THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Photocatalytic facile ZnO nanostructures for the elimination of the antibiotic sulfamethoxazole in water

Citation for published version:

Makropoulou, T, Kortidis, I, Davididou, K, Motaung, D & Chatzisyseon, E 2020, 'Photocatalytic facile ZnO nanostructures for the elimination of the antibiotic sulfamethoxazole in water ', *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, vol. 36, 101299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101299>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101299](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101299)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Journal of Water Process Engineering

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Photocatalytic facile ZnO nanostructures for the elimination of the antibiotic
sulfamethoxazole in water**

Tatiana Makropoulou^a, Ioannis Kortidis^b, Konstantina Davididou^a, David E. Motaung^{b,c}, Efthalia
Chatzisyseon^{a,*}

^aSchool of Engineering, Institute for Infrastructure and Environment, University of Edinburgh,
The King's Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JL, United Kingdom

^bDST/CSIR National Centre for Nanostructured Materials, Council for Scientific and Industrial
Research, Pretoria 0001, South Africa

^cDepartment of Physics, University of the Free State, P.O. Box 339, Bloemfontein ZA9300,
South Africa

*Corresponding Author: e.chatzisyseon@ed.ac.uk; 0044(0)1316505711

Abstract

The degradation of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) by a synthesized ZnO catalyst and under UVA irradiation was examined. ZnO nanostructures were developed by a facile hydrothermal-assisted method. The effect of ZnO heating time and synthesis reaction, pH, catalyst loading, and SMX initial concentration on process efficiency was studied. Water matrices, such as humic acid (HA) solution and surface water (SW), were also used to resemble real environmental water samples. It was observed that when ZnO is synthesized at pH 7.5, nanorods grow on its surface, while nanoplatelets are formed when synthesis takes place at pH 12.5. SMX removal reached 84% after 60 min of treatment in the presence of 200 mg/L ZnO catalyst (8 h-heated at pH 7.5; $C_0 = 10$ mg/L). The pseudo-first-order kinetic constants of SMX photodegradation were calculated at 0.039, 0.030 and 0.016 min^{-1} for 5, 10, and 20 mg/L SMX, respectively. This decreased efficiency was attributed to the excessive coverage of catalyst surface by SMX molecules, evidenced by SEM micrographs produced after treatment. SMX degradation in SW (12.5%) was considerably lower than in UPW (47.4%) due to the presence of natural organic matter in the first. Interestingly, SMX removal was greatly enhanced in HA matrix (99%) and this can be attributed to the ability of HA to sensitize colloidal ZnO. In all cases, morphological changes of ZnO nanostructures were observed after photocatalytic treatment. It was confirmed that SMX degradation takes place through $\text{HO}^\bullet$ by adding $\text{HO}^\bullet$ quenchers, such as *tert*-butyl alcohol and methanol, in the reactant mixture.

Keywords: wastewater treatment; pharmaceuticals; priority pollutants; Advanced oxidation; semiconductors; heterogeneous photocatalysis

1. Introduction

Antibiotics are vital for safeguarding human health and have saved millions of lives over the years since their first discovery. Nonetheless, current research indicates that overuse is making antibiotics less effective, since bacteria mutate and develop resistance to them. Antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB) are developed by mutations emerged from prolonged exposure nullifying antibiotic defense against bacterial infections (Lupo and Coyne, 2012). Therefore, as people continue to overuse antibiotics, as well as over-expose livestock animals to control possible infections, resistant bacteria not only survive but instead thrive. ARB can be found in numerous environments, such as hospitals, waters or crops and are a great challenge in infectious diseases today (Jiang et al., 2013; Giannakis et al., 2018). Each year, ARB infections lead to 700,000 deaths globally, while healthcare costs are expected to rise up to 2.9 trillion USD by 2050 (OECD, 2016). In addition to the development of ARB, the growing use of antibiotics, exacerbated by their xenobiotic nature, has increased contamination of natural waters and bioaccumulation risk in the food chain (Lupo and Coyne, 2012). For example, sulfamethoxazole (SMX), which is a sulfonamide antibiotic has been widely detected in wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) effluents, surface and drinking water bodies (Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011; Ribeiro et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2019). SMX is extensively prescribed to treat infectious and respiration diseases in human and veterinary medicine and to promote animal growth (Xekoukoulotakis et al., 2011; Mirzaei et al., 2018b).

Currently, when antibiotics enter conventional biological WWTPs, only a small fraction of them is removed (Karaolia et al., 2018). This is due to the fact that existing WWTPs were not originally designed to cope with such persistent contaminants (Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2011; Barbosa et al., 2016). As a result, antibiotics are released into the terrestrial and aquatic

environment through disposal or water reuse applications, causing major environmental and health concerns (Martin-Laurent et al., 2019). Finding an effective technology to treat antibiotics in the environment is a major scientific challenge, which has received attention only the last decade.

Heterogeneous photocatalysis is an advanced oxidation process (AOP) that can effectively degrade recalcitrant compounds (Byrne et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2019; Lin and Wu, 2019). In principle, irradiation of photocatalysts like ZnO with photons having equal or higher energy level than catalysts' band gap triggers the production of powerful oxidizing species, mainly hydroxyl radicals ($\text{HO}^\bullet$), which can attack organic contaminants (Banerjee et al., 2014), such as antibiotics (Mirzaei et al., 2016), until their final mineralization into CO_2 and inorganic ions. Among various photocatalysts, ZnO has received considerable attention owing to its unique characteristics. ZnO is a semiconductor with a large exciton binding energy of 60 meV and a wide band gap of 3.2 eV at room temperature (Lee et al., 2016). It has the ability to absorb over a larger fraction of the solar spectrum compared to other semiconducting metal oxides, plus it is a biocompatible, non-toxic and low-cost material (Zacharakis et al., 2013; Koutantou et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2016). A way to enhance the photocatalytic activity of a catalyst is by controlling its morphological and structural properties (i.e. surface area, pore size distribution, lattice defects, as well as the predominant crystal facets of the nanostructures). In this study, a low-cost, versatile hydrothermal-assisted technique, applicable at industrial scale, was used to develop ZnO materials with augmented photocatalytic activity.

The degradation of SMX by means of photocatalytic oxidation has been widely studied (Abellán et al., 2007; Xekoukoulotakis et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2017; Tomara et al., 2019), however ZnO-mediated photocatalysis has been merely investigated and this only under UVC irradiation. In

detail, Pourmoslemi et al. (2016) examined the photocatalytic degradation of SMX over ZnO nanoparticles synthesized by a microwave-assisted gel combustion method. Results showed complete removal of SMX using ZnO nanoparticles/UVC irradiation after 6 hours of treatment. Mirzaei et al. (2018a) achieved 90.4% removal of SMX after 60 min of treatment over a synthesized hierarchical magnetic zinc oxide based composite ZnO@g – C₃N₄ (FZG) when irradiated by UVC light. The same group also studied SMX degradation in the presence of fluorinated ZnO nanoparticles (F-ZnO) and under UVC irradiation obtaining 97% SMX removal after 30 min of treatment (Mirzaei et al., 2018b). Previous studies have demonstrated the efficiency of several ZnO/UVC systems in removing SMX from water, however a study investigating the photocatalytic degradation of SMX by a ZnO/UVA system is still missing from literature. UVA lamps constitute a safer and less energy intensive option than UVC light sources, decreasing the environmental impact of photocatalytic treatment. Furthermore, the wide bandgap of ZnO catalysts is one of their key advantages; ZnO has shown augmented absorbance in the UVA region when compared with the benchmark catalyst P25 TiO₂.

To this end, the aim of this work was to investigate the fabrication of ZnO nanostructures and test their photocatalytic activity under UVA irradiation for eliminating SMX antibiotic in water. Parameters affecting the synthesis of ZnO catalysts, such as the heating time and pH of the synthesis reaction, were examined. Key operating factors, including catalyst and SMX initial concentration, as well as the type of the water matrix, were studied in order to assess the efficiency of the process.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Sulfamethoxazole (SMX; $\geq 99\%$ purity, CAS No. 723-46-6) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Leonardite humic acid (HA) IHSS standard was used. HA stock solution was prepared by dissolving a prescribed amount of HA in 0.1 M NaOH and further diluting it in ultra-pure water (UPW; 18.2 M Ω .cm at 25 °C, ELGA LabWater). Surface water (SW) was collected from Blackford Pond (Edinburgh, UK) (TOC = 13.9 mg/L; pH = 6.8 – 7; conductivity = 0.42 mS/cm) and used without pre-treatment. Tert-butyl alcohol and methanol were obtained from Panreac and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. Commercial ZnO powder Honeywell Fluka was supplied from Fisher Scientific. All reagents used for the synthesis of ZnO catalysts were of analytical grade that were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

2.2. ZnO synthesis

ZnO nanostructures were prepared using the facile hydrothermal-assisted process described below. Prior to synthesis, 0.2 M Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O was added in 125 mL deionised water and allowed to stir for 2 h at room temperature. While the solution was stirred, approx. 1 M NaOH was added drop-wise to adjust the pH to 7.5, 10.5 or 12.5. After 2 h of stirring, 125 mL of the solution was transferred to an autoclave reactor vessel (stainless steel, volume 200 mL Anton Paar) and synthesized in an oven at 200 °C for specific time intervals ranging from 8 to 48 h. White solid precipitates were attained that were collected by consecutive rinse/centrifugation cycles using deionized water. The final ZnO product was dried at 90 °C for 12 h in air.

2.3. Catalyst characterization techniques

The structural features of ZnO were analysed using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, PANalytical X' pert Pro PW 3040/60, Netherlands) fitted with a Cu radiation ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm) source. XRD analyses were carried out at 45.0 kV and 40.0 mA, from $2\theta = 20$ to 90° at room temperature. The surface morphology was probed using a field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, Zeiss-Auriga) at an accelerating voltage of 3 keV. The Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) surface area and N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms were determined with the use of Micromeritics TRISTAR 3000 (USA). Prior to measurements, ZnO materials were thoroughly degassed at 110°C for 2 h under continuous N_2 gas flow to remove adsorbed contaminants. The optical properties were probed using a photoluminescence spectrometer (Jobin-Yvon Nanolog) at an excitation wavelength of 330 nm using a xenon lamp (see the electronic supplementary information, ESI).

2.4. Photocatalytic tests

Experiments were conducted in an immersion well, batch-operated, slurry photoreactor, purchased from Ace Glass (Vineland, NJ, USA). UVA irradiation was provided by an 11 W low-pressure blacklight fluorescent lamp (PLS G23, Casell Lighting), emitting predominantly at $\lambda = 365$ nm. Potassium ferrioxalate actinometry was applied for the estimation of the incident photon flux received by the reactant solution, which was found to be $4.98 \cdot 10^{-6}$ Einstein/s. In a typical photocatalytic run, 500 mL of SMX solution were introduced in the photoreactor and the appropriate amount of catalyst was added. The obtained slurry solution was continuously stirred magnetically to ensure uniform dispersion of catalyst powder and dissolved oxygen. At the beginning of each experiment, the solution was stirred in the dark for 30 min considering that a

well-adsorbed substrate is more likely to be oxidized by the short-life photogenerated holes on catalyst surface. After that time, the UVA lamp was switched on and samples were withdrawn at regular time intervals, filtered through 0.45 μm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) syringe filters (CM Scientific Ltd) and further analyzed in terms of SMX concentration. All experiments were conducted at room temperature and at the inherent pH of SMX solutions, which remained constant during photocatalytic treatment.

2.5. Analytical techniques

SMX concentration in filtrate samples was measured by means of a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (S200 Pump, S225 Autosampler, Perkin Elmer) coupled with a diode array detector (S200 EP, Perkin Elmer). Separation was performed on a reverse phase C18 analytical column (Luna Phenomenex, 5 μm , 250 $\times$ 4.6 mm) in isocratic elution mode. The HPLC method for SMX measurement was obtained from Özkal et al. (2017). The mobile phase was a mixture of acidified water (pH $\sim$ 3) and acetonitrile (60/40, v/v), eluted at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The detection wavelength was set to 270 nm and the injection volume to 40 μL . The retention time of the detected SMX was 6.27 min. Since humic acid (HA) solution with a UV absorbance around 254 nm (Rodríguez et al., 2016) is used in this work as a water matrix, it is important to note that the presence of HA could not interfere with the HPLC method used in this study for SMX detection, and that it had a negligible impact on the separation of SMX.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

Figure 1 displays the XRD patterns of ZnO nanostructures synthesized at different pH at 200 °C for 8 h. All the diffraction peaks can be indexed to the standard wurtzite ZnO form (JCPDS No. 36-1451). The absence of additional peaks indicates the lack of impurities, thus validating the quality of the as-prepared ZnO nanostructures. Furthermore, a size-dependent spreading of the curve is observed with the increase of the synthesis pH; a clear peak shift to higher angles takes place, when pH increases from 7.5 to 12.5 (Figure 1b). The average crystallite sizes, estimated by the Scherer formula (Mhlongo et al., 2015), are 39.31 and 33.68 nm for pH 7.5 and 12.5, respectively.

Figure 1

The N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of ZnO catalysts, shown in Figure 2, reveal a type IV behaviour with pore diameter in the range of 4 – 100 nm. Both S_{BET} and pore diameter depend on the pH of the synthesis reaction; S_{BET} increases from 4.852 ± 0.101 m²/g at pH 7.5 to 7.547 ± 0.113 m²/g at pH 12.5 for the same synthesis reaction time of 8 h. This is also confirmed by SEM and XRD analyses (Figures 1 and 3), which reveal reduction of crystallite size and further morphological and textural transformations of ZnO structures at higher reaction pH values.

Figure 2

The SEM micrographs of ZnO catalysts are presented in Figure 3. As can be seen in the low resolution of Figure 3a, synthesis of ZnO at pH 7.5, leads to the growth of nanorods on its surface, which are nearly all aligned towards the same direction. The higher resolution inset graph of Figure 3a further reveals the hexagonal nature of these nanorods. The average diameter and length of the nanorods are roughly 50 nm and 1 μ m, respectively. ZnO synthesis at higher pH (i.e. 12.5) results in the formation of nanoplatelets with average diameter approx. 75 nm (Figure 3b).

Figure 3

3.2. Effect of ZnO synthesis route on SMX degradation

One way to enhance the photocatalytic activity of materials is via morphological and structural modification, which can be achieved by altering synthesis parameters. This study investigates the effect of two key parameters, the pH of the synthesis reaction and the heating time, on the photocatalytic activity of ZnO. Figure 4 shows the degradation of SMX in the presence of ZnO catalysts synthesized at different pH (i.e. 7.5, 10.5 and 12.5) and heated for 24 h at 200 °C during synthesis. As can be seen, the photocatalytic degradation of SMX decreases gradually with the increase of synthesis reaction pH. In detail, SMX removal percentages in the presence of ZnO catalysts synthesized at pH 7.5, 10.5 and 12.5 are 58, 55 and 44%, respectively. The photocatalytic degradation of SMX was found to fit very well with the pseudo-first-order kinetics with the coefficient of linear regression of data fitting, R^2 , being > 0.99. The pseudo-first-

order kinetic constants, k_{app} were calculated at 0.015, 0.013 and 0.009 min⁻¹ for SMX degradation over ZnO synthesized at 7.5, 10.5 and 12.5, respectively. It becomes clear that the use of ZnO nanostructures synthesized at acidic conditions enhances photocatalytic performance. This can be explained by the higher relative concentration of lattice defects that is observed at lower pH values and leads to improved charge transportation and thus higher process efficiency (Nkosi et al., 2014). Based on the photoluminescence study that was carried out for the ZnO under study (Figure S1), it is evident that the relative concentration of oxygen vacancies (V_O) [estimated from the relative intensity of visible emission and ultraviolet ($Vis(V_O)/UV$)] decreases from 6.85 to 4.09 and further to 0.99 as synthesis reaction pH increases from 7.5 to 10.5 and further to 12.5, respectively.

V_O leads to the creation of unpaired electrons acting as donors and it alters the adsorption behavior of O_2 and H_2O on ZnO surface. The generated pairs of electron holes can directly react with organic substances, (Eq. (5)), or indirectly by producing free radicals, Eqs. (2)–(4) (Mirzaei et al., 2016).

Figure 4

The effect of heating time during ZnO synthesis on its photocatalytic activity was then assessed. For this purpose, ZnO catalysts were prepared applying different heating times (i.e. 8, 12, 24, and 48 h) at 200 °C and reaction pH 7.5. As can be seen in Figure 5, the heating time has a negative effect on the ZnO photocatalytic performance. For example, SMX removal in the presence of 8 h-heated ZnO is 84%, after 60 min of treatment, while decreases to 56% when ZnO heated for 48 h is used. Considering that not any structural change occurs during the increase of the synthesis reaction time, this behavior can be attributed to the decrease of the active surface area from $4.852 \pm 0.101 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (at 8 h) to $0.790 \pm 0.104 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (at 48 h) that is observed as the crystallite sizes increase with heating time. As a result, SMX removal decreases, since there is less surface area to facilitate photocatalytic reactions (Wang et al., 2012). This trend can be also justified by the reduction of lattice defects, such as V_O , because the crystal quality of the material improves with reaction time.

The photocatalytic degradation of SMX was further evaluated in the presence of ZnO (Fluka), at the same operational conditions, to establish a comparison against a commercially available photocatalyst. In this case, 99.9% of SMX was removed within 40 min of treatment. The observed difference in photocatalytic activity can be attributed to the higher adsorption capacity of ZnO (Fluka) compared to the synthesized ZnO materials; after 30 min in the dark, 13.1% of SMX has been adsorbed on ZnO (Fluka), whereas the respective percentages for the novel catalysts are in the range of 1.6 – 7.2%. Adsorption capacity increases with surface area, for that reason ZnO-8h@7.5pH and ZnO-8h@12.5pH, the two catalysts with the highest S_{BET} (Figure 2), are tested in the following experiments to optimize the process and explore their capacity in different water matrices.

Figure 5

3.3. Effect of initial concentration of SMX

To evaluate the effect of the initial SMX concentration on photocatalytic performance, 5, 10, and 20 mg/L of SMX were applied in the presence of 200 mg/L ZnO heated for 8 h at pH 7.5 (noted as ZnO-8h@7.5pH). As can be seen in Figure 6a, when the initial concentration of SMX increases from 5 to 20 mg/L, removal efficiency decreases from 90% ($k_{app} = 0.039 \text{ min}^{-1}$) to 62.6% ($k_{app} = 0.016 \text{ min}^{-1}$). At a fixed catalyst loading, efficiency is dictated by catalyst's active sites to organic molecules ratio. At relatively low solute concentration, the active sites provided by the semiconductor are in excess and can uptake most of the organic molecules, resulting at higher removal rates. Increase of the initial SMX concentration leads to an excessive coverage of the photocatalyst surface by SMX molecules and reaction by-products, which compete for the active sites of the catalyst, as well as, for the photogenerated hydroxyl radicals, affecting the degradation rates and thus explaining the above findings. SEM graphs of the catalyst after 60 min of photocatalytic treatment confirm the extent of surface coverage and further reveal the transformation of surface morphology after contact with organic molecules (in this case SMX) from nanorods (Figure 3a) to nanoparticles (Figure 6b, 6c, 6d). As shown in Figure 6b, after treatment of the solution containing 5 mg/L SMX there are still some nanorods on catalyst surface not yet occupied by SMX molecules. However, when the initial concentration of SMX increases to 10 or 20 mg/L, the surface morphology is completely dominated by nanoparticles (Figure 6c and 6d) indicating the complete coverage of catalyst surface by SMX molecules and reaction by-products.

Figure 6

3.4. Effect of catalyst concentration

To investigate the effect of catalyst loading on process efficiency, different concentration of ZnO-8h@7.5pH catalyst (i.e. 100, 150 and 200 mg/L) were used for the degradation of 10 mg/L SMX. SMX removal was found to be 72% ($k_{app} = 0.021 \text{ min}^{-1}$), 73% ($k_{app} = 0.022 \text{ min}^{-1}$) and 83% ($k_{app} = 0.03 \text{ min}^{-1}$) in the presence of 100, 150 and 200 mg/L ZnO, respectively after 60 min of treatment (data not shown). At higher amounts of catalyst, the increased number of available active sites results in higher generation of radicals, which are responsible for the photocatalytic oxidation, thus explaining the obtained results (Patil et al., 2010).

3.5. Effect of water matrix

Photocatalytic efficiency in environmentally relevant samples, such as surface or waste water, typically varies from that in ultrapure water (UPW) due to the presence of several inorganic and organic constituents that alter degradation kinetics. Humic acid (HA) represents a large fraction of natural organic matter (NOM) in surface waters with concentrations ranging from 2 to 10 mg/L (Alrousan et al., 2009). Taking this into account, experiments were carried out in UPW spiked with 2 mg/L HA and in surface water (SW) to assess the effect of water matrix on the photocatalytic removal of SMX. As can be seen in Figure 7, the degradation yield in SW is considerably lower than in UPW; after 60 min of photocatalytic treatment, 47.4% of SMX is degraded in UPW, whereas removal efficiency decreases by almost four times (12.5%) in SW. NOM, HCO_3^- , Cl^- , SO_4^{2-} , PO_4^{3-} , etc., present in SW, may suppress reaction kinetics via

competition with SMX for the reactive oxygen species, conversion of hydroxyl radicals into species of lower oxidation potential, and/or adsorption on catalyst surface, thus explaining the obtained results (Rincón and Pulgarin, 2004; Antonopoulou et al., 2015). On the other hand, SMX removal is greatly enhanced in the presence of HA; 10 mg/L of SMX are completely removed within 60 min in HA matrix, which is almost the double yield of that in UPW. Interestingly, the ability of HA to sensitize colloidal ZnO has been previously demonstrated (Selli et al., 1996; Delgado-Balderas et al., 2012). Selli et al. (1996) have shown that HA adsorbed onto the ZnO surface sensitize Cr(VI) photoreduction to Cr(III), as well as, the competitive photoreduction of molecular oxygen leading to hydrogen peroxide, therefore enhancing photocatalytic efficiency. HAs are electron-rich compounds that can easily scavenge the holes produced on semiconductor's valence band, thus reducing the recombination rate of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs. Moreover, electron transfer to the conduction band of the semiconductor may also occur due to the excitation of HAs adsorbed onto catalyst surface upon UV and visible irradiation, accelerating redox reactions and leading to higher reaction rates (Selli et al. 1996). Therefore, the increased SMX degradation rate in the presence of HA might be attributed to the photosensitizing effect of adsorbed HA onto the surface of ZnO nanoparticles. Based on the results shown in Figure 7 (i.e. the huge difference in SMX removal in HA and SW matrices), concerns can arise on whether HA solutions, which are commonly used at lab-scale to simulate natural water matrices (e.g. surface waters), are representative of real environmental conditions. Besides, the long-term exposure to high concentrations (i.e. >50 mg/L) of HA in water has been found to be toxic to endothelial cells and to cause adverse effects on public health (Kihara et al., 2014).

Figure 7

To assess the effect of water matrix (i.e. UPW, HA, SW) on catalyst surface, SEM analyses were carried out on the used ZnO materials (Figure 8). It can be observed that after 60 min of photocatalytic treatment in the presence of several organic substances (i.e. SMX, HA or NOM), all nanoplatelets of the pristine ZnO materials (Figure 3b) have transformed into nanoparticles. Nevertheless, differences are observed in the size and the texture of the nanoparticles. For example, when 10 mg/L SMX is treated in HA matrix (Figure 8c) the size of the nanoparticles is bigger than in the case of SMX treatment in UPW (Figure 8a), and this is also confirmed by the evolution of crystallite size after photocatalysis, as shown in Figure 9. Moreover, when SMX is treated in SW matrix (Figure 8e), nanoplatelets are altered to fluffy nanoparticles showing some porous behaviour. Also, while the morphology of ZnO in Figure 8e has changed to nanoparticles, its texture is different than that of SW alone (Figure 8d), since nanoparticles form an island on catalyst surface.

Figure 8

Figure 9

3.6. Oxidation mechanism

Additional experiments were carried out in the presence of HO[•] quenchers to evaluate the contribution of HO[•] on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX. For this purpose, solution of 1 M *tert*-butyl alcohol or methanol was used. HO[•] react with *tert*-butyl alcohol and methanol according to Eqs. (6) and (7), respectively. SMX degradation was found to be negligible in the presence of *tert*-butyl alcohol or methanol (data not shown) suggesting that HO[•] are the major oxidizing species. Furthermore, it was observed that SMX removal, after 60 min of treatment, was slightly higher in the presence of *tert*-butyl alcohol (i.e. 20%) than methanol (i.e. 7%). This is probably due to the fact that methanol reacts at a higher rate with HO[•] ($k' = 9.7 \cdot 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, Eq. (7)) than *tert*-butyl alcohol ($k' = (3.8-7.6) \cdot 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, Eq. (6)) (Matta et al., 2011), quenching HO[•] faster and thus leaving very few available to react with other organics (i.e. SMX) even from the beginning of treatment.

4. Conclusions

This work reports for first time the degradation of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) under UVA irradiation and over novel ZnO nanomaterials. It was found that the pH of the ZnO synthesis solution significantly affects the morphology of the prepared catalysts and consequently their photocatalytic activity. SMX photo-degradation fits well with pseudo-first-order reaction kinetics, while photocatalytic efficiency increases when the initial SMX concentration is decreased. In all cases, it was observed that after photocatalytic treatment of organic molecules (i.e. SMX, humic acids or natural organic matter), the nanostructure of the catalyst surface was altered from nanorods (or nanoplatelets) to nanoparticles. SMX degradation was assessed in

humic acid solution (HA) and surface water (SW) to resemble real environmental conditions. It was found that SMX removal was substantially decreased in SW but greatly enhanced in HA due to the photosensitizing effect of adsorbed HA onto the surface of ZnO nanoparticles, thus raising concerns on whether HA can be widely adopted as a simulant of natural waters (e.g. SW). Considering the encouraging results obtained with the use of the ZnO materials under study, future work should consider the identification of the reaction by-products formed during the photocatalytic degradation of SMX under UVA irradiation and the corresponding toxicity evolution.

References

- Abellán M.N., Bayarri B., Giménez J., Costa J., Photocatalytic degradation of sulfamethoxazole in aqueous suspension of TiO₂, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 74 (2007) 233–241.
- Alrousan D., Dunlop P.S.M., McMurray T.A., Byrne J.A., Photocatalytic inactivation of *E. coli* in surface water using immobilised nanoparticle TiO₂ films, *Water Res.* 43 (2009) 47–54.
- Antonopoulou M., Skoutelis C.G., Daikopoulos C., Deligiannakis Y., Konstantinou I.K., Probing the photolytic-photocatalytic degradation mechanism of DEET in the presence of natural or synthetic humic macromolecules using molecular-scavenging techniques and EPR spectroscopy, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 3 (2015) 3005–3014.
- Banerjee S., Pillai S.C., Falaras P., O’shea K.E., Byrne J.A., Dionysiou D.D., New insights into the mechanism of visible light photocatalysis, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 5 (2014)

392 Barbosa M.O., Moreira N.F.F., Ribeiro A.R., Pereira M.F.R., Silva A.M.T., Occurrence and
 393 removal of organic micropollutants: An overview of the watch list of EU Decision
 394 2015/495, *Water Res.* 94 (2016) 257–279.

395 Byrne C., Subramanian G., Pillai S.C., Recent advances in photocatalysis for environmental
 396 applications, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 6 (2018) 3531–3555.

397 Delgado-Balderas R., Hinojosa-Reyes L., Guzmán-Mar J.L., Garza-González M.T., López-
 398 Chuken U.J., Hernández-Ramírez A., Photocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI) from agricultural
 399 soil column leachates using zinc oxide under UV light irradiation, *Environ. Technol.* 33
 400 (2012) 2673–2680.

401 Fatta-Kassinos D., Meric S., Nikolaou A., Pharmaceutical residues in environmental waters and
 402 wastewater: Current state of knowledge and future research, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 399
 403 (2011) 251–275.

404 Giannakis S., Le T.T.M., Entenza J.M., Pulgarin C., Solar photo-Fenton disinfection of 11
 405 antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) and elimination of representative AR genes. Evidence
 406 that antibiotic resistance does not imply resistance to oxidative treatment, *Water Res.* 143
 407 (2018) 334–345.

408 Jiang H., Zhang D., Xiao S., Geng C., Zhang X., Occurrence and sources of antibiotics and their
 409 metabolites in river water, WWTPs, and swine wastewater in Jiulongjiang River basin,
 410 south China, *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 20 (2013) 9075–9083.

411 Karaolia P., Michael-Kordatou I., Hapeshi E., Drosou C., Bertakis Y., Christofilos D., Armatas
 412 G.S., Sygellou L., Schwartz T., Xekoukoulotakis N.P., Fatta-Kassinos D., Removal of
 413 antibiotics, antibiotic-resistant bacteria and their associated genes by graphene-based

414 TiO₂ composite photocatalysts under solar radiation in urban wastewaters, *Appl. Catal. B*
 415 *Environ.* 224 (2018) 810–824. doi:10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.11.020.

416 Kihara Y, Yustiawati, Tanaka M, Gumiri S, Ardianor, Hosokawa T, Tanaka S, Saito T, Kurasaki
 417 M, Mechanism of the Toxicity Induced by Natural Humic Acid on Human Vascular
 418 Endothelial Cells, *Environ Toxicol* 29(8) (2014) 916 – 925.

419 Koutantou V., Kostadima M., Chatzisyneon E., Frontistis Z., Binas V., Venieri D., Mantzavinos
 420 D., Solar photocatalytic decomposition of estrogens over immobilized zinc oxide, *Catal.*
 421 *Today.* 209 (2013) 66–73.

422 Lee K.M., Lai C.W., Ngai K.S., Juan J.C., Recent developments of zinc oxide based
 423 photocatalyst in water treatment technology: A review, *Water Res.* 88 (2016) 428–448.

424 Lee S.-H., Kim K.-H., Lee M., Lee B.-D., Detection status and removal characteristics of
 425 pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment effluent, *J Water Process Eng.* 31 (2019).

426 Lin C.-C., Wu M.-S., Performance of a large reactor in degrading sulfamethazine in water using
 427 UV and persulfate, *J Water Process Eng.* 31 (2019).

428 Lu N., Yeh Y.-P., Wang G.-B., Feng T.-Y., Shih Y., Chen D., Dye-sensitized TiO₂-catalyzed
 429 photodegradation of sulfamethoxazole under blue or yellow light, *Environ Sci Pollut Res*
 430 24 (2017) 489–499.

431 Lupo A., Coyne S., Berendonk T.U., Origin and evolution of antibiotic resistance: the common
 432 mechanisms of emergence and spread in water bodies, *Front. Microbiol.* 3 (2012) 18.

433 Martin-Laurent F., Topp E., Billet L., Batisson I., Malandain C., Besse-Hoggan P., Morin S.,
 434 Artigas J., Bonnineau C., Kergoat L., Devers-Lamrani M., Pesce S., Environmental risk

435 assessment of antibiotics in agroecosystems: ecotoxicological effects on aquatic microbial
 436 communities and dissemination of antimicrobial resistances and antibiotic biodegradation
 437 potential along the soil-water continuum, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 26 (2019) 18930–
 438 18937.

439 Matta R., Tlili S., Chiron S., Barbati S., Removal of carbamazepine from urban wastewater by
 440 sulfate radical oxidation, *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 9 (2011) 347–353.

441 Mhlongo G.H., Motaung D.E., Kortidis I., Mathe N.R., Ntwaeaborwa O.M., Swart H.C.,
 442 Mwakikunga B.W., Ray S.S., Kiriakidis G., A study on the sensing of NO₂ and O₂
 443 utilizing ZnO films grown by aerosol spray pyrolysis, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 162 (2015)
 444 628–639.

445 Michael I., Rizzo L., McArdell C.S., Manaia C.M., Merlin C., Schwartz T., Dagot C., Fatta-
 446 Kassinos D., Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for the release of antibiotics
 447 in the environment: a review, *Water Res.* 47 (2013) 957–995.

448 Mirzaei A., Chen Z., Haghighat F., Yerushalmi L., Removal of pharmaceuticals and endocrine
 449 disrupting compounds from water by zinc oxide-based photocatalytic degradation: A
 450 review, *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 27 (2016) 407–418.

451 Mirzaei A., Yerushalmi L., Chen Z., Haghighat F., Photocatalytic degradation of
 452 sulfamethoxazole by hierarchical magnetic ZnO@g-C₃N₄: RSM optimization, kinetic
 453 study, reaction pathway and toxicity evaluation, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 359 (2018a) 516–526.

454 Mirzaei A., Yerushalmi L., Chen Z., Haghighat F., Guo J., Enhanced photocatalytic degradation
 455 of sulfamethoxazole by zinc oxide photocatalyst in the presence of fluoride ions:
 456 Optimization of parameters and toxicological evaluation, *Water Res.* 132 (2018b) 241–

457 251.

458 Nkosi SS., Kortidis I., Motaung DE., Makgwane PR., Ndwandwe OM., Ray SS., Kiriakidis G.,
 459 An instant photo-excited electrons relaxation on the photo-degradation properties of
 460 TiO₂- x films., J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 293 (2014) 72–80.

461 OECD, Antimicrobial Resistance: Policy Insights, OECD. (2016).

462 Özkal C.B., Frontistis Z., Antonopoulou M., Konstantinou I., Mantzavinos D., Meriç S.,
 463 Removal of antibiotics in a parallel-plate thin-film-photocatalytic reactor: Process
 464 modeling and evolution of transformation by-products and toxicity, J. Environ. Sci. 60
 465 (2017) 114–122.

466 Patil A.B., Patil K.R., Pardeshi S.K., Ecofriendly synthesis and solar photocatalytic activity of S-
 467 doped ZnO, J. Hazard. Mater. 183 (2010) 315–323.

468 Pourmoslemi S., Mohammadi A., Kobarfard F., Assi N., Photocatalytic removal of two antibiotic
 469 compounds from aqueous solutions using ZnO nanoparticles, Desalin. Water Treat. 57
 470 (2016) 14774–14784.

471 Ribeiro R.S., Frontistis Z., Mantzavinos D., Venieri D., Antonopoulou M., Konstantinou I., Silva
 472 A.M.T., Faria J.L., Gomes H.T., Magnetic carbon xerogels for the catalytic wet peroxide
 473 oxidation of sulfamethoxazole in environmentally relevant water matrices, Appl. Catal. B
 474 Environ. 199 (2016) 170–186.

475 Rincón A.G., Pulgarin C., Effect of pH, inorganic ions, organic matter and H₂O₂ on E. coli K12
 476 photocatalytic inactivation by TiO₂: Implications in solar water disinfection, Appl. Catal.
 477 B Environ. 51 (2004) 283–302.

478 Rodríguez F.J., Schlenger P., García-Valverde M., Monitoring changes in the structure and
 479 properties of humic substances following ozonation using UV-Vis, FTIR and ¹H NMR
 480 techniques, *Science of The Total Environment* 541 (2016) 623-637.

481 Selli E., De Giorgi A., Bidoglio G., Humic acid-sensitized photoreduction of Cr(VI) on ZnO
 482 particles, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 30 (1996) 598–604.

483 Tomara T., Frontistis Z., Petala A., Mantzavinos D., Photocatalytic performance of Ag₂O
 484 towards sulfamethoxazole degradation in environmental samples, *J Environ Chem Engin*
 485 7 (2019) 103177.

486 Wang Z.X. W., Lu C., Ni Y., Su M., Huang W., Preparation and characterization of visible-light-
 487 driven N–F–Ta tri-doped TiO₂ photocatalysts., *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 258 (2012) 8696–8703.

488 Xekoukoulotakis N.P., Drosou C., Brebou C., Chatzisyneon E., Hapeshi E., Fatta-Kassinos D.,
 489 Mantzavinos D., Kinetics of UV-A/TiO₂ photocatalytic degradation and mineralization of
 490 the antibiotic sulfamethoxazole in aqueous matrices, *Catal. Today.* 161 (2011) 163–168.

491 Zacharakis A., Chatzisyneon E., Binas V., Frontistis Z., Venieri D., Matzavinos D., Solar
 492 photocatalytic degradation of bisphenol A on immobilized ZnO or TiO₂, *Int. J.*
 493 *Photoenergy.* (2013).

494

List of Figures

Figure 1. (a) XRD patterns of ZnO nanostructures synthesized at pH 7.5 and 12.5, and (b) high magnification showing a (101) plane with peak shift.

Figure 2. The N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of ZnO prepared at pH (a) 7.5 and (b) 12.5.

Figure 3. SEM micrographs of ZnO nanostructures prepared at (a) pH 7.5 and heated for 8 h, and (b) pH 12.5 and heated for 8 h.

Figure 4. Effect of the pH of the ZnO synthesis reaction on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX ($C_0 = 10$ mg/L, $C_{\text{ZnO}} = 200$ mg/L, ZnO heating time: 24 h).

Figure 5. Effect of catalyst's heating time at 200 °C on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX ($C_0 = 10$ mg/L, $C_{\text{ZnO}} = 200$ mg/L, catalysts' synthesis reaction pH = 7.5).

Figure 6. (a) Effect of initial SMX concentration on its photocatalytic degradation (ZnO-8h@7.5pH, $C_{\text{ZnO}} = 200$ mg/L), (b) SEM micrographs of ZnO-8h@7.5pH after 60 min of treatment at initial SMX concentration of 5 mg/L, (c) 10 mg/L, and (d) 20 mg/L.

Figure 7. Effect of water matrix on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX ($C_0 = 10$ mg/L, $C_{\text{ZnO}} = 200$ mg/L, catalyst: ZnO-8h@12.5pH).

Figure 8. SEM micrographs of ZnO-8h@12.5pH after 60 min of treatment in the presence of (a) 10 mg/L SMX in UPW, (b) HA matrix, (c) 10 mg/L SMX in HA matrix, (d) SW matrix, and (e) 10 mg/L SMX in SW matrix.

Figure 9. Evolution of the size of crystallite as a function of SMX initial concentrations and the type of the water matrix.

Figure 1. (a) XRD patterns of ZnO nanostructures synthesized at pH 7.5 and 12.5, and (b) high magnification showing a (101) plane with peak shift.

Figure 2. The N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of ZnO prepared at pH (a) 7.5 and (b) 12.5.

Figure 3. SEM micrographs of ZnO nanostructures prepared at (a) pH 7.5 and heated for 8 h, and (b) pH 12.5 and heated for 8 h.

Figure 4. Effect of the pH of the ZnO synthesis reaction on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX ($C_0=10$ mg/L, $C_{ZnO}=200$ mg/L, ZnO heating time: 24 h).

Figure 5. Effect of catalyst's heating time at 200 °C on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX ($C_0 = 10$ mg/L, $C_{ZnO} = 200$ mg/L, catalysts' synthesis reaction pH = 7.5).

Figure 6. (a) Effect of initial SMX concentration on its photocatalytic degradation (ZnO-8h@7.5pH, $C_{ZnO} = 200$ mg/L), (b) SEM micrographs of ZnO-8h@7.5pH after 60 min of treatment at initial SMX concentration of 5 mg/L, (c) 10 mg/L, and (d) 20 mg/L.

Figure 7. Effect of water matrix on the photocatalytic degradation of SMX ($C_0 = 10$ mg/L, $C_{ZnO} = 200$ mg/L, catalyst: ZnO-8h@12.5pH).

Figure 8. SEM micrographs of ZnO-8h@12.5pH after 60 min of treatment in the presence of (a) 10 mg/L SMX in UPW, (b) HA matrix, (c) 10 mg/L SMX in HA matrix, (d) SW matrix, and (e) 10 mg/L SMX in SW matrix.

Figure 9. Evolution of the size of crystallites as a function of SMX initial concentrations and the type of the water matrix.

571

572

573

Figure S1. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the synthesized ZnO catalysts.