Meta-analysis of 1,200 transcriptomic profiles identifies a prognostic model for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Purpose
With a dismal 8% median 5-year overall survival (OS), pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is highly lethal. Only 10-20% of patients, which are eligible for surgery, and over 50% of these will die within a year of surgery. Building a molecular predictor of early death would enable the selection of PDAC patients at high risk.

Materials and Methods
We developed the Pancreatic Cancer Overall Survival Predictor (PCOSP), a prognostic model built from a unique set of 89 PDAC tumors where gene expression was profiled using both microarray and sequencing platforms. We used a meta-analysis framework based on the binary gene pair method to create gene expression barcodes robust to biases arising from heterogeneous profiling platforms and batch effects. Leveraging the largest compendium of PDAC transcriptomic datasets to date, we show that PCOSP is a robust single-sample predictor of early death (≤1 yr) after surgery in a subset of 823 samples with available transcriptomics and survival data.

Results
The PCOSP model was strongly and significantly prognostic with a meta-estimate of the area under the receiver operating curve (AUROC) of 0.70 (P=2.6e-22) and hazard ratio (HR) of 1.95(1.6-2.3) (P=1.4e-04) for binary and survival predictions, respectively. The prognostic value of PCOSP was independent of clinicopathological
parameters and molecular subtypes. Over-representation analysis of the PCOSP 2619 gene-pairs (1070 unique genes) unveiled pathways associated with Hedgehog signalling, epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) and extracellular matrix (ECM) signalling.

**Conclusion**

PCOSP could improve treatment decision by identifying patients who will not benefit from standard surgery/chemotherapy and may benefit from a neoadjuvant approach.

**INTRODUCTION**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a highly lethal malignancy with 5-year overall survival rate less than 8%\(^1\). The majority of patients (> 80%) are inoperable due to locally advanced or metastatic disease at time of diagnosis. While surgical resection is the key to curative treatment, it rarely results in long-term survival\(^2\). Hence, completion of multimodality treatment - surgery combined with adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy- is the standard of care for treatment of PDAC. However, even after surgical resection with curative intent, median survival does not exceed 28 months and half of those who undergo surgery develop recurrent disease, and die within a year after surgery \(2^\text{-}4\). Therefore, there is a need for a robust prognostic model to identify patients with high risk of early death based on molecular profiles of their tumors. Such a prognostic model would assist clinicians in identifying patients who might not benefit from surgery and standard adjuvant chemotherapy and may benefit from a neoadjuvant approach. Neoadjuvant treatment sequencing is the only alternative strategy and may guide selection of patients for surgery and help to
identify those patients with progressive disease for whom an operation has little oncologic benefit.

Various clinical factors are prognostic following PDAC surgery such as lymph node metastasis status, tumor grade, margins, degree of differentiation and protein biomarker CA-19-9. However, the prognostic value of these clinical variables are insufficient to accurately stratify patients based on risk of disease recurrence. With the advent of high-throughput next-generation molecular profiling technologies, multiple studies have released transcriptomic profiles of PDAC to the public domain. These gene expression profiles have been leveraged to identify molecular subtypes of PDACs. While overlap between these subtypes supports the biological relevance of these published classification schemes, they have not been designed to optimize prognostic value.

Previously published prognostic models were developed from small number of samples lacking proper validation in multiple datasets. Attempts have been made recently to build a prognostic gene signature using pooled samples from multiple cohorts to identify patients at high risk of short-term survival post surgery. However, they used samples profiled using either array or sequencing based method as the learning cohort, therefore the classifiers may perform better for subjects whose samples were profiled using only one of the two platforms.

To address these issues, we took advantage of a unique set of 89 PDACs profiled using both microarray and sequencing technologies to develop the Pancreatic Cancer Overall Survival Predictor (PCOSP) model. Using an independent set of PDAC transcriptomic profiles from 823 primary resected patients, we show
that PCOSP is a robust single-sample predictor of early death (≤1 yr) after surgery, which could be used as a potential tool to assist clinicians in decision making, with a meta-estimate of the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve of 0.70 (p=1.9e-18). We also show that PCOSP is significantly prognostic (meta-estimate of hazard ratio of 1.95; p=2.6e-16). Furthermore, we show that PCOSP performs significantly better than published prognostic models across microarray and sequencing datasets (Superiority test, P < 0.01). Our results support PCOSP as a potential tool to assist clinicians in decision making.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The meta-analysis pipeline used to develop the PCOSP model and evaluate its prognostic value is provided in Figure 1.

**Datasets**

We surveyed the literature and curated 17 datasets including 1,236 PDAC patients from public domain for which transcriptome data of PDAC are available (Supplementary Table S1). We further filtered samples based on the availability of overall survival (OS) and sample size (>10) after dichotomization into high and low survival groups based on an OS cut-off of 1-year (Figure 2). This resulted in a total of four sequencing studies and seven array-based studies providing transcriptomic and clinical data for 1,001 PDAC patients. A total of 12,430 protein-coding genes commonly assessed across all the cohorts were used for further analysis. The different cohorts had similar clinical presentation, and were treated with curative
surgery followed by adjuvant chemotherapy, where 2/3rd of the patients completed multimodal treatment (i.e., surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy) (Supplementary Table S2).

**Prognostic model**

To develop a robust predictor for early death, we used the gene expression profiles of 89 PDAC patient samples whose tumors have been profiled using both microarray and sequencing platforms within the ICGC cohort. Human research ethical approval were as mentioned in 14. Approximately half of the patients of the training cohort which were eligible for surgery relapsed within 1 year, we used this threshold to predict PDAC patients with high risk of early death (≤1 yr) post surgery. We excluded 7 samples from the training cohort as these patients were censored before one year of follow-up.

To make gene expression profiles comparable between the training and validation sets, we transformed the original gene expression profiles into binary gene pair barcodes. The advantages of considering pairs of genes with a binary value (“1” if expression of gene i > gene j, “0” otherwise) are; (i) it transforms the feature space in a way that mitigates platform biases and potential batch effects; (ii) it makes the model robust to any data processing that preserves the gene order25, 26. We implemented k-Top Scoring disjoint Pairs (k-TSP) classifier predictor27 using the Wilcoxon rank sum method as filtering function in the SwitchBox package (version 1.12.0)28.
The decision rules are based on the relative ordering of gene expression values within the same sample, where the $k$ top scoring gene pairs are used to build the classifier. The samples were resampled 1000 times, where 40 samples from each group were selected in each run to build a $k$-TSP model and the model was further tested on the 49 out-of-bag samples. The models were selected if the balanced accuracy was above 0.6 else the model was rejected. We then froze the parameters of the predictive model and validated it in the remaining compendium of independent datasets. The class probability of the sample was calculated as the frequency of sample predicted as one class divided by the total number of models.

**Random classifiers**

To test whether the prognostic value of the PCOSP model could be achieved by random chance alone, we implemented two permutation tests. To test whether the gene expression profiles were associated with survival, we shuffled the actual class labels while maintaining the expression values. To test whether the gene pairs selected in the PCOSP model were robustly associated with survival, we randomly assigned genes to the $k$-TSP model and assessed its prognostic value. Both procedures were performed 1000 times. As a pre-validation set we compared the balanced accuracy of all the 1000 random models generated using both the approaches to PCOSP using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Further, we trained the $k$-TSP classifier models from both approaches in the same way as we built our consensus PCOSP model. We then froze the parameters of the prognostic model
and validated it in the compendium of independent datasets, and compared the meta-estimates for both the models against the PCOSP model.

**Early death prediction**

The meta analysis was performed for the PDAC sequencing cohorts, PDAC array-based cohorts and the overall combined cohorts to assess and statistically compare the performance of the PCOSP. The patient samples were dichotomized into two groups based on the outcome variable (time from surgery to death ≤ 1 year). Samples censored before 1 year of follow-up were excluded from the analysis of meta-estimate of the area under the receiver operating characteristics curve (AUROC). The AUROC plots the sensitivity vs. 1-Specificity and is used as a criterion to measure the discriminatory ability of the model\(^\text{29}\). The AUROC was computed using \texttt{pROC} package (version 1.10.0), and the p-value was estimated using the Mann-Whitney test statistics estimating whether the AUROC curve estimate is significantly different from 0.5 (random classifier). The meta-estimate of AUROC was estimated using the random effect model\(^\text{30}\) implemented in \texttt{survcomp} package (version 1.26.0)\(^\text{31,32}\).

**Survival prediction**

Prognostic value and statistical significance of survival difference between the predicted classes were assessed using the D-Index, which is a robust estimate of the traditional Cox's hazard ratio, more precisely an estimate of the log hazard ratio comparing two equal-sized prognostic groups\(^\text{33}\) and is a natural measure of separation.
between two independent survival distributions under the proportional hazards assumption. In addition, we used the concordance index (C-index) which estimates the probability that, for a random pair of patients, the PCOSP score for the patient with shorter survival is higher than the patient with longer survival. Both the robust hazard ratio (HR) and the C-index were calculated using the survcomp package. The meta estimate of HR and C-index were calculated for the PDAC sequencing cohorts, the PDAC array-based cohorts and the combined PDAC sequencing and array-based cohorts using the random effect model implemented in survcomp package. The patients were stratified into low- and high-risk group using median PCOSP score as a threshold. Kaplan Meier curves were plotted using survminer package (version 0.4.3) in R and reported the P values from log-rank test.

Subtyping of PDAC cohorts

The PDAC cohorts were classified into basal and classical transcriptomic subtype using the Moffitt classifier.

Clinicopathological features based model to predict early death

The clinical model was built by fitting the logistic regression model using common clinicopathological features i.e., age, gender, TNM status and tumor grade available from PCSI, ICGC-sequencing, ICGC-array, TCGA and OUH cohorts.

Gene set enrichment analysis
To categorize genes in the PCOSP, we performed gene set enrichment analysis using RunGSAhyper function implemented in piano package (version 1.16.4)\textsuperscript{36}. The genes selected in the PCOSP model (n=1,070) were compared against Gene Ontology (GO) gene sets, canonical pathways and hallmark gene sets in MSigDb\textsuperscript{37,38}, using as background the protein-coding genes commonly assessed across the gene expression profiling platforms in our data compendium. Enrichment p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the false discovery rate approach (FDR < 5\%)\textsuperscript{39}.

**Comparison to existing classifiers**

We calculated the Birnbaum signature scores\textsuperscript{22} and Chen signature scores\textsuperscript{23} using the published coefficients of the 25 and 15 classifier genes, respectively, as weight parameter in the \textit{sig.score} function implemented in the \textit{genefu} R package (version 2.10.0)\textsuperscript{40}. The Haider signature scores were used as courtesy of the author\textsuperscript{24}. The C-index and HR were computed for the three classifiers using eight validation cohorts excluding the cohorts used for training by PCOSP and other classifiers in comparison. Further, we compared the meta-estimates of C-index of each classifier with PCOSP at P<0.05 (one-sided t-test) as implemented in \textit{survcomp} package.

**Research reproducibility**

Our code and documentation are open-source and publicly available through the PDACSurv GitHub repository (github.com/bhklab/PDACsurv). A detailed tutorial describing how to run our pipeline and reproduce our analysis results is available in
the GitHub repository. A virtual machine reproducing the full software environment is available on Code Ocean. Our study complies with the guidelines outlined in 41–43. All the data are available in the form of R package MetaGxPancreas.

RESULTS

Overall survival predictive model

To predict the patients with early death (≤ 1 year after surgery), the PCOSP model was trained on the 89 ICGC cohort samples profiled using both microarray and sequencing transcriptomic profiles (Supplementary Table S1). To develop a predictor that can be applied to multiple profiling platforms, we transformed the gene expression profiles into binary gene pairs ($x=1$ if expression of gene $i >$ gene $j$, $x=0$ otherwise) and used these transcriptomic barcodes in an ensemble of 1000 $k$-TSP predictive models. The PCOSP score is subsequently calculated using the majority voting rule. We tested the prognostic value of PCOSP score in three independent sequencing cohorts, including the Pancreatic Cancer Sequencing Initiative (PCSI)44, TCGA-PAAD15 and Kirby45 cohorts, and seven independent array-based cohorts composed of ICGC-array (excluding the 89 samples used for training)46, UNC13, OUH47, Chen23, Zhang48, Winter49 and Collisson cohorts12 (Supplementary Table S1). We first tested the predictive value of early death by calculating the AUROC for each dataset separately (Figure 3A). PCOSP was significant overall (AUROC=0.70; $P<2.6E-22$; Figure 3A), although higher in the datasets generated using sequencing platforms compared to microarrays (AUROC 0.72 vs 0.68 for sequencing and array datasets, respectively) at ($P=0.09$) suggesting that RNA-sequencing might be a
better assay for PCOSP than microarray platforms. PCOSP was significantly predictive of early death in all cohorts (AUROC=[0.67,0.76]; P<0.05) except the Winter and OUH cohorts (P>0.48) and was almost significant for the Collisson cohort (AUROC=0.69; P=0.051). To determine whether the early death predictive value of the PCOSP model can be achieved by random chance alone, we first computed meta-estimates of AUROC by randomly shuffling the class labels (early deaths) 1000 times and applying the same training procedure used for the PCOSP model. We observed that the gene expression profiles were significantly associated with survival as none of the random models could yield a predictive value greater or equal to PCOSP (p<0.001; Supplementary Figure S1A). We further tested whether the gene pairs selected in the PCOSP model were robustly associated with early death events, by randomly assigning genes to the PCOSP model. Again, we observed that the genes selected in PCOSP yielded significantly more predictive information than the models comprised of random genes (p<0.001; Supplementary Figure S1B), supporting the biological relevance of the PCOSP gene set.

**Prognostic relevance of the PCOSP model**

To assess the prognostic value of the PCOSP model, we calculated the C-indices and HR using the overall survival data for all the cohorts. The C-index is significant overall (C-index=0.63, P=1.8E-12; Figure 3B). In agreement with the results of early death prediction, the PCOSP prognostic value was higher for the sequencing datasets when compared to the arrays arrays (C-index=0.65 (P<3.8E-14) vs 0.61 (P<1.6E-12) for sequencing and array datasets, respectively; Figure 3B). Similar to
the C-index, the PCOSP HR was strong and significant overall (HR = 1.95, P=1.4E-04; Figure 3C), and stronger for the sequencing datasets (HR = 2.24 vs 1.83; Figure 3C). To assess whether the prognostic value of PCOSP depends on PDAC molecular subtypes, we stratified PDAC samples into the basal and classical subtypes using Moffitt classifier and calculated meta-estimates of C-index and HR (Supplementary Figures S2A and S2B). We found that PCOSP was prognostic in validation cohorts independently of molecular subtypes. We further tested whether PCOSP prognostic value was complementary to clinicopathological parameters and molecular subtypes by fitting both a multivariate Cox proportional hazard model to predict survival and a logistic regression model to predict binary outcome (death >1yr or not) (Supplementary Table S3).

To further illustrate the prognostic value of PCOSP, we stratified the patients into low- and high-risk group and plotted the KM curves for each cohort (Figure 4A-4J). The OS were significantly different between the risk groups for all the sequencing cohorts and 2 microarray cohorts (P<0.05) and borderline significant for 3 microarray cohorts (0.05≤P<0.10; Figure 4A-4J); with 10-month difference in median OS between risk groups.

**Clinicopathological model to predict overall survival**

The logistic regression model fitted using these clinicopathological features was used to predict early death of PDAC patients. The clinicopathological model was not significant overall (C-index=0.55; P=0.17; Figure 5A). Contrary to PCOSP, the
clinicopathological model was not predictive in the sequencing cohort (C-index=0.53 and 0.58 with P=0.75 and 0.05 for the sequencing and the array datasets, respectively; Figure 5A). Only nodal status, tumor grade and molecular classes were significant in the univariate analysis (Supplementary Table S3). We compared the prognostic value of the clinicopathological model against PCOSP (Figure 5B,C). PCOSP was significantly more prognostic than the clinicopathological model (one-sided t-test P < 0.01; Figure 5D).

**Comparison with published prognostic models**

We compared the prognostic value of PCOSP to three published PDAC prognostic models, referred to as Birnbaum\(^\text{22}\), Chen\(^\text{23}\)and Haider\(^\text{24}\). The overall prognostic value of the three published models was significant (Figure 6A,C). PCOSP significantly outperformed published prognostic models in all cases (P<0.05, Figure 6C,D); except for the HR of the Chen classifier where the superiority of the PCOSP prognostic value showed a trend to significance (one sided t-test P=0.10).

**Pathway analysis of prognostic genes**

Gene enrichment analysis for PCOSP signature genes (n=1,070) was performed using hypergeometric test using the hallmarks gene sets, GO molecular function, GO cellular component terms and canonical pathways in MSigDb\(^\text{37}\). The Extracellular matrix (ECM), Epithelial Mesenchymal transition (EMT) and hedgehog signalling pathway genes were enriched in the PCOSP model at false discovery rate (FDR)
<5%. The complete list of GO terms and pathways significantly enriched in the PCOSP model are listed in Supplementary Table S4A-4D.

**DISCUSSION**

We performed a meta-analysis of the transcriptomic profiles of 1,236 PDAC patients and developed PCOSP, a new prognostic model to identify patients with high risk of early death after surgery. The model is built from a unique set of 89 patients profiled using both array-based and sequencing platforms, and validated on a compendium of ten independent datasets, including 823 patients. The prognostic value of the PCOSP model was highly significant for both early death (≤1 year) and overall survival (P<0.001; Figure 3).

Contrary to published prognostic signatures fitted on small number of samples and lacking validation in large independent datasets\textsuperscript{17-21}, PCOSP has been trained and validated on a large compendium of datasets. Comparison of PCOSP with existing classifiers\textsuperscript{22-24} showed that the Birnbaum, Chen and Haider models yielded significant but significantly weaker prognostic value than PCOSP (Figure 6C,D). Importantly, PCOSP performs significantly better than existing classifiers for both microarray and sequencing platforms, likely due to simplifying the continuous expression space into binary pair barcodes. This enables PCOSP to be used as a single sample predictor robust to profiling platforms, potential batch effects and normalization methods compared to other classifiers.
Comparison of PCOSP against known prognostic clinicopathological variables showed that PCOSP outperformed the clinicopathological model in predicting early death (Figure 5). PCOSP prognostic value was significant, even after adjusting for molecular subtyping (classical vs basal) and clinicopathological parameters (age, sex, TNM status, differentiation grade of tumor and molecular classes) (Supplementary Figure S2A,B and Supplementary Table S3).

The PCOSP model incorporates 2,619 unique gene pairs, totalling 1,070 unique genes. Functional analysis of 1,070 genes showed enrichment of Hedgehog signalling, ECM and EMT pathway. Numerous studies have suggested the involvement of EMT in invasion and metastasis of PDAC\textsuperscript{50}. EMT enhances cell motility through loss of cell-cell adhesion, escaping from extracellular matrix and overcoming the apoptosis process\textsuperscript{50}. The ECM and EMT pathways are not only associated with the metastatic spread of tumor but also with chemoresistance that leads to worse survival\textsuperscript{51}.

PDAC is a heterogeneous and genetically highly complex disease, supporting the molecular\textsuperscript{13, 14} and morphological\textsuperscript{52} characterization of a given tumor as an important cornerstone for the development of future therapies. We provide the largest compendium of 17 PDAC datasets as a gold standard for future PDAC analyses. The new meta-analysis framework implemented in PCOSP maximizes robustness and performance across the cohorts. In order to implement PCOSP as a clinical assay, we tested different feature set sizes for the k-TSP models and compared the performance of the reduced models. We achieved accuracy comparable to the 1,070 gene-PCOSP model by including only 256 unique genes,
supporting the potential of a smaller PCOSP based useful in the clinic (Supplementary Figure S3). Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) biopsies could be utilized prior to curative surgery to estimate the prognosis of PDAC patients using PCOSP. This may assist clinicians in the selection of patients for surgery and help to identify those patients with high risk progressive disease for whom an operation has little oncologic benefit.

The current study has potential limitations. First, there are inherent tumor sample collection biases as the different datasets were collected and sampled at different centers. The levels of tumor cellularity varied highly across cohorts as PCSI and Collisson datasets were generated using laser microdissection prior to sequencing, Kirby and Chen datasets were macrodissected, while TCGA, ICGC, OUH, Zhang and Winter datasets used bulk tumors for profiling. Second, the transcriptomic profiles in our data compendium were generated using different gene expression profiling technologies for sequencing (Illumina HiSeq 2000/2500) and microarray platforms (Agilent, Affymetrix, and Illumina). Third, all samples were normalized using the published processing methods, which depend on the profiling platforms (Supplementary Table S2). Despite these limitations, PCOSP yielded robust prognostic value across the heterogeneous datasets, indicating that the gene expression barcode transformation is robust to the inevitable biases present in large meta-analyses. However, exploring other factors like germline variants, epigenetics, copy number alterations, non-coding RNAs, protein abundance as well as epidemiological and environmental factors will be necessary to further improve the prediction accuracy of predictive models.
The lack of available clinical and treatment information across the cohorts is also a limiting factor in our meta-analysis. However, comparison of cohort specific clinical information for the cohort were not significantly different across the cohorts (Supplementary table S2). During the time period of sample collection, standard of care treatment for PDAC was curative-intent surgery followed by adjuvant chemotherapy with gemcitabine or 5-FU. New approaches using doublet and triplet chemotherapy regimens are now standard of care in the palliative setting and randomised trials using these agents in the adjuvant setting will be reported shortly. Neoadjuvant therapy is also being evaluated in many centres. Thus, heterogeneity in treatment is expected within and between different cohorts, we will need to test our PCOSP model using new clinical datasets, or preferably within the context of randomized trials.

**CONCLUSION**

We leveraged the largest compendium of PDAC transcriptomes to develop PCOSP, a prognostic model identifying PDAC patients at high risk of early death independently of, and superior to, clinicopathological features and molecular subtypes. PCOSP may be useful in the clinical setting as a single sample classifier to identify patients who could be at higher risk of early death following surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy, potentially facilitating treatment decisions, including the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy as an alternative treatment strategy for these patients.
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FIGURES

**Figure 1.** Pipeline showing the approach used for building the Pancreatic Cancer Overall Survival Predictor (PCOSP).

**Figure 2:** Flowchart showing the inclusion criteria for the pancreatic adenocarcinoma samples.

**Figure 3. Predictive value of PCOSP for early death and overall survival. (A)** Area under the ROC curves for all the cohorts and the meta estimates for sequencing cohorts, array-based cohorts and for both the platforms combined. Forestplot reporting (B) the concordance indices (C-index) and (C) the hazard ratio (HR) for all the cohorts and the meta estimates for sequencing cohorts (orange), array-based cohorts (blue) and for both the platforms combined (grey).

**Figure 4. Kaplan Meier survival curves** for (A) PCSI (B) TCGA (C) Kirby (D) ICGC-array (E) UNC (F) Chen (G) OUH (H) Zhang (I) Winter and (J) Collisson. The overall survival difference between high and low risk group is 13 and 23 months respectively.

**Figure 5. Comparison of the prognostic value of the clinicopathological model and PCOSP. (A)** Barplot reporting the AUROCs for the clinical model and the PCOSP model. (Forestplot reporting the (B) concordance index (C-index) and (C) Hazard ratio (HR) of validation cohorts computed using PCOSP, and clinicopathological model.)
**Figure 6. Comparison of existing classifiers with PCOSP.** The forestplot reports the meta-estimate of (A) concordance indices (C-index) and (B) hazard ratio (HR) for PCOSP and existing classifiers.

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

**Supplementary Figure S1:** Density plot showing the distribution of balanced accuracy for random models. Distribution of meta-estimates of 1000 models generated using (A) random reshuffling of labels and (B) random assignment of genes to TSP models. The meta-estimates were independently calculated for all the cohorts combined, sequencing cohorts and array-based cohort. The pink, green, blue dashed lines represent meta-estimate of AUROC from PCOSP model for overall, sequencing and array-based cohorts respectively.

**Supplementary Figure S2:** Forestplot of (A) concordance index (C-index) and (B) hazard ratio (HR) for all the cohorts divided based on the molecular subtypes. The grey, green and pink color in the forestplot depicts meta-estimate of C-index for overall cohort, the basal subtype and the classical subtype of the cohorts, respectively.

**Supplementary Figure S3:** The scatterplot shows the meta-estimate of AUROC (orange) and total number of unique genes (blue) in the PCOSP model at different
balanced accuracy thresholds. The threshold used in the PCOSP is marked as dashed line at 0.6.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

**Supplementary Table S1:** The table shows the datasets used in the project for meta-analysis.

**Supplementary Table S2:** The table shows the clinicopathological information of the validation cohorts used in the analysis.

**Supplementary Table S3:** Univariate and multivariate regression analysis from (A) logistic regression model to predict early death (death >1 yr or not), and (B). the Cox regression model using clinicopathological features, molecular subtypes and PCOSP model probabilities for validation cohorts.

**Supplementary Table S4:** The table shows the pathways overrepresented in the PCOSP model genes using (A) hallmark gene sets, (B) canonical pathways, (C) GO-molecular function term (and (D) GO cellular component terms from MSigDB.