

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Polymorphisms in eggshell organic matrix genes are associated with eggshell quality measurements in pedigree Rhode Island Red hens

Citation for published version:

Dunn, IC, Joseph, NT, Bain, M, Edmond, A, Wilson, PW, Milona, P, Nys, Y, Gautron, J, Schmutz, M, Preisinger, R & Waddington, D 2009, 'Polymorphisms in eggshell organic matrix genes are associated with eggshell quality measurements in pedigree Rhode Island Red hens', *Animal Genetics*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 110-114. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2008.01794.x

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/j.1365-2052.2008.01794.x

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Early version, also known as pre-print

Published In:

Animal Genetics

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Polymorphisms in eggshell organic matrix genes are associated with eggshell quality

measurements in pedigree Rhode Island Red hens.

I.C. Dunn^{1*}, N.T. Joseph¹, M. Bain², A. Edmond², P.W. Wilson¹, P Milona¹, Y. Nys³,

J. Gautron³, M. Schmutz⁴, R. Preisinger⁴, D. Waddington¹

¹ The Roslin Institute and R(D)SVS, University of Edinburgh, Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9PS,

Scotland; ² Division of Cell Sciences, I.C.M, University of Glasgow Veterinary School,

Glasgow G61 1QH, Scotland; ³INRA, UR83 Recherches Avicoles, 37380 Nouzilly, France;

⁴Lohmann Tierzucht, P.O. Box 4602, 7454 Cuxhaven, Germany

Keywords:, eggshell quality, Single nucleotide polymorphisms.

Short title: Candidate genes for eggshell quality

Corresponding author: fax, +44 131 440 0434; phone, +44 131 527 4200; E-mail,

ian.dunn@bbsrc.ac.uk.

*To whom reprint requests should be addressed.

1

Summary

Novel and traditional eggshell quality measurements were made from up to 2000 commercial pedigree hens for a candidate gene association analysis with organic eggshell matrix genes; ovocleidin-116, osteopontin (SPP1), ovocalyxin-32 (RARRES1), ovotransferrin (LTF), ovalbumin and ovocalyxin-36 and key genes in the maintenance and function of the shell gland; estrogen receptor (ESR1) and carbonic anhydrase II (CAII). Associations were found for i) ovalbumin with breaking strength and shell thickness ii) ovocleidin-116 with elastic modulus, shell thickness and egg shape iii) RARRES1 with mammillary layer thickness iv) ESR1 with dynamic stiffness v) SPP1 with fracture toughness and v) CAII with egg shape. The marker effects are as large as 17% of trait standard deviations and could be used to improve eggshell quality.

Damaged eggshells result in losses of 8-11% and provide a route for contamination of egg contents. Genetic selection has been applied to reducing breakage (Preisinger and Flock, 2000) and new measurements of quality are promising (Dunn *et al.*, 2005), however, alternative approaches should be considered. Marker assisted selection would allow the selection of sires for their contribution to female traits and would allow implementation of measurements integrating a number of shell parameters which are difficult to measure. These may predict the eggshell's structural integrity better than a single measurement of thickness or breaking strength (Bain, 1990).

Organic matrix proteins involved in eggshell formation have been identified (Nys, 2004). Guided by these studies we have tested whether single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in *ovocleidin-116, SPP1, RARRES1, LTF, ovalbumin* and *ovocalyxin-36* show association with established and novel measurements of eggshell quality. We have also tested association with genes known to be involved in shell gland function; *ESR1* (oviduct maintenance) and *CAII* (bicarbonate secretion).

Blood and DNA was obtained from individually caged pedigree Rhode Island Red sires (n=50), dams (n=421) and offspring (2066 hens) as described (Dunn et~al., 2004). Eggs were collected and transported in 8 batches for hens aged 38 to 45 weeks. Phenotypes of 2 (exceptionally 1, 3 or 4) intact eggs were measured per hen and the bird mean analysed. SNPs were detected by resequencing of a subset of sires or from in~silico prediction. Assays were based on RFLP or the Amplifluor system (CHEMICON Europe Ltd. Hampshire, UK). Phenotypic measurements: Eggs were weighed, measured and shape index (SI) defined as length/breadth. Dynamic stiffness (K_{dyn} , N/m), damping ratio (Damp, %), Breaking strength (Break, N), deformation at fracture (Deform, mm) and stiffness (Stiff, N/mm) defined as breaking strength/deformation at fracture, were measured as described (Dunn et~al., 2005).

Thicknesses of the mammillary layer (*Tmamm*, mm) and the combined palisade, vertical crystal layer and cuticle (*Teffective*, mm) and their sum, total thickness (*Thick*, mm) were measured by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Panheleux *et al.*, 1999) on 3 samples per egg.

Derived measurements: The elastic modulus (*Eshell*, N/mm²) measures "material stiffness": $E_{shell} = C$ ((SR)/T_e²), where S=Stiff, R =the radius of curvature (breadth/2), mm, T_e =Teffective, and C is a dimensionless constant dependent on the shape index (SI), R, and T_e which is calculated as follows: C = A (0.408+(3.026 T_e)/R) where $A = (-0.666 + (1.8666 \times (SI)) - (0.907 \times (SI)^2) + (0.153 \times (SI)^3))/0.444$. The fracture toughness, (KC, N/mm³/²) is defined by $KC = K_{nd}$ (F/ T_e ³/²), where F = Break and $K_{nd} = 0.777$ (2.388 + 29.934(6/R))¹/². A full explanation of the derivation of these formulae is given in Bain, (Bain, 1990). For association analysis means were weighted inversely by the number of eggs measured. The effects of hatch/house (h), tier (t), row (r) and interaction and the marker genotypes (g) were fitted as fixed effects, together with sires (s) and dams within sires (d) and error (e) as random effects to the responses (y), as

$$y_{ijklmn} = s_i + d_j + h.t.r_{klm} + g_n + e_{ijklmn}$$

For haplotype models g is the haplotype effect. Birds with uncertain haplotypes were excluded. Linear models were fitted by REML, followed by approximate Student's t-tests to assess marker effects. The additive effect of each marker was estimated as half the difference between homozygote means, and the dominance effect as the difference between the heterozygote mean and the average of the homozygote means. K_{dyn} , Damp and (200-Stiff) were log transformed $(LK_{dyn}, Ldamp)$ and Lstiff) and the reciprocal of deformation (1/Deform) was taken to give approximate normality and consistency of variances.

We examined possible effects of egg production on eggshell quality by fitting 26-50 week egg production as an additional covariate in the linear model for the significant traits, and similarly checked for effects of egg weight, with no change in conclusions.

Ten useable SNP markers were identified, two resulted in substitutions in the protein:

Oc116_1336 (AF148716:p437.S>T); Ovocal32_1671 (CAC44378.2:p225L>M) (Table 1).

Means \pm se and heritabilities for several of the phenotypic traits are published (Dunn *et al.*,

2005). For additional measurements $\textit{Teffective}\ (0.28\text{mm} \pm 0.02)$, $\textit{Tmamm}\ (0.085\text{mm} \pm 0.008)$,

Eshell (19680 \pm 3089) and KC (667 \pm 91) are consistent with those given in (Bain, 1990) and

the heritabilities are 0.29 ± 0.10 , 0.18 ± 0.07 , 0.06 ± 0.03 and 0.02 ± 0.03 respectively.

The most significant associations (p<0.05) are presented in Table 2. Analysis of haplotypes for *ovocleidin-116* and *RARRES1* suggested relationships with 2 traits per gene, but more specific comparisons between haplotypes were not significant.

The influences of effective (Teffective) and total thickness (Thick) were additive for Oc-116_310 (highly correlated traits; r = 0.96), and for Oc-116_1336 there was an association with elastic modulus (Eshell), which describes the contribution made by the eggshell material to the overall stiffness characteristics of the eggshell (Table 2). Ovocal32_626, had an additive association with mammillary thickness (Tmamm). The observed association of Oer_2571 with dynamic stiffness (LK_{dyn}) may be valuable, given the latter's proven benefits (Bain $et\ al.$, 2006) (Table 2). There are also associations of SPP1 with fracture toughness (KC), a measure of resistance to fracture, and a large effect on shape index (SI) with Carb_1210.

The dominance associations, are principally over-dominance dominance and include large effects of *ovalbumin* with total and effective thickness (*Thick, Teffective*), deformation at fracture (*Deform*), and breaking strength (*Break*) (Table 2). This is logical as breaking

strength is positively correlated with thickness (*Thick, Teffect*: r = 0.45) and negatively with deformation (*1/Deform*:r = -0.54). It is, however, difficult to see how this result could be utilised, as the heterozygote represents the less desirable phenotype, which includes a thinner shell, lower breaking strength and greater deformation (Table 2). There are also overdominance effects of Oc116_310 and 1336 on *SI* and 310 on *1/Deform*.

Because of the large number of statistical tests none of the significance probabilities exceed the Bonferroni correction for a 0.05 significance level (0.0002). However many of the traits are dependent and so this correction may be excessive(Allison and Beasley, 1998). Li and Ji (Li and Ji, 2005) uses the correlations to estimate an "effective" number of traits, six for our data, to reduce the Bonferroni multiple testing penalty, but still not sufficiently to allow any observed effects to be declared significant. For the list of associations in Table 2 the false discovery rate (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) is 0.71 at p< 0.05. Further experimental evidence is therefore needed.

Table 2 presents significant (p<0.05) REML estimates combining information from between and within full-sib families. Overall, within family information was the major contributor to the combined estimates and tests, as expected. Therefore it is surprising that the list of 7 significant additive trait-SNP pairs in Table 2, and a list of 9 that were significant for within family associations (not shown) had only 3 trait-SNP pairs in common. Dam variances were found to exceed sire variances for some traits, and they may have maternal or dominance effect contributions.

In conclusion we propose that some of the markers are of sufficient size to merit further validation as tools for selection of sires and possibly dams to improve eggshell quality in pedigree poultry breeding programmes. In particular there are possibilities for the different components of shell thickness, as well as dynamic stiffness and breaking strength.

Acknowledgement: Supported by EU project QLK5-CT-2001-01606 'EGGDEFENCE'.

Gene name	SNP Location	SNP code	Location in gene	Primers name	and sequence used in enzyme assay	Enzyme	Allele frequency %	Offspring in analysis
Ovocleidin-116	AM076827:g310.C>T	Oc116 _310	Upstream	5prime-OC116Ra OC116-488r	AAATGCCCCGATAAGGCC CAGAGGCAGAGGCAGAAGAG	MnlI	62	1895
Ovocleidin-116	AF148716:c1110.C>G	Oc116 _1336	Exon	OC1180F OC1482R	AGGGGAGAAGCGGACAGAG CCACCTCTTGCTGGACTCTA	PstI	66	1983
Osteopontin (SPP1)	U01844:g668.A>T	Ospon	Exon	ospon570F ospon849R	ACTGAGGGACATGGCTAGTG TCTGTAAGGGGTAGGGATGTG	BsmAI	64	561
Ovotransferrin (LTF)	Y00407:g8685.C>T	Ovotrans	Exon	ovot8481F ovot8777R	AAACACGGTTGTGCCTGC ACCTCTGGCCCCTTCTTTT	NlaIII	83	649
Ovalbumin	J00895:g8605.C>G	Oval	Exon	ovalb8505 ovalb8703	GAGTCATCACACTGAAAAATGC CAGGAACACAGAGAACAAGCA	MnlI	63	788
Ovocalyxin-32 (RARRES1)	AM076826:g1671.C>A	Ovocal32 1671	Exon	Ovocal32_1614F Ovocal 32_1821R	TCCAACACAGGTAAGAAAGCA TCCCGATCCATCTTCGAG	AlwnI	76	1425
Ovocalyxin-32 (RARRES1)	AM076826:g626.C>T	Ovocal32 _626	Intron	Ovocal32_586F Ovocal32_774R	GTTCATTCTCCTCCTGTTCA ACAGTTGAAGATGGTCATGGG	AciI	75	1433
Ovocalyxin-36	AJ968387:c961.C>T	Ovocal36	Exon	Ovocal36_5F Ovocal36 227R	ATGGAGGCAGCATCATC AATCAGGTGAGAGCCAGCAG	HaeIII	61	1931
Estrogen receptor (ESR1)	U60211:g2571.G>A	Oer_2571	Upstream	-	TCTTTTCCTCGCTTTTTAATGT ATCTCTCCCTGCTTGATTCA	HhaI	61	1819
Carbonic anhydrase II (CAII)	NM_205317:1120.C>T	Carb _1210	Exon	Carb_17151F Carb_18270R	TATGGTGATGTTTCTCTTGG TGTCATTGAAACCTCACTCC	N/A	87	1910

Table 1. Details of the SNPs used in this study including the position according to the human genome variation society nomenclature (SNP location), abbreviation used in the text (SNP code), primers used and the confirmatory enzymes used in diagnostic assays. The HGNC gene name is included where available however some of the genes are chicken specific and have no mammalian homolog. The frequency of the more abundant allele (Allele frequency) and the total number of offspring used in the analysis of each SNP are also presented.

Gene Marker	Trait	Geno ¹ Type	Trait ² mean	Effect ³ ±SE	Effect as % of the SD ⁴	Probability ⁵
Additive effects						
Oc116_1336	Eshell	GG CG CC	19583 19525 18851	366±132	12%	0.006
Oc116_310	Teffective	TT CT CC	0.2817 0.2842 0.2860	-0.0022±0.0009	8%	0.028
	Thick	TT CT CC	0.3673 0.3700 0.3718	-0.0023±0.001	8%	0.039
Ospon	KC	AA AT TT	627 632 649	-10.9±5.4	11%	0.042
Ovocal32_626	Tmamm	TT TC CC	0.0870 0.0865 0.0856	0.00065±0.0003	8%	0.040
Oer_2571	Lkdyn	AA GA GG	9.617 9.625 9.641	-0.012±0.004	9%	0.009
Carb_1210	SI	TT CT CC	1.282 1.287 1.302	0.011±0.004	18%	0.027
Dominance effec	ets					
Oval	Thick	GG CG CC	0.370 0.365 0.370	-0.0044±0.002	15%	0.010
	Tmamm	GG CG CC	0.0841 0.0828 0.0839	-0.0012±0.0004	16%	0.010
	Teffective	GG CG CC	0.286 0.282 0.285	-0.0031±0.002	12%	0.044
	Break	GG CG CC	40.404 38.809 39.406	-1.096±0.44	15%	0.015
	1/Deform	GG CG CC	3.074 3.189 3.150	0.077±0.034	14%	0.023
Oc116_310	SI	TT CT CC	1.277 1.287 1.285	0.0061±0.002	11%	0.014
	1/Deform	TT CT CC	3.120 3.163 3.106	0.050±0.02	9%	0.044
Oc116_1336	SI	GG CG CC	1.281 1.286 1.278	0.0067±0.003	12%	0.021

Table 2. Egg shell quality trait means of marker genotypes with the estimated size of the effects±SE and their sizes relative to the trait standard deviation. Data presented have probabilities less than 0.05. ¹Genotypes represented by the SNP; ²Trait means from the full-sib model given in the methods section. ³Size of the effect: additive, (AA-aa)/2, dominance, aA-(aa+AA)/2. ⁴Effect as % of the SD calculated from the sum of the sire and dam genetic and the environmental variances after fitting the nuisance effects of house, side of battery and tier. ⁵Probability from full-sib model.

References

- Allison, D. B. & Beasley, M. (1998) Method and computer program for controlling the family-wise alpha rate in gene association studies involving multiple phenotypes. *Genetic Epidemiology*, 15, 87-101.
- Bain, M. (1990) Eggshell strength: A mechanical/ultrastructural evaluation. *PhD thesis*. University of Glasgow.
- Bain, M. M., Dunn, I. C., Wilson, P. W., Joseph, N., De Ketelaere, B., De Baerdemaeker, J. & Waddington, D. (2006) Probability of an egg cracking during packing can be predicted using a simple non-destructive acoustic test. *British Poultry Science*, 47, 462-9.
- Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological*, 57, 289-300.
- Dunn, I. C., Bain, M., Edmond, A., Wilson, P. W., Joseph, N., Solomon, S., De Ketelaere, B., De Baerdemaeker, J., Schmutz, M., Preisinger, R. & Waddington, D. (2005) Heritability and genetic correlation of measurements derived from acoustic resonance frequency analysis; a novel method of determining eggshell quality in domestic hens. *British Poultry Science*, 46, 280-6.
- Dunn, I. C., Miao, Y. W., Morris, A., Romanov, M. N., Wilson, P. W. & Waddington, D. (2004) A study of association between genetic markers in candidate genes and reproductive traits in one generation of a commercial broiler breeder hen population. *Heredity*, 92, 128-34.
- Li, J. & Ji, L. (2005) Adjusting multiple testing in multilocus analyses using the eigenvalues of a correlation matrix. *Heredity*, 95, 221-7.
- Nys, Y., Gautron, J., Garcia-Ruiz, J.M. And Hincke, M.T. (2004) Avian eggshell mineralization: Biochemical and functional characterization of matrix proteins. *Comptes Rendus Palevo*, 3, 549-62.
- Panheleux, M., Bain, M., Fernandez, M. S., Morales, I., Gautron, J., Arias, J. L., Solomon, S. E., Hincke, M. & Nys, Y. (1999) Organic matrix composition and ultrastructure of eggshell: A comparative study. *British Poultry Science*, 40, 240-52.
- Preisinger, R. & Flock, D. K. (2000) Genetic changes in layer breeding: Historical trends and future prospects. *Occasional Publications of the British Society of Animal Science*, 27, 20-8.