



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Lattice-Constrained Parametrizations of Form Factors for Semileptonic and Rare Radiative B Decays

Citation for published version:

Collaboration, UKQCD, Del Debbio, L, flynn, J, Lellouch, L & Nieves, J 1997, 'Lattice-Constrained Parametrizations of Form Factors for Semileptonic and Rare Radiative B Decays', *Physics Letters B*, vol. 416, no. 3-4, pp. 392–401. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693\(97\)01350-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01350-6)

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/S0370-2693\(97\)01350-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(97)01350-6)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Early version, also known as pre-print

Published In:

Physics Letters B

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Lattice-Constrained Parametrizations of Form Factors for Semileptonic and Rare Radiative B Decays

UKQCD Collaboration: L Del Debbio^a, J M Flynn^b (presenter), L Lellouch^a and J Nieves^c

^aCentre de Physique Théorique, CNRS Luminy, Case 907, F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France (Unité Propre de Recherche 7061)

^bDepartment of Physics & Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

^cDepartamento de Física Moderna, Avenida Fuentenueva, 18071 Granada, Spain

We describe the form factors for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ and $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ decays with just two parameters and the two form factors for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ with three parameters. The parametrizations are constrained by lattice results and are consistent with heavy quark symmetry, kinematic constraints and light cone sum rule scaling relations.

We obtain a simple yet phenomenologically useful description of the form factors for semileptonic and rare radiative heavy-to-light meson decays for all q^2 , the squared momentum transfer to the leptons or photon. Lattice calculations determine the form factors over a limited region at high q^2 . We use model input to extend the results to $q^2=0$, seeking consistency with:

- kinematic constraints: $F_1(0) = F_0(0)$ and $T_1(0) = iT_2(0)$
- heavy quark symmetry (HQS)
- light cone sum rule (LCSR) scaling relations: all form factors scale like $M^{-3/2}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$ at $q^2=0$, where M is the heavy meson mass
- dispersive constraints

The normalisation is fixed using lattice results. The outcome is a two parameter fit for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ or $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ and a three parameter fit for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$. More details can be found in [1].

The leading order HQS analysis shows that heavy-to-light $P \rightarrow P$ decay form factors are determined by two universal (“Isgur-Wise”) functions, while $P \rightarrow V$ decays are governed by four more such functions (P and V denote pseudoscalar and vector mesons respectively). We adopt a model of Stech [2] which keeps just one universal function for $P \rightarrow P$ and one more for $P \rightarrow V$.

Lattice simulation details can be found in [3,4] with details of the chiral extrapolation for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow$

$\pi^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ in [5]. All form factors are calculated for four values of the heavy quark mass around the charm mass and for a variety of q^2 . In our previous work [3,4], the form factors were extrapolated at fixed four-velocity recoil, $\omega = v \cdot (p_{P,V}/m_{P,V})$, near the zero recoil point $\omega = 1$, using the heavy-quark scaling relations:

$$f \Theta M^{n_f/2} = \gamma_f \left(1 + \frac{\delta_f}{M} + \frac{\epsilon_f}{M^2} + \dots \right)$$

where $n_f = -1, 1, -1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 1$ for $f = F_1, F_0, A_0, V, A_1, A_2, T_1, T_2$ and γ_f, δ_f and ϵ_f are fit parameters. Θ comes from leading logarithmic matching and is chosen to be 1 at the B mass. This procedure neglects the fact that for $M \rightarrow \infty$, HQS predicts $A_1 = 2iT_2$ and $V = 2T_1$ at fixed ω not too far from q_{\max}^2 . We enforce this condition by performing a combined fit, at fixed ω , of the pairs (A_1, T_2) and (V, T_1) imposing the constraints: $\gamma_{A_1} = 2i\gamma_{T_2}$ and $\gamma_V = 2\gamma_{T_1}$. This guarantees that the extrapolated form factors are consistent with HQS in the infinite mass limit and reduces statistical errors by decreasing the number of parameters.

1. $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ AND $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ DECAYS

We use the freedom to adjust quark masses in lattice calculations and consider two situations for the light quark q into which the b decays:

Table 1

Form factor results for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ and $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$. For $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ the fit parameters are: $A_1(0) = 0.27^{(5)}_{(4)}$, $M_1 = 7.0^{(12)}_{(6)}$ GeV, $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 24/20$. For $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$: $A_1(0) = 0.29^{(4)}_{(3)}$, $M_1 = 6.8^{(7)}_{(4)}$ GeV, $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 27/20$.

q^2	A_1	A_2	A_0	V	T_1	T_2
0	$0.27^{(5)}_{(4)}$	$0.26^{(5)}_{(3)}$	$0.30^{(6)}_{(4)}$	$0.35^{(6)}_{(5)}$	$0.16^{(2)}_{(1)}$	
q^2_{max}	$0.46^{(2)}_{(1)}$	$0.88^{(5)}_{(3)}$	$1.80^{(9)}_{(5)}$	$2.07^{(11)}_{(6)}$	$0.90^{(5)}_{(4)}$	$0.25^{(1)}_{(1)}$

A $q=u$: matrix elements of $\bar{u} \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1+\gamma^5) b$ are unphysical but constrain $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$.

B $q=s$: $\bar{s} \gamma^\mu (1-\gamma^5) b$ is unphysical but constrains $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$.

We complete the parametrization by specifying one of the form factors. To meet all our requirements, including the LCSR scaling condition at $q^2 = 0$, we choose

$$A_1(q^2) = \frac{A_1(0)}{1 - q^2/M_1^2} \quad (1)$$

with free parameters $A_1(0)$ and M_1 . This allows A_1 , A_2 and T_2 , which receive contributions from 1^+ resonances, to diverge at larger q^2 than the more singular V , A_0 and T_1 . We also tried other parametrizations but all results below will use $A_1(q^2)$ in eq. (1). Figure 1 shows the fit for a final state with the mass of the K^* , and Table 1 gives results for the form factors.

2. $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ DECAYS

Stech's model makes $F_0(q^2_{\text{max}})$ vanish in the chiral limit, contradicting our results and made unlikely by unitarity bounds [5]. Furthermore, the B^* which contributes a pole very close to q^2_{max} in F_1 , induces the same singularity in F_0 in the model. This provokes a much stronger q^2 dependence for F_0 than seen in the lattice results or induced by the nearest 0^+ resonance. Therefore we restrict to polar-type q^2 -dependences, consistent with the kinematical constraint, $F_1(0) = F_0(0)$, HQS and unitarity bounds. Our preferred model, consistent with LCSR scaling relations at $q^2 = 0$, is

$$F_1(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{(1 - q^2/m_1^2)^2}, \quad F_0(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{(1 - q^2/m_0^2)}.$$




Figure 1. Fit to the lattice predictions for A_0 , A_1 , V , T_1 and T_2 for a K^* meson final state (Situation B) assuming a pole form for A_1 . The dashed vertical line indicates q^2_{max} .

The result of the fit is: $F(0) = 0.27(11)$, $m_1 = 5.79(58)$ GeV and $m_0 = 6.1(15)$ GeV with $\chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.1/3$. All results below will be quoted using this pole/dipole model.

3. PHENOMENOLOGY

Using our fits we can calculate total rates and differential decay spectra in q^2 and lepton energy E for the decays $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ (Figure 2) and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$. In Table 2 we give our results, illustrating the good agreement of our form factor values at $q^2=0$ with LCSR calculations, and compare rates and ratios for semileptonic decays. For $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ we evaluate the ratio $R_{K^*} = \Gamma(B \rightarrow K^* \gamma)/\Gamma(b \rightarrow s \gamma) = 16^{(4)}_{(3)}\%$, to be compared with $(18 \pm 7)\%$ from experiment [6].

Table 2

Form factor values at $q^2 = 0$ with $B \rightarrow \pi, \rho$ semileptonic decay rates and ratios from this calculation and from light cone sum rules (LCSR). Decay rates are given in units of $|V_{ub}|^2 \text{ ps}^{-1}$. $\Gamma_{\rho/\pi} \equiv \Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l) / \Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l)$ and $\Gamma_{L/T}$ denotes the ratio of rates to longitudinally and transversely polarised rho mesons in $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$. l denotes a massless lepton.

	$F_1(0)$	$A_1(0)$	$A_2(0)$	$V(0)$	$T_1(0)$	$\Gamma_{\pi l \bar{\nu}}$	$\Gamma_{\rho l \bar{\nu}}$	$\Gamma_{\rho/\pi}$	$\Gamma_{L/T}$	$\Gamma_{\pi\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau}$	$\Gamma_{\rho\tau\bar{\nu}_\tau}$
	0.27(11)	0.27($^{5}_{4}$)	0.26($^{5}_{3}$)	0.35($^{6}_{5}$)	0.16($^{2}_{1}$)	8.5($^{33}_{14}$)	16.5($^{35}_{23}$)	1.9($^{9}_{7}$)	0.80($^{4}_{3}$)	5.8($^{18}_{4}$)	8.8($^{14}_{9}$)
LCSR											
[7]		0.27(5)	0.28(5)	0.35(7)			13.5(40)	1.7(5)	0.52(8)		
[8]		0.24(4)		0.28(6)	0.16(3)						
[9]	0.24-0.29					8.7					
[10]					0.15(3)						




Figure 2. Differential decay spectra for $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ l^- \bar{\nu}_l$ for massless leptons: (a) $d\Gamma/dq^2$ in units of $10^{-12}|V_{ub}|^2 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, (b) $d\Gamma/dE$ in units of $10^{-12}|V_{ub}|^2$. The dashed lines show the envelope of the 68% bootstrap errors computed separately for each value of q^2 or E respectively.

REFERENCES

1. UKQCD collab, L Del Debbio et al, preprint CPT-97/P.3505, SHEP-97-13, UG-DFM-4/97 (1997), hep-lat/9708008
2. B Stech, Phys Lett B 354 (1995) 447, hep-ph/9502378
3. UKQCD collab, DR Burford et al, Nucl Phys B 447 (1995) 425, hep-lat/9503002
4. UKQCD collab, JM Flynn et al, Nucl Phys B 461 (1996) 327, hep-ph/9506398
5. L Lellouch, Nucl Phys B 479 (1996) 353, hep-ph/9509358
6. CLEO collab, R Ammar et al, preprint CLEO CONF 96-05 (1996)
7. P Ball and VM Braun, Phys Rev D 55 (1997) 5561, hep-ph/9701238
8. A Ali, VM Braun and H Simma, Z Phys C 63 (1994) 437, hep-ph/9401277
9. VM Belyaev, A Khodjamirian and R Rückl, Z Phys C 60 (1993) 349, hep-ph/9305348; VM Belyaev et al, Phys Rev D 51 (1995) 6177, hep-ph/9410280; A Khodjamirian and R Rückl, Proc ICHEP 96, 28th Int Conf on High Energy Physics, 1996, World Scientific, Singapore (1997) 902, hep-ph/9610367
10. D Becirevic, preprint LPTHE-Orsay 97/16 (1997), hep-ph/9707271