THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Nasopharyngeal disease in cats

Citation for published version:

Reed, N & Gunn-Moore, D 2012, 'Nasopharyngeal disease in cats: 2. Specific conditions and their management', *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 317-26.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1098612X12444998>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1177/1098612X12444998](https://doi.org/10.1177/1098612X12444998)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery

Publisher Rights Statement:

© ISFM and AAFP 2012

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Nasopharyngeal Disease in Cats: 2. Specific conditions and their management

Nicki Reed and Daniëlle Gunn-Moore

Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery 2012 14: 317

DOI: 10.1177/1098612X12444998

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://jfm.sagepub.com/content/14/5/317>

Disclaimer

The Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery is an international journal and authors may discuss products and formulations that are not available or licensed in the individual reader's own country. Furthermore, drugs may be mentioned that are licensed for human use, and not for veterinary use. Readers need to bear this in mind and be aware of the prescribing laws pertaining to their own country. Likewise, in relation to advertising material, it is the responsibility of the reader to check that the product is authorised for use in their own country. The authors, editors, owners and publishers do not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage arising from actions or decisions based on information contained in this publication; ultimate responsibility for the treatment of animals and interpretation of published materials lies with the veterinary practitioner. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and the inclusion in this publication of material relating to a particular product, method or technique does not amount to an endorsement of its value or quality, or the claims made by its manufacturer.

Published by:

[International Society of Feline Medicine](#)

[American Association of Feline Practitioners](#)

and

<http://www.sagepublications.com>

Additional services and information for *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://jfm.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://jfm.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

>> [Version of Record](#) - Apr 17, 2012

[What is This?](#)

NASOPHARYNGEAL DISEASE IN CATS

2. Specific conditions and their management

Nicki Reed and Daniëlle Gunn-Moore

Acute rhinitis (cat 'flu)

A number of different respiratory tract infections may give rise to the clinical signs of acute rhinitis (cat 'flu). Primary infection may be viral (feline herpesvirus-1 [FHV-1] and calicivirus [FCV]) or bacterial (*Chlamydophila felis*, *Mycoplasma* species, *Bordetella bronchiseptica*). In addition, secondary bacterial infection by, for example, *Pasteurella* species may complicate viral infections (see Table 1, Part 1). Clinical signs vary with the causal agent, but generally include sneezing, nasal discharge, anorexia, pyrexia, conjunctivitis, ocular discharge, and oral and/or ocular ulceration. The severity of signs is dependent on the pathogenicity of the causal organism, and on the immune response of the cat. Nasal discharge is usually bilateral, and may initially be serous, progressing to mucopurulent in nature (Figure 1). Thick, tenacious nasal discharge may cause upper airway obstruction, resulting in a prolonged inspiratory phase and noticeable upper respiratory noise. The presence of nasal discharge may lead to ulceration of the nares with chronicity.

Identification of a causal agent may help with management.

Antibacterial therapy

Broad spectrum antibacterials are generally prescribed to prevent establishment of bacterial infection secondary to viral damage (Table 1). If *Mycoplasma*, *Chlamydophila* or *Bordetella* species are identified, tetracyclines (in particular doxycycline) are considered the treatment of choice. Good responses are also seen with fluoroquinolones or azithro-

Figure 1 Mucopurulent nasal discharge in a cat with FHV-1 infection

Practical relevance:

Nasopharyngeal disease is a common presenting problem in feline medicine.

Clinical challenges:

The management of feline nasopharyngeal disease can be challenging at a number of levels. In many cases, a specific diagnosis may remain elusive. Some conditions may not be curable so owners need to understand the requirement for long-term management. In addition, treatment may be compromised by poor patient compliance.

Audience: This review, which is directed at any clinicians involved in the management of cats with nasopharyngeal disease, discusses acute rhinitis (cat 'flu) and a variety of conditions causing chronic rhinosinusitis/chronic nasopharyngeal disease. The intention is to assist treatment decision making by reviewing the most appropriate therapies from the options available for these patients.

Evidence base: The information presented in this article is based on peer-reviewed publications and the clinical experience of the authors.

Nicki Reed
BVM&S Cert VR DSAM (Feline) DECVIM-CA MRCVS
European Veterinary Specialist in Internal Medicine*

Daniëlle A Gunn-Moore
BSc BVM&S PhD FHEA MACVSc MRCVS
RCVS Specialist in Feline Medicine
Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies,
Division of Veterinary Clinical Sciences,
University of Edinburgh, Hospital for Small Animals,
Easter Bush Veterinary Centre, Roslin,
Midlothian EH25 9RG, Scotland, UK

*Corresponding author.

Email: nreed1@staffmail.ed.ac.uk

PART 1

Part 1 of this two-part article, which addresses the investigation of nasopharyngeal disease in order to obtain a diagnosis, appears on pages 306–315 of this issue of *J Feline Med Surg* and at DOI: 10.1177/1098612X12444997

Table 1 Medications used in the management of nasopharyngeal disease in cats

	Drug	Dose	Indications	Comments
Antibacterials	Amoxicillin–clavulanate	12.5–20 mg/kg q8–12h PO, IV, SC	Gram +ve aerobes Gram –ve aerobes Obligate anaerobes	Not effective against <i>Mycoplasma</i> ; variable efficacy against <i>Pseudomonas</i> and <i>Chlamydomphila</i>
	Doxycycline	10 mg/kg q24h PO	<i>Chlamydomphila</i> <i>Mycoplasma</i> <i>Bordetella</i>	Can cause oesophagitis – give with food or follow with 5 ml water; can cause tooth discoloration in young animals; do not give to pregnant animals
	Marbofloxacin	2 mg/kg q24h PO, IV	<i>Mycoplasma</i> , <i>Chlamydomphila</i> , <i>Bordetella</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> , <i>Pasteurella</i> , <i>Staphylococcus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i>	Give following culture results; cartilage abnormalities in young animals; risk of retinal blindness; do not give to pregnant or lactating animals or those with epilepsy
	Pradofloxacin	3–5 mg/kg q24h PO	<i>Mycoplasma</i> , <i>Chlamydomphila</i> , <i>Bordetella</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> , <i>Pasteurella</i> , <i>Staphylococcus</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i>	As for marbofloxacin except that retinal blindness has not been reported. Doses as high as 10 mg/kg have been used without evidence of side effects ¹
	Clindamycin	5.5–11 mg/kg q12h PO, IV	Gram +ve aerobes Anaerobes <i>Mycoplasma</i>	Can cause oesophagitis – give with food or follow with 5 ml water
	Erythromycin	10–20 mg/kg q8–12h PO	Gram +ve cocci, <i>Pasteurella</i> , <i>Mycoplasma</i> , (<i>Chlamydomphila</i>)	Gastrointestinal upset common side effect Variable efficacy against <i>Chlamydomphila</i>
	Azithromycin	5 mg/kg q48h PO	Gram +ve cocci and bacilli, <i>Pasteurella</i> , (<i>Chlamydomphila</i>), <i>Mycoplasma</i> , <i>Bordetella</i>	<i>Pseudomonas</i> usually resistant Variable efficacy against <i>Chlamydomphila</i>
Antivirals	Famciclovir	5–20 mg/kg q8h PO ² 90 mg/kg q8h PO ³	FHV-1	Optimal dose yet to be decided
	L-lysine	250 mg/kitten q12h PO 500 mg/cat q12h PO	FHV-1 – reduction of clinical signs and viral shedding	Efficacy not proven; products should not contain propylene glycol as preservative
	Interferon ω	1 MU/kg SC q24–48h 50,000–100,000 U PO q24h	FHV-1 FCV	No controlled studies; can be used alongside L-lysine
	Interferon α	5–35 U q24h SC – high dose PO – low dose	FHV-1 FCV	No controlled studies; can be used alongside L-lysine; SC administration can lead to antibody formation
Antifungals	Fluconazole	50 mg/cat q12–24h	<i>Cryptococcus</i> species; mycotic infections involving the central nervous system (CNS)	Several weeks/months of treatment may be required; do not administer to pregnant animals; dose reduction in patients with renal disease. Treat until LCAT (latex cryptococcal antigen agglutination test) titre is zero
	Itraconazole	5–20 mg/kg q24h PO	Mycotic infections not penetrating the CNS	Several weeks/months of treatment may be required; do not administer to pregnant animals; many drug interactions. Monitor liver enzymes – may cause hepatotoxicity
	Voriconazole	10 mg/kg q24h PO	<i>Cryptococcus</i> species <i>Aspergillus</i> species	Several weeks/months of treatment may be required; do not administer to pregnant animals; neurological abnormalities reported
	Ketoconazole	5–10 mg/kg q12h PO	<i>Cryptococcus</i> species	Several weeks/months of treatment may be required; do not administer to pregnant animals; use generally superseded by other drugs; hepatotoxicity; many drug interactions
	Amphotericin B	0.5 mg/kg in 350 ml 0.45% saline and 2.5% dextrose SC one to three times weekly ⁴	<i>Cryptococcus</i> species – including CNS infection; <i>Aspergillus</i> species	Nephrotoxic – toxicity reduced by use of liposome-encapsulated or lipid-complex formulations. Infuse in saline or DW5, as incompatible with lactated Ringer's. Monitor renal function
	Flucytosine	30–75 mg/kg PO q6–12h	<i>Cryptococcus</i> species – including CNS infection	Synergistic with amphotericin B; resistance develops if used alone
	Clotrimazole	Topical infusion (~20 ml)	<i>Aspergillus</i> species	Hour long infusion ^{5,6}
Miscellaneous	Meloxicam	0.1 mg/kg PO q24h	Pain/inflammation associated with chronic rhinitis Adenocarcinoma?	Risk of renal, hepatic and gastrointestinal toxicity
	Piroxicam	0.3 mg/kg PO q48–72h	Adenocarcinoma?	Risk of renal, hepatic and gastrointestinal toxicity
	Ciclosporin	5–10 mg/kg q24h	Allergic rhinitis? Chronic rhinitis?	
	Zafirlukast	0.5–1 mg/kg PO q12–24h	Allergic rhinitis? Chronic rhinitis?	
	Montelukast	0.25–0.5 mg/kg PO q24h	Allergic rhinitis? Chronic rhinitis?	

PO = orally, IV = intravenously, SC = subcutaneously, DW5 = 5% dextrose in water

While every effort has been made to ensure correct doses are given, the authors advise independent verification of doses prescribed
Many drugs listed do not have a veterinary product licence

mycin.^{7,8} However, as these infections often occur in young kittens where the side effects of these antibacterials may be undesirable alternative choices may be needed. A good alternative for the treatment of *Chlamydomphila* species infection is amoxicillin–clavulanate.⁹

Mycoplasma species do not have a cell wall, rendering β lactam antibiotics ineffective. For the treatment of these infections macrolides such as erythromycin and azithromycin may be sensible alternatives; however, resistance to these drugs is rapidly emerging with human *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infections.¹⁰ Although not licensed for veterinary use, azithromycin has the advantage that it comes in a liquid formulation, which may be easier to administer and enables accurate dosing, particularly for small kittens. No veterinary studies have been performed to test the efficacy of azithromycin against *Bordetella bronchiseptica*, although human strains of *Bordetella pertussis* are susceptible.¹¹ Although azithromycin alleviated clinical signs of *Chlamydomphila* species in one feline study, it did not result in clearance of the infection,¹² and in a second study there was no improvement in respiratory signs in shelter cats treated with azithromycin compared with amoxicillin.¹³

Antiviral therapy

If FHV-1 is identified then famciclovir has been demonstrated to reduce clinical disease.^{2,3} The use of L-lysine in cases of FHV-1 is controversial. It may be of benefit in reducing clinical signs and shedding of the virus, but administration of tablets is more beneficial than administering it in food, and as such the stress of pilling has to be weighed up against the benefit.¹⁴ Although feline interferon omega was associated with decreased viral replication in vitro,¹⁵ no significant differences were found when this drug was used in the management of FHV-1 infected cats in vivo.¹⁶ Similarly, there are no published studies demonstrating benefit of interferon (human or feline recombinant) in the management of rhinitis associated with FCV.

Supportive treatment

Supportive treatment with good nursing care is required for these patients, which are anorectic and dehydrated. Fluid therapy may be indicated, and syringe feeding or tube feeding may be required as the inability to smell food will affect appetite. Nasal discharges should be removed by bathing – nebulisation with saline may facilitate clearance of nasal discharge. Analgesia may be indicated where sinus pain is present, and some authors recommend decongestants.

Neoplasia is the most common cause of chronic nasopharyngeal disease in cats, with lymphoma being the most frequently identified tumour.

Figure 2 Nasopharyngeal lymphoma. (a) Soft tissue mass in the right nasopharynx, causing loss of turbinate detail and bowing of the nasal septum. (b) The mass in the nasopharynx, as viewed by retroflexed endoscopy. (c) The mass removed by forced flush of the right nasal cavity. (d) Cytology of an impression smear made from the mass, showing predominance of round cells, suggestive of lymphoma (confirmed by histopathology). Diff Quik x 400

Chronic rhinosinusitis/ chronic nasopharyngeal disease

Nasal neoplasia

Neoplasia is the most common cause of nasopharyngeal disease in cats.^{17,18} Lymphoma is the most common tumour affecting the nasal cavity (29–70% of neoplasms), followed by adenocarcinoma (13–15%). Carcinomas (squamous cell, undifferentiated) and sarcomas (fibrosarcoma, osteosarcoma, chondrosarcoma) are less commonly reported, along with various other neoplasms.^{18,19} Stertor, nasal discharge (including epistaxis) and facial deformity are typical presenting signs. Neurological signs, including seizures, may be seen with extension of the disease through the cribriform plate.

Lymphoma

Nasal lymphoma typically affects middle-aged to older cats, with mean ages of 8.9 and 11.4 years, and a range of 3–17 years, reported.^{20,21} Male cats may be at increased risk compared with female cats, comprising up to 79% of the study population.^{5,19,21} Siamese cats are potentially overrepresented, comprising up to 14% of cases,^{5,19,21} although the reported prevalence was not compared with the reference population. It is, however, in keeping with previous studies, which have suggested that Siamese cats are predisposed to lymphoma in general.²²

Nasal lymphomas show B cell predominance, with 68–100% of tumours reported as B cell immunotype,^{19–21} although epitheliotropic nasal lymphomas have also been reported.¹⁹ Most (90%) feline nasal lymphomas are

classified as high grade²⁰ and, although traditionally thought of as being localised to the nasal cavity, one study identified that in 67% of cats in which a post-mortem examination was carried out, extension of the disease was present.²⁰ Numerous locations, including lymph nodes, intestine, spleen, liver and kidney, are reported, but local extension was also identified in this and another study.²³ Diagnosis is based on histopathology, although cytology from impression smears can be supportive of the diagnosis (Figure 2).

Treatment options comprise radiation therapy or chemotherapy (COP or CHOP protocols). Debulking of the mass (eg, by forced flush) may improve clinical signs where these therapies are declined. The prognosis without treatment or with prednisolone alone is generally poor, with a reported median survival of only 22 days.²¹ Chemotherapy with the COP protocol has had variable reported success. Henderson et al¹⁸ described a median survival time of only 98 days, whereas in a study by Teske et al²⁴ 75% of cats were still alive at 1 year. The reported response to radiation therapy appears to be better, with a median survival of 40.8 months based on seven cats.²¹ The combination of radiation therapy and chemotherapy did not appear to improve the outcome, with a median survival for 19 cats of 955 days.²¹ A second, retrospective study also failed to identify significant differences between treatment with chemotherapy, radiation or chemotherapy and radiation, with a median survival time, regardless of treatment modality, of 536 days.⁵ This is perhaps surprising in the light of the frequency of systemic involvement previously reported, as radiation therapy alone would not treat disseminated disease.

Cats in which the cribriform plate was destroyed had a poorer prognosis in the study by Sfiligoi et al,²¹ but not in another.⁵ The presence of anaemia has also been identified as a poor prognostic factor.⁵ Dichotomous populations are identified, with cats that fail therapy early and survive less than 6 months, and those that experience remission and go on to have a prolonged survival.^{5,21} Further work is required to determine factors that predict a good prognosis and the best form of therapy.

Non-lymphoid neoplasia

Non-lymphoid neoplasia of the nasal cavity is far less common than lymphoid neoplasia. Epithelial tumours (carcinomas) are more common than non-epithelial tumours (sarcomas).

Figure 3 Mucinous adenocarcinoma. Post-mortem examination of a 17-year-old domestic shorthair cat with mucinous adenocarcinoma occupying the right nasal cavity (nasal and frontal bones removed). The mass eroded through the cribriform plate into the olfactory bulb. The diagnosis had been established 6 months earlier and the cat had been managed with oral meloxicam. Clinical signs (sneezing and epistaxis) had been present for 5 months prior to diagnosis. Courtesy of L Morrison

Chronic rhinosinusitis is the most common condition affecting the more rostral nasal cavity.

Although a large number of different types of tumour have been reported, the most commonly encountered are adenocarcinoma, undifferentiated carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and fibrosarcoma.¹⁹ Adenocarcinomas may be subdivided into four types – acinous, cystic, mucinous and papillary (Figure 3).¹⁹

Radiotherapy is considered the treatment of choice, with median survival times of 382 days reported.²⁵ Treatment of adenocarcinoma with piroxicam and chemoembolisation has also been reported.²⁶ The theoretical benefit of piroxicam is based on the presence of COX-2 expression in tumours. One study to assess the presence of COX-2 in various feline neoplasms did not identify expression in nasal tumours.²⁷ However, all the nasal tumours in this study were lymphomas; therefore, the expression of COX-2 in epithelial nasal tumours has yet to be investigated.

Chronic rhinosinusitis

The majority of cases of chronic upper respiratory tract disease are defined as chronic post-viral rhinitis/sinusitis. The initial viral infection causes damage to the nasal mucosa, which allows secondary infection with oropharyngeal bacteria, and hence the establishment of chronic osteomyelitis of the turbinate bones. However, FHV-1 and FCV are very prevalent in the general feline population, and latent infection is relatively common; as it may not be possible to detect FHV-1 or FCV at this late stage of disease, the condition should more correctly be termed chronic rhinosinusitis.

Chronic rhinosinusitis is rarely curable so the emphasis is on management to improve the patient's quality of life (see box on page 321).⁶

Allergic rhinitis

Some cases of chronic nasal discharge may be suspected to be allergic in origin on the basis of an eosinophilic or lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate being identified on nasal biopsy, or concurrent asthma-like signs. However, as the underlying allergic trigger is rarely identified, as with chronic rhinosinusitis, therapeutic strategies aim for management rather than cure. Glucocorticoids may be indicated if allergic rhinitis or lymphoplasmacytic rhinitis is present. Inhaled forms may be preferable to systemic administration, due to the reduced risk of systemic side effects. Topical steroid nasal drops are rarely well tolerated by cats. Ciclosporin or antileukotriene medications (zafirlukast, montelukast) may be considered if the systemic side effects of corticosteroids

Management of chronic rhinosinusitis

❖ **Antibiotics** Biopsies of the nasal turbinates usually reveal neutrophilic or lymphoplasmacytic rhinitis, and occasionally eosinophilic inflammation.²⁸ Periodic courses of antibiotics often need to be given. These cases should be treated as for osteomyelitis, with prolonged (6–8 weeks) courses of antibiotics with good penetration into bone, and ideally with efficacy against anaerobes, as these organisms are frequently identified.²⁹ Preferably, antibiotic selection should be based on culture and sensitivity testing following a deep nasal flush. Clindamycin and amoxicillin-clavulanate can be used, but some cases require doxycycline or fluoroquinolones due to the infectious agent present.

❖ **Anti-inflammatories** Some cats may require therapy to reduce airway inflammation. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatories may be appropriate if there is concern about the presence of an infectious process, although the patient should be adequately hydrated and eating.

❖ **Therapeutic flush** A therapeutic flush entails adding an antibiotic, antiseptic or other therapeutic agent (eg, interferon) to the intranasal flush. This may have beneficial effects when performed at the end of a nasal investigation. Even removal of large volumes of mucus by flushing with saline often results in clinical improvement in the short to medium term.

❖ **Nasal curettage** Nasal curettage should not be undertaken lightly. While this procedure results in a degree of improvement in some cases, other cases benefit little. In addition, the procedure can be very painful; postoperative analgesics are essential.

❖ **Frontal sinus ablation, trephination and irrigation** This approach may be considered where inflammation has extended into the frontal sinuses. The response is not always favourable and postoperatively the patient can be in considerable pain. Analgesics are essential.

Chronic rhinosinusitis is rarely curable so the emphasis is on management to improve the patient's quality of life.

are not tolerated. No clinical trials have been conducted to establish the efficacy and safety of these off-licence drugs. It is also important to address the cat's environment, with regard to reducing exposure to allergens (eg, dust from cat litter, smoke, aerosols, etc).

Fungal rhinitis

Fungal rhinitis in cats is primarily attributed to cryptococcal (*Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii*) infection.⁴ Cryptococcosis is seen worldwide; however, it is rare in the UK, and only comprised 4% of cases in a study conducted in the USA.³⁰ Other causes of fungal rhinitis include *Aspergillus* species^{31–34} and *Penicillium* species,^{31,32} with occasional case reports of hyalohyphomycosis (*Scedosporium apiospermum* or *Fusarium* species infection), trichosporonosis (*Trichosporon loubieri* infection), and *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Alternaria* species infections.^{32,35–39}

Clinical signs typically consist of nasal discharge (± epistaxis), sneezing, stertorous respiration and/or facial swelling. Turbinate lysis is frequently identified on computed tomography.³³ The pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of feline cryptococcosis have been reviewed recently.⁴ A number of drugs have been employed in the treatment of cryptococcosis (Table 1), but fluconazole is an appropriate first choice due to minimal side effects and good penetration into the brain in cases with local extension.⁴ Treatment efficacy may be assessed by monitoring serology titres for cryptococcal capsular antigen until the titre reaches zero.⁴ Treatment of other causes of fungal rhinitis has comprised intranasal clotri-

mazole infusion,^{31,38} oral itraconazole,^{31,32,36,37} surgical debridement with topical (enilconazole) and systemic (itraconazole) therapy³⁵ and oral voriconazole.⁴⁰

Fungal rhinitis warrants a guarded prognosis, particularly if there is local extension of the disease into the CNS.⁴¹ These cases may benefit from combination fluconazole and subcutaneous amphotericin B therapy.⁴² While 60% of cases of cryptococcosis in one study appeared to be cured, some cases that initially responded to therapy subsequently relapsed.⁴¹ Owners, therefore, need to be warned of the potential for recurrence of clinical disease (Figure 4).

Figure 4 *Aspergillus* infection. (a) Nasal catheters placed for clotrimazole infusion (a third catheter is also placed in the nasopharynx). (b) Branching hyphae of *Aspergillus* (new methylene blue stain x 100). (c) The cat following rhinotomy and curettage, required to clear the fungal infection. Courtesy of A Ridyard

Nasopharyngeal polyps

Nasopharyngeal polyps are benign, inflammatory growths arising from the lining of the middle ear or the Eustachian tube. They are comprised of fibrovascular connective tissue covered by stratified squamous or ciliated columnar epithelium.⁴³ The polyps may extend into the pharyngeal area (nasopharyngeal polyps) and typically be accompanied by respiratory signs, or through the tympanic membrane into the external ear canal (aural polyps) and typically be accompanied by signs of otitis externa. Nasopharyngeal polyps usually occur in young cats, although an age range of 3 months to 15 years is recorded. In studies of nasopharyngeal disease the frequency of polyps has ranged from 1.3–28%.^{17,18,30} However, these studies were based on referral populations, which may not reflect the true prevalence of this disease in the general population. The authors' clinical experience would suggest this condition is rare.

The aetiology of nasopharyngeal polyps is incompletely understood. Their predominance in young cats has led to one theory that they may be a congenital abnormality of the first pharyngeal pouch, from which the Eustachian tube and the middle ear cavity derive.⁴³ An alternative theory is that they arise as a result of chronic inflammation of the middle ear, either due to respiratory tract infection or to otitis externa attributed to, for example, infestation with *Otodectes cynotis*.⁴² Although some cats have a history of upper respiratory tract infection or ear mite infestation prior to diagnosis, not all cases do. A recent study failed to demonstrate a consistent association between infectious agents (FCV, FHV-1, *Mycoplasma* species or *Chlamydophila* species) and the presence of nasopharyngeal polyps.⁴⁴ The presence of inflammation is proposed to affect Eustachian tube function, resulting in inadequate middle ear ventilation and decreased middle ear pressure. The combination of mucociliary dysfunction and hypersecretion of mucus contribute to effusion within the middle ear, which may or may not lead to bacterial contamination and the development of inflammatory granulation tissue.⁴⁵

Clinical signs associated with nasopharyngeal polyps include stertor, nasal discharge and dysphagia. The nasal discharge is usually serous in nature, unless secondary bacterial infection is present. Dysphagia may lead to weight loss or failure to thrive in young kittens. Initial presenting signs may be attributed to respiratory tract infection, although sneezing and coughing are infrequently reported. Altered phonation is occasionally described. The presence of a mass within the middle ear

Figure 5 Nasopharyngeal polyp in a young adult cat. (a) Ventral bowing of the soft palate due to a mass lesion dorsally within the nasopharynx. (b) Lateral radiograph showing a soft tissue opacity dorsal to the soft palate (arrow). (c) Nasopharyngeal polyp following removal by traction. Note the long narrow stalk. (d) Presence of right-sided Horner's syndrome following removal of the nasopharyngeal polyp. Signs improved after 48 h

may lead to signs of head shaking or Horner's syndrome. If the polyp progresses into the inner ear, vestibular signs such as nystagmus, head tilt and ataxia may be present. Signs may have an insidious onset and be present for some time before veterinary attention is sought. Ventral deviation of the soft palate may be noted on intraoral examination (Figure 5a), or a mass lesion may be detected on otoscopic examination. Radiography may demonstrate soft tissue masses within the nasopharynx, dorsal to the soft palate, or opacification of the tympanic bulla (Figure 5b).

Treatment options are discussed in the box on page 323.

Inflammatory polyps of the nasal turbinates

Inflammatory polyps of the nasal turbinates were previously considered to be a rarer manifestation of nasopharyngeal polyps. However, more recent work suggests that these should be considered separately, as they arise from the nasal turbinates, rather than the Eustachian tube.⁴⁸ In addition, their histological appearance is consistent with that of mesenchymal nasal hamartoma,⁴⁸ rather than being comprised of fibrovascular tissue with a stratified squamous or ciliated columnar epithelium. The condition appears to be more common in Italy, which may relate to genetic

Treatment options for nasopharyngeal polyps

Traction/avulsion

Traction/avulsion is generally the simplest form of treatment, requiring minimal equipment. With the cat in dorsal recumbency the polyp is grasped with Allis forceps and traction applied until the polyp detaches at its stalk (Figure 5c). Minor haemorrhage can be expected following this procedure, but it either stops spontaneously or can generally be managed with pressure application to the palatine area. Access to the polyp may be facilitated by application of pressure to the soft palate, displacing the mass caudally, or by retracting the palate rostrally with a spey hook or placement of stay sutures. Alternatively, more direct access over the mass may be achieved via a midline incision in the soft palate (avoiding the distal 5 mm), retracting the edges of the incision with stay sutures. The polyp is again removed by traction and the soft palate is repaired with an absorbable suture. The main complications following this procedure include recurrence and development of Horner's syndrome (Figure 5d). The latter has been reported in 43% of cases treated by traction,⁴⁶ with signs usually resolving within 1 month.

Recurrence rates of up to 41% have been reported following removal by traction alone,⁴⁶ although recurrence was more frequent with aural polyps than nasopharyngeal polyps. Recurrence is more likely in cases that have radiographic evidence of bulla involvement; that said, 30% of cases with bulla disease were treated successfully by traction alone.⁴⁶ Anti-inflammatory doses of prednisolone administered for 4 weeks postoperatively significantly improved outcome.⁴⁶ Polyp recurrence has been reported to occur from 19 days to 9 months postoperatively.

Ventral bulla osteotomy

Ventral bulla osteotomy has been proposed by some authors to be the treatment of choice due to the high incidence of middle ear

involvement.⁴⁷ With the cat in dorsal recumbency, access to the ventral bulla is obtained with a Steinmann pin and rongeurs, allowing the contents of the bulla to be sampled and the inflammatory tissue to be removed with a curette (Figure 6). Polyp recurrence rates are considered to be lower than for traction/avulsion, but the technique carries greater risks. Care must be taken not to damage the lingual artery, hypoglossal nerve or tympanic plexus. Postoperative complications include a higher risk of development of Horner's syndrome (57%),⁴⁶ and the potential to develop vestibular disease or facial nerve paralysis.

Total ear canal ablation/lateral bulla osteotomy; myringotomy/lateral wall resection

These procedures are not generally required for nasopharyngeal polyps, but may be required for aural polyps. They are expensive and carry a higher risk of morbidity. Surgical textbooks should be consulted or referral to a specialist surgeon considered if these procedures are felt to be warranted.

Figure 6 Ventral bulla osteotomy. (a) Removal of inflammatory material from the bulla by use of a curette (white arrow). (b) Appearance of the bulla after removal of inflammatory material, showing the larger ventromedial compartment (stippled arrow) and the smaller dorsolateral compartment (black arrow). Courtesy of A J Tattersall

or environmental factors.⁴⁸ Only one case has been reported from the UK.⁴⁹ This involved a Russian Blue cat and, although there is no documented breed predisposition, the authors' experience is that this condition is more common in Oriental breeds of cat.

Clinical signs may comprise stertor, sneezing, open-mouth breathing, serous nasal discharge, epiphora and epistaxis. In addition, mass lesions may be seen protruding from the nostrils. Radiographic studies typically demonstrate soft tissue opacification of the rostral nasal cavity. With high detail, more radiolucent areas may be seen, corresponding to cystic spaces within the lesion.⁴⁸ Although spontaneous regression has been reported, lesions are more typically removed, either endoscopically or, for more extensive lesions, by rhinotomy to facilitate complete excision.^{48,49}

Nasopharyngeal stenosis

Nasopharyngeal stenosis is a relatively uncommon condition, accounting for 5/77 cases of nasal disease in one study,³⁰ and 0/75 cases in a second.¹⁸ In this condition, the nasopharynx is occluded by a membrane, which is thought to form secondarily to a process that triggers scar formation (Figure 7). Examples include trauma, infectious diseases or vomiting with aspiration of gastric contents into the nasopharynx. A recent case report described the finding of hiatal hernia and megaesophagus secondary to the presence of nasopharyngeal stenosis.⁵⁰

The stenotic membrane may be seen radiographically as a thin soft tissue opacity within the nasopharynx, dorsal to the soft palate (Figure 7a,b), which is sometimes accompanied by dorsal deviation of the soft palate at the site of attachment.^{51,52} Retroflex endoscopy

Figure 7 Nasopharyngeal stenosis. (a) Lateral radiograph of a cat showing a membrane of soft tissue dorsal to the soft palate. (b) Highlighted region of nasopharyngeal stenosis. (c) Retroflexed endoscopic appearance of the stenotic nasopharynx. (d) Retroflexed endoscopic appearance of the nasopharynx following balloon dilatation. (e) Balloon catheter with manometer to monitor pressure exerted on the balloon

demonstrates a narrow lumen into the nasopharynx and an inability to view the choanae (Figure 7c). If endoscopic evaluation is not possible, contrast radiography⁵¹ or failure to pass nasal catheters into the nasopharynx⁵² may further support the diagnosis.

Balloon dilatation is usually used to break down the stenotic membrane (Figure 7d,e). The balloon catheter is introduced in a normograde fashion from a nostril and its placement verified either with fluoroscopy or simultaneous retroflexed endoscopy of the nasopharynx.^{51,53} Repeated procedures may be required due to reformation of the stenotic membrane;^{51,53}

administration of a course of systemic corticosteroids may reduce the risk of recurrence. Alternative options for management of nasopharyngeal stenosis include forceps dilatation,¹⁸ mucosal advancement flap surgery,⁵² or placement of a stent.⁵⁴ Despite the potential for recurrence, the prognosis is generally good.

Foreign bodies

Foreign bodies are reported to be the third most common cause of nasal disease in cats.³⁰ Plant material (grass blades, awns, seeds) is most commonly documented;^{30,55} surprisingly this may sometimes be detected radiographically, providing the material does not abut the soft palate (Figure 8). Radiopaque material such as stones, needles and air-gun pellets may also be identified,³⁰ and a recent report described a nasopharyngeal trichobezoar with the radiographic appearance of a soft tissue mass.⁵⁶ Foreign material may be inhaled, or vomited/coughed up into the nasopharynx.

Cats with more rostral foreign bodies will typically present with nasal discharge, whereas foreign bodies in the nasopharynx typically produce retching, gagging and stertorous respiration. Purulent nasal discharge and halitosis may develop, particularly with chronicity. Removal usually necessitates visualising the foreign body and grasping it with forceps. Barbs on grass blades or plant awns can make this problematic, and flushing of the nasal passages may assist with removal. Larger foreign bodies may be removed using forced flushing or may be pushed into the pharynx following the insertion of a stiff urinary catheter into the nasopharynx from the nares.

Figure 8 Nasopharyngeal foreign body. A radiopaque line can be seen dorsal and parallel to the soft palate. (inset) The foreign body was a blade of grass

KEY POINTS

- ❖ Chronic rhinosinusitis and neoplasia are the two most common causes of nasopharyngeal disease in cats.
- ❖ The underlying aetiology in cats with chronic rhinosinusitis is rarely identified, but the condition is speculated to be associated with previous viral damage.
- ❖ Chronic rhinosinusitis can be frustrating to manage.
- ❖ Neoplastic disease is more frequently identified in middle-aged to older cats, and carries a varied prognosis.
- ❖ Foreign bodies, nasopharyngeal polyps and nasopharyngeal stenosis can be rewarding to treat, with a cure effected in the majority of cases.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to L Morrison (Figure 3), A Ridyard (Figure 4) and A J Tattersall (Figure 6) for supplying images for this article. The other images are the authors' own, as follows: Nicki Reed – Figures 1, 5 and 8; Daniëlle Gunn-Moore – Figures 2 and 7.

Funding

The authors received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors for the preparation of this review article.

Conflict of interest

The authors do not have any potential conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Spindel ME, Veir JK, Radecki SV and Lappin MR. **Evaluation of pradofloxacin for the treatment of feline rhinitis.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2008; 10: 472–479.
- Malik R, Lessels NS, Webb S, Meek M, Graham PG, Vitale C, et al. **Treatment of feline herpesvirus-1 associated disease in cats with famciclovir and related drugs.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2009; 11: 40–48.
- Thomasy SM, Lim CC, Reilley CM, Kass PH, Lappin MR and Maggs DJ. **Safety and efficacy of famciclovir in cats infected with feline herpesvirus 1.** *Am J Vet Res* 2011; 72: 85–95.
- Trivedi SR, Malik R, Meyer W and Sykes JE. **Feline cryptococcosis. Impact of current research on clinical management.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2011; 13: 163–172.
- Haney SM, Beaver L, Turrel J, Clifford CA, Klein MK, Crawford S, et al. **Survival analysis of 97 cats with nasal lymphoma: a multi-institutional retrospective study (1986–2006).** *J Vet Intern Med* 2009; 23: 287–294.
- Scherk M. **Snots and snuffles. Rational approach to chronic feline upper respiratory syndromes.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2010; 12: 548–557.
- Gryffydd-Jones T, Addie D, Belak S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Egberink H, Frymus T, et al. ***Chlamydophila felis* infection: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2009; 11: 605–609.
- Egberink H, Addie D, Belak S, Boucraut-Baralon C, Frymus T, Gryffydd-Jones T, et al. ***Bordetella bronchiseptica* infection in cats: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2009; 11: 610–614.
- Sturgess CP, Gryffydd-Jones TJ, Harbour DA and Jones RL. **Controlled study of the efficacy of clavulanic acid-potentiated amoxicillin in the treatment of *Chlamydia psittaci* in cats.** *Vet Rec* 2001; 149: 73–76.
- Bébéar C, Pereyre S and Peuchant O. ***Mycoplasma pneumoniae*: susceptibility and resistance to antibiotics.** *Future Microbiol* 2011; 6: 423–431.
- Fry NK, Duncan J, Vaghji L, George RC and Harrison TG. **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of historical and recent clinical isolates of *Bordetella pertussis* in the United Kingdom using the Etest method.** *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010; 29: 1183–1185.
- Owen WM, Sturgess CP, Harbour DA, Egan K and Gryffydd-Jones TJ. **Efficacy of azithromycin for the treatment of feline chlamydophilosis.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2003; 5: 305–311.
- Ruch-Gallie R, Veir JK, Spindel ME and Lappin MR. **Efficacy of amoxicillin and azithromycin for the empirical treatment of shelter cats with suspected bacterial upper respiratory infections.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2008; 10: 542–550.
- Maggs DJ. **Antiviral therapy for feline herpesvirus infections.** *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 2010; 40: 1055–1062.
- Siebeck N, Hurley DJ, Garcia M, Greene CE, Köstlin RG, Moore PA, et al. **Effects of human recombinant alpha2b interferon and feline recombinant omega interferon on in vitro replication of feline herpesvirus-1.** *Am J Vet Res* 2006; 67: 1406–1411.
- Haid C, Kaps S, Gönczi E, Hässig M, Metzler A, Spiess BM, et al. **Pretreatment with feline interferon omega and the course of subsequent infection with feline herpesvirus in cats.** *Vet Ophthalmol* 2007; 10: 278–284.
- Allen HS, Broussard J and Noone K. **Nasopharyngeal diseases in cats: a retrospective study of 53 cases (1991–1998).** *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 1999; 35: 457–461.
- Henderson SM, Bradley K, Day MJ, Tasker S, Caney SM, Hotston Moore A, et al. **Investigation of nasal disease in the cat – a retrospective study of 77 cases.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2004; 6: 245–257.
- Mukaratirwa S, van der Linde-Sipman JS and Gruys E. **Feline nasal and paranasal sinus tumours: clinicopathological study, histomorphological description and diagnostic immunohistochemistry of 123 cases.** *J Feline Med Surg* 2001; 3: 235–245.
- Little L, Patel R and Goldschmidt M. **Nasal and nasopharyngeal lymphoma in cats: 50 cases (1989–2005).** *Vet Pathol* 2007; 44: 885–892.
- Sfiligoi G, Théon AP and Kent MS. **Response of nineteen cats with nasal lymphoma to radiation therapy and chemotherapy.** *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2007; 48: 388–393.
- Louwerens M, London CA, Pederson NC and Lyons LA. **Feline lymphoma in the post-feline leukaemia virus era.** *J Vet Intern Med* 2005; 19: 329–335.
- Chang Y, Thompson H, Reed N and Penderis J. **Clinical and magnetic resonance imaging features of nasopharyngeal lymphoma in two cats with concurrent intracranial mass.** *J Small Anim Pract* 2006; 47: 678–681.
- Teske E, van Straten G, van Noort R and Rutteman GR. **Chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, vincristine and prednisolone (COP) in cats with**

- malignant lymphoma: new results with an old protocol. *J Vet Intern Med* 2002; 16: 179–186.
- 25 Mellanby RJ, Herrtage ME and Dobson JM. Long-term outcome of eight cats with non-lymphoproliferative nasal tumours treated by megavoltage radiotherapy. *J Feline Med Surg* 2002; 4: 77–81.
- 26 Marioni-Henry K, Schwarz T, Weisse C and Muravnick KB. Cystic nasal adenocarcinoma in a cat treated with piroxicam and chemoembolisation. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 2007; 43: 347–351.
- 27 Beam SL, Rassnick KM, Moore AS and McDonough SP. An immunohistochemical study of cyclooxygenase-2 expression in various feline neoplasms. *Vet Pathol* 2003; 40: 496–500.
- 28 Johnson LR. Rhinitis in the cat. In: Bonagura J and Twedt D (eds). *Kirk's current veterinary therapy*. Vol XIV. Philadelphia: Elsevier, 2008, pp 616–618.
- 29 Johnson LR, Foley JE, De Cock HEV, Clarke HE and Maggs DJ. Assessment of infectious organisms associated with chronic rhinosinusitis in cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2005; 227: 579–585.
- 30 Demko JL and Cohn LA. Chronic nasal discharge in cats: 75 cases (1993–2004). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2007; 230: 1032–1037.
- 31 Tomsa K, Glaus TM, Zimmer C and Greene CE. Fungal rhinitis and sinusitis in three cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2003; 222: 1380–1384.
- 32 Whitney BL, Broussard J and Stefanacci JD. Four cats with fungal rhinitis. *J Feline Med Surg* 2005; 7: 53–58.
- 33 Karnik K, Reichle JK, Fischetti AJ and Goggin JM. Computed tomographic findings of fungal rhinitis and sinusitis in cats. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2009; 50: 65–68.
- 34 Barachetti L, Mortellaro CM, Di Giancamillo M, Giudice C, Martino P, Travetti O, et al. Bilateral orbital and nasal aspergillosis in a cat. *Vet Ophthalmol* 2009; 12: 176–182.
- 35 Leperlier D, Vallefuoco R, Laloy E, Debeaupuits J, De Fornel Thibaud P, Crespeau FL, et al. Fungal rhinosinusitis caused by *Scedosporium apiospermum* in a cat. *J Feline Med Surg* 2010; 12: 967–971.
- 36 Vascellari M, Carminato A, Danesi P, Carniel M, Granato A, Stocco N, et al. Pathology in practice. Severe, chronic pyogranulomatous rhinosinusitis with necrosis and fungal septate hyphae consistent with *Fusarium* spp infection. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2011; 238: 449–451.
- 37 Muir D, Martin P, Kendall K and Malik R. Invasive hyphomycotic rhinitis in a cat due to *Metarrhizium anisopliae*. *Med Mycol* 1998; 36: 51–54.
- 38 Furrow E and Groman RP. Intranasal infusion of clotrimazole for the treatment of nasal aspergillosis in two cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2009; 235: 1185–1193.
- 39 Sharman MJ, Stayt J, McGill SE and Mansfield CS. Clinical resolution of a nasal granuloma caused by *Trichosporon loubieri*. *J Feline Med Surg* 2010; 12: 345–350.
- 40 Quimby JM, Hoffman SB, Duke J and Lappin MR. Adverse neurological events associated with voriconazole use in 3 cats. *J Vet Intern Med* 2010; 24: 647–649.
- 41 O'Brien CR, Krockenberger MB, Martin P, Wigney DI and Malik R. Long-term outcome of therapy for 59 cats and 11 dogs with cryptococcosis. *Aust Vet J* 2006; 84: 384–392.
- 42 Lester SJ, Malik R, Bartlett KH and Duncan CG. Cryptococcosis: update and emergence of *Cryptococcus gattii*. *Vet Clin Pathol* 2011; 40: 4–17.
- 43 Holt DE. Nasopharyngeal polyps. In: King LG (ed). *Textbook of respiratory disease in the dog and cat*. St Louis: Saunders, 2004, pp 328–332.
- 44 Klose TC, MacPhail CM, Schultheiss PC, Rosychuk RA, Hawley JR and Lappin MR. Prevalence of select infectious agents in inflammatory aural and nasopharyngeal polyps from client owned cats. *J Feline Med Surg* 2010; 12: 769–774.
- 45 Little C. Nasopharyngeal polyps. In: August JR (ed). *Consultations in feline internal medicine* 3. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1997, pp 310–316.
- 46 Anderson DM, Robinson RK and White RAS. Management of inflammatory polyps in 37 cats. *Vet Rec* 2000; 147: 684–687.
- 47 Kapatkin AS, Mattheisen DT, Noone KE, Church EM, Scavelli TE and Patnaik AK. Results of surgery and long term follow up in 31 cats with nasopharyngeal polyps. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc* 1990; 26: 387–392.
- 48 Greci V, Mortellaro CM, Olivero D, Cocci A and Hawkins EC. Inflammatory polyps of the nasal turbinates of cats: an argument for designation as feline mesenchymal nasal hamartoma. *J Feline Med Surg* 2011; 13: 213–219.
- 49 Galloway PE, Kyles A and Henderson JP. Nasal polyps in a cat. *J Small Anim Pract* 1997; 38: 78–80.
- 50 DeSandre-Robinson DM, Madden SN and Walker JT. Nasopharyngeal stenosis with concurrent hiatal hernia and megaesophagus in an 8-year-old cat. *J Feline Med Surg* 2011; 13: 454–459.
- 51 Boswood A, Lamb CR, Brockman DJ, Mantis P and Witt AL. Balloon dilatation of nasopharyngeal stenosis in a cat. *Vet Radiol Ultrasound* 2003; 44: 53–55.
- 52 Griffon DJ and Tasker S. Use of a mucosal advancement flap for the treatment of nasopharyngeal stenosis in a cat. *J Small Anim Pract* 2000; 41: 71–73.
- 53 Glaus TM, Gerber B, Tomsa K, Keiser M and Unterer S. Reproducible and long-lasting success of balloon dilatation of nasopharyngeal stenosis in cats. *Vet Rec* 2005; 157: 257–259.
- 54 Berent AC, Weisse C, Todd K, Rondeau MP and Reiter AM. Use of a balloon-expandable metallic stent for treatment of nasopharyngeal stenosis in dogs and cats: six cases (2005–2007). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2008; 233: 1432–1440.
- 55 Riley P. Nasopharyngeal grass foreign body in eight cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1993; 202: 299–300.
- 56 Haynes KJ, Anderson SE and Laszlo MO. Nasopharyngeal trichobezoar foreign body in a cat. *J Feline Med Surg* 2010; 12: 878–881.