

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer Carbohydrate gel ingestion significantly improves the intermittent endurance capacity, but not sprint performance, of adolescent team games players during a simulated team games protocol

Citation for published version:

Phillips, S, Turner, AP, Sanderson, MF & Sproule, J 2012, 'Carbohydrate gel ingestion significantly improves the intermittent endurance capacity, but not sprint performance, of adolescent team games players during a simulated team games protocol', European Journal of Applied Physiology, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 1133-1141. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-2067-0

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1007/s00421-011-2067-0

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: European Journal of Applied Physiology

Publisher Rights Statement:

© Phillips, S. M., Turner, A. P., Sanderson, M. F., & Sproule, J. (2012). Carbohydrate gel ingestion significantly improves the intermittent endurance capacity, but not sprint performance, of adolescent team games players during a simulated team games protocol. European Journal of Applied Physiology, 112(3), 1133-1141. 10.1007/s00421-011-2067-0

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	Carbohydrate gel ingestion significantly improves the intermittent endurance capacity,
2	but not sprint performance, of adolescent team games players during a simulated team
3	games protocol.
4	
5	Authors:
6	Shaun M. Phillips, Anthony P. Turner, Mark F. Sanderson & John Sproule.
7	
8	Affiliation:
9	Institute of Sport, Physical Education and Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh
10	
11	Correspondence:
12	Mr Shaun Phillips
13	Institute of Sport, Physical Education and Health Sciences
14	University of Edinburgh
15	St Leonards Land
16	Holyrood Road
17	Edinburgh
18	EH8 8AQ
19	UK
20	Tel: +44 (0) 131 650 9788
21	Fax: +44 (0) 131 651 6521
22	S.M.Phillips@sms.ed.ac.uk
23	
24	

Running Title: Carbohydrate gel and team games performance.

1 Abstract

3	The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of ingesting a carbohydrate (CHO) gel
4	on the intermittent endurance capacity and sprint performance of adolescent team games
5	players. Eleven participants (mean age 13.5 ± 0.7 years, height 1.72 ± 0.08 m, body mass
6	(BM) 62.1 \pm 9.4 kg) performed two trials separated by 3-7 days. In each trial, they
7	completed four 15 min periods of part A of the Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test,
8	followed by an intermittent run to exhaustion (part B). In the 5 min pre-exercise, participants
9	consumed 0.818 ml.kg ⁻¹ BM of a CHO or a non-CHO placebo gel, and a further 0.327 ml.kg ⁻¹
10	¹ BM every 15 min during part A of the LIST (38.0 \pm 5.5 g CHO.h ⁻¹ in the CHO trial).
11	Intermittent endurance capacity was increased by 21.1% during part B when the CHO gel
12	was ingested (4.6 \pm 2.0 vs. 3.8 \pm 2.4 min, <i>P</i> < 0.05, <i>r</i> = 0.67), with distance covered in part B
13	significantly greater in the CHO trial (787 \pm 319 vs. 669 \pm 424 m, $P < 0.05$, $r = 0.57$). Gel
14	ingestion did not significantly influence mean 15 m sprint time ($P = 0.34$), peak sprint time
15	(P = 0.81), or heart rate $(P = 0.66)$. Ingestion of a CHO gel significantly increases the
16	intermittent endurance capacity of adolescent team games players during a simulated team
17	games protocol.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Key Words: performance; nutrition; supplement; young people; LIST
24	

1 Introduction

2

3 We have previously demonstrated a significant enhancement in the intermittent endurance 4 running capacity (hereafter referred to as intermittent endurance capacity) of 12-14 year old 5 team games athletes with ingestion of a 6% carbohydrate-electrolyte (CHO-E) solution 6 during a modified Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test (LIST, Phillips et al 2010). This 7 was achieved with a mean carbohydrate (CHO) intake of \sim 35 g.h⁻¹, or 0.78 g.kg⁻¹ body mass 8 (BM), which is notably lower than the CHO intake in related adult work (~60-92 g.h⁻¹, 9 Foskett et al 2008; Nicholas et al 1995; Welsh et al 2002). Young people display a different 10 metabolic response to exercise than adults, characterised by an enhanced rate of fat 11 metabolism and attenuated rate of endogenous CHO (CHO_{endo}) use (Riddell 2008; Timmons 12 et al 2007), perhaps to preserve endogenous glycogen stores, which may be lower than adults 13 (Aucouturier et al 2008). Despite this, young people appear able to oxidise exogenous CHO (CHO_{exo}) at BM-relative rates equal to, or greater than, adults for the same relative CHO 14 15 ingestion rate (Timmons et al 2003). Therefore, CHO_{exo} requirements of young people may 16 be different to those of adults, perhaps with a lower rate of CHO ingestion facilitating 17 exercise enhancement (Phillips et al unpublished data). Coupled with the significant 18 enhancement in intermittent endurance capacity reported in our previous study (Phillips et al 19 2010), this knowledge emphasises that findings from studies supplementing CHO during 20 simulated team games in adults cannot be confidently applied to young people, and a greater 21 research output into CHO supplementation during simulated team games in adolescents is 22 therefore warranted.

23

In recent years, ingestion of CHO in the form of a gel has become more prevalent (Havemann
et al 2008), due in part to the ability to manipulate CHO and fluid intake independently, and

1 to consume greater amounts of CHO, in gel compared with solution form (Pfeiffer et al 2 2010). Carbohydrate gel ingestion has been shown to improve prolonged steady-state cycling 3 performance (Campbell et al 2008; Earnest et al 2004), with a negligible effect on half-4 marathon running performance (Burke et al 2005). However, the ~2.4% BM loss during the 5 run, along with the presence of an order effect for performance time, may have negated the 6 effect of the gel. Specific to team games exercise, Patterson and Gray (2007) showed a 45% 7 improvement in intermittent endurance capacity when adult male soccer players ingested a 8 CHO gel before and during the LIST. This is comparable to the improvement seen when 9 consuming CHO-E solutions during the same or similar protocol (~33-52%, Foskett et al 10 2008; Nicholas et al 1995; Welsh et al 2002). To date, this remains the only study to 11 investigate CHO gel supplementation during team games exercise.

12

13 The combination of our previous findings regarding the efficacy of a CHO solution during 14 simulated team games in adolescents and the significant improvement in intermittent 15 endurance capacity with CHO gel ingestion shown by Patterson & Gray (2007) suggests that 16 ingestion of CHO gels during simulated team games may benefit adolescent team games 17 players. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the influence of ingesting a CHO 18 gel immediately before, and during, a simulated team games protocol on the intermittent 19 endurance capacity and sprint performance of adolescent team games players. It was 20 hypothesised that ingestion of the CHO gel would significantly improve intermittent 21 endurance capacity, with no significant influence on sprint performance.

1 Methods

2

3 Participants

4

5	Eleven team games players (10 males and 1 female; mean age 13.5 \pm 0.7 years, height 1.72 \pm
6	0.08 m, BM 62.1 \pm 9.4 kg) participated in the study. Participants were recruited from local
7	schools and sports clubs. Inclusion criteria was to be between the ages of 12-14 years,
8	regularly participating in competitive soccer, rugby or field hockey to at least club level, free
9	from any muscle or joint injury, and not taking medication that influences the ability to
10	exercise. All participants were in good health at the time of the study, as determined by
11	completion of a pre-study medical questionnaire. Participants' were either frequent or
12	occasional users of CHO containing sports drinks.
13	
14	Prior to inclusion, comprehensive written and verbal explanation of the study was provided to
15	participants and parents, and written parental informed consent was received. Subsequently,
16	written child assent was gained. The study received ethical approval from the University of
17	Edinburgh Ethics Committee.
18	
19	Biological maturity status
20	
21	Due to ethical and consensual restrictions regarding direct observational assessment of
22	Tanner stages, biological maturity offset was assessed using the established, non-invasive
23	equations of Mirwald et al (2002), as previously described (Phillips et al 2010). For the
24	participants in this study, mean biological maturity offset was +0.94 years (range -1.77 -
25	+2.68 years). Mean predicted age at peak height velocity for the female participant was 11.3

years and for the male participants was 12.6 years (range 11.8 – 13.8 years). This classifies
 the female participant as an average maturer, and the male participants as early maturers
 (Baxter-Jones et al 2005).

4

5 Preliminary Tests

6

7 Peak Running Velocity

8

9 All exercise intensities used in the main experimental protocol were based on percentages of 10 peak running velocity (V_{peak}) as determined from a treadmill V_{peak} test. This is opposed to the more common calculation of speed based on percentage of $\[Membra]O_{2max}$, and is believed to more 11 12 accurately reflect physiological demand during team games (Bangsbo 1994). The 13 physiological responses to maximal treadmill and free range running have been reported to be 14 similar (Crouter et al., 2001). Prior to undertaking the V_{peak} test, all participants walked at a 15 self-selected speed on the treadmill (Ergo 55, Woodway, Germany) for 2 min, then 16 completed the first four levels of the V_{peak} test as described below to familiarise themselves 17 with the treadmill (Lavcanska et al 2005). Following this, participants sat quietly for 10 min 18 to recover and allow any residual anxiety to dissipate before starting the test.

19

The V_{peak} test, adapted from Marino et al (2004), began at 8 km.h⁻¹ at a gradient of 1% for one minute, after which the speed was increased by 0.5 km.h⁻¹ in one-minute increments until the participant indicated that they could not continue despite strong verbal encouragement. A maximal effort was confirmed by observation of subjective symptoms of fatigue (facial flushing, unsteady gait, heavy sweating, hyperpnoea) and attainment of a heart rate (HR) \geq 195 beats per min (Armstrong 2007). Peak running velocity and maximum HR (HR_{max}) were

calculated as the highest treadmill velocity maintained for 30 s and the highest 5 s average,
 respectively. After a 15 min seated recovery participants performed 15 min of the LIST, as
 described below, to familiarise themselves with the running speeds required and the data
 collection procedures.

5

6 Experimental Design

7

8 All participants completed two trials separated by a minimum of three, and maximum of 9 seven, days. During each trial participants consumed either a 100% maltodextrin CHO gel 10 (CHO trial) or a non-CHO artificially sweetened gel (placebo (PLA) trial), matched for taste, 11 texture, and mouth-feel (High5 Ltd, Bardon, Leicestershire). All trials were randomised, 12 counterbalanced, and double-blinded to control for order effects and experimenter bias. Each 13 participant completed both trials at the same time of day, or as close to this as possible. The gel was consumed in amounts that enabled a standardised CHO intake of 0.78 g.kg⁻¹ BM for 14 15 each participant, to enable a direct comparison with previous work from this laboratory 16 (Phillips et al 2010). As the two gels were of slightly different colour they were prepared in 17 non-transparent bottles by the individual in control of trial blinding, so that neither the 18 investigator nor the participants could see the gel at any time. Participants were requested to 19 refrain from heavy physical activity for 48 h before each trial. Additionally, they were asked 20 to record their food and fluid intake, including the portion size of all food consumed and the 21 volume of all fluid ingested, for 24 h before the first trial. This diet was replicated prior to trial two to standardise muscle and hepatic glycogen concentrations and hydration status. 22 23 Participants were not requested to record food and fluid intake in the depth of detail that 24 would have enabled a subsequent dietary composition analysis. Requesting this would have 25 placed greater stress on extremely time-pressured participants and their parents, and may

have negatively affected adherence to the dietary record, and/or retention of participants
 through the full study.

3

4 Experimental Protocol

5

6 Standing height was measured using a free-standing adjustable stadiometer (Seca, model no. 7 2251821009, Germany). After voiding and urinating, if necessary, dry nude BM was 8 recorded (Seca Digital, model no. 7052321009, Germany). After attaching the HR monitor 9 chest strap and watch (Polar RS400, Polar Electro Oy, Finland) participants sat quietly for 5 10 min, then completed a standardised warm-up consisting of jogging, striding and dynamic 11 stretching for 10 min. Immediately following the warm-up, participants sat and were instructed to consume the prescribed gel (0.818 ml.kg⁻¹ BM) followed by 5 ml.kg⁻¹ BM of 12 13 water during the 5 min before commencing exercise. Water was ingested to offset the 14 potential influence of dehydration (Patterson & Gray 2007), and was consumed in volumes 15 that have previously been administered in this population during the same exercise protocol 16 (Phillips et al 2010). Once the initial gel and water bolus had been consumed, participants 17 were asked to state which gel they believed was being prescribed.

18

The LIST was conducted indoors, on a level rubber floor, as described elsewhere (Phillips et al 2010). Briefly, participants completed four blocks of part A of the LIST separated by 3 min seated recovery, followed by an intermittent run to exhaustion (part B). Participants consumed the solution (0.327 ml.kg⁻¹ BM) followed by water (2 ml.kg⁻¹ BM) in the recovery period between each 15 min block and in the recovery period before commencing part B. After the measurement of post-exercise BM, participants were asked again to state which gel they believed they had received during the protocol, to observe whether exercise exerted any

influence on their gel choice. Participants were clearly informed that they were free to
 change their mind from their pre-exercise gel choice, or to keep their selection the same.
 3

4 Measurements

5

6 Heart rate was recorded at 5 s intervals throughout the V_{peak} test and the experimental 7 protocol using short-range telemetry. Data was retrieved and downloaded onto a computer 8 software program (Polar ProTrainer 5, Polar Electro Oy, Finland) for subsequent analysis. 9 Ambient temperature and humidity were recorded immediately before the start of the 10 protocol and at the end of each 15 min block in part A using a digital hygro-thermometer 11 (Tako Astatic Technology, Malaysia). Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) were measured 12 during the first shuttle of the final walking phase of each 15 min block in part A and at 13 exhaustion in part B using the Children's Omnibus Scale of Perceived Exertion (0-10 scale), 14 which has been validated for use with participants of the age range in this study (Roemmich 15 et al 2006). Gut fullness (GF) and gastric discomfort (GD) were assessed immediately on 16 completion of each 15 min block in part A and at exhaustion in part B using anchored 10 17 point scales (1 = not at all, 10 = extremely). Sprint times were measured in one direction by 18 two wireless infrared single-beam photoelectric cells (Speed Trap 2, Gill Athletics, Illinois) 19 placed 15 m apart. If participants needed to urinate at any time from the onset of the protocol 20 until completion of the measurement of post-exercise BM they did so into a measuring jug, 21 with this volume incorporated into the BM loss calculation. Body mass loss was calculated 22 from the difference between pre- and post-exercise nude BM, corrected for fluid intake and urine output. Sweat rate (SR; L.h⁻¹) was calculated using the equation: (Pre-exercise BM (kg) 23 24 + fluid ingested (L) – urine output (L) – post-exercise BM (kg)) / protocol duration (min) x 60 (Edwards et al 2007). This calculation does not account for BM loss due to fuel oxidation 25

and respiratory fluid loss, but it is unlikely these would differ between trials (Edwards et al
 2007).

3

4 Statistical Analysis

5

6 The Shapiro-Wilk test for normality was employed on all data sets. Paired *t*-tests compared 7 between-trials differences in fluid, gel and CHO intake, pre-exercise BM, BM loss and SR, 8 HR during part B, and HR, GF and GD at exhaustion. Distance covered in part A and B, 9 time to exhaustion and RPE at exhaustion were analysed using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs 10 test. Mean ambient temperature and relative humidity, sprint times and peak sprint times, 11 and HR, GF and GD during part A were analysed with a 2 way (gel x time) ANOVA. 12 Bonferroni pairwise comparisons were used to explore significant main effects with the 13 exception of GF, where Wilcoxon matched-pairs tests with Bonferroni correction were used 14 due to the grouped data displaying non-normal distribution. Friedman tests were used to 15 analyse the main effect of time during part A within each trial for RPE. Wilcoxon matched-16 pairs tests, with Bonferroni correction, explored significant within-trials main effects. Chi-17 square analysis assessed the frequency distribution of gel choice responses. Effect sizes (ES) from ANOVA were calculated using partial eta squared (η^2) values, which were square 18 19 rooted to give correlation coefficients (Field 2005). Effect sizes generated from t scores were 20 calculated using the equation of Rosnow and Rosenthal (2005), and from z scores using the 21 equation of Rosenthal (1991), to give correlation coefficients (Field 2005). Effect sizes were 22 defined as small (r = 0.1-0.3), moderate (r = 0.3-0.5), large (r = 0.5-0.7), very large (r = 0.7-0.7) 23 0.9), and nearly perfect (r = 0.9-1.0), based on the classifications of Hopkins (2006). Data 24 are mean \pm SD, and with the exception of analyses using the Bonferroni correction, 25 significance was set at P < 0.05.

1	Results
2	
3	Preliminary Tests
4	
5	Mean V_{peak} attained in the incremental treadmill run to exhaustion was $14.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ km.h}^{-1}$.
6	Mean HR _{max} and RPE at exhaustion were 197 ± 6 beats per min and 9.2 ± 0.4 , respectively.
7	
8	Distance covered and time to exhaustion
9	
10	By design, distance covered during part A was the same in the CHO and PLA trials (7.1 \pm 0.2
11	km). Time to exhaustion during part B of the LIST for both trials is shown in Figure 1.
12	Participants ran for 21.1% longer in the CHO compared to the PLA trial ($P < 0.05$, $r = 0.67$),
13	corresponding with a significantly greater distance covered in the CHO trial (787 \pm 319 vs.
14	669 ± 424 m, $P < 0.05$, $r = 0.57$).
15	
16	PLEASE PLACE FIGURE 1 HERE
17	
18	Sprint times
19	
20	The mean time of all sprints, and the mean of participants' peak sprint time only, in each
21	block of part A of the LIST is shown in Figure 2A and 2B, respectively. Sprint times
22	throughout the LIST were faster in the CHO trial, but did not reach statistical significance
23	$(F_{1,10} = 1.1, P = 0.33, r = 0.31)$. There was also no interaction effect (gel x time, $F_{3,30} = 0.4$,
24	$P = 0.75$, $r = 0.20$). There was a main effect of time on sprint duration ($F_{3,30} = 25.1$, $P < 0.20$).
25	0.001, $r = 0.85$). Sprint times in block 2 were significantly slower than block 1 ($P < 0.05$, $r =$

1	0.77) and in block 3 were significantly slower than block 2 ($P < 0.05$, $r = 0.80$). There was
2	no significant difference in sprint time between blocks 3 and 4 ($P = 0.21$, $r = 0.68$). Mean
3	peak sprint time was not significantly different between-trials ($F_{1, 10} = 0.06$, $P = 0.81$, $r =$
4	0.08) and there was no interaction effect ($F_{3,30} = 0.4$, $P = 0.72$, $r = 0.21$). There was a main
5	effect of time on peak sprint duration ($F_{3,30} = 15.1$, $P < 0.001$, $r = 0.78$). Peak sprint times in
6	block 3 were significantly slower than block 2 ($P < 0.05$, $r = 0.82$). There was no significant
7	difference between blocks 1 and 2 ($P = 0.33$, $r = 0.52$) or 3 and 4 ($P = 1.0$, $r = 0.41$).
8	
9	PLEASE PLACE FIGURES 2A and 2B HERE
10	
11	Heart rate, ratings of perceived exertion, and gastric measures
12	
13	Mean HR and RPE during part A of the LIST, and mean peak HR and mean RPE at
14	exhaustion in part B are shown in Table 1. There was no significant treatment ($F_{1,8} = 0.21$, P
15	= 0.66, $r = 0.16$) or interaction ($F_{3, 24} = 1.34$, $P = 0.29$, $r = 0.38$) effect on HR during part A
16	of the LIST. There was a main effect of time for HR in part A ($F_{1.32, 10.56} = 12.18$, $P < 0.005$,
17	r = 0.78). Heart rate in block 2 was significantly greater than block 1 ($P < 0.001$, $r = 0.95$).
18	There was no significant difference between blocks 2 and 3 ($P = 1.0$, $r = 0.14$) or 3 and 4 (P
19	= 0.97, r = 0.35). Mean HR during part B of the LIST was greater in the CHO trial, but did
20	not reach statistical significance (175 \pm 5 vs. 173 \pm 6 beats per min, <i>P</i> = 0.42, <i>r</i> = 0.31). Peak
21	HR at exhaustion in part B was also higher in the CHO trial, but again this was not significant
22	(P = 0.56, r = 0.23). Ratings of perceived exertion were very similar at all time points
23	between trials, with no significant differences found. A main effect of time was present for
24	the CHO ($\chi^2(3) = 29.8$, $P < 0.001$) and PLA ($\chi^2(3) = 31.1$, $P < 0.001$) trials. Ratings of
25	perceived exertion increased significantly with each successive exercise block ($P < 0.001$, $r =$

1 0.89, 0.76 and 0.76, respectively). There was no between-trials difference in RPE at 2 exhaustion (P = 1.0, r = 0).

- 3
- 4

PLEASE INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

5

6 Mean GF and GD during part A of the LIST, and at exhaustion in part B, are shown in Table 7 2. Mean GF was greater in the CHO trial throughout part A of the LIST, but this was not 8 statistically significant ($F_{1,10} = 3.50$, P = 0.09, r = 0.51). There was also no interaction effect 9 $(F_{1.74, 17.36} = 0.65, P = 0.52, r = 0.25)$. There was a significant effect of time on GF $(F_{1.47, 14.71})$ 10 = 7.72, P < 0.01, r = 0.66). Gut fullness in block 3 was significantly greater than block 2 (P 11 < 0.01, r = 0.56). There was no significant difference between blocks 1 and 2 (P = 0.06, r =12 0.40) or 3 and 4 (P = 1.0, r = 0). Gut fullness scores during part A of the LIST were modest. 13 Gut fullness at exhaustion was higher in the CHO trial, but this was not statistically 14 significant (P = 0.24, r = 0.37). There was no treatment ($F_{1,10} = 0.14$, r = 0.11) or interaction 15 $(F_{3,30} = 0.97, r = 0.30)$ effect on GD. Gastric discomfort increased significantly with time 16 $(F_{1.45, 14.52} = 13.06, P < 0.005, r = 0.75)$, and was significantly greater in block 2 than block 1 17 (P < 0.05, r = 0.64) and block 3 than block 2 (P < 0.05, r = 0.54). There was no significant 18 difference between blocks 3 and 4 (P = 1.0, r = 0.06). Gastric discomfort scores during part 19 A were also moderate. Gastric discomfort at exhaustion was higher in the CHO trial, but this 20 was not statistically significant (P = 0.59, r = 0.17). 21 22 PLEASE PLACE TABLE 2 HERE

- 23
- 24

3	Mean pre-exercise dry nude BM was not significantly different between the CHO and PLA
4	trials (62.4 \pm 9.1 and 62.9 \pm 9.2 kg, respectively, $P = 0.27$, $r = 0.34$). Mean BM loss in the
5	CHO and PLA trials was 1.0 ± 0.4 and 1.1 ± 0.3 kg, respectively ($P = 0.36$, $r = 0.29$),
6	equating to a mean loss of 1.59 \pm 0.53 and 1.67 \pm 0.37% of pre-exercise BM ($P = 0.50$, $r =$
7	0.22). Mean SR was 0.77 \pm 0.27 and 0.85 \pm 0.27 L.h^{-1} in the CHO and PLA trials,
8	respectively ($P = 0.30$, $r = 0.33$), equating to a BM-relative mean sweat loss of 12.42 ± 4.16
9	and $13.44 \pm 3.70 \text{ ml.kg}^{-1} \text{ BM.h}^{-1}$, respectively ($P = 0.39$, $r = 0.28$).
10	
11	Blinding
12	
13	After consuming the initial gel bolus immediately prior to exercise, four participants (36%)
14	correctly identified both gels and seven (64%) failed to do so. Chi square analysis of the
15	responses in the CHO trial found a non-significant deviation from the expected response
16	frequency ($\chi^2(1) = 0.818$, $P = 0.37$). Post-exercise, only two participants correctly guessed
17	both gels. In the PLA trial, five participants (46%) correctly guessed the PLA gel post-
18	exercise when they had guessed incorrectly prior to exercise. In the CHO trial, no
19	participants correctly guessed the CHO gel post-exercise after guessing incorrectly pre-
20	exercise, but five participants (46%) incorrectly chose the PLA gel post-exercise, having
21	guessed correctly pre-exercise.

3	Mean fluid intake was 811 ± 119 and 811 ± 120 ml for the CHO and PLA trials, respectively
4	($P = 0.93$, $r = 0.03$). Mean gel intake in the CHO trial was 132.6 ± 19.4 ml and in the PLA
5	trial was 132.7 \pm 19.6 ml (P = 0.92, r = 0.03). Combined fluid and gel intake was 943.6 \pm
6	138.3 and 943.9 \pm 139.4 ml ($P = 0.92$, $r = 0.03$) in the CHO and PLA trials, respectively. In
7	the CHO trial, total CHO intake was 38.0 ± 5.5 g.h ⁻¹ , or 0.78 g.kg ⁻¹ BM.
8	
9	Ambient temperature and relative humidity
10	
11	Mean ambient temperature and relative humidity during the LIST are shown in Table 3.
12	Mean ambient temperature was not significantly different between ($F_{1, 10} = 3.59$, $P = 0.09$, $r =$
13	0.51) or within $(F_{1.06, 10.58} = 0.32, P = 0.60, r = 0.18)$ trials. However, a significant gel x time
14	interaction was present ($F_{1.67, 16.70} = 3.87$, $P < 0.05$, $r = 0.53$). Mean relative humidity was
15	not significantly different between ($F_{1, 10} = 3.89$, $P = 0.05$, $r = 0.57$) or within ($F_{1.9, 18.9} = 1.38$,
16	$P = 0.28$, $r = 0.35$) trials, and there was no interaction effect ($F_{1.7, 17.4} = 1.08$, $P = 0.35$, $r = 0.35$, $r = 0.35$)
17	0.31)
18	
19	PLEASE PLACE TABLE 3 HERE
20	

- 1 **Discussion**
- 2

This is the first study to demonstrate that ingestion of a CHO gel immediately before, and
during, a simulated team games protocol significantly improves the intermittent endurance
capacity of adolescent team games players. Carbohydrate gel ingestion did not significantly
influence sprint performance during the protocol.

7

8 *Time to exhaustion*

9

10 The 21.1% improvement in intermittent endurance capacity in the current study is similar to 11 the 24.4% improvement we recently demonstrated when adolescent team games players 12 ingested equal BM-relative amounts of CHO via a 6% CHO-E solution before and during the 13 same exercise protocol (Phillips et al 2010). Therefore, the current study serves to increase 14 the knowledge base and provide further evidence for an ergogenic effect of CHO 15 supplementation during simulated team games in adolescents. It could be inferred that the 16 influence of CHO reported here may translate into actual team games performance by 17 enabling participants to continue performing high-intensity exercise for longer, which is a 18 recognised marker of performance and fatigue during team games (Carling et al 2008). 19 Using self-paced protocols, such as that proposed by Ali et al (2009), may enable further 20 quantification of this.

21

It appears that CHO gels and solutions of similar composition, when administered in volumes that deliver an equal amount of BM-relative CHO, have similar efficacies for adolescents during simulated team games. The observation of a similar time-course of CHO oxidation and peak CHO oxidation rate between CHO gels and drinks of the same

composition (Pfeiffer et al 2010) may help to explain this, but would need to be confirmed in
 adolescents.

3

4 The current findings mimic those of Patterson and Gray (2007), who found a 45% 5 improvement in time to exhaustion at the end of the LIST in the only study to investigate 6 CHO gel supplementation during simulated team games in adults. Patterson and Gray (2007) 7 concluded that the increased intermittent endurance capacity was due to CHO-mediated 8 sparing of muscle glycogen during exercise. The current study did not collect data that would 9 enable direct quantification of enhancement mechanisms due to ethical and consensual 10 restrictions regarding the employment of blood sampling and muscle biopsies in adolescents. 11 However, as discussed in our previous study, metabolic distinctions between adolescents and 12 adults exist that also support the hypothesis of muscle glycogen sparing with CHO ingestion 13 in adolescents (Phillips et al 2010). It is crucial that future research manages to overcome 14 ethical and consensual issues and provide data on the metabolic responses of adolescents 15 during simulated team games with and without CHO ingestion.

16

17 The ~21% improvement in time to exhaustion in the current study is notably lower than the 18 45% improvement reported by Patterson and Gray (2007). Patterson and Gray (2007) used a 19 PLA solution, matched for taste, colour, and temperature, as a comparison to the CHO gel. 20 Despite matching for these criteria, it is possible that participants would still have been aware 21 of whether they were consuming a gel or a solution, due to the different consistencies of these 22 products. Reporting of blinding statistics would have been useful to validate the success of 23 the single-blinding procedures used. In addition, depending on the amount of information the 24 participants were provided with as to the aims and/or expectations of the study, the use of a 25 PLA solution could have raised a significant potential for experimenter bias and/or

1	participant expectancy that may have greatly impacted the results. This may help to explain
2	the difference in intermittent endurance capacity improvement compared with the current
3	study. However, the different participant populations used in the two studies (adolescents
4	versus adults) could also have contributed to this difference (Phillips et al 2010).
5	
6	Sprint Duration
7	
8	The finding that CHO gel supplementation did not significantly improve mean sprint or mean
9	peak sprint performance during the LIST is in line with other work from this laboratory
10	(Phillips et al., 2010), as well as previous adult work (Patterson & Gray 2007). Potential
11	reasons for the lack of influence of CHO have been discussed previously (Phillips et al 2010),
12	and the reader is referred here for further information.
13	
14	The only significant attenuation in peak sprint time in the current study occurred between
15	blocks 3 and 4, which also corresponded with a significant increase in both GF and GD
16	measures. It may be that this increase in GF and GD inhibited sprint performance, which is
17	further supported by the significant attenuation of mean sprint time over the same period.
18	However, in our previous study, peak sprint time was significantly attenuated in block 3 with
19	no significant corresponding increase in measures of GF or GD (Phillips et al 2010).
20	Therefore, the parallel increases in these measures in the current study may be coincidental.
21	
22	Heart rate, ratings of perceived exertion, and gastric measures
23	
24	There were no significant between-trials differences for HR response, RPE, or gastric
25	measures. This replicates findings from our previous study (Phillips et al 2010), and from

1 related adult work (Ali et al 2007; Nicholas et al 1995; Welsh et al 2002). In our previous 2 study, we demonstrated a significantly greater peak HR at exhaustion in the CHO trial. This 3 finding was not replicated in the current study, indicating that it may have been an artefact of 4 the participant population employed in our previous work, rather than a mechanism of 5 enhanced intermittent endurance capacity with CHO supplementation. 6 7 The lack of influence of CHO on RPE reinforces the notion that CHO supplementation 8 during sub-maximal team games exercise has a negligible effect on the effort perception of 9 adolescents. Along with the HR data, it can therefore be intimated that enhancements in 10 intermittent endurance capacity with CHO ingestion in these participants are from a 11 metabolic source. Potential causes of the progressive increase in RPE with time are 12 discussed elsewhere (Phillips et al 2010). 13 14 It appears that a CHO gel of the composition and [CHO] used in this study is tolerated as well 15 as an isoenergetic CHO-E solution by adolescents during simulated team games exercise 16 (Phillips et al 2010). The greater concentration of the gel means that a lower volume is 17 ingested to achieve a given CHO intake compared with a solution, which may explain the 18 good tolerance of the gels (Noakes et al 1991). Potential reasons for the influence of time on 19 gastric variables have been discussed previously (Phillips et al 2010). 20 21 Carbohydrate and fluid intake 22 23 As evidenced from the above discussion and the results of our previous study (Phillips et al 24 2010), CHO gels and solutions providing the same amount of CHO appear similarly 25 efficacious for maintaining physiological function and improving intermittent endurance

1	capacity during team games exercise in adolescents. Clearly, the BM-relative and absolute
2	CHO intake in our two studies is lower than adult research. It is also lower than that
3	recommended by adult guidelines for performance enhancement (Jeukendrup 2004).
4	However, a significant ergogenic effect was still reported. This evidence, from two studies
5	using the same exercise protocol and CHO concentration ([CHO]), and similar participants,
6	suggests that CHO_{exo} requirements are different for adolescents than adults, with perhaps a
7	lower CHO intake able to promote significant exercise enhancement (Phillips et al
8	unpublished data).
9	
10	Body mass loss and sweat rate
11	
12	The non-significant between-trials difference in BM loss in the current study is in agreement
13	with Phillips et al (2010) and previous adult work (Ali et al 2007; Nicholas et al 1995), as is
14	the similar between-trials mean SR (Phillips et al 2010). Together, this data suggests a
15	similar degree of thermal stress, and thermoregulatory ability, between trials. These data
16	represent the only published information currently available on the BM loss and SR of
17	adolescents during simulated team games, therefore further comparative discussion is not
18	possible.
19	
20	Blinding
21	
22	Analysis of the solution responses in the CHO trial demonstrated that the blinding procedures
23	used in this study were successful. However, as discussed by Phillips et al (2010), it may be
24	inappropriate to evaluate the success of blinding procedures simply by comparing them to
25	chance (Boutron et al 2005). It appears that exercise did not provide any cues enabling

1	participants to more accurately choose both gels post-exercise. Analysis of the individual
2	trials suggests that exercise made it easier for participants to recognise the PLA gel and
3	harder to recognise the CHO gel, although the response rate was near to the 50%
4	correct/incorrect response rate that could be expected to occur by chance alone (Boutron et al
5	2005).
6	
7	Conclusion
8	
9	Ingestion of a CHO gel immediately before, and during, a simulated team games protocol
10	significantly improves the intermittent endurance capacity of 12-14 year old team games
11	players. Carbohydrate gel ingestion does not significantly influence the sprint performance
12	or physiological responses of adolescents during simulated team games.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

1 Acknowledgements

3	The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of High5 Ltd, Bardon, Leicestershire, UK for
4	the supply of CHO and PLA gels and drink bottles to enable completion of this study.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Conflict of Interest

Z	
3	The authors declare they have no conflict of interest regarding this study.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Ethical Declaration

3	The authors confirm that the conduct of this study complied fully with current Scottish law,
4	and with the full ethical approval of the University of Edinburgh, Moray House School of
5	Education Ethics Committee.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

3	Ali A, Gant N, Foskett A, Moss C, Lynch C (2009) The modified Loughborough Intermittent
4	Shuttle Test (LIST): A performance tool for use with games players [abstract]. 14th Annual
5	Congress of the European College of Sports Science; June 24-27; Oslo, Norway: 608.
6	
7	Ali A, Williams C, Nicholas W, Foskett A (2007) The influence of carbohydrate-electrolyte
8	ingestion on soccer skill performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc 39: 1969-1976.
9	
10	Armstrong N (Ed) (2007) Paediatric Exercise Physiology. Churchill Livingstone Elsevier,
11	Philadelphia.
12	
13	Aucouturier J, Baker JS, Duché P (2008) Fat and carbohydrate metabolism during
14	submaximal exercise in children. Sports Med 38: 213-238.
15	
16	Bangsbo J (1994) The physiology of soccer with special reference to intense intermittent
17	exercise. Acta Physiol Scand (Suppl) 619: 1-155.
18	
19	Baxter-Jones ADG, Eisenmann JC, Sherer LB (2005) Controlling for maturation in pediatric
20	exercise science. Pediatr Exerc Sci 17: 18-30.
21	
22	Boutron I, Estellat C, Ravaud P (2005) A review of blinding in randomised controlled trials
23	found results inconsistent and questionable. J Clin Epidemiol 58: 1220-1226.
24	

2	intake on half-marathon performance of well-trained runners. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab
3	15: 573-589.
4	
5	Campbell C, Prince D, Braun M, Applegate E, Casazza GA (2008) Carbohydrate-supplement
6	form and exercise performance. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab. 18: 179-190.
7	
8	Carling C, Bloomfield J, Nelsen L, Reilly T (2008) The role of motion analysis in elite
9	soccer: contemporary performance measurement techniques and work rate data. Sports Med
10	38: 839-862.
11	
12	Crouter S, Foster C, Esten P, Brice G, Porcari JP (2001) Comparison of incremental treadmill
13	exercise and free range running. Med Sci Sports Exerc 33: 644-647.
14	
15	Earnest CP, Lancaster SL, Rasmussen CJ, Kerksick CM, Lucia A, Greenwood MC, Almada
16	AL, Cowan PA, Kreider RB (2004) Low vs. high glycemic index carbohydrate gel ingestion
17	during simulated 64-km cycling time trial performance. J Strength Cond Res 18: 466-472.
18	
19	Edwards AM, Mann ME, Marfell-Jones MJ, Rankin DM, Noakes TD, Shillington DP (2007)
20	Influence of moderate dehydration on soccer performance: physiological responses to 45 min
21	of outdoor match-play and the immediate subsequent performance of sport-specific and
22	mental concentration tests. Br J Sports Med 41: 385-391.
23	
24	Field A (2005) Discovering Statistics Using SPSS (2 nd ed). Sage, London.
25	

Burke LM, Wood C, Pyne DB, Telford RD, Saunders PU (2005) Effect of carbohydrate

1	Foskett A, Williams C, Boobis L, Tsintzas K (2008) Carbohydrate availability and muscle
2	energy metabolism during intermittent running. Med Sci Sports Exerc 40: 96-103.
3	
4	Havemann L, Goedecke JH (2008) Nutritional practices of male cyclists before and during an
5	ultraendurance event. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 18: 551–566.
6	
7	Hopkins WG (2006) A Scale of Magnitudes for Effect Statistics. Sportscience.
8	http://sportsci.org/resource/stats/. Accessed 19 January 2011.
9	
10	Jeukendrup A (2004) Carbohydrate intake during exercise and performance. Nutrition 20:
11	669-677.
12	
13	Lavcanska V, Taylor NF & Schache AG (2005) Familiarization to treadmill running in young
14	unimpaired adults. Hum Mov Sci 24: 544-557.
15	
16	Marino FE, Lambert MI, Noakes TD (2004) Superior performance of African runners in
17	warm humid but not in cool environmental conditions. J Appl Physiol 96: 124-130.
18	
19	Mirwald RL, Baxter-Jones ADG, Bailey DA, Beunen GP (2002) An assessment of maturity
20	from anthropometric measurements. Med Sci Sports Exerc 34: 689-694.
21	
22	Nicholas CW, Williams C, Lakomy HKA, Phillips G, Nowitz A (1995) Influence of
23	ingesting a carbohydrate-electrolyte solution on endurance capacity during intermittent, high-
24	intensity shuttle running. J Sports Sci 13: 283-290.
25	

1	Noakes T, Rehrer N, Maughan R (1991) The importance of volume in regulating gastric
2	emptying. Med Sci Sports Exerc 23: 307-313.
3	
4	Patterson SD, Gray SC (2007) Carbohydrate-gel supplementation and endurance performance
5	during intermittent high-intensity shuttle running. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 17: 445-55.
6	
7	Pfeiffer B, Stellingwerff T, Zaltas E, Jeukendrup AE (2010) Carbohydrate oxidation from a
8	carbohydrate gel compared to a drink during exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc 42: 2038-2045.
9	
10	Phillips SM, Turner AP, Gray S, Sanderson MF, Sproule J (2010) Ingesting a 6%
11	carbohydrate-electrolyte solution improves endurance capacity, but not sprint performance,
12	during intermittent, high-intensity shuttle running in adolescent team games players aged 12-
13	14 years. Eur J Appl Physiol 109: 811-821.
14	
15	Riddell MC (2008) The endocrine response and substrate utilization during exercise in
16	children and adolescents. J Appl Physiol 105: 725-733.
17	
18	Roemmich JN, Barkley JE, Epstein LH, Lobarinas CL, White TM, Foster JH (2006) Validity
19	of PCERT and OMNI walk/run ratings of perceived exertion. Med Sci Sports Exerc 38:
20	1014-1019.
21	
22	Rosenthal R (1991) Meta-analytic Procedures for Social Research (revised). Hillsdale, New
23 24	Jersey: Erlbaum.
2 4 25	Rosnow RL, Rosenthal R (2005) Reginning Rehavioural Research: A Conceptual Primer (5 th
26	ed). Pearson/Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

1	
2	Sandercock G, Voss C, Gladwell V (2008) Twenty-metre shuttle run test performance of
3	English children aged $11 - 15$ years in 2007: comparisons with international standards. J
4	Sports Sci 26: 953-957.
5	
6	Timmons BW, Bar-or O, Riddell MC (2003) Oxidation rate of exogenous carbohydrate
7	during exercise is higher in boys than in men. J Appl Physiol 94: 278-284.
8	
9	Timmons BW, Bar-Or O, Riddell MC (2007) Influence of age and pubertal status on
10	substrate utilization during exercise with and without carbohydrate intake in healthy boys.
11	Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 32: 416-425.
12	
13	Welsh RS, Davis JM, Burke JR, Williams HG (2002) Carbohydrates and physical/mental
14	performance during intermittent exercise to fatigue. Med Sci Sports Exerc 34: 723-731.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

- 1 Tables
- 2

3 **Table 1** Mean heart rate (beats per min) and mean ratings of perceived exertion during part

- 4 A of the LIST, and mean peak HR and mean ratings of perceived exertion at exhaustion in
- 5 part B for both trials.

	Period of the LIST					
		Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Exhaustion
	Mean heart rate (beats per min)					
	СНО	158 ± 7	163 ± 7***	164 ± 7	163 ± 6	187 ± 5
	PLA	158 ± 9	$162 \pm 10^{***}$	163 ± 9	162 ± 9	185 ± 5
	Mean ratings of perceived exertion	on				
	СНО	5.1 ± 1.4	$6.4 \pm 1.1^{***}$	$7.2\pm0.6^{\ast\ast\ast}$	$8.1\pm0.5^{\ast\ast\ast}$	9.4 ± 0.5
	PLA	5.0 ± 1.1	$6.3\pm0.9^{\ast\ast\ast}$	$7.3 \pm 1.0^{***}$	$8.2 \pm 0.8^{***}$	9.4 ± 0.50
6	Data are mean ± S	SD $(n = 9 \text{ for})$	mean HR in pa	art A, $n = 8$ for r	nean HR in part	B, $n = 11$ for
7	mean RPE)					
8	CHO = carbohydi	rate trial; PL	A = placebo tria	1		
9	*** significantly	greater than	previous block,	<i>P</i> < 0.001		
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						

Table 2 Mean gut fullness and gastric discomfort ratings during part A of the LIST, and at

2 exhaustion in par	rt B, for both trials.
---------------------	------------------------

	Period of the LIST							
	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Exhaustion			
Mean gut fullness ratings								
СНО	4.3 ± 1.9	4.4 ± 1.9	5.2 ± 1.6 †	5.2 ± 1.8	5.3 ± 1.8			
PLA	3.4 ± 1.3	4.0 ± 1.1	4.5 ± 1.4 †	4.5 ± 1.5	4.7 ± 1.3			
Mean gastric discomfort ratings	5							
СНО	3.0 ± 1.7	3.5 ± 2.0 **	4.5 ± 2.0 **	4.5 ± 2.3	5.5 ± 2.3			
PLA	2.8 ± 1.6	3.9 ± 1.9**	4.3 ± 2.5**	4.3 ± 2.3	5.3 ± 2.0			
Data are mean ± SI	D (<i>n</i> = 11)							

4 CHO = carbohydrate trial; PLA = placebo trial

 \ddagger significantly greater than previous block, P < 0.01

6 ** significantly greater than previous block, P < 0.05

1 **Table 3** Mean ambient temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%) immediately before, and

2	1 •		C (1	TTOT	• 1 /1	. • 1
2	during,	part A	of the	L12 I	in both	trials.

Period of the LIST							
	Pre-exercise	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4		
Mean ambient temperature (°C)							
СНО	18.5 ± 1.2	18.5 ± 1.2	18.5 ± 1.3	18.5 ± 1.3	18.5 ± 1.3		
PLA	18.8 ± 1.1	18.9 ± 1.1	18.9 ± 1.1	18.9 ± 1.1	18.9 ± 1.1		
Mean relative humidity (%)							
СНО	39.5 ± 8.0	39.5 ± 8.1	39.4 ± 8.2	39.5 ± 8.6	39.2 ± 8.5		
PLA	45.6 ± 7.5	44.8 ± 7.3	44.7 ± 7.1	44.6 ± 7.3	44.6 ± 7.3		

3 Data are mean \pm SD (n = 11)

4 CHO = carbohydrate trial; PLA = placebo trial

5 A significant interaction effect was reported for mean ambient temperature (P < 0.05)

1 Figure Captions

4 significantly greater than the PLA trial, P < 0.05. (n=11).

- **Figure 2** Mean sprint time (s, A) and mean peak sprint time (s, B) during part A of the LIST

7 for both trials. ** significantly greater than previous block, P < 0.05. (n=11).