THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Supportive and palliative care in the age of deferred death: primary care's central role

Citation for published version:

Mitchell, G & Murray, SA 2020, 'Supportive and palliative care in the age of deferred death: primary care's central role', *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care*, pp. bmjspcare-2020-002616.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002616>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002616](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002616)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care

Supportive and palliative care in the age of deferred death: primary care's central role

Journal:	<i>BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjspcar-2020-002616
Article Type:	Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	31-Jul-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Mitchell, Geoffrey; University of Queensland, Primary Care Clinical Unit Murray, Scott; The University of Edinburgh, Centre for Population Health Sciences, The Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, Primary Palliative Care Research Group
Keywords:	Chronic conditions, Quality of life, Social care, Supportive care, Hospital care

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our [licence](#).

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which [Creative Commons](#) licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Supportive and palliative care in the age of deferred death:

primary care's central role

Geoffrey Mitchell and Scott Murray.

Mankind has never before witnessed a period with a greater proportion of older people. This will double in the next few decades to about one quarter of people over 65 years.(1) Absolute numbers of deaths and their individual complexity due to multimorbidity will both increase. Previously fatal illnesses have been largely tamed or even prevented. Medicine has virtually eliminated maternal mortality, and drastically reduced it from infections, accidents, and cardiovascular disease. However, the frequent assertion that medicine has saved lives is wrong- all these advances have done is to defer death. It seems that society has limited the causes of death it accepts to those from overwhelming accumulation of multiple ailments, dementia or (less frequently) organ failure or cancer.(2)

The easiest to understand structure of formal supportive and palliative care that has evolved- specialist palliative care- works best for people with cancer who only make up a fifth of those who die in old age.(2) The trajectory of dying in progressive cancer is predictable and relatively short, so designing services for them is relatively straightforward. It is far more challenging to provide care for people with advanced diseases when the time frame is long and the course uncertain. The prevailing service model of specialist single organ care can unintentionally create exhausting treatment burdens, potential for error and care duplication, especially in multimorbid patients.(3) Non-physical dimensions can be, and often are, neglected in a disease systems-centred approach. Crucially, often no one coordinates care where multiple specialists are involved. The wellbeing of

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

those who shoulder the greatest burden of care- close family- can often be entirely ignored. Critical evaluation of the entire health system and the philosophies that underpin it are required urgently as many people still die without any access to palliative care at all.

What are the essential elements of care as the end of life approaches? First and foremost, it is essential that dying is seen as a normal, individual and wholistic process. Murray describes this as four dimensional- the physical, psychological, social and spiritual realms.(4) Ideal palliative care pays appropriate attention to all four, and this requires integration and coordination across all levels of health care. At an individual and community level, this is the province of primary care.(5) Both primary care and palliative care emphasise comprehensive whole person care over time, and include care of the family in their wider community. Is primary care up to the task helping people to both live and die well? At a systems level, how can the specialist/hospital systems integrate seamlessly with care in the community?

Primary health care already exists in some form nearly everywhere. It makes sense to ensure a system with universal availability is tasked with supporting the universal experience of dying. At its best, primary care is already involved. In Catalonia, Spain, for example, high quality palliative care is mandated by law. A single shared health record and a means of searching it for people at risk of dying in the foreseeable future ensures primary care is in a position to meet its responsibility as an integral part of the health system.(6) In emerging countries, great examples exist of primary care in the lead. In Kerala, India, community palliative care is well developed,(7) while Brazil has started to utilise its national primary care network to provide systematic palliative care previously confined to cancer units in tertiary hospitals.(8) In rural and remote settings, primary palliative care is accepted as the norm, so more primary care practitioners state they perform palliative more commonly than in urban settings.(9)

1
2
3 So what supports and/or inhibits primary palliative care? The structure of countries' health systems
4 – reflective of their understanding and support of primary care, create both fundamental facilitators
5 and barriers to primary care doctors and nurses.(10) Facilitators include ensuring sufficient primary
6 care staff to provide appropriately in-depth care to the whole population. Community nursing
7 resources(11) and routine appropriate training in symptom and whole person care in
8 undergraduate and vocational training are essential.(10) Remuneration must support adequate
9 time and resources for appropriate care, including the capacity to do home visits which can improve
10 outcomes for very ill people. A [EAPC Toolkit](#) for integrating palliative care into primary care (10) was
11 updated in 2019 with an [infographic](#) and [video](#) which can be used by palliative care specialists and
12 others to strengthen access to palliative care through primary care.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 There is frequently a lack of recognition of, or scepticism about the ability of primary care clinicians
29 within the specialist health community. This is compounded by a perceived personal duty to
30 continue care to the greatest extent possible- which can prevent better sharing and integration of
31 care.(12) While there is a spectrum of skill (as in all professions), most primary practitioners are
32 willing to provide such care and do it competently.(13)
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Primary care practitioners can contribute to this lack of confidence by underestimating their
42 skills.(14) They may think supportive and palliative care is typified by specialist palliative care, hence
43 that believe they do not “do” palliative care.(15) However far more people die under primary care
44 (and with a far wider range of conditions) than in specialist palliative care. With a small change in
45 emphasis and by embracing a palliative approach, primary care practitioners can realise they are
46 actually already providing palliative care. They must also be encouraged to understand that only
47 non-specialists are in a position to identify patients for palliative care, thus have a key role as gate-
48 keepers to providing it themselves or to referring on should they determine the patient needs
49 further support.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

So what needs to happen to make supportive and palliative care both integrated and predominantly primary care-delivered?

First, virtually every health practitioner needs to recognise death is a routine and core part of their practice- in particular primary care. WHO recently affirmed that palliative care is a core part of primary care provision. (16) This requires practitioners to acknowledge that deterioration to death is a possibility and to prepare for it *alongside* curative therapy - rather like carrying an umbrella on a cloudy day. This represents a paradigm shift from an” either curative /or palliative” perspective, which may be considered counterintuitive by some. All practitioners should be seeking out at-risk individuals actively so that their needs can be anticipated as they approach the end of life, alongside continuing to provide active attempts at cure. Early identification can be helped with a systematic approach to early identification of those approaching the end of life, including screening electronic medical records.(6)

Preparation and planning then follow recognition. What complications are likely and what can be done to prepare for when they occur? A team (primary care and specialist) appropriate to the possible issues should be built around the person, and their family and the team members understand what their roles will be when problems arise. As most people’s remaining time is spent at home, this requires coordination and communication between the patient and carer, specialists and primary care practitioners, so a comprehensive care plan is developed with input from all team members , and each person has defined roles. A single cross-disciplinary meeting to develop such plans provides excellent patient and health system outcomes.(17) This approach requires deliberate investment in time and resources, so its value must be understood and embraced by health planners and actively pursued.(18)

1
2
3 Inertia can impede change in complex systems like hospitals and hospices. Change usually occurs
4
5 incrementally from established practices, unless a catastrophic event forces fundamental change.
6
7 The COVID-19 pandemic shows how a seismic shock can usher in changes (like telehealth) that
8
9 would otherwise take decades. We have seen what happens when systems change early and boldly,
10
11 but also the catastrophic results of prevarication.
12
13
14
15

16 Where care is delivered by smaller administrative and less hierarchical units, better coordination
17
18 may be evident. At a hospital level, can this be achieved? At a primary care practice level,
19
20 management and practice owner attitudes to anticipatory care plans and time-intensive care can
21
22 help (or hinder) effective palliative care. Working across specialist boundaries is essential, and has to
23
24 be made administratively easier.
25
26
27
28
29

30 Finally, family carers for people at the end of life at home are widely neglected. Without them, the ill
31
32 person's care will often default to institutional or hospital care. Much more attention needs to be
33
34 paid to carers' needs, maintenance of their wellbeing, and preparing them for caring for their loved
35
36 one through to death and bereavement.(19) Proactive identification does allow needs to be
37
38 anticipated and managed.
39
40
41
42

43 The pandemic nature of the coming burden of dying needs a "pandemic" response at a personal,
44
45 system and international level. Covid-19 has shaken the health system and forced innovative rapid
46
47 change. This current experience provides impetus to review health systems' preparedness for other
48
49 looming problems. The entire health system, including specialist palliative care, must grasp this
50
51 opportunity.. Comprehensive system and attitude change must occur now, before the number of
52
53 deaths overwhelms our capacities.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. World Health Organization. World health report on ageing and health. Geneva: WHO; 2015.

2. Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Han L, Allore HG. Trajectories of disability in the last year of life. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(13):1173-80.

3. Gawande A. Being mortal: Medicine and what matters in the end. New York: Metropolitan Books/ Henry Holt and Company; 2014.

4. Murray SA, Kendall M, Mitchell G, Moine S, Amblas-Novellas J, Boyd K. Palliative care from diagnosis to death. *BMJ.* 2017;356:j878.

5. Rubin G, Berendsen A, Crawford SM, Dommett R, Earle C, Emery J, et al. The expanding role of primary care in cancer control. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(12):1231-72.

6. Gomez-Batiste X, Blay C, Martinez-Munoz M, Lasmarias C, Vila L, Espinosa J, et al. The Catalonia WHO Demonstration Project of Palliative Care: Results at 25 Years (1990-2015). *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2016;52(1):92-9.

7. Kumar SK. Kerala, India: a regional community-based palliative care model. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2007;33(5):623-7.

8. Correa SR, Abel J. Palliative care for all? How can Brazil develop a palliative care service founded on principles of equity and access for all? *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care.* 2018;12(4):504-9.

9. Rhee JJ, Grant M, Senior H, Monterosso L, McVey P, Johnson C, et al. Facilitators and barriers to general practitioner and general practice nurse participation in end-of-life care: systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2020. DOI. 10.1136/bmjspcare-2019-002109

10. Murray SA, Firth A, Schneider N, Van den Eynden B, Gomez-Batiste X, Brogaard T, et al. Promoting palliative care in the community: production of the primary palliative care toolkit by the European Association of Palliative Care Taskforce in primary palliative care. *Palliat Med.* 2015;29(2):101-11.

11. Mitchell S, Loew J, Millington-Sanders C, Dale J. Providing end-of-life care in general practice: findings of a national GP questionnaire survey. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2016;66(650):e647-53.

12. Neergaard MA, Olesen F, Jensen AB, Sondergaard J. Shared care in basic level palliative home care: Organizational and interpersonal challenges. *J Palliat Med.* 2010;13(9):1071-7.
13. Mitchell GK, Senior HE, Johnson CE, Fallon-Ferguson J, Williams B, Monterosso L, et al. Systematic review of general practice end-of-life symptom control. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2018;8(4):411-20.
14. Rhee JJ, Zwar N, Vagholkar S, Dennis S, Broadbent AM, Mitchell G. Attitudes and barriers to involvement in palliative care by Australian urban general practitioners. *J Palliat Med.* 2008;11(7):980-5.
15. Quill TE, Abernethy AP. Generalist plus specialist palliative care - Creating a more sustainable model. *New Engl J Med.* 2013;368(13):1173-5.
16. World Health Organization, UNICEF. Declaration of Astana. Global Conference on Primary Health Care: from Alma-Ata towards universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals. 2018. . Astana, Kazakhstan: WHO; 2018.
17. Abernethy AP, Currow DC, Shelby-James T, Rowett D, May F, Samsa GP, et al. Delivery strategies to optimize resource utilization and performance status for patients with advanced life-limiting illness: Results from the "palliative care trial" [ISRCTN 81117481]. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2013;45(3):488-505.
18. Mitchell GK, Young CE, Janamian T, Beaver KM, Johnson JLK, Hannan-Jones C, et al. Factors affecting the embedding of integrated primary-secondary care into a health district. *Aust J Prim Health.* 2020. DOI: 10.1071/PY18177
19. Mohammed S, Swami N, Pope A, Rodin G, Hannon B, Nissim R, et al. "I didn't want to be in charge and yet I was": Bereaved caregivers' accounts of providing home care for family members with advanced cancer. *Psychooncol.* 2018;27(4):1229-36.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Funding Statement

Nil

Competing interest statement

There are no competing interests to declare.

Access to data

Not relevant as this paper is a commentary

Word count

Text 1476 (excluding references.)

Acknowledgements

Professor Camilla Zimmerman contributed to early drafts of this paper.

Contributorship statement

Prof Mitchell initiated this commentary at the invitation of Prof Walsh. He wrote the initial draft, which was then extensively edited by Prof Murray and Prof Mitchell.