

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Measurement of halide efflux from cultured and primary airway epithelial cells using fluorescence indicators

Citation for published version:

Munkonge, F, Alton, EWFW, Andersson, C, Davidson, H, Dragomir, A, Edelman, A, Farley, R, Hjelte, L, McLachlan, G, Stern, M & Roomans, GM 2004, 'Measurement of halide efflux from cultured and primary airway epithelial cells using fluorescence indicators', *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis*, vol. 3 Suppl 2, pp. 171-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2004.05.036

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.jcf.2004.05.036

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Journal of Cystic Fibrosis

Publisher Rights Statement: Copyright 2004 European Cystic Fibrosis Society

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Journal of Cystic Fibrosis 3 (2004) 171-176



Measurement of halide efflux from cultured and primary airway epithelial cells using fluorescence indicators

Felix Munkonge^{a,b,*}, Eric W.F.W. Alton^{a,b}, Charlotte Andersson^c, Heather Davidson^{b,d}, Anca Dragomir^c, Aleksander Edelman^e, Ray Farley^{a,b}, Lena Hjelte^f, Gerry McLachlan^{b,d}, Myra Stern^a, Godfried M. Roomans^c

^a Department of Gene Therapy, National Heart and Lung Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London SW3 GLR, UK ^b UK Cystic Fibrosis Gene Therapy Consortium, UK

^cDepartment of Medical Cell Biology, University of Uppsala, Box 571, 75123 Uppsala, Sweden

^dMedical Genetics Section, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Molecular Medicine Centre, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK

^e Faculté de Médecine Enfants Malades, INSERM U 467, 75730 Paris, France ^f Stockholm Cystic Fibrosis Center, Huddinge University Hospital, Karolinska Institutet, 14186 Stockholm, Sweden

Available online 8 July 2004

Abstract

The use of the halide-sensitive fluorescent probes (6-methoxy-*N*-(– sulphopropyl)quinolinium (SPQ) and *N*-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-6methoxyquinolinium bromide (MQAE)) to measure chloride transport in cells has now been established as an alternative to the halideselective electrode technique, radioisotope efflux assays and patch-clamp electrophysiology. We report here procedures for the assessment of halide efflux, using SPQ/MQAE halide-sensitive fluorescent indicators, from both adherent cultured epithelial cells and freshly obtained primary human airway epithelial cells. The procedure describes the calculation of efflux rate constants using experimentally derived SPQ/ MQAE fluorescence intensities and empirically derived Stern–Volmer calibration constants. These fluorescence methods permit the quantitative analysis of CFTR function.

© 2004 European Cystic Fibrosis Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: SPQ; MQAE; Fluorescence microscopy; CFTR; Chloride transport; Airway epithelial cell

1. Overview

The use of the quinolinium salt-based halide-sensitive fluorescent probes (6-methoxy-N-(– sulphopropyl)quinolinium (SPQ) and N-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-6-methoxyquinolinium bromide (MQAE)) to measure chloride (Cl⁻) transport in epithelial cells has now been established as an alternative to the halide-selective electrode technique, radioisotope efflux assays and patch-clamp electrophysiology. In the assay introduced by Verkman and colleagues, halide secretion is followed by measuring the dequenching of intracellular SPQ/MQAE fluorescence by the effluxing halide in response to stimulation of a specific agonist-mediated cellular Cl^{-} secretion mechanism [1-3]. In general, cells attached to a coverslip are loaded with SPO/MOAE, the extracellular dye is washed off, and the cells are transferred to a superfusion chamber on a fluorescent microscope and fluorescence imaging performed. Images are saved for offline analysis, and SPQ/MQAE fluorescence intensity for each region of interest (ROI) is compared over time or treatment. Many studies have used the fluorescence indicators to assess actual Cl⁻ channel efflux. Iodide is increasingly used as a Cl⁻ surrogate chiefly because indicator fluorescence is more effectively quenched by I⁻ than by Cl⁻ (halide ion selectivity: $I^{>}Br^{>}Cl^{-}$) thus improving the signal to noise ratio in SPQ/MQAE spectrofluorimetry. To date, SPQ/MQAE spectrofluorimetry has been used in numerous studies to assess halide efflux in adherent cultured cell lines [4,5,7-11]. In

Abbreviations: CCD, charge-coupled device; FSK, forskolin; IBMX, 3isobutyl-1-methylxanthine; MQAE, *N*-(ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-6-methoxyquinolinium bromide; SPQ, 6-methoxy-*N*-(–sulphopropyl)quinolinium; ROI, region of interest; SR, Standard Ringer's (solution).

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Gene Therapy, National Heart and Lung Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, London SW3 GLR, UK. Tel.: +44-207-352-8121x3378; fax: +44-207-351-8340.

contrast, the use of the technique for measurement of halide channel activity in primary epithelial cells is more technically demanding, reflected by the paucity of examples in the literature [3,4,7,10-13]. Below is a description of each step in the procedure to image halide-sensitive fluorescence in (A) adherent cultured epithelial cell lines, and (B) freshly obtained primary epithelial cells.

2. Purpose

This procedure provides guidelines and procedures and the interpretation of the results used for the assessment of Cl^- or I^- efflux, using SPQ/MQAE halide-sensitive fluorescent indicators, from both adherent cultured epithelial cell lines and freshly obtained primary human airway epithelial cells. The operating procedures start with the setting up of the epi-fluorescence digital imaging microscope together with experimental materials (including epithelial cells attached to coverslips), and ends with the calculation of efflux rate constants using experimentally derived SPQ/MQAE fluorescence intensities and empirically derived Stern–Volmer calibration constants.

3. Measurement of iodide efflux from adherent cultured epithelial cell lines¹

3.1. Materials and solutions

Efflux solution (mM): 135 NaNO₃; 1 Ca₂SO₄; 1 Mg₂ SO₄; 2.4 K₂HPO₄; 0.6 KH₂PO₄; 10 HEPES, 10 glucose; pH 7.4. Iodide solution: 135 mM NaI in the above efflux buffer replaced the NaNO₃. Cyclic AMP elevating agent forskolin (FSK) and the phosphodiesterase inhibitor 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX). Quenching solution: Iodide solution: Iodide buffer supplemented with 150 mM KSCN. Ionophores: Ionomycin and valinomycin (25 mM working stocks made up in analytical grade ethanol and stored at -20 °C).

3.2. Preparation of cells and attachment to coverslips

Acid-washed coverslips are prepared by incubation in hot HCl (1 N, 2–4 h at 60 °C), followed by rinsing in distilled water and ethanol before drying. The coverslips are then UV-sterilized prior to use. Cells (seeding density 1×10^4 cells/35-mm dish) are allowed to attach to sterile acid-washed glass coverslips by conventional cell culture in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37 °C in appropriate growth media at least 3–4 days prior to use.

¹ Procedure as used in the Department of Gene Therapy, Imperial College London, UK (performed in dark room at room temperature).

3.3. Loading cells with $SPQ/MQAE^2$

3.3.1. Metabolic loading

Incubate cells with SPQ solution for 12 h prior to assay (556 μ l of 36 mM stock per 1.5 ml cell growth media will give 10 mM final SPQ concentration).

3.3.2. Hypotonic loading

To eliminate any possible fluorescent 'hotspots' due to preferential subcellular localisation of SPQ, cells can be loaded with SPQ via hypotonic shock (50% for 4 min). The hypotonic loading buffer contains 0.56 ml of 36 mM SPQ stock with 1 ml of filtered deionised water and 0.44 ml of NaNO₃ solution (2 ml total volume).

3.4. Experimental procedure

Coverslips with SPO/MOAE-loaded cells are inserted into a perfusion chamber mounted on the stage of a Nikon Diaphot 300 inverted microscope (Nikon) and continual perfusion is initiated (140 µl volume and flow rate of 3.2 ml/min with complete buffer exchange achieved in approximately 2.6 s). Cells are illuminated with a 100 W xenon (Xe) arc lamp with neutral density filter attenuation. The cells are viewed first using a Nikon $10 \times$ fluorescence objective and the fluorescence imaged with a $40 \times$ Nikon fluorescence 1.4 N.A. objective onto an intensified charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (C2400-68, Hamamatsu Photonics) through a D460/50 band-pass filter (Glen Spectra). Once a suitable field of view is found, the main experiment is started by extensive washing with NaNO₃ solution to remove extraneous SPQ/MQAE. The frame acquisition rate for all the data is 30 s with an exposure time of 15 ms/frame. At time t=0min, the maximal fluorescence is measured over 5 min in efflux solution (Fig. 1A). Intracellular SPQ is then quenched by perfusion for a further 15 min with iodide solution. At time t=20 min, the establishment of basal fluorescence is achieved by substitution of nitrate (an anion transported by CFTR that does not quench SPQ) for I⁻. Cyclic AMP (20 µM FSK and 100 µM IBMX) agonist stimulation of the cells then follows at time t=25min and autofluorescence is finally measured by complete quenching of the intracellular SPQ at time t=45 min with quenching solution containing KSCN (150 mM) and valinomycin (5 µM) after 30 min to obtain the minimum fluorescence (Fig. 1A).

3.5. Image analysis

Data are acquired and analysed off-line using Metamorph[™] Image software (Universal Imaging). The average

² At room temperature in the dark.



Fig. 1. Typical cyclic AMP-induced I⁻ efflux from a pair of wild-type CFTR stably expressing (C127 +/+) or control (expressing vector alone, C127 -/-) mouse mammary epithelial cell monolayers (A) Representative pseudo-colour fluorescent images of SPQ-loaded C127 (+/+) and (-/-) cells (original magnification × 600). Colour corresponds to fluorescence intensity in ascending order: blue, green, yellow, red and white. Data represents the mean \pm S.E.M., of the relative fluorescence (F/F_0) imaged from 10 randomly selected cells, where *F* is the observed SPQ fluorescence and F_0 the fluorescence in the absence of I⁻ (maximal fluorescence) measured initially for 2 min in buffer (consisting of 135 mM NaNO₃, 1 mM Ca₂SO₄, 2.4 mM K₂HPO₄, 0.6 mM KH₂PO₄, 10 mM HEPES and 10 mM glucose). Following a 20-min incubation, basal fluorescence was measured for 5 min after substitution of nitrate for I⁻. At time *t*=5 min, C127 (+/+) (B) or C127 (-/-) (C) were stimulated with FSK (20 μ M)/IBMX (100 μ M). Intracellular SPQ was completely quenched by addition of I⁻ containing KSCN (150 mM) and valinomycin (5 μ M) after 30 min to obtain the minimum fluorescence.

fluorescence intensity of each region of interest (ROI, drawn along the perimeter of a cell) for each of the frames is determined and directly logged into a spreadsheet. These ROI average fluorescence intensities are then used to assemble fluorescence traces (arbitrary fluorescence units as a function of time) as shown in Fig. 1B and C.

3.5.1. Calculation of iodide efflux rates

Iodide efflux rates ($J_{\rm I}$, mM/s) are calculated from the observed fluorescence changes as detailed previously [1]. Computation of these rates requires knowledge of the Stern–Volmer constant ($K_{\rm I}$) for quenching of intracellular SPQ by I⁻ (calibration of SPQ fluorescence vs. intracellular I⁻ concentration). The Stern–Volmer constants (M⁻¹) for the commonly used cell lines, 16HBE14o⁻, C127 (+/+) and (-/-), are 15.1 ± 2.6, 10.2 ± 1.5, and 15.4 ± 6.7 (mean ± SEM, n=6), respectively.

The rate of I⁻ efflux (d*I*/d*t*) is calculated as *J*_I from Eq. (1).

$$J_{\rm I} \ ({\rm mM/s}) = (F_0/K_{\rm I}F^2)({\rm d}F/{\rm d}t) \tag{1}$$

where K_{I} is the Stern–Volmer constant for quenching of intracellular SPQ by I⁻ as determined above. dF/dt is the

initial rate of change of SPQ fluorescence (t = 10 minus 2 min after agonist addition). F_0 is determined from the difference in fluorescence signal measured in cells in zero iodide buffer and after addition of KSCN/valinomycin (Fig. 2).

4. Measurement of halide efflux from primary epithelial cells from human nasal and bronchial brushings—protocol 1³

4.1. Specific materials and solutions

Efflux buffer: Standard Ringer's (SR) solution (mM): 140 NaCl; 5 KCl; 5 HEPES; 1 MgCl₂; 1.5 CaCl₂; 5 glucose; pH 7.4. Chloride-free nitrate buffer (NO₃ in the above SR buffer replacing all Cl⁻). Quenching solution buffer: SR solution containing 150 mM KSCN and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4. For the intracellular calibration, a K⁺-rich buffer containing (mM): 120 K; 5 HEPES; 5 glucose; 1 MgCl₂ and various concentrations of Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻ is used at pH

³ Procedure as used in the Department of Gene Therapy, Imperial College London, UK (performed in dark room at room temperature).



Fig. 2. Typical cyclic AMP-induced I⁻ efflux from a pair of wild-type CFTR stably expressing (C127 +/+) or control (expressing vector alone, C127 -/-) mouse mammary epithelial cell monolayers. The relative fluorescence (F/F_0) from a field of 10–20 cells was determined as in Fig. 1A and B. Cells were stimulated with: FSK (20 µM)/IBMX (100 µM). Efflux rates (J_I , mM/s) were calculated using Eq. (1) with Stern–Volmer constants (K_I) for intracellular SPQ fluorescence quenching by I⁻.

7.2. Ionophores: tributyltin and nigericin. The osmotic strength of the solutions is calculated to be in the range of 307–310 mosM and routinely validated by freezing-point osmometry (Fiske Micro-osmometer, Fiske Associates, USA).

4.2. Primary nasal epithelial cells

Nasal epithelial cells are harvested from the inferior nasal turbinate of patients detailed elsewhere [6].

4.3. Bronchial epithelial cells

Bronchial epithelial cells are obtained from non-CF subjects undergoing flexible fibre-optic bronchosopy for diagnostic purposes [4]. Brushings are taken from three different bronchial sites (main stem or segmental) distant from any pathology, using a cytology brush, introduced via the biopsy channel of the bronchoscope. Brushings are immediately placed in ice-cold DMEM (Invitrogen Life Technologies) and transported to the laboratory on ice, before washing and loading with fluorescent dye as described above.

4.4. Coating of coverslips with Cell Tak[™] cell adhesive

Cell-TakTM (BD Biosciences) adhesive protein adsorbs to the first surface it comes into contact with when its pH is raised from acidic (comes in 5% acetic acid solution) to neutral. A small amount of Cell-TakTM is neutralised with sodium bicarbonate (0.1M) and the acid-washed coverslips coated with it. After 60 min, the coverslip is rinsed with PBS prior to use.

4.5. Cell attachment

Prior to use, the primary cells are centrifuged down at 1600 g for 1 min, resuspended in 50 μ l 37 °C SR buffer, and

loaded with dye by incubating for 40 min in 10 mM MQAE (in SR buffer) in a cell culture incubator. The loaded cells are rinsed with fresh SR and centrifuged at 1600 g for 1 min and resuspended in 4 μ l SR (37 °C). Dye-loaded cells are seeded directly on to coverslip mounted on the microscope stage.

4.6. Measurement of halide efflux from primary epithelial cells from human nasal and bronchial brushings – protocol 2 4

The loaded cells are placed on the Cell Tak[™]-treated coverslip and allowed to attach for a few min and are then bathed in SR. Cell clusters with beating cilia are chosen for analysis. Cells are perfused continually using a peristaltic pump (Ismatec, Zurich, Switzerland) (30 mm³ exchange volume and flow rate of 1 ml/min with complete buffer exchange achieved in approximately 2 s). The frame acquisition rate for all the data is 3-7 s with an exposure time of 16 ms/frame. At the end of the experiment, ROIs corresponding to single cells or clusters are selected and the average intensity of fluorescence cells determined. At $40 \times$ magnification, the fluorescence of the cells is homogenous and the dye does not show compartmentalisation, therefore the average intensity is a good indicator of MQAE fluorescence. The fluorescence is displayed as arbitrary units, after the background subtraction and, if necessary, correction for dye leakage and bleaching.

The experiment is performed sequentially, by exposing the cells to a Cl⁻ gradient under basal conditions, followed by cAMP (100 μ M IBMX and 5 μ M FSK) agonist stimulation, and subsequent in situ double-point calibration and determination of autofluorescence. The quenching solution consists of 150 mM KSCN in 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.2.

4.7. In situ double-point calibration

MQAE fluorescence is linearly related to intracellular $[Cl^-]_i$ according to the Stern–Volmer equation:

$$[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}]_{\mathrm{i}}(t) = \left(\frac{F_{0}}{F_{\mathrm{Cl},t}} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{1}{K_{\mathrm{SV}}}$$
(2)

 F_0 is the fluorescence in the absence of Cl⁻ after subtraction of background fluorescence, $F_{Cl,t}$ is the fluorescence in the presence of [Cl⁻]_i after subtraction of background fluorescence and K_{SV} is the Stern–Volmer constant for Cl⁻ quenching. K_{SV} is determined by double-point calibration, which is carried out as follows.

The cells are exposed to the K⁺-rich buffer described above containing the ionophores tributyltin (10 μ M) and nigericin (10 μ M). After this treatment, the intracellular

 $^{^4}$ Procedure as used in the Department of Medical Cell Biology University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden (performed in dark room at 37 °C) [7–9].



Fig. 3. The effect of cAMP agonists on the chloride efflux in (A) BHK-wt CFTR cells and (B) BHK-F508del-CFTR cells. The data show that the response in wt-CFTR cells is much larger than the response in F508del-CFTR cells. The rectangle above the time axis indicates the Cl⁻ concentration (mM) in the bathing solution. The stimulated efflux was obtained in the presence of 5 μ M FSK and 100 μ M IBMX for the entire length of the indicated trace. The symbols indicate experimental data, while the drawn lines and the parameters Plateau, Bottom, *K* and *R*² were obtained by computer-aided fitting to an exponential function.

Cl⁻ concentration equals the extracellular Cl⁻ concentration. If the experiment is carried out at, e.g., 20 and 80 mM Cl⁻, K_{SV} can be calculated from Eq. (2). Subsequently, this value of K_{SV} can be used to calculate [Cl⁻]_i during the experiment.

In practice, it is difficult to obtain F_0 , because the cells may be damaged by prolonged exposure to an extracellular Cl⁻ concentration of 0. Therefore, F_{20} (which is the fluorescence at 20 mM [Cl⁻]_i) is determined experimentally and then F_0 can be calculated from it. In order to calculate the efflux rate, we use an exponential fitting (Fig. 3) of the experimental data. Due to the electroneutral characteristics of the Cl⁻ efflux in non-excitable epithelial cells, the intracellular Cl⁻ concentration during the efflux ($[Cl^-]_i(t)$) is described by the equation:

 $[Cl^{-}]_{i}(t) = Bottom + (Plateau - Bottom) \times exp(-Kt)$

where Bottom is $[Cl^-]_i$ at the end of the efflux, Plateau is $[Cl^-]_i$ before the efflux, *t* is the elapsed time from the

beginning of the efflux, and *K* is the permeability constant of the membrane for Cl^- .

The Cl⁻ efflux rate J_{Cl} is then described by the equation:

$$J_{\rm Cl} = d[{\rm Cl}^-]_i/dt = -K({\rm Plateau} - {\rm Bottom})\exp(-Kt)$$

In addition, the extreme of this function is -K(Plateau – Bottom), representing the maximal Cl⁻ efflux.

The successive exposure of the same cells to different conditions allows a powerful and meaningful comparison of the effects of agonists in a paired-way fashion. This is true especially when cells behave heterogeneously and the variability of responses impairs statistical analyses. At the same time, it offers an advantage when scarce and sensitive material such as cells collected from human donors is to be examined. Although there are reports that cells behave differently after successive exposure to a Cl^- gradient [14], in our experience, the nasal cells and most of the cells lines are not affected.

5. Additional notes

(a) All buffers/solutions should be filtered through a 0.2- μ m pore-size filter prior to use to minimise light scatter. (b) Validated equipment, supplies and reagents are listed in the appendix of the on-line version of the article in the virtual repository. (c) Care should be taken to avoid photobleaching caused by extended periods of illumination. In addition, the deleterious effects of heat from the microscope mercury and/or Xe arc lamp sources are minimised by use of appropriate neutral density filters and use of objective especially modified to correct for optic aberration due to heating. (d) Local ethics and regulatory authority approval should be obtained before the use of human biological material.

References

 Mansoura MK, Biwersi J, Aslock MA, Verkman AS. Fluorescent chloride indicators to assess the efficacy of CFTR cDNA delivery. Hum Gene Ther 1999;10:861–75.

- [2] Cheng SH, Fang SL, Zabner J, Marshall J, Piraino S, Schiavi S, et al. Functional activation of the cystic fibrosis trafficking mutant ΔF508 CFTR by overexpression. Am J Physiol 1995;268:L615–24.
- [3] Marshall J, Fang S, Ostedgaard LS, O'Riordan CR, Ferrara D, Amara JF, et al. Stoichiometry of recombinant cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in epithelial cells and its functional reconstitution into cells in vitro. J Biol Chem 1994;269:2987–95.
- [4] Stern M, Munkonge FM, Caplen NJ, Sorgi F, Huang L, Geddes DM, et al. Quantitative fluorescence measurements of chloride secretion in native airway epithelium from CF and non-CF subjects. Gene Ther 1995;2:766–74.
- [5] Hart SL, Mayall E, Stern M, Munkonge FM, Frost A, Huang L, et al. The introduction of two silent mutations into a CFTR cDNA constructs allows improved detection of exogenous mRNA in gene transfer experiments. Hum Mol Genet 1995;4:1597–602.
- [6] Harris CN, Mendes F, Dragomir A, Doull IJM, Penque D, Amaral MD, et al. Assessment of CFTR localisation in native airway epithelial cells obtained by nasal brushing. J Cyst Fibros 2004;3:43–48.
- [7] Andersson C, Dragomir A, Hjelte L, Roomans GM. CFTR activity in nasal epithelial cells from cystic fibrosis patients with severe genotype. Clin Sci 2002;103:417–24.
- [8] Andersson C, Gaston B, Roomans GM. S-Nitrosoglutathione induces functional ΔF508 CFTR in airway epithelial cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2002;297:552–7.
- [9] Andersson C, Roomans GM. Determination of chloride efflux by X-ray microanalysis versus MQAE fluorescence. Microsc Res Tech 2002;59:351–5.
- [10] McLachlan G, Davidson DJ, Stevenson BJ, Dickinson P, Davidson-Smith H, Dorin JR, et al. Evaluation in vitro and in vivo of cationic liposome-expression construct complexes for cystic fibrosis gene therapy. Gene Ther 1995;2:614–22.
- [11] McLachlan G, Ho LP, Davidson-Smith H, Samways J, Davidson H, Stevenson BJ, et al. Laboratory and clinical studies in support of cystic fibrosis gene therapy using pCMV-CFTR-DOTAP. Gene Ther 1996;3:1113–23.
- [12] Porteous DJ, Dorin JR, McLachlan G, Davidson-Smith H, Davidson H, Stevenson BJ, et al. Evidence for safety and efficacy of DOTAP cationic liposome mediated CFTR gene transfer to the nasal epithelium of patients with cystic fibrosis. Gene Ther 1997;4:210-8.
- [13] Sermet-Gaudelus I, Vallee B, Urbin I, Torossi T, Marianovski R, Fajac A, et al. Normal function of the cystic fibrosis conductance regulator protein can be associated with homozygous F508del mutation. Pediatr Res 2002;52:628–35.
- [14] The online Virtual Repository of Cystic Fibrosis European Network; 2002. http://central.igc.gulbenkian.pt/cftr/vr/invivo.