



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Brain structural abnormalities in obesity: relation to age, genetic risk, and common psychiatric disorders

Citation for published version:

ENIGMA-Major Depressive Disorder W 2020, 'Brain structural abnormalities in obesity: relation to age, genetic risk, and common psychiatric disorders: Evidence through univariate and multivariate mega-analysis including 6420 participants from the ENIGMA MDD working group', *Molecular Psychiatry*, vol. N/A, pp. 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-0774-9>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1038/s41380-020-0774-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-0774-9)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Molecular Psychiatry

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Brain structural abnormalities in obesity: Relation to age, genetic risk, and common psychiatric disorders

Evidence through univariate and multivariate mega-analysis including 6420 participants from the ENIGMA MDD working group

Article

Nils Opel*¹, MD; Anbupalam Thalamuthu^{2,3}, PhD; Yuri Milaneschi⁴, PhD; Dominik Grotegerd¹, PhD; Claas Kähler^{1,5}, Ms.Sc; Ramona Leenings¹, Ms.Sc; Janik Goltermann¹, MSc; Maike Richter¹, MSc; Tim Hahn¹, PhD; Georg Woditsch⁶, MSc; Klaus Berger⁷, MD, MSc, MPH; Marco Hermesdorf⁷, PhD; Andrew McIntosh⁸, MD; Heather C. Whalley⁸, PhD; Mathew A. Harris⁸, PhD; Frank P. MacMaster^{9,10}, PhD; Henrik Walter¹¹, MD, PhD; Ilya M. Veer¹¹, PhD; Thomas Frodl^{12,13}, MD; Angela Carballedo¹², MD; Axel Krug¹⁴, PhD; Igor Nenadic¹⁴, MD; Tilo Kircher¹⁴, MD; Andre Aleman¹⁵, PhD; Nynke A. Groenewold¹⁵, PhD; Dan J. Stein¹⁶, MD, PhD; Jair C. Soares¹⁷, MD; PhD; Giovana B. Zunta-Soares¹⁷, MD; Benson Mwangi¹⁸, PhD; Mon-Ju Wu¹⁸, PhD; Martin Walter¹⁹, PhD; Meng Li²⁰, PhD; Ben J. Harrison²¹, PhD; Christopher G. Davey^{22,23}, MD; PhD; Kathryn R. Cullen²⁴, MD; Bonnie Klimes-Dougan²⁵, PhD; Bryon A. Mueller²⁴, PhD; Philipp G. Sämann²⁶, MD, PhD; Brenda Penninx⁴, PhD; Laura Nawijn⁴, PhD; Dick J. Veltman⁴, MD, PhD; Lyubomir Aftanas²⁷, MD; PhD; Ivan V. Brak²⁷, PhD; Elena A. Filimonova²⁷, MD; Evgeniy A. Osipov²⁸, MSc; Liesbeth Reneman²⁹, MD, PhD; Anouk Schranter²⁹, PhD; Hans J. Grabe^{30,31}, MD; Sandra Van der Auwera^{30,31}, PhD; Katharina Wittfeld^{30,31}, PhD; Norbert Hosten³², MD; Henry Völzke^{33,34}, MD; Kang Sim^{35,36}, MD; Ian Gotlib³⁷, PhD; Matthew D. Sacchet³⁸, PhD; Jim Lagopoulos³⁹, PhD; Sean Hatton⁴⁰, PhD; Ian Hickie⁴⁰, MD; Elena Pozzi^{22,41}, MSc; Paul M. Thompson⁴², PhD; Neda Jahanshad⁴², PhD; Lianne Schmaal^{22,23}, PhD; Bernhard T. Baune^{#1, 43, 44}, MD, PhD; and Udo Dannlowski^{#1}, MD, PhD

¹ Department of Psychiatry, University of Münster, Germany

² Centre for Healthy Brain Ageing, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

³ Neuroscience Research Australia, Randwick, Australia

⁴ Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC/Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁵ Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Münster, Germany

⁶ IT Department, University of Muenster, Germany

⁷ Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Münster, Münster, Germany

⁸ Division of Psychiatry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

⁹ Psychiatry and Paediatrics, University of Calgary, Canada

¹⁰ Addictions and Mental Health Strategic Clinical Network Calgary, Canada

¹¹ Division of Mind and Brain Research, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy CCM, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany

- ¹² Department of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland
- ¹³ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany
- ¹⁴ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Marburg, Germany
- ¹⁵ University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Biomedical Sciences of Cells and Systems Groningen, the Netherlands
- ¹⁶ SA MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience, Department of Psychiatry and Neuroscience Institute, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- ¹⁷ UT Center of Excellence on Mood Disorders, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA
- ¹⁸ Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA
- ¹⁹ Department of Psychiatry, University of Tübingen, Germany
- ²⁰ Max Planck Institute for Biological Cybernetics, Tuebingen, Germany
- ²¹ Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne & Melbourne Health, Victoria, Australia
- ²² Orygen, The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Parkville, Australia
- ²³ Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia
- ²⁴ Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Minnesota, USA
- ²⁵ Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, USA
- ²⁶ Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany
- ²⁷ FSSBI "Scientific Research Institute of Physiology & Basic Medicine", Laboratory of Affective, Cognitive & Translational Neuroscience, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ²⁸ Novosibirsk State University, Laboratory of Experimental & Translational Neuroscience, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ²⁹ Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- ³⁰ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Greifswald, Germany
- ³¹ German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Greifswald/Rostock, site Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany
- ³² Institute of Diagnostic Radiology and Neuroradiology, University of Greifswald, Germany
- ³³ Institute for Community Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
- ³⁴ German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Greifswald, Germany
- ³⁵ West Region, Institute of Mental Health, Singapore
- ³⁶ Yoo Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore
- ³⁷ Department of Psychology, Stanford University, USA
- ³⁸ Center for Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Research, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, USA
- ³⁹ Sunshine Coast Mind and Neuroscience Thompson Institute, University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia
- ⁴⁰ Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, Australia
- ⁴¹ Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne, Australia
- ⁴² Mark & Mary Stevens Neuroimaging & Informatics Institute, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Marina del Rey, CA, USA
- ⁴³ Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne Medical School, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
- ⁴⁴ The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

Equal contribution: UD and BTB contributed equally to the present work and should therefore both be regarded as senior authors

* Corresponding author: N. Opel. Department of Psychiatry. University of Münster. Albert-Schweitzer-Campus 1. 48149 Münster. Germany. Phone: ++49-251-8356610. Fax: ++49-251-8356612. Email: n_opel01@uni-muenster.de

Running Title: Brain structure and obesity

Keywords: Obesity, body mass index, brain, MRI, Polygenic risk

Abstract: 170 words. Text: 4540 words. Figures: 2. Tables: 1

1 ABSTRACT

2 Emerging evidence suggests that obesity impacts brain physiology at multiple levels. Here we
3 aimed to clarify the relationship between obesity and brain structure using structural MRI
4 (n=6420) and genetic data (n=3907) from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder (MDD)
5 working group. Obesity (BMI>30) was significantly associated with cortical and subcortical
6 abnormalities in both mass-univariate and multivariate pattern recognition analyses
7 independent of MDD diagnosis. The most pronounced effects were found for associations
8 between obesity and lower temporo-frontal cortical thickness (maximum Cohen's *d* (left
9 fusiform gyrus)= -0.33). The observed regional distribution and effect size of cortical
10 thickness reductions in obesity revealed considerable similarities with corresponding patterns
11 of lower cortical thickness in previously published studies of neuropsychiatric disorders. A
12 higher polygenic risk score for obesity significantly correlated with lower occipital surface
13 area. In addition, a significant age-by-obesity interaction on cortical thickness emerged driven
14 by lower thickness in older participants. Our findings suggest a neurobiological interaction
15 between obesity and brain structure under physiological and pathological brain conditions.

16

17

18

19 ***Introduction***

20 With an estimated worldwide prevalence of 13% among the adult population and up to 38%
21 in western societies¹, obesity is one of the greatest concerns to public health.² The role of
22 obesity as a preventable cardiovascular risk factor is well known, but research has only
23 recently started to explore the neurobiological underpinnings of obesity.

24 On a systemic level, neuroimaging research has identified structural³⁻⁵ and functional⁶⁻⁸
25 alterations in obese participants - one of the most consistent findings is decreased gray matter
26 volume in obesity.^{3,4,9,10} A recent UK Biobank study including data from n=9652 participants
27 supplemented this notion by showing an inverse association between BMI and global gray
28 matter volume.¹¹ Further large-scale evidence for associations between body weight and brain
29 structure comes from a recent meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies including
30 data from n=5882 subjects that pointed to consistent associations between BMI and lower
31 gray matter volume in the medial prefrontal cortex, the bilateral cerebellum, and the left
32 temporal pole.¹² However, even though these well-powered studies provide robust evidence
33 for an association between BMI and brain structure in general, the current understanding of
34 the relationship between obesity and brain structure is considerably limited for several
35 reasons.

36 First, the distribution and effect size of brain structural abnormalities in obesity remains
37 unclear. Several smaller structural neuroimaging studies suggest that obesity might primarily
38 relate to gray matter reductions in brain areas involved in reward processing and impulse
39 regulation such as the orbitofrontal cortex and the striatum.^{9,13,14} Even so, other reports
40 question the hypothesis of regional specific gray matter decrease in obesity by pointing to
41 widespread associations throughout the brain with diverging effects of obesity on subcortical
42 brain structure.^{4,10} Since prior studies either exhibited limited power to detect subtle effects in
43 small samples or employed hypothesis-driven region of interest approaches, the distribution
44 or regional specificity of obesity related brain structural abnormalities remains uncertain.

45 Large-scale studies are needed that investigate associations with obesity throughout the entire
46 brain by differentiating effects on subcortical volume and cortical thickness and surface area.
47 Furthermore, while the statistical significance of obesity-related brain structural abnormalities
48 is well documented, the effect sizes and hence the potential relevance of brain structural
49 alterations in obesity remains unknown. We aimed to address this issue by directly comparing
50 profiles of obesity related brain structural alterations with findings from neuropsychiatric
51 disorders. In addition we aimed to complement group level analyses, by employing
52 individual-level based pattern classification as a further proxy for the robustness of
53 neuroimaging findings.¹⁵ Second, previous neuroimaging findings in obesity are largely
54 based on studies in healthy participants. Yet, obesity has frequently been associated with
55 neuropsychiatric disorders^{16,17} and more specifically previous research has pointed to a
56 bidirectional association between obesity and major depression.¹⁸ Furthermore, preliminary
57 neuroimaging studies have reported overlapping brain structural abnormalities in obesity and
58 major depression.^{9,12,19} It thus appears relevant to investigate if obesity related brain structural
59 abnormalities might similarly be present under physiological and pathological brain
60 conditions. Against this backdrop, the present study aimed to provide a well-powered and
61 comprehensive investigation of the relationship between obesity and brain structural
62 abnormalities in healthy participants and depressive patients. A third major issue concerns the
63 relationship between brain structural abnormalities in obesity and ageing. Interestingly, while
64 obesity and gray matter volume are frequently reported to be inversely related in adult
65 samples, the few studies of obesity related brain structural abnormalities in children and
66 adolescents have diverging results.^{13,20,21} Thus, it is valuable to investigate whether brain
67 structural impairment in obesity is already detectable in children and adolescents and if brain
68 structural abnormalities in obesity might vary as a function of age. In addition, there may be a
69 genetic contribution to brain structural abnormalities in obesity, given the high heritability of
70 obesity in general²² and the involvement of multiple BMI related genetic variants in brain

71 physiology.²³ Thus, the question of a potential genetic contribution to brain structural
72 abnormalities in obesity arises. To address this, we combined individual polygenic risk
73 profiles with imaging data to investigate obesity and BMI related brain structural
74 abnormalities.^{24,25}

75

76 ***Methods***

77 *Participants*

78 We studied BMI and neuroimaging data in a combined sample of 6420 participants (mean
79 age=42.91, SD=15.26; 56.95% female; mean BMI=25.97, SD=4.97) including healthy
80 controls (HC: n=3519) and major depressive disorder patients (MDD: n=2901) from 28 sites
81 contributing to the ENIGMA MDD working group.^{19,26} The sample included n=1223 obese
82 participants (BMI>30) as well as n=2917 normal weight participants (BMI 18.5-25)
83 (**Supplementary Results, Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 2,**
84 **Supplementary Figure 3, Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Table 2**). All
85 participating sites obtained approval from local institutional review boards and ethics
86 committees; all study participants provided written informed consent.

87

88 *Structural MRI Methods*

89 T1-weighted high-resolution anatomical brain images were acquired for all participants and
90 preprocessed locally using FreeSurfer segmentation. Quality control was carried out at each
91 site according to protocols from the ENIGMA consortium. Segmentation quality was assessed
92 by visual inspection and statistically evaluated for outliers with a standardized protocol
93 provided by the ENIGMA consortium ([http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-
94 protocols](http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols)). Details of the imaging procedures for each cohort may be found in the
95 supplementary material (**Supplementary Table 3**). All structural images were preprocessed
96 using the subcortical and cortical parcellation stream of FreeSurfer with the default

97 parameters.²⁷ As we aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of obesity related brain
98 structural alterations that would allow for comparison with previous ENIGMA studies, all
99 available imaging measures were included for the presented analyses: global measures
100 included total intracranial volume, total left and right cortical surface area, and average left
101 and right cortical thickness. Regional measures included subcortical volumetric measures (8
102 left and 8 right), surface area (34 left and 34 right) and thickness measures (34 left and 34
103 right) for all cortical regions based on the Desikan–Killiany atlas.²⁸ The presented
104 morphometric data allowed us to simultaneously investigate both subcortical and cortical
105 abnormalities and furthermore enabled us to examine thickness and surface area separately
106 which have been shown to be driven by distinct genetic mechanisms and to exhibit different
107 developmental trajectories.^{29,30}

108

109 *Genetic Methods*

110 Genetic data was available for 3907 individuals from 9 contributing sites. Genotyping of these
111 subjects was performed at each contributing site using published protocols (**Supplementary**
112 **Table 4**). Polygenic risk scores (PRS) were generated using sets of SNPs selected based on P-
113 value thresholds at $p = [0.1; 0.2; 0.3; 0.4; 0.5; 0.6; 0.7; 0.8; 0.9; 1.0]$ from the base GWAS
114 data. The R program 'PRSice'³¹ - which uses PLINK-1.9³² in the background for linkage
115 disequilibrium pruning - was used for this analysis step. Standardized PRS values based on z-
116 transformation were used for all analyses (**Supplementary Methods**).

117

118 *Statistical analyses*

119 All univariate imaging analyses were carried out using linear models in R, separately for each
120 of the 157 available FreeSurfer derived imaging measures as a dependent variable. Age, sex,
121 MDD diagnosis and site were included as covariates in all models. For analyses of subcortical
122 volumes and surface area measures, ICV was also included as covariate. For all univariate

123 imaging analyses, FDR correction for 157 tests was conducted using the Benjamini Hochberg
124 procedure with a false discovery rate of $q < 0.05$.

125 To investigate associations between brain structure and obesity, two main models were
126 applied by including a dichotomous predictor based on a BMI threshold (obese subjects
127 (BMI > 30) vs. normal weight subjects (BMI 18.5-25) (Model A)) and furthermore by
128 including BMI as a continuous predictor (Model B).

129 Effect size estimates (Cohen's d) were calculated based on t -values and sample sizes³³ from
130 the regression model including the dichotomous BMI group (obesity vs. normal weight)
131 predictor (Model A) thus following a similar methodology compared to previous studies on
132 psychiatric disorders from the ENIGMA consortium.^{19,26} To investigate potential similarities
133 between brain structural alterations in obesity and common neuropsychiatric disorders, we
134 carried out correlational analyses between effect size estimates (Cohen's d) of thickness
135 alterations in all cortical regions in obesity with effect size estimates reported in previous
136 ENIGMA studies on MDD¹⁹ and bipolar disorder³⁴.

137 To further test our hypothesis of brain structural alterations in obesity, we complemented the
138 applied mass-univariate testing approach by conducting pattern recognition analyses to
139 investigate multivariate patterns of brain structural differences between obese and normal
140 weight subjects. To this end, a machine learning pipeline consisting of several preprocessing
141 steps including imputation of missing values, dimensionality reduction by principal
142 component analysis and random undersampling and a support vector machine was trained on
143 all available 157 FreeSurfer derived imaging measures to individually classify participants as
144 either obese or normal-weight using pooled multisite nested cross validation employing the
145 PHOTON framework (<https://photon-ai.com>; **Supplementary Methods**).

146 Furthermore, potential interaction effects of body weight and age, sex and MDD diagnosis
147 were carried out as exploratory analyses. In addition, associations between polygenic risk for
148 obesity and brain structure were assessed through univariate models as outlined above.

149

150 ***Results***

151 *Obesity and brain structure*

152 Linear regression models including either obesity as dichotomous predictor (Model A) or
153 BMI as continuous predictor (Model B) of brain structure yielded highly consistent results
154 (**Supplementary Table 5, Supplementary Table 6, Supplementary Figure 4**). Obesity was
155 associated with lower cortical thickness, with most pronounced and consistent associations
156 between obesity and lower cortical thickness in regions of the temporal and frontal lobe
157 (**Table 1** and **Figure 1**). Analyses of regionally specific cortical surface area alterations in
158 obesity revealed both significantly lower and higher surface area in obese subjects.
159 Subcortical volumes were found to be significantly increased in obese subjects - with most
160 pronounced volume increases in the amygdala, the thalamus and the nucleus accumbens
161 (**Table 1**).

162 To rule out bias due to antidepressant medication intake in the MDD group, analyses were
163 repeated by including current intake of antidepressant medication as additional nuisance
164 regressor. Regional specificity of cortical thickness findings was assessed by conducting
165 additional analyses accounting for mean cortical thickness. Highly similar results were
166 observed in analyses controlling for the presence of antidepressant medication and in analyses
167 adjusted for mean cortical thickness (**Supplementary Table 7, Supplementary Table 8**).
168 Highly consistent results were observed in confirmatory analyses testing for quadratic effects
169 of BMI, in analyses accounting for quadratic effects of age, in analyses stratified by
170 diagnostic group and in analyses assessing the effect of weight group by including normal-

171 weight, overweight and obesity as categorical predictor (**Supplementary Results** and
172 **Supplementary Tables 9, 10, 11, 12, 13**). Additional analyses in a subsample indicated that
173 the observed obesity related brain structural abnormalities were not significantly biased by
174 head movement (**Supplementary Results** and **Supplementary Table 14**).
175 Highly similar regional effect sizes for the association between obesity and brain structural
176 abnormalities in the left and right hemisphere could be observed in the present study
177 (**Supplementary Results**), while descriptively larger effects were observed for the
178 association between obesity and lower cortical thickness in the left compared to right cortical
179 hemisphere.

180

181

182 *Comparison of obesity related brain structural abnormalities with previous findings in*
183 *neuropsychiatric disorders*

184 Correlational analyses of effect size estimates for thickness of each cortical region of interest
185 indicated similarities in the distribution or pattern of cortical thickness reductions across
186 cortical regions between obesity and MDD ($r=0.452$) and obesity and bipolar disorder
187 ($r=0.513$) (**Figure 2**). An additional sensitivity analysis revealed that by contrast to the
188 observed similarities between cortical thickness in obesity and affective disorders, effect
189 sizes for obesity and previously published effect sizes for autism spectrum disorder did not
190 show a similar degree of overlap (ASD)³⁵ ($r= .149$) (**Supplementary Results**).

191

192 *Multivariate pattern recognition analyses*

193 Multivariate pattern classification analyses further confirmed the relationship between obesity
194 and brain structure by yielding highly significant single-subject differentiation between obese
195 (BMI>30, n=1223) and normal-weight subjects (BMI 18.5-25, n=2,917) with a balanced

196 accuracy rate of 68.7% (BAC=0.687, StD=0.019, $p<0.001$; sensitivity=0.695;
197 specificity=0.678; F1score=0.565; ROC-AUC=0.687).

198 To rule out bias due to differing age, sex and MDD diagnosis distributions in obese versus
199 normal weight subjects, pattern recognition analyses were repeated in samples of obese and
200 normal weight subjects that were balanced for age, sex and MDD diagnosis using the
201 pairmatch function in R ($n_{\text{obese}}=1223$; $n_{\text{normal weight}}=1223$). Similar results were observed when
202 analyses were performed in samples of obese and normal weight subjects that were balanced
203 for age, sex and MDD diagnosis ($n_{\text{obese}}=1223$; $n_{\text{normal weight}}=1223$; BAC=0.641, StD=0.014,
204 $p<0.001$; sensitivity=0.666; specificity=0.617; F1score=0.650; ROC-AUC=0.641).

205 In addition, to demonstrate replicability across differing cohorts and scanning sites, we
206 performed pattern recognition analyses by employing leave-one-site-out cross-validation. For
207 this analysis step, only sites with a minimum of 50 subjects per group were included, to avoid
208 bias due to lenient test sample sizes ($n_{\text{obese}}=960$; $n_{\text{normal weight}}=1616$; $k=5$ sites). Analyses
209 employing leave-one-site-out-cross-validation including all sites with a minimum $n>50$ in
210 each group yielded a lower but still highly significant accuracy rate ($n_{\text{obese}}=960$; n_{normal}
211 $\text{weight}=1616$, $k=5$ sites; BAC=0.595, StD=0.018, $p<0.001$; sensitivity=0.714; specificity=0.476;
212 F1score=0.523; ROC-AUC=0.595).

213 Supplementary analyses confirmed the predictive relevance of brain regions associated with
214 obesity in the univariate analyses but also revealed that optimal classifier performance was
215 obtained in analyses including the maximum of available brain structural features (see
216 **Supplementary Results**).

217

218 *Moderating role of MDD diagnosis, age and sex*

219

220 To investigate if associations between BMI and brain structure would significantly differ
221 between MDD and HC participants, interaction effects of BMI x MDD diagnosis were

222 assessed based on linear models in analogy to Model B thus comparing slopes of BMI x MRI
223 measure between MDD and HC subjects. No FDR corrected significant interaction effect of
224 BMI and MDD diagnosis was detected (**Supplementary Table 15**).

225 Similarly, a moderating role of sex was investigated by assessing BMI x sex interaction
226 effects. We observed FDR corrected significant interaction effects of sex and BMI on cortical
227 thickness, subcortical volumes and surface area. The most consistent finding was a
228 significantly enhanced BMI related cortical thinning in male compared to female subjects
229 (**Supplementary Table 16**).

230 To investigate a potential moderating role of age on brain structural alterations observed in
231 obesity, linear models building on Model A were fitted by also including the obesity x age
232 interaction term. FDR corrected significant interaction effects of obesity and age were
233 observed on cortical thickness of the left rostral middle frontal gyrus, the left lateral
234 orbitofrontal gyrus, the left pars orbitalis and triangularis of the inferior frontal gyrus driven
235 by significantly enhanced age-related thickness decrease in obese compared to normal weight
236 subjects. Further significant obesity x age interaction effects were observed for right
237 hippocampal and left thalamic volume as well as for surface area of the right precuneus
238 (**Supplementary Table 17**). Moreover, to investigate if brain structural associations with
239 BMI could be detected in adolescents, regression analyses were repeated in the subgroup of
240 participants with an age<21 (n=520). Due to the limited prevalence of obesity in the
241 adolescent subgroup (n=51), only models including BMI as continuous predictor were
242 conducted in the adolescent subgroup. Additional subgroup analyses of associations between
243 BMI and brain structure in adolescent participants exclusively revealed an FDR-corrected
244 significant positive association between BMI and volume of the right amygdala ($B=7.34$,
245 $StdE=1.72$, $t=4.26$, $p=0.00002$, $p_{(FDR)}=0.0038$, $n=503$) (**Supplementary Table 18**), while no
246 further association reached FDR corrected significance in this subsample.

247

248 *Polygenic risk for obesity and brain structure*

249

250 All calculated PRS scores significantly predicted BMI with proportions of explained variance

251 (R^2) ranging from 1.2% to 1.8% (n=3907, all $p < 0.00001$; **Supplementary Table 19**,252 **Supplementary Table 20**). To assess the influence of polygenic risk for obesity on brain

253 structure, linear models were fitted a) by including the PRS based on information from all

254 available SNPs as predictor (p -value threshold=1.0) and b) by employing the polygenic score255 that explained most variance in BMI as predictor (p -value threshold=0.2).256 We observed an FDR corrected significant negative association between $PRS_{(p1.0)}$ and cortical257 surface area of the left lateral occipital cortex ($B=-45.92$, $StdE=12.56$, $t=-3.66$, $p=0.00026$,258 $p_{(FDR)}=0.041$, $n=3526$) (**Supplementary Table 21**). Analyses including the $PRS_{(p.02)}$ as

259 predictor yielded a highly similar pattern of results with the most pronounced association

260 between polygenic risk and surface area of the left lateral occipital surface area, which,

261 however, did not reach FDR-corrected significance ($B=-40.84$, $StdE=11.52$, $t=-3.55$,262 $p=0.0004$, $p_{(FDR)}=0.062$, $n=3526$) (**Supplementary Table 22**). In addition, mediation

263 analyses were performed to test if the association between polygenic risk and BMI was

264 mediated by left lateral occipital surface area and other brain structures reported previously.²⁴

265 While we did not observe a significant mediation effect for left lateral occipital surface area, a

266 significant mediation effect of polygenic risk for obesity on BMI through left lateral

267 orbitofrontal thickness could be detected (see **Supplementary Results**).

268

269 ***Discussion***

270 In the present multi-site study, we found that obesity significantly associated with cortical and

271 subcortical brain structural abnormalities independent of MDD diagnosis in both univariate

272 and multivariate analyses. We further demonstrate that the regional distribution and effect size

273 of the observed lower cortical thickness in obesity shows considerable similarities with

274 corresponding patterns of cortical thickness alterations that have been described in mental
275 disorders. Similarly, the presence of differential age dependent effects on brain structural
276 measures in obesity - as well as the observed influence of polygenic risk for obesity on brain
277 structure - offers novel insights of relevance for future experimental research on the etiology
278 of obesity related brain structural impairment.

279 The applied multi-site design combined with a comprehensive neuroimaging approach
280 allowed to differentiate between obesity related abnormalities in cortical thickness, surface
281 and subcortical volume with unprecedented statistical power and detail. Our findings clarify
282 that lower fronto-temporal cortical thickness constitutes the most pronounced obesity related
283 brain structural abnormality across the brain. This finding is supported by prior reports on
284 temporal and frontal cortical gray matter decrease in obesity.^{4,9,10,20,24,36}

285 Interestingly, while all significant associations between BMI and cortical thickness were
286 negative, differing directions of associations occurred with regard to surface area alterations.
287 This observation appears to match previously reported differential regionally specific positive
288 and negative associations between cortical thickness and surface area.^{29,37} A previously
289 discussed explanation for the inverse relationship between cortical surface and thickness
290 measures refers to a potential stretching of the cortical surface area along the tangential axis
291 due to intracortical myelination.^{37,38} Our finding of larger subcortical volumes in obesity with
292 strongest effects of greater amygdala, thalamic, nucleus accumbens and hippocampal volume
293 finds support in prior studies of obese subjects that applied a similar volumetric imaging
294 approach reporting larger amygdala, thalamus and hippocampal volumes.^{39,40} In contrast,
295 previous voxel based morphometry studies reported negative associations between BMI and
296 gray matter of subcortical structures.^{10,41} The disparity between volumetric and voxel based
297 findings has been directly investigated in a recent report by Perlaki et al. suggesting that BMI
298 associates with higher amygdala and nucleus accumbens volumes derived from FreeSurfer

299 segmentations but with lower VBM based GM density in identical structures highlighting the
300 relevance to distinguish GM density from volume.¹³

301 Importantly, we found that cortical thickness reductions in obesity are of similar effect size to
302 the previously observed thickness reductions in neuropsychiatric disorders. More specifically,
303 peak effect sizes for lower cortical thickness in obesity (max. Cohen's d (left fusiform
304 gyrus) = -.331) exceeded previously reported effect sizes for cortical thinning in MDD patients
305 (max. Cohen's d (left medial orbitofrontal cortex) = -.134)¹⁹, adult OCD patients (max Cohen's
306 d (right inferior parietal cortex) = -0.140)⁴², findings in specific substance dependence (max
307 Cohen's d (right fusiform gyrus) = -0.094)⁴³ and were comparable to thickness deficits in
308 bipolar disorder (max Cohen's d (left pars opercularis) = -0.293)³⁴ (**Figure 2**). Results of our
309 pattern classification analyses further support the notion of a robust association between
310 obesity and brain structure by yielding sMRI based single subject classification accuracies of
311 up to 68.7% in pooled multi-site cross-validation. Of note, this level of accuracy is
312 comparable to pattern classification results reported for the detection of bipolar patients
313 versus healthy controls using similar methods (65.2% accuracy for support vector classifiers,
314 trained on FreeSurfer segmentations using multi-site pooled cross validation).⁴⁴ Similar to
315 previous reports of accurate individual brain age prediction based on neuroanatomical
316 data^{45,46}, our findings highlight the importance to consider multivariate morphometric patterns
317 related to phenotypes such as age and body-weight in future pattern classification studies.

318 Importantly, the presence of a multivariate pattern differentiating obese from normal weight
319 subjects could similarly be demonstrated in analyses controlling for age, sex and MDD
320 diagnosis and by transfer of the classifier across cohorts using leave-one-site-out-cross
321 validation in the present work which underlines the robustness and the replicability of obesity
322 related brain structural abnormalities across sites. In addition, the distribution of obesity
323 related thickness reductions across all brain regions with most pronounced effects on
324 temporo-frontal cortical regions revealed considerable similarities with patterns of thickness

325 reductions throughout the brain in major depression and bipolar disorder but did not show a
326 similar degree of overlap with thickness alterations in autism spectrum disorder. In sum, these
327 findings offer novel insights into shared brain structural abnormalities in obesity and affective
328 disorders. In light of the known bidirectional association between obesity and affective
329 disorders such as MDD¹⁸, future studies should investigate the potential clinical relevance of
330 the shared morphometric signature observed here.

331 Of note, no significant interaction of BMI and MDD diagnosis on brain structure was
332 observed in the present work and similar obesity related brain structural abnormalities
333 emerged in separate analyses in the HD and MDD subsamples. We thus conclude that
334 associations between brain structure and BMI are not significantly altered by the presence of
335 depression. This is well in line with previous findings reporting similar associations between
336 BMI and gray matter reductions in MDD patients and healthy subjects alike and no evidence
337 for interaction effects of body weight and depression on brain structure.^{9,47}

338 Furthermore, we observed that cortical thickness effects of obesity were significantly
339 moderated by age. This interaction was driven by enhanced reductions of obesity related
340 cortical thickness with increasing age. Complementary to this notion, the most pronounced
341 and significant associations between brain structure and BMI in adolescents were not
342 observed in cortical regions but rather in the amygdala. Yet, it is important to acknowledge
343 that BMI was associated with lower cortical thickness in adolescent participants but might
344 have failed to reach significance due to limited sample size in this analysis (see
345 **Supplementary Results** for power analysis). Regarding a potential explanation for early
346 detectable amygdala volume increase in obesity, it appears important to consider the
347 relevance of the amygdala in increased cue triggered learning⁴⁸ and Pavlovian conditioning to
348 hedonic food that represents a key mechanism in future weight gain⁴⁹. Importantly, the
349 apparent discrepancy in obesity between early detectable subcortical volume increase on the
350 one hand, and lower thickness with increasing age on the other, raises questions regarding

351 potentially differing pathways behind the development of brain structural alterations in
352 obesity that should be addressed by future experimental research.

353 The aforementioned notion of differing pathways underlying brain structural abnormalities in
354 obesity appears to be further supplemented by the imaging genetic findings of the present
355 study. The regionally pronounced effect of polygenic risk for obesity on lateral occipital
356 surface area was unexpected. Prior studies have implicated the lateral occipital cortex in
357 obesity^{14,50,51}, yet BMI was negatively correlated with occipital surface area but failed to
358 reach significance in the present study ($p(\text{FDR})=0.089$). Similarly, since no significant
359 mediation effect of lateral occipital surface area was observed in the association between
360 polygenic risk and BMI, the functional relevance of this finding remains uncertain. In
361 contrast, it appears important to note that in the present study left lateral orbitofrontal
362 thickness mediated the association between polygenic risk and BMI which appears to
363 replicate similar findings in a previous VBM study.²⁴ The notion that the influence of genetic
364 risk for obesity on body weight might be mediated through changes in brain physiology is
365 further supported by reports on high expression of obesity related genes in the central-nervous
366 system.^{23,52} Previous reports on associations between food addiction and OFC thickness⁵¹
367 appear to further corroborate a model in which prefrontal brain regions might influence eating
368 behavior and subsequent weight gain. However, results from these analyses have to be
369 interpreted with great caution and do not allow for causal interpretations due to the cross-
370 sectional design of the present study. Future studies are needed to directly test this hypothesis
371 in experimental, longitudinal designs before firm conclusions can be drawn.

372 Furthermore, it appears important to note that a large proportion of variance in obesity related
373 brain structural abnormalities could not be explained by genetic influence in the present study.
374 It thus appears crucial to consider that increased body weight itself could contribute to brain
375 structural abnormalities through mechanisms such as obesity related low-grade inflammation,
376 kynurenine pathway activation or neuroendocrine dysregulation^{17,53-55}. Another previously

377 hypothesized link between obesity and brain structural abnormalities implies brain energy
378 consumption during childhood and subsequent development of obesity⁵⁶, and hence points to
379 educational interventions during childhood as a preventive measure against obesity.

380 Finally, the rather unexpected finding of a moderating role of sex on BMI related cortical
381 thickness decrease should be acknowledged. In the present study, male subjects exhibited
382 significantly lower BMI related cortical thickness compared to female participants. The
383 potential relevance of this finding is highlighted by a previous PET study reporting
384 significantly lower metabolic brain age in female compared to male subjects⁵⁷ and should be
385 targeted by future research.

386 The presented analysis has strengths and limitations. Major strengths of the present work are
387 the large sample size including healthy participants and depressive patients and the inclusion
388 of imaging and genetic data. In addition, the combination of univariate group-level and
389 multivariate machine learning techniques further highlighted the relevance of the observed
390 associations on single-subject level. The most severe limitation of our study is the cross-
391 sectional design that prevents us from drawing causal conclusions. Our interpretations with
392 regard to the onset and mechanisms behind brain structural abnormalities in obesity need
393 clarification from longitudinal research before firm conclusions can be drawn. It furthermore
394 appears important to note that BMI was not accounted for in previous studies on psychiatric
395 disorders from the ENIGMA consortium. Considering the known association between
396 affective disorders and obesity, the observed similarities between obesity and affective
397 disorders observed here might thus partially be explained by higher BMI in the patient
398 samples of such studies. Moreover, we acknowledge that our study sample is not independent
399 from patient and control samples of previous ENIGMA studies and therefore overlap in
400 participants might contribute to the similarities in brain structural findings between obesity
401 and affective disorders.

402 To conclude, the present findings demonstrate similar associations between obesity and brain
403 structural abnormalities in healthy participants and depressive patients. Cortical thickness
404 reductions in the temporal and frontal cortex were identified as the most consistent and
405 pronounced structural neuroimaging findings in adult obesity in the present study. Future
406 voxel-wise neuroimaging studies capable of providing higher resolution should aim to further
407 delineate the precise regional distribution of obesity related gray matter decrease.

408 Results of the present study suggest that the distribution and extent of obesity related brain
409 structural abnormalities is comparable to findings in neuropsychiatric disorders. This notion
410 critically underlines the similarities in patterns of impaired brain structural integrity between
411 obesity and common neuropsychiatric disorders and points to the relevance of altered brain
412 physiology in obesity that still appears to be drastically underestimated in current research.
413 While neuropsychiatric disorders such as major depression are widely considered to be
414 disorders of the brain, obesity is primarily considered as a cardiovascular risk factor in
415 research and clinical practice. As the brain structural correlates of obesity exceed those of
416 common neuropsychiatric disorders such as MDD - in terms of affected regions and effect
417 size per region - the findings presented here should urge clinicians and scientists to devote
418 increased attention to neurobiological characteristics of obesity. The association of obesity
419 with altered brain structural integrity in the present study indicates the need for a paradigm
420 shift in obesity prevention and research.

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

429 *Acknowledgements*

430 The **ENIGMA-Major Depressive Disorder working** group gratefully acknowledges support from the
 431 NIH Big Data to Knowledge (BD2K) award (U54 EB020403 to Paul Thompson). Lianne Schmaal is
 432 supported by a NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (1140764). Paul Thompson is supported by
 433 grant R01MH116147. Neda Jahanshad is supported by grant R01MH117601.

434 **Muenster Cohort:** The Muenster Neuroimaging Cohort was supported by grants of the German
 435 Research Foundation (DFG, grant FOR2107 DA1151/5-1 and DA1151/5-2 to UD; SFB-TRR58,
 436 Projects C09 and Z02 to UD;) and the Interdisciplinary Center for Clinical Research (IZKF) of the
 437 medical faculty of Münster (grant Dan3/012/17 to UD and SEED 11/18 to NO) and the Deanery of the
 438 Medical Faculty of the University of Münster. These funders had no role in designing the study;
 439 collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; nor the decision to
 440 submit the report for publication.

441 **FOR2107:** The FOR 2107 consortium is supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche
 442 Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG, Grant nos. KI 588/14-1, KI 588/14-2, KR 3822/7-1, KR 3822/7-2,
 443 NE 2254/1-2, DA 1151/5-1, DA 1151/5-2, SCHW 559/14-1, 545/7-2, RI 908/11-2, WI 3439/3-2, NO
 444 246/10-2, DE 1614/3-2, HA 7070/2-2, JA 1890/7-1, JA 1890/7-2, MU 1315/8-2, RE 737/20-2, KI
 445 588/17-1).

446 **BiDirect:** The BiDirect-Study is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research
 447 (grants 01ER0816, 01ER1506 and 01ER1205).

448 **NESDA:** Funding was obtained from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research
 449 (Geestkracht program grant 10-000-1002); the Center for Medical Systems Biology (CSMB, NWO
 450 Genomics), Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI-NL), VU
 451 University's Institutes for Health and Care Research (EMGO+) and Neuroscience Campus
 452 Amsterdam, University Medical Center Groningen, Leiden University Medical Center, National
 453 Institutes of Health (NIH, R01D0042157-01A, MH081802, Grand Opportunity grants 1RC2 MH089951
 454 and 1RC2 MH089995). Part of the genotyping and analyses were funded by the Genetic Association
 455 Information Network (GAIN) of the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health. Computing was
 456 supported by BiG Grid, the Dutch e-Science Grid, which is financially supported by NWO. Lianne
 457 Schmaal is supported by The Netherlands Brain Foundation Grant number F2014(1)-24 and the
 458 Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam grant (IPB-SE-15-PSYCH-Schmaal).

459 **Bipolar Family Study:** The Bipolar Family Study received funding from the European Union's
 460 Seventh Framework Programme for research under grant agreement n°602450. This study is also
 461 supported by Wellcome Trust award 104036/Z/14/Z.

462 **CODE:** The CODE cohort was collected from studies funded by Lundbeck and the German Research
 463 Foundation (WA 1539/4-1, SCHN 1204/3-1).

464 **MPIP:** The MPIP Munich Morphometry Sample comprises patients included in Munich Antidepressant
 465 Response Signature study and the Recurrent Unipolar Depression (RUD) Case-Control study, and
 466 control subjects acquired at the Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Department of Psychiatry. We
 467 wish to acknowledge Rosa Schirmer, Elke Schreiter, Reinhold Borschke and Ines Eidner for image
 468 acquisition and data preparation, and Benno Pütz, Nazanin Karbalai, Darina Czamara, Till Andlauer
 469 and Bertram Müller-Myhsok for distributed computing support. We thank Dorothee P. Auer for initiation
 470 of the RUD study. The MARS study is supported by a grant of the Exzellenz-Stiftung of the Max
 471 Planck Society. This work has also been funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research
 472 (BMBF) in the framework of the National Genome Research Network (NGFN), FKZ 01GS0481.

473 **SHIP:** SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Research net of the University of Greifswald,
 474 Germany, which is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grants no. 01ZZ9603,
 475 01ZZ0103, and 01ZZ0403), the Ministry of Cultural Affairs and the Social Ministry of the Federal State
 476 of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. MRI scans in SHIP and SHIP-TREND have been supported by a
 477 joint grant from Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany and the Federal State of Mecklenburg-
 478 West Pomerania. This study was further supported by the EU-JPND Funding for BRIDGET
 479 (FKZ:01ED1615).

480 **Stanford:** NIMH Grant R37-101495 to Ian Gotlib, and the National Science Foundation Integrative
 481 Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (NSF IGERT) Recipient Award 0801700 and National
 482 Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program (NSF GRFP) DGE-1147470 to Matthew
 483 Sacchet.

484 **Melbourne:** The study was funded by National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia
 485 (NHMRC) Project Grants 1064643 (PI Harrison) and 1024570 (PI Davey).

486 **Houston:** Supported in part by NIMH grant R01 085667, The Dunn Foundation, and the Pat
 487 Rutherford, Jr. Endowed Chair in Psychiatry to JCS.

488 **Imaging genetics Dublin and Clinical Depression Dublin:** The study was supported by a Science
489 Foundation Ireland (SFI) Stokes Professorship Grant to Thomas Frodl.

490 **Novosibirsk:** Russian Science Foundation grant #16-15-00128 to Lyubomir Aftanas.

491 **Sydney:** This study was supported by the following National Health & Medical Research Council
492 funding sources: Program Grant (No. 566529), Centres of Clinical Research Excellence Grant (No.
493 264611), Australia Fellowship (No. 464914) and Clinical Research Fellowship (No. 402864).

494 **Calgary:** The study was supported by Branch Out Neurological Foundation, Alberta Children's
495 Hospital Foundation

496 **Magdeburg:** The study was funded through the SFB779

497 **Minnesota:** The study was supported by K23MH090421, P41RR008079, National Alliance for
498 Research on Schizophrenia and Affective Disorders, University of Minnesota Graduate School,
499 Minnesota Medical Foundation, Deborah E. Powell Center for Women's Health Seed Grant

500 **Singapore:** The study was supported by grant NHG SIG/15012

501

502

503 *Conflict of interest*

504

505 H Whalley and M Harris have previously received funding from The Sackler Trust. A McIntosh has
506 previously received funding from Eli Lilly, Pfizer and The Sackler Trust. HJ Grabe has received travel
507 grants and speakers honoraria from Fresenius Medical Care, Neuraxpharm and Janssen Cilag as well
508 as research funding from Fresenius Medical Care. PM Thompson is MPI of a research related grant
509 from Biogen, Inc for work unrelated to this manuscript. N Jahanshad is MPI of a research related grant
510 from Biogen, Inc for work unrelated to this manuscript. P Sämann has previously received funding by
511 the German Research Foundation (DFG, SA 1358/2-1) unrelated to this study. These affiliations have
512 no relevance to the work covered in the manuscript. The remaining authors declare no conflict of
513 interest.

514

515

516

517 **Supplementary information is available at MP's website**

References

- 1 Blüher M. Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2019; **15**: 288–298.
- 2 World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight. 2014. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>.
- 3 Raji CA, Ho AJ, Parikshak NN, Becker JT, Lopez OL, Kuller LH *et al*. Brain structure and obesity. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2010; **31**: 353–64.
- 4 Bobb JF, Schwartz BS, Davatzikos C, Caffo B. Cross-sectional and longitudinal association of body mass index and brain volume. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2014; **35**: 75–88.
- 5 Repple J, Opel N, Meinert S, Redlich R, Hahn T, Winter NR *et al*. Elevated body-mass index is associated with reduced white matter integrity in two large independent cohorts. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2018; **91**: 179–185.
- 6 Opel N, Redlich R, Grotegerd D, Dohm K, Hauptenthal C, Heindel W *et al*. Enhanced neural responsiveness to reward associated with obesity in the absence of food-related stimuli. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2015; **36**: 2330–7.
- 7 Stice E, Yokum S, Burger KS, Epstein LH, Small DM. Youth at risk for obesity show greater activation of striatal and somatosensory regions to food. *J Neurosci* 2011; **31**: 4360–6.
- 8 Burger KS, Berner LA. A functional neuroimaging review of obesity, appetitive hormones and ingestive behavior. *Physiol Behav* 2014. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.04.025.
- 9 Opel N, Redlich R, Grotegerd D, Dohm K, Heindel W, Kugel H *et al*. Obesity and major depression: Body-mass index (BMI) is associated with a severe course of disease and specific neurostructural alterations. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2015; **51**: 219–226.
- 10 Janowitz D, Wittfeld K, Terock J, Freyberger HJ, Hegenscheid K, Völzke H *et al*.

- Association between waist circumference and gray matter volume in 2344 individuals from two adult community-based samples. *Neuroimage* 2015; **122**: 149–157.
- 11 Hamer M, Batty GD. Association of body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio with brain structure. *Neurology* 2019; : 10.1212/WNL.0000000000006879.
- 12 García-García I, Michaud A, Dadar M, Zeighami Y, Neseliler S, Collins DL *et al.* Neuroanatomical differences in obesity: meta-analytic findings and their validation in an independent dataset. *Int J Obes* 2018. doi:10.1038/s41366-018-0164-4.
- 13 Perlaki G, Molnar D, Smeets PAM, Ahrens W, Wolters M, Eiben G *et al.* Volumetric gray matter measures of amygdala and accumbens in childhood overweight/obesity. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0205331.
- 14 Medic N, Ziauddeen H, Ersche KD, Farooqi IS, Bullmore ET, Nathan PJ *et al.* Increased body mass index is associated with specific regional alterations in brain structure. *Int J Obes* 2016; **40**: 1177–1182.
- 15 Reddan MC, Lindquist MA, Wager TD. Effect Size Estimation in Neuroimaging. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2017; **74**: 207.
- 16 Simon GE, Von Korff M, Saunders K, Miglioretti DL, Crane PK, van Belle G *et al.* Association Between Obesity and Psychiatric Disorders in the US Adult Population. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2006; **63**: 824.
- 17 Milaneschi Y, Simmons WK, van Rossum EFC, Penninx BW. Depression and obesity: evidence of shared biological mechanisms. *Mol Psychiatry* 2019; **24**: 18–33.
- 18 Luppino F, de Wit LM, Bouvy PF, Stijnen T, Cuijpers P, Penninx B *et al.* Overweight, Obesity, and Depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2010; **67**: 220–229.
- 19 Schmaal L, Hibar DP, Sämann PG, Hall GB, Baune BT, Jahanshad N *et al.* Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with major depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry* 2017; **22**: 900–909.

- 20 Marqués-Iturria I, Pueyo R, Garolera M, Segura B, Junqué C, García-García I *et al.* Frontal cortical thinning and subcortical volume reductions in early adulthood obesity. *Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging* 2013; **214**: 109–115.
- 21 Sharkey RJ, Karama S, Dagher A. Overweight is not associated with cortical thickness alterations in children. *Front Neurosci* 2015; **9**: 24.
- 22 Stunkard AJ, Harris JR, Pedersen NL, McClearn GE. The Body-Mass Index of Twins Who Have Been Reared Apart. *N Engl J Med* 1990; **322**: 1483–1487.
- 23 Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, Justice AE, Pers TH, Day FR *et al.* Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. *Nature* 2015; **518**: 197–206.
- 24 Opel N, Redlich R, Kaehler C, Grotegerd D, Dohm K, Heindel W *et al.* Prefrontal gray matter volume mediates genetic risks for obesity. *Mol Psychiatry* 2017; **22**: 703–710.
- 25 Wolf EJ, Miller DR, Logue MW, Sumner J, Stoop TB, Leritz EC *et al.* Contributions of polygenic risk for obesity to PTSD-related metabolic syndrome and cortical thickness. *Brain Behav Immun* 2017; **65**: 328–336.
- 26 Schmaal L, Veltman DJ, van Erp TGM, Sämann PG, Frodl T, Jahanshad N *et al.* Subcortical brain alterations in major depressive disorder: findings from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder working group. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; **21**: 806–812.
- 27 Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C *et al.* Whole Brain Segmentation: Automated Labeling of Neuroanatomical Structures in the Human Brain. *Neuron* 2002; **33**: 341–355.
- 28 Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D *et al.* An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 2006; **31**: 968–980.
- 29 Storsve AB, Fjell AM, Tamnes CK, Westlye LT, Overbye K, Aasland HW *et al.* Differential Longitudinal Changes in Cortical Thickness, Surface Area and Volume across the Adult Life Span: Regions of Accelerating and Decelerating Change. *J*

- Neurosci* 2014; **34**: 8488–8498.
- 30 Winkler AM, Kochunov P, Blangero J, Almasy L, Zilles K, Fox PT *et al*. Cortical thickness or grey matter volume? The importance of selecting the phenotype for imaging genetics studies. *Neuroimage* 2010; **53**: 1135–1146.
- 31 Euesden J, Lewis CM, O'Reilly PF. PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score software. *Bioinformatics* 2015; **31**: 1466–8.
- 32 Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* 2015; **4**: 7.
- 33 Rosenthal R, Rosnow RL. *Essentials of Behavioral Research: Methods and Data Analysis*. 2008.
- 34 Hibar DP, Westlye LT, Doan NT, Jahanshad N, Cheung JW, Ching CRK *et al*. Cortical abnormalities in bipolar disorder: an MRI analysis of 6503 individuals from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorder Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry* 2018; **23**: 932–942.
- 35 van Rooij D, Anagnostou E, Arango C, Auzias G, Behrmann M, Busatto GF *et al*. Cortical and Subcortical Brain Morphometry Differences Between Patients With Autism Spectrum Disorder and Healthy Individuals Across the Lifespan: Results From the ENIGMA ASD Working Group. *Am J Psychiatry* 2018; **175**: 359–369.
- 36 Ronan L, Alexander-Bloch AF, Wagstyl K, Farooqi S, Brayne C, Tyler LK *et al*. Obesity associated with increased brain age from midlife. *Neurobiol Aging* 2016; **47**: 63–70.
- 37 Hogstrom LJ, Westlye LT, Walhovd KB, Fjell AM. The Structure of the Cerebral Cortex Across Adult Life: Age-Related Patterns of Surface Area, Thickness, and Gyrfication. *Cereb Cortex* 2013; **23**: 2521–2530.
- 38 Seldon HL. Does brain white matter growth expand the cortex like a balloon? Hypothesis and consequences. *Laterality Asymmetries Body, Brain Cogn* 2005; **10**: 81–95.

- 39 Bernardes G, IJzerman RG, Ten Kulve JS, Barkhof F, Diamant M, Veltman DJ *et al.* Cortical and subcortical gray matter structural alterations in normoglycemic obese and type 2 diabetes patients: relationship with adiposity, glucose, and insulin. *Metab Brain Dis* 2018; **33**: 1211–1222.
- 40 Widya RL, De Roos A, Trompet S, De Craen AJM, Westendorp RGJ, Smit JWA *et al.* Increased amygdalar and hippocampal volumes in elderly obese individuals with or at risk of cardiovascular disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2011; **93**: 1190–1195.
- 41 Kharabian Masouleh S, Arélin K, Horstmann A, Lampe L, Kipping JA, Luck T *et al.* Higher body mass index in older adults is associated with lower gray matter volume: implications for memory performance. *Neurobiol Aging* 2016; **40**: 1–10.
- 42 Boedhoe PSW, Schmaal L, Abe Y, Alonso P, Ameis SH, Anticevic A *et al.* Cortical Abnormalities Associated With Pediatric and Adult Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Findings From the ENIGMA Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Working Group. *Am J Psychiatry* 2018; **175**: 453–462.
- 43 Mackey S, Allgaier N, Chaarani B, Spechler P, Orr C, Bunn J *et al.* Mega-Analysis of Gray Matter Volume in Substance Dependence: General and Substance-Specific Regional Effects. *Am J Psychiatry* 2019; **176**: 119–128.
- 44 Nunes A, Schnack HG, Ching CRK, Agartz I, Akudjedu TN, Alda M *et al.* Using structural MRI to identify bipolar disorders – 13 site machine learning study in 3020 individuals from the ENIGMA Bipolar Disorders Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry* 2018; : 1.
- 45 Cole JH, Poudel RPK, Tsagkrasoulis D, Caan MWA, Steves C, Spector TD *et al.* Predicting brain age with deep learning from raw imaging data results in a reliable and heritable biomarker. *Neuroimage* 2017; **163**: 115–124.
- 46 Koutsouleris N, Davatzikos C, Borgwardt S, Gaser C, Bottlender R, Frodl T *et al.* Accelerated brain aging in schizophrenia and beyond: a neuroanatomical marker of

- psychiatric disorders. *Schizophr Bull* 2014; **40**: 1140–53.
- 47 Cole JH, Boyle CP, Simmons A, Cohen-Woods S, Rivera M, McGuffin P *et al*. Body mass index, but not FTO genotype or major depressive disorder, influences brain structure. *Neuroscience* 2013; **252**: 109–117.
- 48 Rangel A. Regulation of dietary choice by the decision-making circuitry. *Nat Neurosci* 2013; **16**: 1717–24.
- 49 Meyer MD, Risbrough VB, Liang J, Boutelle KN. Pavlovian conditioning to hedonic food cues in overweight and lean individuals. *Appetite* 2015; **87**: 56–61.
- 50 Veit R, Kullmann S, Heni M, Machann J, Häring H-U, Fritsche A *et al*. Reduced cortical thickness associated with visceral fat and BMI. *NeuroImage Clin* 2014; **6**: 307–311.
- 51 Beyer F, García-García I, Heinrich M, Schroeter ML, Sacher J, Luck T *et al*. Neuroanatomical correlates of food addiction symptoms and body mass index in the general population. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2019; **40**: 2747–2758.
- 52 Willer CJ, Speliotes EK, Loos RJF, Li S, Lindgren CM, Heid IM *et al*. Six new loci associated with body mass index highlight a neuronal influence on body weight regulation. *Nat Genet* 2009; **41**: 25–34.
- 53 Ho JE, Larson MG, Ghorbani A, Cheng S, Chen M-H, Keyes M *et al*. Metabolomic Profiles of Body Mass Index in the Framingham Heart Study Reveal Distinct Cardiometabolic Phenotypes. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0148361.
- 54 Favennec M, Hennart B, Caiazzo R, Leloire A, Yengo L, Verbanck M *et al*. The kynurenine pathway is activated in human obesity and shifted toward kynurenine monooxygenase activation. *Obesity* 2015; **23**: 2066–2074.
- 55 Schwarcz R, Bruno JP, Muchowski PJ, Wu H-Q. Kynurenines in the mammalian brain: when physiology meets pathology. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2012; **13**: 465–77.
- 56 Kuzawa CW, Blair C. A hypothesis linking the energy demand of the brain to obesity

risk. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2019; **116**: 13266–13275.

- 57 Goyal MS, Blazey TM, Su Y, Couture LE, Durbin TJ, Bateman RJ *et al*. Persistent metabolic youth in the aging female brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019; **116**: 3251–3255.

Table 1: FDR corrected significant results for group differences between obese and normal weight subjects as assessed using separate linear regression models with a dichotomous group predictor (obesity vs. normal weight). Results are displayed for global measures, cortical thickness and surface area as well as for subcortical volumes and sorted by p-value within each domain. All results are adjusted for age, sex, MDD diagnosis and site. Regional surface and subcortical results are adjusted for total intracranial volume. Abbreviations: Estimate. Regression estimate; StdError. Standard error; T. t-value; p. uncorrected p-value; FDR adjusted p. FDR adjusted p-value; N Obese. number of obese subjects included in analysis; N NW. number of normal weight subjects included in analysis

Label	Estimate	StdError	T	p	FDR adjusted p	Cohen's d	N Obese	N NW
Global measures								
Left hemispherical average thickness	-0.021	0.003	-6.23	5.18E-10	<.0001	-0.214	1200	2865
Right hemispherical average thickness	-0.020	0.003	-5.89	4.18E-09	<.0001	-0.203	1200	2865
Total Intracranial Volume	-21634.000	5603.000	-3.86	1.10E-04	0.0005	-0.135	1168	2755
Total right hemispherical surface area	-708.380	258.090	-2.74	6.08E-03	0.0165	-0.095	1189	2872
Total left hemispherical surface area	-654.300	256.890	-2.55	1.09E-02	0.0281	-0.088	1189	2872
Cortical thickness								
Left fusiform gyrus	-0.051	0.005	-9.59	2.00E-16	<.0001	-0.331	1195	2849
Right fusiform gyrus	-0.050	0.005	-9.42	2.00E-16	<.0001	-0.325	1193	2849
Right superior temporal gyrus	-0.041	0.006	-7.17	9.09E-13	<.0001	-0.251	1161	2745
Left superior temporal gyrus	-0.040	0.006	-6.88	7.04E-12	<.0001	-0.243	1138	2684
Left inferior temporal gyrus	-0.040	0.006	-6.62	4.17E-11	<.0001	-0.231	1165	2823
Left middle temporal gyrus	-0.039	0.006	-6.46	1.18E-10	<.0001	-0.227	1149	2748
Right middle temporal gyrus	-0.036	0.006	-6.06	1.49E-09	<.0001	-0.210	1184	2815
Right pars opercularis	-0.033	0.006	-5.96	2.70E-09	<.0001	-0.206	1189	2835
Right posterior cingulate cortex	-0.033	0.006	-5.96	2.71E-09	<.0001	-0.205	1196	2859
Right inferior temporal gyrus	-0.036	0.006	-5.88	4.54E-09	<.0001	-0.204	1175	2838
Left precentral gyrus	-0.030	0.005	-5.85	5.27E-09	<.0001	-0.202	1192	2837
Right precentral gyrus	-0.030	0.005	-5.76	9.13E-09	<.0001	-0.199	1188	2844
Right superior frontal gyrus	-0.030	0.005	-5.76	8.93E-09	<.0001	-0.199	1189	2859

Left transverse temporal gyrus	-0.042	0.008	-5.29	1.26E-07	<.0001	-0.182	1195	2853
Left insula	-0.030	0.006	-5.17	2.41E-07	<.0001	-0.179	1188	2811
Left posterior cingulate cortex	-0.030	0.006	-5.16	2.56E-07	<.0001	-0.178	1196	2857
Right medial orbitofrontal cortex	-0.031	0.006	-5.12	3.18E-07	<.0001	-0.177	1183	2831
Left banks of the superior temporal sulcus	-0.031	0.006	-4.88	1.08E-06	<.0001	-0.172	1139	2708
Left caudal middle frontal gyrus	-0.026	0.005	-4.89	1.04E-06	<.0001	-0.169	1196	2840
Right banks of the superior temporal sulcus	-0.030	0.006	-4.63	3.81E-06	<.0001	-0.161	1178	2796
Left entorhinal cortex	-0.061	0.013	-4.5	6.86E-06	<.0001	-0.158	1164	2725
Left paracentral lobule	-0.024	0.005	-4.46	8.55E-06	<.0001	-0.154	1195	2857
Right parahippocampal gyrus	-0.044	0.010	-4.46	8.50E-06	<.0001	-0.154	1192	2850
Left temporal pole	-0.059	0.014	-4.38	1.20E-05	0.0001	-0.151	1187	2851
Left superior frontal gyrus	-0.023	0.005	-4.35	1.36E-05	0.0001	-0.150	1194	2851
Left supramarginal gyrus	-0.021	0.005	-4.15	3.45E-05	0.0002	-0.145	1173	2767
Right precuneus	-0.019	0.005	-4.13	3.75E-05	0.0002	-0.142	1195	2848
Left pars opercularis	-0.021	0.005	-4.03	5.58E-05	0.0003	-0.139	1194	2845
Right paracentral lobule	-0.022	0.005	-3.94	8.38E-05	0.0004	-0.136	1196	2857
Right caudal middle frontal gyrus	-0.020	0.005	-3.73	2.00E-04	0.0008	-0.129	1194	2845
Left isthmus cingulate cortex	-0.026	0.007	-3.7	2.20E-04	0.0009	-0.128	1195	2852
Right lateral orbitofrontal cortex	-0.022	0.006	-3.68	2.40E-04	0.0009	-0.127	1195	2858
Left precuneus	-0.017	0.005	-3.66	2.60E-04	0.0010	-0.126	1189	2851
Right temporal pole	-0.050	0.014	-3.58	3.40E-04	0.0012	-0.124	1191	2850
Left lateral orbitofrontal cortex	-0.021	0.006	-3.56	3.70E-04	0.0013	-0.123	1188	2851
Right rostral middle frontal gyrus	-0.017	0.005	-3.53	4.10E-04	0.0014	-0.122	1192	2849
Left inferior parietal cortex	-0.017	0.005	-3.5	4.80E-04	0.0016	-0.121	1180	2831
Right insula	-0.022	0.006	-3.46	5.40E-04	0.0018	-0.120	1182	2777
Right pars triangularis	-0.020	0.006	-3.39	7.20E-04	0.0023	-0.117	1187	2838
Right isthmus cingulate cortex	-0.022	0.007	-3.18	1.50E-03	0.0045	-0.110	1196	2854
Right supramarginal gyrus	-0.016	0.005	-3.18	1.50E-03	0.0045	-0.111	1178	2780

Left parahippocampal gyrus	-0.035	0.011	-3.08	2.08E-03	0.0060	-0.106	1190	2850
Right transverse temporal gyrus	-0.025	0.008	-3.05	2.30E-03	0.0066	-0.105	1190	2849
Left rostral middle frontal gyrus	-0.014	0.005	-2.8	5.10E-03	0.0140	-0.097	1197	2848
Left rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.023	0.009	-2.74	6.20E-03	0.0165	-0.095	1189	2835
Left medial orbitofrontal cortex	-0.015	0.006	-2.51	1.22E-02	0.0309	-0.087	1182	2818
Left frontal pole	-0.028	0.011	-2.49	1.28E-02	0.0313	-0.086	1199	2863
Right pars orbitalis	-0.020	0.008	-2.5	1.26E-02	0.0313	-0.086	1198	2848
Left superior parietal cortex	-0.010	0.004	-2.44	1.50E-02	0.0350	-0.084	1187	2831
Left pars orbitalis	-0.019	0.008	-2.31	2.11E-02	0.0473	-0.080	1194	2854
<u>Cortical surface area</u>								
Left isthmus cingulate cortex	25.900	5.492	4.72	2.50E-06	<.0001	0.167	1134	2700
Right isthmus cingulate cortex	21.160	5.097	4.15	3.37E-05	0.0002	0.147	1137	2706
Left transverse temporal gyrus	10.183	2.603	3.91	9.32E-05	0.0004	0.138	1141	2708
Right rostral middle frontal gyrus	-71.936	22.908	-3.14	1.70E-03	0.0050	-0.111	1135	2698
Right paracentral lobule	21.589	7.403	2.92	3.57E-03	0.0100	0.104	1117	2688
Left inferior temporal gyrus	-40.910	15.209	-2.69	7.18E-03	0.0188	-0.096	1099	2673
Right inferior temporal gyrus	-35.140	14.136	-2.49	1.30E-02	0.0313	-0.089	1115	2684
Left paracentral lobule	16.023	6.589	2.43	1.51E-02	0.0350	0.087	1099	2658
Left lingual gyrus	-32.434	13.793	-2.35	1.88E-02	0.0428	-0.083	1128	2692
<u>Subcortical volume</u>								
Right amygdala	41.656	6.984	5.96	2.68E-09	<.0001	0.211	1129	2702
Left thalamus	108.695	26.117	4.16	3.23E-05	0.0002	0.147	1138	2691
Right thalamus	80.814	22.216	3.64	2.80E-04	0.0010	0.129	1134	2680
Left amygdala	22.444	6.474	3.47	5.30E-04	0.0018	0.123	1127	2694
Left nucleus accumbens	11.724	3.541	3.31	9.40E-04	0.0030	0.118	1110	2660
Right hippocampus	33.557	13.810	2.43	1.52E-02	0.0350	0.086	1136	2709

Figure 1: Figure displaying effect sizes for the association between obesity and cortical thickness on left hemispherical thickness. Colorbar displays effect size estimates (Cohen's d) for differences in cortical thickness between obese versus normal weight subjects; Bar diagram depicts effect sizes for all cortical regions sorted by lobe

Figure 2: Effect size estimates (Cohen's d) for differences in cortical thickness between obese versus normal weight subjects in direct comparison with previously published effect size estimates for cortical thickness results in major depression (MDD) and bipolar disorder (BD). a) Plot depicting the positive correlation between effect size estimates for thickness results in all cortical regions mapped to the respective lobe between obesity and MDD ($r=0.452$) and b) between obesity and BD ($r=0.513$). c) bar diagram displaying effect size estimates for cortical thickness results separately for all cortical regions.



