



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Uranium removal from aqueous solution using macauba endocarp-derived biochar: Effect of physical activation

Citation for published version:

Guilhen, SN, Rovani, S, Araujo, LG, Tenório, JAS & Masek, O 2020, 'Uranium removal from aqueous solution using macauba endocarp-derived biochar: Effect of physical activation', *Environmental Pollution*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116022>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116022)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Environmental Pollution

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



**URANIUM REMOVAL FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTION USING MACAUBA
ENDOCARP-DERIVED BIOCHAR: EFFECT OF PHYSICAL ACTIVATION**

Guilhen^{1*}, S. N.; Rovani¹, S.; Araujo, L. G.¹; Tenório², J. A. S.; Mašek³, O.

¹ Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares, Comissão Nacional de Energia Nuclear
Av. Professor Lineu Prestes, 2242 – 05508-000 São Paulo, Brazil

*snguilhen@ipen.br, suzirovani@gmail.com, lgoulart@alumni.usp.br

² Depto. de Engenharia Química da Escola Politécnica, Universidade de São Paulo
Rua do Lago, 250 – 05508-080 São Paulo, Brazil
jtenorio@usp.br

³ UK Biochar Research Centre, School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh
Alexander Crum Brown Road, Crew Building – EH9 3LA Edinburgh, UK
ondrej.masek@ed.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

The main aim of this study was to evaluate options for addressing two pressing challenges related to environmental quality and circular economy stemming from wastage or underutilization of abundant biomass residue resources and contamination of water by industrial effluents. In this study we focused on residues (endocarp) from Macaúba palm (*Acrocomia aculeata*) used for oil production, its conversion to activated biochar, and its potential use in uranium (U) removal from aqueous solutions. Batch adsorption experiments showed a much higher uranyl ions (U(VI)) removal efficiency of activated biochar compared to untreated biochar. As a result of activation, an increase in removal efficiency from 80.5 % (untreated biochar) to 99.2 % (after activation) was observed for a 5 mg L⁻¹ initial U(VI) concentration solution adjusted to pH 3 using a 10 g L⁻¹ adsorbent dosage. The BET surface area increased from 0.83 to 643 m² g⁻¹ with activation. Surface topography of the activated biochar showed a very characteristic morphology with high porosity. Activation significantly affected chemical surface of the biochar. FTIR analysis indicated that U(VI) was removed by physisorption from the aqueous solution. The adsorbed U(VI) was detected by micro X-ray fluorescence technique. Adsorption isotherms were employed to represent the results of the U adsorption onto the activated biochar. An estimation of the best fit was performed by calculating different deviation equations, also called error functions. The Redlich-Peterson isotherm model was the most appropriate for fitting the experimental data, suggesting heterogeneity of adsorption sites with different affinities for uranium setting up as a hybrid adsorption. These results demonstrated that physical activation significantly increases the adsorption capacity of macauba endocarp-derived biochar for uranium in aqueous solutions, and therefore open up a potential new application for this type of waste-derived biochar.

42

43

44 Keywords: biochar, activation, macauba, endocarp, uranium.

45

46

47 Main finding of the work:

48 This study has shown that a low-cost biomass, such as macauba palm endocarp, can be
49 successfully converted to activated biochar by physical activation and efficiently used in
50 the treatment of industrial effluents containing U(VI) .

51

1. INTRODUCTION

Activated carbon can be defined as an amorphous solid of high porosity and high specific surface area. Well-known adsorbents of widespread use, activated carbons have many applications, including purification and remediation of polluted water, treatment of liquid and gaseous industrial effluents, gas storage and delivery (methane and hydrogen), metal recovery, catalysis, and biomedical applications, among others (Bandosz, 2006; Bansal and Goyal, 2005; De Celis et al., 2009; Kwiatkowski, 2011; Le Cloirec and Faur-Brasquet, 2008; Marsh and Reinoso, 2006; Mezohegyi et al., 2012; Nunell et al., 2012; Ramanujan et al., 2007; Rivera-Utrilla et al., 2011; Wang and Kaskel, 2012). Growing concerns surrounding environmental pollution have led to an increased demand for activated carbon in water treatment and sewage treatment applications. The global activated carbon market size was estimated at USD 4.72 billion in 2018 and it is expected to expand at a compound annual growth rate of 17.5% between 2019 and 2025 (Grand View Research, 2019; Roman et al., 2013).

The properties of activated carbon depend on the nature of the raw material used, the type of activation agent and the conditions of both the pyrolysis process and the activation itself. Characteristics such as shape, particle size, pore-volume, surface area, microporous structure, pore size distribution, physical and chemical characteristics of the surface define the properties and applicability of the activated carbon produced. It is possible to obtain different types of activated carbon with the modification of these parameters, making them more specific for a particular use (Rocha et al., 2006).

As a result of oxidation of surface functional groups, activated carbons typically have a non-polar or weakly polar surface (Yang, 2013). This way, it is widely used to purify, detoxify, deodorize, filter, discolor and remove a range of liquid and gaseous materials.

Almost all solid carbonaceous material can be converted to activated carbon. However, some characteristics must be taken into account when choosing the raw material, such as carbon content. The raw material must be high in carbon and low in inorganic matter (ash). Other important factors are the cost and availability of the raw material. Recently, agricultural residues have aroused a great deal of interest in the production of activated biochar, because they originate from renewable and low-cost resources (Chen et al., 2011; Kalderis et al., 2008). In this way, agricultural residues that would be normally discarded gained a new potential for usability, being transformed into **valuable** products, such as activated carbons.

The process of production of activated carbon typically involves three steps: the preparation of the raw material, carbonization, and activation. In each of these steps, the control of the operational conditions is decisive for the structural properties of the final product.

Preparation of raw material consists of removing any dirt or foreign residue that **does not** belong to the material. It also involves steps of grinding, for highest homogeneity during thermal decomposition, and oven-drying **in advance of** carbonization.

Carbonization consists of thermal treatment (pyrolysis) of the precursor material (raw material) in an inert atmosphere, at a temperature above 200 °C. In this step, the volatile components and light gases (CO, H₂, CO₂ and CH₄) are removed, leaving behind a porous carbon structure amenable to subsequent activation (Claudino, 2003). Biochars obtained from lignocellulosic materials are essentially microporous. However, these pores are often fully or partially filled by thermal decomposition products, such as tar (Rodriguez-Reinoso and Silvestre-Albero, 2016). Activation promotes the removal of organic compounds as well as other residues that can obstruct the pores of the biochar, forming sites with enhanced adsorptive capacity (Rocha et al., 2006).

The activation process impacts on the basic characteristics of the material, such as pore distribution and volume, density, specific surface area, and mechanical strength. Activation exposes the aromatic groups to the oxidizing agents and initiates the development of the microporous structure, characterizing the first phase of the process. In the second phase, the decomposition of the walls among adjacent pores promotes pore increase and the creation of large pores (Yang, 2013).

Activation can be carried out by chemical and physical processes. Chemical activation relies on the action of inorganic additives, such as H₃PO₄, HNO₃, ZnCl₂, H₂SO₄, NaOH, among others, to degrade and dehydrate the lignocellulosic material and, simultaneously, inhibit contraction during carbonization (Mestre and Carvalho, 2018). It consists of the impregnation of these activating agents into the not yet carbonized material. The impregnated material is then carbonized (between 400-800 °C) in the absence of oxygen (Contescu et al., 2018).

Activating agents generally have a dehydrating property, providing the formation of crosslinks, rendering the material less prone to volatilization when heated to elevated temperature. In this way, the formation of bituminous compounds inside the pores is inhibited. The product is cooled and washed to remove the remainder of the activating agent. In calcination, the chemical agents dehydrate the carbonaceous matter, resulting in the creation of the pores. Peng et al. (2017) managed to enhance **Cu(II)** and **Cd(II)** adsorption by modifying biochars with H_3PO_4 . Trakal et al. (2014) used KOH activating agent to enhance **Cu(II)** adsorption onto brewers draft biochar. Hadjittofi and Pashalidis (2015) used HNO_3 oxidation in cactus fibers biochar for **U(VI)** adsorption from aqueous solutions. Wu et al. (2019) used **Fe(II, III)** modified rice straw-derived biochar in soils to retain phosphorous. The authors found that the adsorption capacity of **Fe(II)**-biochar was 2.4 times higher than biochar without any Fe. Recently, Teng et al. (2020) employed pinecone biochar as an adsorbing material. They found that this material has great potential to adsorb **Cd(II)**, reaching a maximum of 92.7 mg g^{-1} of capacity. The review from Xiong et al. (2019) highlighted that biochar is considered an emerging low-cost adsorbent, already been used to remove toxic elements such as Cr, Cu, As, and Pb from water sources.

Physical activation, **also called thermal activation**, is the process of slow oxidation of the **char**, through which it develops a porous structure, increasing its surface area. This type of activation is performed after the carbonization process at a temperature between $700\text{-}1000^\circ\text{C}$ under appropriate flow of oxidizing gas (water steam or CO_2 , used individually or in combination). This process is also known as partial gasification (Schettino Junior, 2004).

After **physical or chemical** activation, the activated biochar is subjected to subsequent steps, such as cooling, sieving, washing, drying, polishing, granulometric separation and packing.

Although chemical activation provides a greater yield, since it employs lower temperatures and shorter activation time (Maciá-Agulló et al., 2004), the cost of activating agents, combined with the additional washing step, may favor the use of physical activation. The advantages of physical activation are the possibility of developing a more porous structure with a larger active area, besides having a smaller environmental impact in terms of secondary pollution generation. Rajapaksha et al. (2015) reported the enhanced removal of sulfamethazine (SMT) from water by steam-activated biochar synthesized from an invasive plant (*Sicyos angulatus* L.). Franciski et al. (2018) used CO₂ activated biochar from solid wastes of a beer industry for methylene blue adsorption. As reported by Zhang et al. (2019), **sludge-based biochar activated with CO₂** enhanced **Pb(II)** adsorption.

Activation is an essential tool for the production of biochar with higher specific surface area and highly developed porosity, promoting the increase in the adsorption capacity (Gorgulho et al., 2008). In the process of activation, it is desirable to obtain a product with high internal surface area. In general, the product of activation presents a large total pore volume and a high internal surface area in the range between 300 and 2500 m² g⁻¹ (Yang, 2013).

Water molecules are much smaller than CO₂ molecules, which facilitates vapor diffusion through the porous network. As a result, steam activation yields both micropores and mesopores with a wider range of pore size distribution, whereas CO₂ activation essentially forms and widens micropores (Dalai and Azargohar, 2007; Feng et al., 2018). Also, CO₂ activated biochar tends to be more thermally stable (Molina-Sabio et al., 1996).

IEA-R1, the largest research nuclear reactor in Brazil, is located at the Nuclear and Energy Research Institute (IPEN-CNEN/SP). Currently, the reactor's fuel elements are composed of low enriched uranium (LEU), i.e., up to < 20 % enriched uranium (²³⁵U isotope), assembled as fuel plates of U₃Si₂ dispersed in Al. This fuel is manufactured in IPEN's Nuclear Fuel Center, in sequential steps of the nuclear fuel cycle.

During the step of precipitation of uranium tetrafluoride (UF₄), the effluent is collected and subjected to an initial treatment for prior removal of stannous ions (Sn²⁺) and fluoride ions (F⁻), which are present at very high concentrations, about 50 g L⁻¹ of Sn and 56 g L⁻¹ of F. This previous treatment involves sequential precipitation as Sn(OH)₄ and CaF₂, decreasing these species' concentrations in the effluent to acceptable regulatory levels of < 4.0 µg L⁻¹ for Sn and < 10.0 µg L⁻¹ for F, according to Brazil's National Council for the Environment, CONAMA (CONAMA, 2011). The first precipitation step is performed by adding Na₂CO₃ to hydrolyze Sn as Sn(OH)₄. At this step, part of the uranium is adsorbed on the precipitate because uranium forms a soluble complex with the carbonate. In the second step, CaO is added for F removal as CaF₂ and U, in the form of uranyl fluoride (UO₂F₂), is concomitantly precipitated as calcium diuranate (CaU₂O₇).

Although uranium gets partially removed in this two-step precipitation stage, a remnant concentration of approx. 5 mg L⁻¹ of uranium persists in solution, exceeding regulatory levels (CNEN, 2014) and, therefore, it cannot be discharged into the environment as an industrial effluent. The dispersion of radionuclides such as uranium in the aqueous media (surface water and groundwater) can cause serious consequences linked to water consumption. Radionuclides can be taken up and concentrated by aquatic plants and animals, thereby posing a potential risk to animals higher in the food chain, including humans. Also, the radionuclides can deposit in the bottom sediments and concentrate over time, increasing their long term availability to bottom feeders. All of these can have larger implications in particular ecosystems with consequent impacts in biodiversity (IAEA, 2005).

To date, a variety of carbon-based adsorbents has been investigated for U removal from wastewater including activated carbons (Abbasi and Streat, 1994; Kütahyalı and Eral, 2010; Mellah et al., 2006; Öter and Selçuk Zorer, 2019; Saputra et al., 2019) and biochars (Dai et al., 2020; Guilhen et al., 2019a; Guilhen et al., 2019b; Hadjittofi and Pashalidis, 2015; Zhang et al., 2013; Ding et al., 2018). Previous investigations have demonstrated the efficiency of the non-activated macauba biochar in the removal of uranium (Guilhen et al., 2018, 2019a; 2019b). However, since activated biochars have previously indicated improved sorption capacities, the study of activated macauba biochar for the removal of uranium is justified. To the best of our knowledge, systematic investigations on the effects of physical activation on uranium removal from aqueous solution using macauba endocarp-derived biochar are virtually inexistent in the literature, despite the prevalence of this biomass in tropical regions of the Americas.

222 Macauba is used for production of oil and can also be used for production of biodiesel
223 (Rivera-Utrilla et al., 2011). As a result, large quantities of discarded endocarp
224 accumulate and can pose environmental problems. Identifying an effective use for this
225 material would therefore bring about not only environmental, but also economic
226 benefits (as a result of reduced demand for unsustainable and costly activated carbon).
227 According to our previous investigation (Guilhen et al., 2019b), the low-temperature
228 biochar, namely BC350, was identified as the most promising sorbent among those
229 assessed. Thus, this paper focuses on exploring further improvements that can be
230 achieved by doing the activation of this selected material.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

The endocarp from the macauba palm coconut was supplied by SOLEÁ BRASIL (João Pinheiro, MG, Brazil). A standard solution of 1000 mg L⁻¹ of uranium was prepared through the dissolution of U₃O₈ certified reference material (CRM 129-A, natural ²³⁸U), supplied by New Brunswick Laboratory (New Brunswick, NJ, USA). This solution was used to prepare both adsorption and calibration solutions. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm resistivity) and analytical grade nitric acid (Merck, Darmstadt, HE, Germany).

2.2. Sample preparation

After an initial selection step for the removal of dirt and unbroken coconuts, the clean shells were ground in a cutting mill FA300 (Rone Ind. Com. De Máquinas Ltda., Carapicuíba, SP, Brazil) passing over a 3/8 mesh screen and subsequently air-dried at 100 °C for 3 h.

2.3. Biochar production

A Thermo Fisher Scientific (Asheville, NC, USA), Lindberg Blue M, horizontal tubular steel reactor, with a heating range from 100 to 1100 °C, was used to perform the

pyrolysis (Fig. 1). The pyrolysis temperature was set by a central temperature controller and a type K thermocouple was used as secondary control inside the reactor, in the same heating zone where the sample was placed. The samples were placed in an alumina vessel and inserted in the reactor's tube with 0.055 m internal diameter through water-cooled flanges.

Before initiating the pyrolysis, the reactor was purged with argon (Ar) for 20 min. Once the targeted temperature was adjusted, the heating took place under inert argon atmosphere. The carbonized samples were denominated as "BCT", in which "T" corresponds to the highest treatment temperature (HTT); for instance: BC350 is the biochar obtained at the HTT of 350 °C.

Approximately 30 g of dry endocarp was processed at a time, applying an argon gas flow of 40 mL min⁻¹ and a 5 °C min⁻¹ heating rate. The sample was kept at a specific HTT for 1 h (residence time). Finally, the cooled biochar was ground in a cutting mill passing over a 120 mesh screen.

2.4. Adsorption experiments

Batch technique was used for the equilibrium adsorption experiments, which were performed at room temperature (25 °C) in a rotary shaker MA140 (Marconi Equipamentos para Laboratórios, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil) using 100 mL glass Beckers at 120 rpm stirring rate for 24 h. The adsorbent was separated by filtration using an SCP Science 0.45 microns Teflon membrane filter (Baie-D'Urfé, QC, Canada) and the U(VI)

concentration in the reminiscent filtrate solution was determined using a Spectro
ARCOS ICP OES (Kleve, NRW, Germany).

The adsorption capacity (mg g^{-1}) of the adsorbent was calculated using Eq. (1):

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times V}{M} \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

where “ q_t ” is the adsorbed amount of adsorbate per gram of adsorbent at any time “ t ”,
“ C_0 ” and “ C_t ” the concentrations of the adsorbate in the initial solution and at any time
“ t ”, respectively (mg L^{-1}); “ V ” the volume of the adsorbate solution added (L) and “ M ”
the amount of the adsorbent used (g).

The extraction efficiency was determined through the following equation (Eq. (2)):

$$R (\%) = \left(\frac{C_o - C_t}{C_o} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

where “ R ” is the extraction efficiency or retention percentage, “ C_0 ” (mg L^{-1}) is the
initial concentration of each adsorbate and “ C_t ” (mg L^{-1}) represents the concentration of
the adsorbate at time “ t ”.

2.4.1. Adsorption using activated BC

Although BC350 performed very promisingly in the removal of uranium from aqueous solutions (Guilhen et al., 2019b), the remaining solution still exceeded regulatory levels (CNEN, 2014). In order to verify if activation enabled the improvement in the adsorption capacity of the macauba biochar, experiments were conducted, comparing both activated (BC350A) and non-activated biochar (BC350), considering various initial uranium concentrations.

To do so, independent duplicates with different U(VI) concentrations, ranging from 1 to 200 mg L⁻¹, were prepared in pH 3 and put in contact with a 10 g L⁻¹ dosage of the adsorbents (BC350-A and BC350). The system was subjected to a 120 rpm stirring rate at room temperature for 24 h. These adsorption parameters have been previously established through experimentation and optimized for maximum uranium removal (Guilhen et al., 2019a).

2.5. Physical activation

The process of physical activation, also known as partial degasification (Contescu et al., 2018), was applied to the BC350. When CO₂ is used as activating agent, the activation equation can be described according to the reverse-Boudouard reaction:



318

319 $\Delta H \cong + 170 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at 298 K.

320

321 The reaction is endothermic, i.e., the product is higher in energy than the reactants.

322 Therefore, the change in enthalpy is positive and heat must be supplied to favor the

323 reaction. Temperatures above 700 °C are required to promote the reaction.

324

325 The activation was carried out by heating the BC350 up until 850 °C under Ar

326 atmosphere at a 10 °C min⁻¹ heating rate. Once the sample reached 850 °C, the Ar327 supply was interrupted and a 20 mL min⁻¹ flow of CO₂ was introduced in the pyrolysis

328 reactor (Castro, 2009), replacing the Ar flow. The sample was kept under these

329 conditions for 2 h.

330

331 After activation, the sample was acid washed using 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of HCl to remove

332 impurities from inside the pores, followed by subsequent washes with warm and cool

333 distilled water. The activated sample, denominated “BC350-A”, was oven-dried at 110

334 °C and stored in a desiccator before further use.

335

336 **2.6. N₂ adsorption analysis**

337

The N₂ adsorption analysis at 77 K was performed using Micromeritics, TriStar II 3020 V1.03 (Norcross, GA, USA), surface, and porosity analyzer.

2.7 Adsorption isotherm models

Four isotherm models (Langmuir, Freundlich, Toth and Redlich-Peterson) were tested for modeling the adsorption isotherms by nonlinear method. See the supplementary material for further details on the isotherm models.

2.8 Parameter estimation

A “search method” called *Downhill Simplex* was used to optimize the parameters in order to adjust the mathematical models that most accurately represent the observed data. See the supplementary material for a full description of the method.

2.9 Characterization

Nitrogen adsorption analysis was used to characterize the biochar’s specific surface area and adsorption isotherms were obtained to assess the U(VI) adsorption onto the activated biochar. Surface analysis was evaluated by Fourier-Transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), the morphology of the activated and non-activated biochars was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis and the presence of U(VI) was detected by micro X-ray fluorescence spectrometry.

Surface analysis was carried out using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One FTIR spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, USA). High-resolution imaging of both activated and non-activated biochars were obtained using a FEI Quanta 650FEG scanning electron microscope (FEI Company, Hillsboro, USA). A Shimadzu Micro X-ray fluorescence spectrometer μ -EDX 1300 (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used to confirm the presence of uranium in the activated biochar. A Spectro ARCOS inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (Spectro Analytical Instruments Co., Kleve, Germany) and a Thermo iCAP inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) were employed for multi-element analysis.

2.10 Application in treatment of U(VI) effluent

A multi-elemental analysis of the residual effluent, previously treated to remove Sn and F, was performed in order to determine which other elements were present in such amounts that could interfere or compete in the process of U(VI) adsorption. Also, the residual effluent is alkaline (pH approx. 8) and a previous study with BC350 showed that a better performance was achieved when U(VI) solution was adjusted to pH 3 (Guilhen et al., 2019a). The adsorption parameters have been optimized using the biochar obtained at 350 °C (BC350), because it was the temperature at which the gravimetric yield factor provided the best compromise between fixed carbon and gravimetric yield (Guilhen et al., 2019b).

384 The removal of U(VI) from the aqueous pre-treated UF₄ effluent using BC350-A was
385 evaluated by testing three sub-samples, with solution pH values adjusted from 8 to 3
386 before addition of the adsorbent (Guilhen et al., 2019a). Inductively coupled plasma
387 mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used for the determination of the remaining U(VI) in
388 solution.

389

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Activation

Uranium adsorption onto BC350-A was evaluated for a wide range of solution concentrations between 1 and 200 mg L⁻¹, adjusted to pH 3, using an adsorbent dosage of 10 g L⁻¹. The results are shown in Fig. 2.

The uranium removal was significantly higher on the activated biochar (BC350-A), achieving over 99 % removal for an initial concentration of 5 mg L⁻¹, whereas for the non-activated biochar (BC350), the removal efficiency achieved was of 80.5 % at the same initial concentration (Guilhen et al., 2019b). The average remaining concentration of U(VI) was 0.041 mg L⁻¹ (41 µg L⁻¹) and the final pH measured was 5.7. Additionally, the removal efficiency was over 90 % and practically constant for a wider range of concentrations (2.5 to 25 mg L⁻¹) when the activated BC was used.

The adsorption capacity (q) of BC350-A increased with increasing initial uranium concentrations. At an initial uranium concentration of 5 mg L⁻¹, the adsorption capacity was 422.0 mg g⁻¹ for BC350 (Guilhen et al., 2019b) and 488.7 mg g⁻¹ for BC350-A (Table S3). The highest uranium adsorption capacity ($q = 11,750$ mg g⁻¹) in the range investigated was achieved at an initial concentration of 200 mg L⁻¹ when BC350-A was used.

The BC350-A behavior can be explained in terms of exchangeable sites in the activated adsorbent structure, evidencing that the activation process successfully increased the adsorption sites in the biochar structure. According to Gulnaz et al. (2004), the initial increase in the adsorbate's concentration will increase the driving force of the concentration gradient, causing an increase in adsorption capacity.

As the ratio of uranium to BC350-A ($[U]/m_{BC350-A}$) increases, the exchangeable sites in the BC structure get saturated, resulting in decreasing driving force and removal efficiency. In contrast, the adsorption capacity increased with initial uranium concentration (constant mass of adsorbent), which may be due to an increase in the driving force of the concentration gradient as the initial adsorbate concentration increased.

The adsorption capacity achieved for an initial uranium concentration of 5 mg L^{-1} ($q_e = 488.7 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$) was used to compare the efficiency of BC350-A with other materials, which have been previously tested as adsorbents for U(VI). When compared to other organic, inorganic and biological adsorbents reported in literature, BC350-A is among the highest performing adsorbents for U(VI) adsorption (Table S1).

3.2 N₂ adsorption analysis

N₂ adsorption analysis allowed the determination of the specific surface area (BET), pore volume and mean pore size for the activated and non-activated biochar samples, BC350-A and BC350, respectively. The results obtained are presented in Table S2.

437

438 As expected, the BET surface area increased with activation. Biochar activation took
439 place at 850 °C, 500 degrees higher than the pyrolytic temperature employed to produce
440 the BC350 sample. Surface area increased with increasing temperatures due to the
441 escape of volatile substances and the formation of channels in the biochar structure. The
442 porosity development is further promoted by the gasification process during physical
443 activation with CO₂. The activation results are consistent with what has been described
444 in the literature (Ahmad et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2013).

445

446 The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherm for BC350 and BC350-A are shown in Fig. S1.
447 Type II-isotherm best fits the BC350, according to the IUPAC's classification (Martín-
448 Martínez, 1990; Thommes et al., 2015). Type II isotherms are observed for nonporous
449 or macroporous materials.

450

451 Generally, isotherms obtained for physically activated charcoals tend to correspond to
452 the Type IV, traditionally attributed to mesoporous solids with a significant
453 microporous fraction (Crini and Badot, 2011). However, the isotherm obtained for
454 BC350-A is compatible with Type I isotherms, according to the IUPAC's classification
455 (Thommes et al., 2015), which represent microporous solids.

456

457 Type I isotherms show a rapid increase in adsorbed gas pressure as pressure increases
458 until reaching a plateau. This is due to the great ease of adsorption in pores with
459 diameters smaller than 2 nm. After micropore filling, there are virtually no other regions

where adsorption is significant. The curve, therefore, exhibits an almost constant region and grows back when condensation begins to take place (Teixeira et al., 2001).

The isotherm shown in Fig. S1 (BC350-A) exhibits a hysteresis cycle. The upward curve represents the measurements obtained by progressive addition of the adsorbent gas (adsorption) until saturation, while the downward curve represents the progressive withdrawal (desorption) (Rouquerol et al., 1999). According to the IUPAC's classification (Martín-Martínez, 1990), this cycle has the aspect of "H4", being associated with narrow slit pores with wedge shapes, cones and/or parallel plates and cylindrical capillaries open at both ends (Lowell et al., 2012; Sing, 1985; Thommes, 2010; Thommes et al., 2015, 2013).

It is also observed that for low relative pressure values ($P/P_0 \approx 0,1$), the volume of N_2 adsorbed by BC350-A is $3 \times 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$, which is much higher compared to the volume adsorbed by BC350 ($1.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$). This increase in adsorbed volume at low relative pressures is due to a higher microporous development ($< 2 \text{ nm}$), which leads to a larger specific surface area in the BC350-A. When $P/P_0 \approx 1$, the volume of adsorbed gas is also higher for the activated sample and, in this case, this is related to the maximum capacity of total gas adsorption by the solid, evidencing the highest porosity in the activated biochar.

Pore size distribution for BC350 is shown in Fig. S2. A predominance of medium-sized porous, characteristic of mesoporous materials (2 to 50 nm), with an average pore size of 30 nm was observed. However, a significant macroporous fraction ($> 50 \text{ nm}$) was

observed, which is consistent to the surface area of $0.83 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (0.5 to $2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) as shown in Table S2.

Pore size distribution for BC350-A is shown in Fig. S2. A prevalence of micropores can be observed as a consequence of the physical activation, contributing to the increase of micropore volume (Table S2). The average pore size of BC350-A was 2.9 nm and the pore volume was $0.3 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$, characterizing the activated material as essentially microporous. Therefore, the isotherm data were adjusted by the t-plot method.

Comparison of the porous structure of activated and non-activated samples indicates that the activation process clearly led to an increase in porosity of activated samples. The porosity (P) of BC350-A was determined as the volume of voids in the biochar, calculated according to Eq. (4):

$$P(\%) = \frac{(\text{True density} - \text{Bulk density}) \times 100\%}{\text{True density}} \quad \text{Eq. (4)}$$

BC350-A's true (1.98 g cm^{-3}) and bulk densities (0.74 g cm^{-3}) were applied in Eq. (4) and the calculated porosity (P) is approximately 63 %. Therefore, BC350-A can be classified as a material of very high porosity ($P > 50 \%$) (Fiori and Carmignani, 2001).

3.3 Adsorption isotherms

The results of the U adsorption on the activated biochar, BC350-A, are presented in Table S3 and could be mathematically represented by isotherms. Langmuir, Freundlich, Toth and Redlich-Peterson isotherms were employed in the study of the U/BC350-A system. The obtained graphs are shown in Fig. 3.

The respective parameters, obtained by non-linear regression for each isotherm model, are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Experimental parameters of the adsorption isotherm models of the U/BC350-A system.

| | | | | |
|------------|---|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Langmuir | Q_{\max} (mg g ⁻¹) | K_L (L mg ⁻¹) | $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ | |
| | 107.08 | 2.12 | 0.883 | |
| Freundlich | $K_F ((\text{mg g}^{-1})(\text{mg L}^{-1})^{-1/n_F})$ | n_F | $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ | |
| | 69.94 | 2.09 | 0.927 | |
| Toth | K_T (L mg ⁻¹) | a_T (L mg ⁻¹) | t | $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ |
| | 2.22 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.872 |
| R-P | K_{RP} (L g ⁻¹) | a_{RP} (mg L ⁻¹) ^{-β} | β | $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ |
| | 93.59 | 16.48 | 0.19 | 0.955 |

The highest degree of correlation, using Pearson's correlation coefficient (R^2), was obtained for Freundlich and Redlich-Peterson adsorption isotherms at 0.93 and 0.95, respectively, compared to Langmuir and Toth adsorption isotherms (0.88 and 0.87, respectively).

However, the R^2 values for Freundlich and Redlich-Peterson are very close to each other, making it difficult to use this parameter as a selection criterion. Thus, an estimate of the best fit for the isotherm model was performed by calculating different equations for deviation estimate, also called "error functions" (Foo and Hameed, 2010) as shown in Table S4.

Since mathematical models are only an attempt to describe reality (Ncibi, 2008), the deviation estimates are better suited to obtain a more accurate fit. The deviation estimates, shown in Table S4, compare the experimental q_e (q_{exp}), described in Table S3, with the calculated q_e (q_{calc}) using the equations shown in Table S4. The lower values of these estimates indicate the best fitting isotherms.

The most suitable model for satisfactorily describing the adsorption phenomenon of the U/BC350-A system is based on the highest determination coefficient (R^2) and the lowest values of RAE, SSR, MPSD, HYBRID, SAE and X^2 altogether.

As can be seen in Table S5, the Redlich-Peterson model provided the best fit of the experimental data, suggesting heterogeneity of adsorption sites (Olivelli et al., 2013),

with different affinities for uranium, or the existence of a cooperative effect (Hinz, 2001), which increases the affinity between uranyl ions and adsorption sites.

Since the Redlich-Peterson equation is a hybrid isotherm, that incorporates functionalities of both the Langmuir and the Freundlich isotherms (Foo and Hameed, 2010), it was expected that the fit of the experimental data for this equation would be better than that obtained with the Langmuir and Freundlich equations. The modeling reveals, thus, a hybrid adsorption mechanism.

This supports previous findings in recent literature. For instance, Li et al. (2019) employed biochar derived from *Ficus microcarpa* aerial root and also compared raw and modified biochar. Their findings also indicated the Redlich-Peterson to be the best fitting isotherm for both biochars ($R^2 = 0.96$ for raw biochar, $R^2 = 0.98$ for modified biochar). Another example is the work of Vieira et al. (2019), who studied the adsorption of U(VI) onto macrophytes. For *Pistia stratiotes*, the Redlich-Peterson equation provided the best fit for the experimental data ($R^2 = 0.86$, corrected Akaike information criterion = -175.2).

3.4 Characterization

A comparison of FTIR spectra of BC350 and BC350-A is shown in Fig. 4. The FTIR spectra for BC350 have been already discussed in a previous study (Guilhen et al., 2019b) and Fig. 4 contrasts it against that of activated biochar.

The absorption bands attributed to the –OH stretching of hydroxyl and carboxylic acid groups (3600–3300 cm^{-1}) and to the C=C stretching of aromatic structures (1650–1520 cm^{-1}), that are practically non-existent in the BC350-A spectrum, are much more pronounced in the BC350 spectrum. Previous findings (Guilhen et al., 2019b) showed that the uranyl ions were adsorbed onto BC350 by chemisorption, enabled by hydroxyl and carboxylic acid surface groups. Other bands corresponding to the –CH₂ and –CH₃ stretching of aliphatic chains (2942 cm^{-1}) and aromatic –CO and phenolic –OH at 1270 cm^{-1} were also considerably reduced in the BC350-A sample.

These spectra are in agreement with previous observations (Guilhen et al., 2019b) and are the result of the process of thermal decomposition, in which the increase in the pyrolytic temperature causes loss of surface functional groups and subsequent decrease in acidity and polarity of the surface (Kim et al., 2013), indicating that physisorption is the ruling mechanism of adsorption in the U/BC350-A system and was enabled because of the increased specific surface area of the BC350-A.

The micrographs shown in Figs. 5 and 6 correspond to the topography images of the BC350 and BC350-A, respectively.

Despite the high heterogeneity of the surfaces, the morphology of BC350 is very characteristic of chars, with porous cavities and intertwined channels formed by the transport of water vapor and volatiles to the surface of the material (Bandosz, 2006).

In the micrographs of BC350-A (shown in Fig. 6) it is possible to observe a morphology that is characteristic of activated chars. The release of volatile components promoted the formation of a structure with vascular bundles or channels that provide greater permeability to the material due to the increased surface area.

These microscopic images evidence the role of activation in removing residues that remained from the biomass decomposition and the volatilization of bituminous substances and tars during the process of carbonization, in which rudimentary pores are already created. On physical activation, these residues react with the flow of gases (in this case, CO₂) at elevated temperatures (850 °C), getting oxidized. As a result, the pre-existing pores get cleared and widened (because CO₂ oxidizes the carbonaceous material present inside the pores as well), increasing the surface area. An increased surface area exposes more surface groups, favoring chemical and physical interactions with uranyl ions.

Energy-dispersive micro X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (Micro-XRF) was employed to detect the presence of adsorbed uranium in BC350-A. This technique was selected over the conventional XRF because of its sensitivity, capable of detecting uranium in lower concentrations. Therefore, this technique provides evidence that the uranium was actually absorbed onto BC350-A. The results are shown in Table S6.

The characteristic X-rays emitted by the innermost layers of a given element are grouped under the name K_α and K_β, resulting from electronic transitions L → K and M → K, respectively (Lyman series). Similarly, the characteristic X-ray emission lines L_α

and L_{β} originate from the electronic transition of the layers $M \rightarrow L$ ($L_{\alpha 1}$, $L_{\alpha 2}$ e $L_{\beta 1}$) and $N \rightarrow L$ ($L_{\beta 2}$) (Balmer series) (Khoury Asfora, 2010).

The emission line K_{α} characteristic of “Fe” has a very low intensity, indicating its presence as a probable impurity in the material. The K_{α} and $K_{\alpha C}$ (Compton) lines of Rh are due to the Rh tube (the X-ray source).

Exposure of the sample to the X-ray beam promotes the excitation of the L layers in the adsorbed U. The β transition lines of U (16.48 keV and 17.23 keV) are the least likely to occur and have higher energy than the α transition line (13.66 keV). The indices “1” and “2” in the transitions $L_{\beta 1}$ and $L_{\beta 2}$ indicate the transition between the sublayers $M-IV \rightarrow L-II$ and $N-V \rightarrow L-III$. The most intense transition occurs in L_{α} . Since uranium has electrons distributed in layers of orders higher than M, it is also possible to identify a transition U M_{β} referring to the transition $N-V$ ($O \rightarrow N-3$ (M) (Paschen series).

Micro-XRF analysis provided an efficient way to identify the U present in the adsorbent, thus proving the effective adsorption onto BC350-A.

3.5 Application in UF_4 effluent treatment

As can be seen in Table 2, the sequential initial treatment reduced the concentration of Sn and F species in solution to values lower than the allowable limits according to the

Resolution nº 430 of the Brazilian National Council for the Environment, CONAMA (CONAMA, 2011).

Table 2. Sn, U and F levels after the pre-treatment in the Nuclear Fuel Center.

| Element ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) | Effluent (Initial) | Effluent (Final) | Maximum levels | Regulatory Agency |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Sn | $5 \times 10^4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^2$ | < LOD | 4 | CONAMA (2011) |
| U | $7.6 \times 10^1 \pm 3 \times 10^{-1}$ | 5.1 ± 0.6 | 2.2×10^{-1} | CNEN (2014) |
| F | $5.6 \times 10^4 \pm 1.4 \times 10^2$ | 5.7 ± 0.5 | 10 | CONAMA (2011) |

*LOD = $0.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$

However, CONAMA's Resolution Nº 430 (CONAMA, 2011) does not include the maximum values for U release. Therefore, the liberation of effluents containing U must comply with CNEN's resolution CNEN-NN-8.01 (CNEN, 2014), for which the maximum limit for the mixture of U isotopes in the UF_4 effluent (80.25 % for ^{238}U and 19.75 % ^{235}U isotopic ratio) was calculated according to their respective specific activities, considering an allowable discharge level of 5.6 kBq m^{-3} for both isotopes (see section 3.5 of the supplementary Material).

According to the results presented in Table 2, the two-step treatment resulted in a solution in which the remaining U concentration was 5 mg L^{-1} , therefore, not efficient enough to comply with the regulatory demands (CNEN, 2014).

A complementary treatment of this solution, initially at pH 8, was performed using the activated biochar (BC350-A) and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 3. After adsorption, the remaining U concentration in solution was $1.1 \times 10^{-1} \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (2.8 kBq m^{-3}). The presence of Na^+ ions in the solution ($2.7 \times 10^3 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) has likely influenced the adsorption process, decreasing the removal efficiency initially achieved for the aqueous solution (Na^+ free) from 99.2% ($4.1 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) to 97.9% ($1.1 \times 10^{-1} \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Other elements such as Mg, B, Zn, Ni, As, Cu, Ba, Cr, Pb, Mn, Se, Fe, Cd and Ag were also determined in the UF_4 effluent by ICP OES, but none of them scored higher than $1 \times 10^{-1} \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, therefore, their influence were considered negligible regarding coexistence interactions. Moreover, the U concentration in the treated solution was below the maximum allowable limits of 5.6 kBq m^{-3} (CNEN, 2014).

4. CONCLUSION

CO_2 physical activation significantly enhanced the adsorption capacity of macauba endocarp-derived biochar for uranyl ions in aqueous solutions, achieving over 99 % removal for an initial concentration of 5 mg L^{-1} . The activation process led to an increase in porosity with a specific surface area increasing from 0.83 to $643 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Chemical surface of the biochar was significantly affected by activation. According to the FTIR analysis, the activation process increased the aromaticity of the biochar, indicating that the uranium adsorption was carried out by physical interactions. The adsorption of uranyl ions onto the activated biochar was confirmed by μ -EDX analysis. The Redlich-Peterson three-parameter equation isotherm model was the most

appropriate for fitting the experimental data, suggesting heterogeneity of adsorption sites with different affinities for uranium setting up as a hybrid adsorption mechanism. Finally, treating an actual U-containing effluent with BC350-A has proven to be efficient in meeting the regulatory requirements. This study has therefore shown that an ubiquitous low-cost biomass material such as macauba palm endocarp can be successfully converted to activated biochar and used in treatment of industrial effluents, specifically those containing uranyl ions.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mr. Felipe Morbi, CEO of SOLEÁ BRASIL (João Pinheiro, Brazil), for supplying the macauba endocarp. This study was financed in part by the Coordination for the Improvement of Personnel in Higher Education (CAPES – “*Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior*” – Brasil), Finance Code 001, and by the National Nuclear Energy Commission (CNEN).

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, W.A., Streat, M., 1994. Adsorption of uranium from aqueous solutions using activated carbon. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 29, 1217–1230.
- Ahmad, M., Lee, S.S., Dou, X., Mohan, D., Sung, J.-K., Yang, J.E., Ok, Y.S., 2012. Effects of pyrolysis temperature on soybean stover-and peanut shell-derived biochar properties and TCE adsorption in water. *Bioresour. Technol.* 118, 536–544.

- 695 Bandosz, T.J., 2006. Activated carbon surfaces in environmental remediation. Elsevier.
- 696 Bansal, R.C., Goyal, M., 2005. Activated carbon adsorption. CRC press.
- 697 Castro, C.S., 2009. Preparação de carvão ativado a partir de borra de café: uso como
698 adsorvente e como suporte catalítico para a remoção de poluentes orgânicos em
699 meio aquoso.
- 700 Chen, X., Chen, G., Chen, L., Chen, Y., Lehmann, J., McBride, M.B., Hay, A.G., 2011.
701 Adsorption of copper and zinc by biochars produced from pyrolysis of hardwood
702 and corn straw in aqueous solution. *Bioresour. Technol.* 102, 8877–8884.
- 703 Chen, Z., Chen, B., Zhou, D., Chen, W., 2012. Bislute sorption and thermodynamic
704 behavior of organic pollutants to biomass-derived biochars at two pyrolytic
705 temperatures. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 12476–12483.
- 706 Claudino, A., 2003. Preparação de carvão ativado a partir de turfa e sua utilização na
707 remoção de poluentes.
- 708 CNEN, 2014. Norma CNEN NN 8.01 Resolução CNEN 167/14 Abril/2014. Gerência
709 de rejeitos radioativos de baixo e médio níveis de radiação - Resolução CNEN N°
710 167/14 44.
- 711 CONAMA, 2011. Condições, parâmetros, padrões e diretrizes de gestão do lançamento
712 de efluentes em corpos de água receptores. Brazil.
- 713 Contescu, C.I., Adhikari, S.P., Gallego, N.C., Evans, N.D., Biss, B.E., 2018. Activated
714 carbons derived from high-temperature pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. *C—*
715 *Journal Carbon Res.* 4, 51.
- 716 Crini, G., Badot, P.-M., 2011. Sorption processes and pollution: Conventional and non-
717 conventional sorbents for pollutant removal from wastemasters. Presses Univ.

- 718 Franche-Comté.
- 719 Dai, L., Li, L., Zhu, W., Ma, H., Huang, H., Lu, Q., Yang, M., Ran, Y., 2020. Post-
 720 engineering of biochar via thermal air treatment for highly efficient promotion of
 721 uranium (VI) adsorption. *Bioresour. Technol.* 298, 122576.
- 722 Dalai, A.K., Azargohar, R., 2007. Production of activated carbon from biochar using
 723 chemical and physical activation: mechanism and modeling. ACS Publications.
- 724 De Celis, J., Amadeo, N.E., Cukierman, A.L., 2009. In situ modification of activated
 725 carbons developed from a native invasive wood on removal of trace toxic metals
 726 from wastewater. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 161, 217–223.
- 727 Ding, L., Tan, W-F., Xie, S-B., Mumford, K., Lv, J-W., Wang, H-Q., Fang, Q., Zhang,
 728 X-W., Wu, X-Y., Li, M., 2018. Uranium Adsorption and Subsequent Re-Oxidation
 729 Under Aerobic Conditions by *Leifsonia* Sp. – Coated Biochar as Green Trapping
 730 Agent. *Environ. Pollut.* 242, 778–787.
- 731 Feng, D., Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., Sun, S., Gao, J., 2018. Improvement and maintenance of
 732 biochar catalytic activity for in-situ biomass tar reforming during pyrolysis and
 733 H₂O/CO₂ gasification. *Fuel Process. Technol.* 172, 106–114.
- 734 Fiori, A.P., Carmignani, L., 2001. Fundamentos de mecânica de solos e das rochas:
 735 aplicações na estabilidade de taludes. Editora da UFPR.
- 736 Foo, K.Y., Hameed, B.H., 2010. Insights into the modeling of adsorption isotherm
 737 systems. *Chem. Eng. J.* 156, 2–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2009.09.013>
- 738 Franciski, M. A., Peres, E. C., Godinho, M., Perondi, D., Foletto, E. L., Collazo, G. C.,
 739 Dotto, G. L., 2018. Development of CO₂ activated biochar from solid wastes of a
 740 beet industry and its application for methylene blue adsorption. *Waste Manag.* 78,
 741 630–638.

- 742 Gorgulho, H.F., Mesquita, J.P., Gonçalves, F., Pereira, M.F.R., Figueiredo, J.L., 2008.
743 Characterization of the surface chemistry of carbon materials by potentiometric
744 titrations and temperature-programmed desorption. *Carbon N. Y.* 46, 1544–1555.
- 745 Grand View Research, 2019. Activated Carbon Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis
746 Report 2019 - 2025 [WWW Document]. URL
747 <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/activated-carbon-market>
- 748 Guilhen, S. N., Rovani, S., Pitol Filho, L., Alves Fungaro, D., 2018. Kinetic study of
749 uranium removal from aqueous solutions by macaúba biochar. *Chem. Eng.*
750 *Commun.* 206, 1365–1377.
- 751 Guilhen, S. N., Coleti, J., Tenório, J.A.S., Fungaro, D.A., 2019a. Influence of pyrolytic
752 temperature on uranium adsorption capability by biochar derived from macauba
753 coconut residue. *Brazilian J. Radiat. Sci.* 7.
- 754 Guilhen, S. N., Mašek, O., Ortiz, N., Izidoro, J.C., Fungaro, D.A., 2019b. Pyrolytic
755 temperature evaluation of macauba biochar for uranium adsorption from aqueous
756 solutions. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 122, 381–390.
- 757 Gulnaz, O., Kaya, A., Matyar, F., Arikan, B., 2004. Sorption of basic dyes from
758 aqueous solution by activated sludge. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 108, 183–188.
- 759 Hadjittofi, L., Pashalidis, I., 2015. Uranium sorption from aqueous solutions by
760 activated biochar fibres investigated by FTIR spectroscopy and batch experiments.
761 *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 304, 897–904.
- 762 Hinz, C., 2001. Description of sorption data with isotherm equations. *Geoderma* 99,
763 225–243. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061\(00\)00071-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(00)00071-9)
- 764 IAEA – International Atomic Energy Agency, 2005. Environmental Contamination
765 from Uranium Production Facilities and their Remediation. Proceedings of an

- 766 International Workshop, Lisbon, 11-13 February 2004.
- 767 Kalderis, D., Bethanis, S., Paraskeva, P., Diamadopoulos, E., 2008. Production of
768 activated carbon from bagasse and rice husk by a single-stage chemical activation
769 method at low retention times. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 6809–6816.
- 770 Khoury Asfora, V., 2010. Fluorescência de Raios X por dispersão de energia aplicada à
771 caracterização de tijolos de sítios históricos de Pernambuco.
- 772 Kim, W.-K., Shim, T., Kim, Y.-S., Hyun, S., Ryu, C., Park, Y.-K., Jung, J., 2013.
773 Characterization of cadmium removal from aqueous solution by biochar produced
774 from a giant *Miscanthus* at different pyrolytic temperatures. *Bioresour. Technol.*
775 138, 266–270.
- 776 Kütahyalı, C., Eral, M., 2010. Sorption studies of uranium and thorium on activated
777 carbon prepared from olive stones: kinetic and thermodynamic aspects. *J. Nucl.*
778 *Mater.* 396, 251–256.
- 779 Kwiatkowski, J.F., 2011. Activated carbon: classifications, properties and applications.
780 Nova Science Publishers, Incorporated.
- 781 Le Cloirec, P., Faur-Brasquet, C., 2008. Adsorption of inorganic species from aqueous
782 solutions, in: *Adsorption by Carbons*. Elsevier, pp. 631–651.
- 783 Li, N., Yin, M., Tsang, D.C.W., Yang, S., Liu, J., Li, X., Song, G., Wang, J., 2019.
784 Mechanisms of U(VI) removal by biochar derived from *Ficus microcarpa* aerial
785 root: A comparison between raw and modified biochar. *Sci. Total Environ.* 697,
786 134115.
- 787 Lowell, S., Shields, J.E., Thomas, M.A., Thommes, M., 2012. Characterization of
788 porous solids and powders: surface area, pore size and density. Springer Science &
789 Business Media.

- 790 Maciá-Agulló, J.A., Moore, B.C., Cazorla-Amorós, D., Linares-Solano, A., 2004.
791 Activation of coal tar pitch carbon fibres: Physical activation vs. chemical
792 activation. Carbon N. Y. 42, 1367–1370.
- 793 Marsh, H., Reinoso, F.R., 2006. Activated carbon. Elsevier.
- 794 Martín-Martínez, J.M., 1990. Adsorción física de gases y vapores por carbones.
795 Universidad de Alicante. Secretariado de Publicaciones.
- 796 Mellah, A., Chegrouche, S., Barkat, M., 2006. The removal of uranium (VI) from
797 aqueous solutions onto activated carbon: kinetic and thermodynamic
798 investigations. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 296, 434–441.
- 799 Mestre, A.S., Carvalho, A.P., 2018. Nanoporous carbons synthesis: An old story with
800 exciting new chapters. Porosity; Ghrib, T., Ed.; IntechOpen London, UK 37–68.
- 801 Mezohegyi, G., van der Zee, F.P., Font, J., Fortuny, A., Fabregat, A., 2012. Towards
802 advanced aqueous dye removal processes: a short review on the versatile role of
803 activated carbon. J. Environ. Manage. 102, 148–164.
- 804 Molina-Sabio, M., Gonzalez, M.T., Rodriguez-Reinoso, F., Sepúlveda-Escribano, A.,
805 1996. Effect of steam and carbon dioxide activation in the micropore size
806 distribution of activated carbon. Carbon N. Y. 34, 505–509.
- 807 Ncibi, M.C., 2008. Applicability of some statistical tools to predict optimum adsorption
808 isotherm after linear and non-linear regression analysis. J. Hazard. Mater. 153,
809 207–212.
- 810 Nunell, G. V, Fernández, M.E., Bonelli, P.R., Cukierman, A.L., 2012. Conversion of
811 biomass from an invasive species into activated carbons for removal of nitrate
812 from wastewater. Biomass and bioenergy 44, 87–95.

- 813 Olivelli, M.S., Curutchet, G.A., Torres Sánchez, R.M., 2013. Uranium uptake by
814 montmorillonite-biomass complexes. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 52, 2273–2279.
- 815 Öter, Ç., Selçuk Zorer, Ö., 2019. Adsorption behaviours of Th (IV) and U(VI) using
816 nitric acid (HNO₃) modified activated carbon: equilibrium, thermodynamic and
817 kinetic studies. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* 1–16.
- 818 Peng, H., Gao, P., Chu, G., Pan, B., Peng, J., Sing, B., 2017. Enhanced adsorption of Cu
819 (II) and Cd (II) by phosphoric acid-modified biochars. *Environ. Pollut.* 229, 846–
820 853.
- 821 Rajapaksha, A. U., Vithanage, M., Ahmad, M., Seo, D-C., Cho, J-S., Lee, S-E., Lee, S-
822 S., Ok, Y. S., 2015. Enhanced sulfamethazine removal by steam-activated invasive
823 plant-derived biochar. *J. Haz. Mat.* 290, 43–50.
- 824 Ramanujan, R. V., Purushotham, S., Chia, M.H., 2007. Processing and characterization
825 of activated carbon coated magnetic particles for biomedical applications. *Mater.*
826 *Sci. Eng. C* 27, 659–664.
- 827 Rivera-Utrilla, J., Sánchez-Polo, M., Gómez-Serrano, V., Alvarez, P.M., Alvim-Ferraz,
828 M.C.M., Dias, J.M., 2011. Activated carbon modifications to enhance its water
829 treatment applications. An overview. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 187, 1–23.
- 830 Rocha, W.D. da, Luz, J.A.M. da, Lena, J.C. de, Bruña-Romero, O., 2006. Adsorção de
831 cobre por carvões ativados de endocarpo de noz macadâmia e de semente de
832 goiaba. *Rev. Esc. Minas* 59, 409–414.
- 833 Rodriguez-Reinoso, F., Silvestre-Albero, J., 2016. Activated carbon and adsorption.
- 834 Roman, S., Nabais, J.M.V., Ledesma, B., González, J.F., Laginhas, C., Titirici, M.M.,
835 2013. Production of low-cost adsorbents with tunable surface chemistry by
836 conjunction of hydrothermal carbonization and activation processes. *Microporous*

- 837 Mesoporous Mater. 165, 127–133.
- 838 Rouquerol, F., Rouquerol, J., Sing, K., 1999. CHAPTER 1 - Introduction, in:
839 Adsorption by Powders and Porous Solids, Academic Press, pp. 1-26.
- 840 Saputra, A., Swantomo, D., Ariyanto, T., Sulisty, H., 2019. Uranium Removal from
841 Wastewater Using $Mg(OH)_2$ -Impregnated Activated Carbon. Water, Air, Soil
842 Pollut. 230, 213.
- 843 Schettino Junior, M.Â., 2004. Ativação química do carvão de casca de Arroz Utilizando
844 NaOH. Programa Pós Grad. em Física Universidade Fed. do Espírito St.
- 845 Sing, K.S.W., 1985. Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems with special
846 reference to the determination of surface area and porosity (Recommendations
847 1984). Pure Appl. Chem. 57, 603–619.
- 848 Teixeira, V.G., Coutinho, F., Gomes, A.S., 2001. Principais métodos de caracterização
849 da porosidade de resinas à base de divinilbenzeno. Quim. Nova 24, 808–818.
- 850 Teng, D., Zhang, B., Xu, G., Wang, B., Mao, K., Wang, J., Sun, J., Feng, X., Yang, Z.
851 Zhang, H., 2020. Efficient removal of Cd (II) from aqueous solution by pinecone
852 biochar: Sorption performance and governing mechanisms. Environ. Pollut.
853 115001.
- 854 Thommes, M., 2010. Physical adsorption characterization of nanoporous materials.
855 Chemie Ing. Tech. 82, 1059–1073.
- 856 Thommes, M., Kaneko, K., Neimark, A. V., Olivier, J.P., Rodriguez-Reinoso, F.,
857 Rouquerol, J., Sing, K.S.W., 2015. Physisorption of gases, with special reference
858 to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical
859 Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 87, 1051–1069.

- Thommes, M., Morell, J., Cychosz, K.A., Fröba, M., 2013. Combining nitrogen, argon, and water adsorption for advanced characterization of ordered mesoporous carbons (CMKs) and periodic mesoporous organosilicas (PMOs). *Langmuir* 29, 14893–14902.
- Trakal, L., Sigut, R., Sillerová, H., Faturíková, D., Komárek, M., 2014. Copper removal from aqueous solution using biochar: Effect of chemical activation. *Arab. J. Chem.* 7, 43–52.
- Vieira, L.C., de Araujo, L.G., de Padua Ferreira, R.V., da Silva, E.A., Canevesi, R.L.S., Marumo, J.T., 2019. Uranium biosorption by *Lemna* sp. and *Pistia stratiotes*. *J. Environ. Radioact.* 203, 179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2019.03.019>
- Wang, J., Kaskel, S., 2012. KOH activation of carbon-based materials for energy storage. *J. Mater. Chem.* 22, 23710–23725.
- Wu, L., Zhang, S., Wang, J., Ding, X., 2020. Phosphorus retention using iron (II/III) modified biochar in saline-alkaline soils: Adsorption, column and field tests. *Environ. Pollut.* 261, 114223.
- Xiong, X., Liu, X., Iris, K. M., Wang, L., Zhou, J., Sun, X., Rinklebe, J., Shaheen, S. M., Ok, Y. S., Lin, Z., Tsang, D. C. 2019. Potentially toxic elements in solid waste streams: Fate and management approaches. *Environ. Pollut.* 253, 680-707.
- Yang, R.T., 2013. Gas separation by adsorption processes. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Zhang, Z., Cao, X., Liang, P., Liu, Y., 2013. Adsorption of uranium from aqueous solution using biochar produced by hydrothermal carbonization. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.* 295, 1201–1208.
- Zhang, J., Shao, J., Jin, Q., Li, Z., Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Zhang, S., Chen, H., 2019. Sludge-based biochar activation to enhance Pb (II) adsorption. *Fuel*. 252, 101–108.

884 **Figure Captions**

885 **Fig. 1.** Scheme of the biochar/activated biochar production apparatus.

886 **Fig. 2.** Removal efficiency (a) and adsorption capacity (b) for BC350 and BC350-A.

887 **Fig. 3.** Adsorption isotherms of the U onto BC350-A.

888 **Fig. 4.** FTIR/UATR vibrational spectrum of BC350 and BC350-A.

889 **Fig. 5.** BC350 micrographs obtained by SEM in different magnifications.

890 **Fig. 6.** BC350-A micrographs obtained by SEM in different magnifications.