NETWORKS SHOWN IN FIG 5(B), (C).**

**Load balancing.** For a set of 5000 random routing attempts, we monitored the traffic load at each node. The polyhedron routing comes out superior to others in the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Simple Greedy</th>
<th>Outer Space</th>
<th>Curveball</th>
<th>Polyhedron</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average load</td>
<td>25.39%</td>
<td>46.65%</td>
<td>25.85%</td>
<td>26.96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

**MEAN LOADS ON NODES FOR DIFFERENT ROUTING METHODS.**

The situation becomes clearer in Figure 6 and Table II. In a dense network, greedy routing behaves almost like shortest path routing, therefore has the smallest average load, but crowds the center, where nodes have high load. Outer space routing has the most uniform load, but at the cost of increasing the load for everyone. The average load is 80% more than that of greedy routing. This happens because the outer space routing algorithm balances load by making messages travel via very long paths. This drawback is also apparent from the plot 6(b). Curveball routing is better than either of the two. Its average load is incrementally (2%) higher than greedy routing, but still has a crowded center. Finally, the polyhedron method has slightly higher (6% of greedy routing) average load, implying it takes paths that are slightly longer, but makes use of this flexibility to get better load balancing than curveball routing (Figure 6(d)).

**Route adjustment to battery and density levels.** A network is not always uniform. The density of nodes may vary in different regions. As the network runs, non-uniform energy consumption may result in varying levels of residual battery in different parts of the network. We consider the network in Figure 7(a), where battery levels drop from left to right. Then we compute a polyhedral embedding optimized for residual battery, where nodes with higher residual battery level are
given larger areas, therefore expected to take up more load. Figure 7(c) shows 3 routes as found by polyhedral routing when the embedding has been optimized with respect to battery levels. In this case, the routes clearly tend to pass through regions of higher battery levels. Figure 7(b) shows the corresponding routes when the embedding does not consider battery levels. The corresponding routes found by curveball routing are similar to Figure 7(b) and those found by simple greedy routing resemble straight lines. Therefore both these tend to empty the energy of already weak nodes on the right. We omit these figures due to the lack of space.

A similar concern is the variation in density. We would in general like routes to pass through regions of high density where there are more nodes, so that the load per node is lower. Figure 8 shows that a dense region in the network can be embedded such that it is larger, therefore handling more routes.

Fig. 8. Embedding optimization w.r.t density.

Future Work. How to rigorously characterize the load balancing property induced by the spherical representation and what is the limitation of load balancing by using conformal maps, or by using greedy embeddings, are interesting open problems arising from this paper.
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