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Helminths in the hygiene hypothesis: sooner or later?
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Summary

There is increasing recognition that exposures to infectious agents evoke fundamental effects on the development and behaviour of the immune system. Moreover, where infections (especially parasitic infections) have declined, immune responses appear to be increasingly prone to hyperactivity. For example, epidemiological studies of parasite-endemic areas indicate that prenatal or early-life experience of infections can imprint an individual’s immunological reactivity. However, the ability of helminths to dampen pathology in established inflammatory diseases implies that they can have therapeutic effects even if the immune system has developed in a low-infection setting. With recent investigations of how parasites are able to modulate host immune pathology at the level of individual parasite molecules and host cell populations, we are now able to dissect the nature of the host–parasite interaction at both the initiation and recall phases of the immune response. Thus the question remains – is the influence of parasites on immunity one that acts primarily in early life, and at initiation of the immune response, or in adulthood and when recall responses occur? In short, parasite immunosuppression – sooner or later?
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Introduction

The ability of infectious agents to regulate the immune system of their host is an increasingly fascinating topic. In particular, the question is often raised as to whether the ‘diseases of modernity’ (such as allergies, autoimmunity and the metabolic syndrome) are a consequence of our altered, and diminished, exposure to infectious diseases [1–3]. Following a wide range of studies in humans and model systems, good evidence has now emerged that both microbes and macroparasites can sufficiently distract or depress immune reactivity to alleviate allergic and autoimmune pathologies [4–7].

The ‘hygiene hypothesis’ takes a number of forms which are not exclusive, but have yet to be articulated as a unifying concept. An inverse relationship between parasite infection and immune disorders was first suggested by Greenwood, who noted the low incidence of rheumatoid arthritis in West Africa [8], and then showed that mice and rats infected with rodent malaria were protected from autoimmune disease [9,10]. Subsequently, the hygiene hypothesis became linked explicitly to the setting of more developed countries when Strachan postulated that early-life exposure to common childhood infections protected younger siblings in larger families from developing allergies such as hay fever [11,12]. At that time, soon after the emergence of the paradigm of opposing T helper type 1 (Th1) and Th2 arms of the immune system [13], this finding was interpreted as Th1-promoting viral and bacterial infections ‘educating’ the young immune system away from excessive and allergy-promoting Th2 responses. The core concept of infections imprinting the developing immune system has
become embedded in most versions of the hygiene hypothesis, but the mechanistic explanation of opposing Th1/Th2 lineages has, over time, proved untenable.

In contrast to the Th2-mediated allergies [mediated through immunoglobulin (Ig)E, mast cells and eosinophils], other modern maladies such as type I diabetes, multiple sclerosis and Crohn’s disease are driven by Th1 responses, or by the more recently defined Th17 cells [14]. Critically, these Th1/17 conditions have been increasing in prevalence in high-income countries as sharply as Th2-dependent allergies. For example, the incidence of type I diabetes is increasing year-on-year at the rate of 3.4% [15], in tandem with the rise in asthma [16]. Tellingly, the age of diabetes onset has become significantly younger, indicating that this disease is gaining in force within the population. Similar patterns have been observed for multiple sclerosis and Crohn’s disease, and it is difficult to reconcile the accentuation of these diseases with reduced exposure to Th1-stimulating microbes in early life.

Globalization of the hygiene hypothesis

A new perspective on the original hygiene hypothesis was introduced by a study of children in Gabon. In this West African country there is a high prevalence of schistosomiasis, a helminth worm disease which drives a strong Th2 phenotype in the infected host [17]. Remarkably, schoolchildren carrying this infection showed lower levels of allergic reactivity than their uninfected classmates, although both the parasite and the allergen evoke Th2 responsiveness [18]. A key pointer from this study was that the infected children generated high levels of an immunosuppressive cytokine, interleukin (IL)-10, when their peripheral T cells were challenged with antigen from the Schistosoma parasite. Numerous other studies, such as those in Brazilian children infected with schistosomes [19] and Ecuadorians with soil-transmitted nematodes [20], support the conclusion that helminth infections in many – but not all [21,22] – settings are associated with suppression of allergic reactivity.

IL-10 is one of two central mediators, together with transforming growth factor (TGF)-β, which act to dampen and down-regulate the immune system [23,24]. While each can be produced by a range of cell types, they are particularly associated with a T cell subset that was defined between 1995 and 2000, the regulatory T cell (Treg) [25,26]. Significantly, Tregs and their products (including IL-10 and TGF-β) were found to control both Th2 allergies [27,28] and the Th1/17 suite of autoimmune and inflammatory pathologies [29]. Hence, the hygiene hypothesis has been modified and updated to posit that infections, and infection experience, may set the balance between Tregs, on one side, and active Th1, Th2 and Th17 populations on the other [1,6,30,31].

This emerging paradigm has been strengthened by a study of multiple sclerosis patients in Argentina, 12 of whom were found to have adventiously acquired asymptomatic gastrointestinal helminth infections. All 12 remained in remission for 5 years, while uninfected patients with similar disease severity at the outset of the study suffered multiple relapses [32]. Infected patients showed strong IL-10 and TGF-β responses, unlike the relapsing uninfected individuals, but production of these cytokines declined in four patients subsequently given anthelmintic treatment who went on to develop exacerbation of disease [33]. In a related example, an ulcerative colitis patient who deliberately self-infected with the human whipworm, Trichuris trichiura, reported significant alleviation of pathology [34]. These reports have fuelled greater interest in the possibilities of therapy of inflammatory disorders with live helminths, or with products derived from these parasites, as discussed further below.

Other key studies have reported that anthelmintic treatment of children in parasite-endemic countries increases their allergic sensitivity, providing a causal link between helminth infection and protection of allergy [35]. Although autoimmune disease has a relatively low incidence in these countries, measurements of anti-nuclear autoantibody in serum (a precursor but not a determinant of overt autoimmunity) showed reduced reactivity in schistosome-infected individuals and an increase following curative drug therapy [36].

Reports such as these have taken the hygiene hypothesis onto a global stage, and highlighted the contrast in immunological status and reactivity between the affluent developed world and the low-income countries in which helminth parasites are still highly prevalent. Furthermore, although more than 25% of the world’s populations are infected with helminth parasites [37], it should be remembered that until the last century most humans would have frequently carried helminth parasites and that our immune system has intimately co-evolved with these organisms, a point we will return to subsequently.

Helminths and regulation of the immune system

The finding that helminth infection is associated with reduced allergic reactivity chimed with a range of immunological studies on both mice and humans which demonstrated enhanced activity of Treg in infected hosts. Humans carrying long-lived chronic infections with schistosomes or mosquito-borne filarial nematodes displayed a clear phenotype of immunological down-regulation, with high levels of IL-10 [38] and stronger suppressive activity of Treg [39]. In particular, asymptomatic carriers who were effectively immunologically tolerant to the parasite presented a ‘modified’ Th2 in which IL-4 is produced but the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-5 is suppressed [40]. However, in cases which do not tolerate helminths well, and which progress to various forms of pathology, Treg are deficient and Th1/17 dominates [41].
The implication that the ability of helminths to suppress immunopathologies is mediated by T<sub>reg</sub> has been validated in experimental animals. Mice infected with the intestinal nematode *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* show expanded T<sub>reg</sub> numbers and function [42–45] and are resistant to allergic pathology in mouse models [46]. Moreover, protection against allergy can be transferred by T<sub>reg</sub> from an infected animal into uninfected but allergen-sensitized hosts [46,47]. Just as humans appear to be protected from autoimmunity by T<sub>reg</sub>, helminth infection can similarly protect animals from autoimmune disease. T<sub>reg</sub> in helminth-infected mice have been studied, showing expanded T<sub>reg</sub> in the same trial, however, levels of childhood eczema were again found to dampen responses in coeliac disease patients, it is important to take note of the harmful, as well as beneficial, effects of helminth infection on the immune system in early life. In helminth-endemic areas of developing countries, vaccination is less effective than in the developed world, with the polio vaccine showing only 70–90% seroconversion rates in the former, compared to 97–100% in the latter [70]. Furthermore, anthelmintic treatment of infected populations prior to vaccination leads to increased efficacy of the cholera and bacilli Calmette–Guérin (BCG) vaccines [71–73]. To test if maternal imprinting was depressing early-life responsiveness, anthelmintic treatment of pregnant mothers was combined with subsequent testing of vaccination responses in their offspring. However, maternal treatment had no effect on vaccine efficacy in children [74], implying that infections of children themselves are most important for suppression of vaccine responses. In this same trial, however, levels of childhood eczema were again shown to increase in the children of anthelmintic-treated mothers, implying a maternal imprinting role on the development of allergic but not vaccine responses [75].

**Later in life – helminth therapy**

A distinct strand of the hygiene hypothesis has developed which postulates that responses of the mature immune system can also be modulated significantly by infectious organisms, sufficiently so for particular commensal microbes or organisms of limited pathogenicity to be considered as potential therapies or prophylactics. Most of the experimental evidence for the hygiene hypothesis is also derived from infections of adult animals prior to or during the induction of an allergic or autoimmune inflammatory disease.

The principle that infectious agents can dampen inflammation in the adult immune system is currently being put to the test with a number of clinical trials utilizing live *Trichuris suis* or *Necator americanus* parasites for various indications, including Crohn’s disease [76], coeliac disease [77] and multiple sclerosis [78], among others. Not all trials to date have proved successful, however, with treatment of allergic rhinitis found to be not beneficial [79,80], and most recently with Crohn’s disease reporting benefits for some patients but failing to achieve statistical significance [81]. Similarly, while the human hookworm *N. americanus* was found to dampen responses in coeliac disease patients, it did not achieve a level that significantly alleviated symptoms [77,82]. While discouraging, these trials have been carried out on unstratified patient groups and there may well be subsets within each disease who are most likely to show improvement during infection. In the longer term, it may also prove desirable to identify immunosuppressive molecules from these parasites that can serve as future drug leads, thereby dissociating any beneficial properties of helminths from the need to impose active infection on patients.

An interesting parallel exists between the effect of helminth infection and specific immunotherapy (SIT) in which the patient is desensitized against particular allergens [83]. In both, the immune response switches to the IgG4
Moreover, anthelmintic clearance of parasites results in rapid loss of IgG4 (and in other settings, exacerbation of allergic and autoimmune reactivity). These instances argue that the mode and degree of immune responsiveness remains relatively plastic in adult life, and that contemporary interactions with extant infection is as much or more influential on disease outcome than historical experience.

Early and late in the immune response

Immunopathologies require both initiation and sustenance of the immune response against target allergens, autoantigens or bystander antigens (such as those expressed by harmless commensals). Hence, interventions may be either or both prophylactic or therapeutic, and the appropriate choice depends on correctly identifying the initiating factors (for example, antigen uptake) and those which maintain responsiveness and are responsible for progressive tissue damage (such as inflammatory cytokines).

Much interest is therefore focused on the cell type(s) involved in kick-starting the inflammatory response, in particular the prototypical antigen-presenting cell, the dendritic cell (DC) which, following infection, both takes up pathogen products for presentation to T cells and up-regulates a range of stimulatory molecules to drive T cell activation (Fig. 1). However, helminths can interfere dramatically with this critical process, suppressing the maturation of DCs following T oll-like receptor (TLR) ligation, reducing their production of inflammatory cytokines and reducing T cell responsiveness [86–88]. In the case of schistosoma egg antigen, this effect is due to omega-1, a glycoprotein which degrades intracellular host RNA, resulting in defective up-regulation of T cell-activating inflammatory cytokines.
cytokines such as IL-12 [89]. Some helminths may divert DC function entirely, as in the case of H. polygyrus infection, which expands a CD11c+CD103+ dendritic cell phenotype which induces Treg through retinoic acid release [90]. Helminth inhibition of DCs is thus a potent method to block both initiation and recall of immune responses by naive or memory T cells.

As well as DCs, the recent recognition of a new category of immunocyte, the innate lymphoid cell (ILC), has expanded our knowledge of immune response initiators. While present in only small numbers, ILCs are capable of initiating type 2 allergy (in the case of the ILC2) [91] or type 1 colitis (in the case of ILC1/3 [92]). ILCs are activated by early innate cytokines such as IL-12 and IL-18 (ILC1); IL-25, IL-33 and TSLP (ILC2); and IL-1β and IL-23 (ILC3) [93]. Their contribution forms part of an integrated sensory system reliant on epithelial and stromal cell signalling, which acts to raise the first alarm when the body is invaded by pathogens or subject to other traumas. Recent data show further that they can be integral to the initiation of T cell responses through provision of IL-13 and DC recruitment [91], as well as the amplification of T cell responses, as ILCs are activated through T cell provision of IL-2 [94]. Furthermore, several authors have established that ILCs can express class II major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and may thereby be able to present antigen to T cells [94,95]. Thus, the ILC2 compartment presents an important target for helminth immunoregulation (Fig. 1).

A link between the ILC2 network and helminth immunomodulation has emerged very recently through the blockade of IL-33 production by secreted products of H. polygyrus (HES; Fig. 1); as IL-33 is a key driver of ILC2 activation, its loss thereby ablates the immediately subsequent ILC response required for induction and amplification of allergic responses [96]. Furthermore, both H. polygyrus infection and HES induce the release of IL-1β from macrophages, which counter-regulates IL-25 and IL-33, resulting in diminished type 2 responses and greater susceptibility to chronic helminth infection [97]. IL-33 itself is induced by the damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) extracellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP), which is released from stressed and damaged cells [98]. Many parasite secretions, including HES, contain multiple aminopeptidase enzymes [99,100] which degrade inflammatory ATP to non-inflammatory adenosine monophosphate (AMP), indicating the multiple mechanisms through which helminths may interfere with DAMP, alarmin and ILC responses.

However, many questions remain as to the role of ILCs in long-term allergic conditions (such as steroid-resistant asthma), whether the ILC set-point is formed early in life and whether there are regulatory ILCs as well as inducers. The interdependence of T cell and ILC responses during initiation and recall of the immune response also remain to be elucidated: the potential role of ILC antigen presentation and the potential role of Treg suppression on ILCs are as yet unclear (Fig. 1), and may provide further insights into the mechanisms of immune suppression used by helminth parasites.

Downstream of the induction process, whether through DCs and/or ILCs, the adaptive immune system is activated and amplified, in turn stimulating key innate effector cell populations such as neutrophils, macrophages and granulocytes [53]. It appears that helminths are no less able to also modulate these innate populations. For example, both the dog hookworm Anclylostoma caninum and the livestock parasite Haemonchus contortus export factors which inhibit neutrophil activation [101,102]. Moreover, human hookworm products also cleave eotaxin, suppressing recruitment of eosinophils [103]. Alternatively activated macrophages differentiate in many helminth infection settings, and are able to both directly suppress bystander T cell proliferation [63] and to induce further forkhead box protein 3 (FoxP3)+ Treg differentiation [104]. These type 2 macrophages mediate wound-healing effects [105] and quell inflammation in inflammatory conditions such as colitis [106].

**Forward look**

How do we now re-evaluate the hygiene hypothesis in the light of the updated immunological picture? A number of new conclusions can be drawn that will advance the discussion of this stimulating concept. First, there is increasing focus on the initial ‘spark’ that ignites the allergic and inflammatory pathway. It seems likely that many infectious organisms have evolved means to suppress early ‘alarm’ signals, such as IL-33, and so may minimize the likelihood of a proinflammatory response being mounted.

Secondly, although this spark initiates a preliminary round of cellular reactions from innate cells such as monocytes and granulocytes, the response cannot take hold without positive feedback and amplification through signals and cytokines from the CD4+ T cell compartment. In this sense, T cells remain in control of the outcome of all immune responses, and promotion of anti-inflammatory regulatory T cells is likely to remain a keystone of any hygiene hypothesis formulation.

Thirdly, although effector T cell involvement supports a more vigorous, extensive and long-lived reaction, this inflammatory response is composed largely of innate cell types ranging from eosinophils and other granulocytes to cells of the monocyte lineage. In many epidemiological instances of infections modulating pathology, underlying T cell phenotypes are not greatly altered but there is a powerful dampening of innate effector populations that deserves further investigation.

Finally, if our understanding of the hygiene hypothesis is to be translated into future therapies, these will largely need to be applied to patients in whom inflammatory diseases have already taken hold. Hence, a key factor is to consider...
the sustenance and ongoing aggravation of inflammatory responses, and how infectious agents and their molecular products can block or even reverse these pathways. In this manner the hygiene hypothesis would be both validated and transformed into a therapeutically valuable concept for future medicine.
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