THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Nanotechnological strategies for treatment of leishmaniasis-a review

Citation for published version:

De Almeida, L, Fujimura, AT, Del Cistia, ML, Fonseca-Santos, B, Imamura, KB, Michels, PAM, Chorilli, M & Graminha, MAS 2017, 'Nanotechnological strategies for treatment of leishmaniasis-a review', *Journal of biomedical nanotechnology*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 117-133. <https://doi.org/10.1166/jbn.2017.2349>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1166/jbn.2017.2349](https://doi.org/10.1166/jbn.2017.2349)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Journal of biomedical nanotechnology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Nanotechnological Strategies for Treatment of Leishmaniasis—A Review

Letícia de Almeida¹, Andressa Terumi Fujimura², Mayara Lucia Del Cistia¹, Bruno Fonseca-Santos², Kely Braga Imamura¹, Paul A. M. Michels³, Marlus Chorilli², and Márcia A. S. Graminha^{1,*}

¹*Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, UNESP-Univ Estadual Paulista, Campus Araraquara, Departamento de Análises Clínicas, 14.800-903, Brazil*

²*Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, UNESP-Univ Estadual Paulista, Campus Araraquara, Departamento de Fármacos e Medicamentos, 14.800-903, Brazil*

³*Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution and Centre for Translational and Chemical Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FL, Edinburgh, Scotland*

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that more than one billion people suffer from neglected tropical diseases. Leishmaniasis is a widespread disease, affecting 12 million people around the world with about 1–2 million estimated new cases occurring every year. Although pentavalent antimonial drugs are the most frequently prescribed treatments for leishmaniasis, they produce severe side effects, including cardiotoxicity and hepatotoxicity. Other compounds, such as amphotericin B, pentamidine and miltefosine, are second choice drugs, but they also produce side effects that can endanger the patient's life. Nowadays, there are two approaches to develop new therapies: one is the search for new drugs and the other is the optimization of actual drug formulation. Traditional drug discovery takes 10 to 12 years in general and involves high costs; around one billion dollars on average to develop a drug. A possibility to improve leishmaniasis treatment would be the application of nanotechnology-drug delivery systems which can enhance the therapeutic potency of existing drugs by optimizing their adsorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) and reducing toxicity. In this review we will discuss examples how nanotechnology-drug delivery systems have been used to improve the therapeutic aspects of existing antileishmanial drugs.

KEYWORDS: *Leishmaniasis, Nanotechnology, Liposomes, Lipid Nanocapsules, Solid Lipid Nanoparticles, Microemulsion.*

CONTENTS

Introduction	117
Current Strategies on Leishmaniasis Treatment	121
Novel Nanotechnology-Based Approaches for Anti-Leishmanial Drug Delivery	122
General Information About Nanotechnologies for Drug Delivery	122
Liposomes	122
Polymeric Nanoparticles	124
Lipid Nanocapsules	125
Solid Lipid Nanoparticles (SLNs) and Nanostructured Lipid Carriers (NLCs)	125
Microemulsions	126
Other Nanocarriers	126
Absorption and Distribution of Nanoparticles	126
Cost Effectiveness Analysis of Therapy-Based Nanotechnology and Drawbacks	127

Perspectives and Conclusion	128
Acknowledgments	128
References	128

INTRODUCTION

Leishmaniasis is endemic in 98 countries, with 350 million people at risk, in Asia, Africa, Southern Europe and South and Central America. It is a complex of parasitic diseases with two major manifestations, visceral leishmaniasis (VL) and cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL).¹ The estimated incidence for VL and CL is 0.3 million and 1 million cases, respectively.² Moreover, VL has emerged as an important opportunistic infection associated with HIV. In southern Europe, up to 70% of cases of visceral leishmaniasis in adults are associated with HIV infection.³

The etiologic agents are several different species, all belonging to the genus *Leishmania* which maintain their life cycle through transmission between an insect,

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
Email: graminha@fcfar.unesp.br
Received: 7 July 2016
Revised/Accepted: 27 September 2016

Letícia de Almeida has a B.Sc. degree in Biotechnology (2010) and a M.Sc. degree (2012) from the Federal University of Alfenas. She is currently Ph.D. student at the Chemistry Institute—Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (UNESP) and works in drug discovery for leishmaniasis treatment, specifically investigating the leishmanicidal potential of furoxan and benzofuroxan derivatives against several species of *Leishmania*, as well as their mechanisms of action through cellular biology, genomics and proteomics approaches.

Andressa Terumi Fujimura has a B.Sc. degree in Pharmacy from the Universidade Estadual de Londrina (2011) and a M.Sc. degree in Pharmaceutical Sciences from the Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (2014). She has experience in the field of Pharmacy, with emphasis on pharmaceutical technology, currently focused on a line of research in nanotechnology.

Mayara Lucia Del Cistia has a B.Sc. degree in Pharmacy-Biochemistry (2014) and M.Sc. degree in Bioscience and Biotechnology Applied to Pharmacy (2016) from the Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (UNESP), Brazil. She performs studies in the domain of clinical analysis, focusing on the diagnosis of trypanosomatid infections by molecular biological and protein-expression techniques.

Bruno Fonseca-Santos has a B.Sc. degree in Pharmacy from the Universidade do Vale do Itajaí (2013) and a M.Sc. degree in Pharmaceutical Sciences from the Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (2015). He has experience in the field of Pharmacy, with emphasis on pharmaceutical technology and the design of pharmaceutical systems with bio(mucus)adhesive properties.

Kely Braga Imamura has a Technologa degree in Food (2011), from the Faculdade Estadual de Tecnologia em Alimentos (FATEC), with a specialization in Microbiology (2013) from the Universidade do Oeste Paulista (UNOESTE) and a M.Sc. degree in Biotechnology (2015) from the Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio de Mesquita Filho (UNESP), Brazil. She has experience in Microbiology and Biochemistry, with emphasis on techniques in molecular and cellular biology. Currently, she works mainly on the genetic analysis of microorganisms, and the molecular and serological diagnosis of leishmaniasis.

Paul A. M. Michels studied biology/biochemistry, obtaining his degrees (B.Sc., 1969 and M.Sc., 1974) from the 'Universiteit van Amsterdam' and (Ph.D., 1978 from the 'Rijksuniversiteit van Groningen.' Postdoctoral research was done at the 'University of Dundee' (Scotland) and the 'Universiteit van Amsterdam/Nederlands Kanker Instituut.' During this latter postdoctoral stay, he started working on trypanosomatid parasites. In 1983 he joined the Research Unit for Tropical Diseases of the 'de Duve Institute' in Brussels, a biomedical research institute associated with the 'Université catholique de Louvain' (UCL) (Belgium). In 1998, he was appointed honorary professor in biochemistry at the UCL. For almost 30 years, his research was focused on metabolic and cellular studies of trypanosomatid parasites and drug discovery. This work was done with a multidisciplinary consortium of research teams from Europe, North and

Latin-America and Africa, coordinated by Paul Michels for many years. In July 2011, he retired from the 'de Duve Institute' and the UCL. Currently he is Visiting Professor at the University of Edinburgh (Scotland), involved in teaching and supervision of anti-parasite drug discovery research. He is (co)author of over 240 peer-reviewed papers in international scientific journals and has given invited presentations at many institutions around the world and at many international scientific meetings.

Marlus Chorilli has a B.Sc. degree in Pharmacy-Biochemistry (2002) and M.Sc. (2004) and Ph.D. (2007) degrees in Pharmaceutical Sciences from São Paulo State University. He is presently Assistant Professor at the School of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Araraquara—São Paulo State University, teaching Pharmacotechniques and Pharmaceutical Technology. He is leader of the research group "Research and Development of Nanotechnology-based Drug Release Systems" (CNPq—Brazil). He also acts as reviewer of journals in the field of Pharmaceutical Sciences and as scientific adviser of Brazilian and international research funding agencies (CNPq—Brazil, FAPESP-Brazil and FONDECY-Chile).

Márcia A. S. Graminha has a B.Sc. degree in Biology (1995), and M.Sc. (1998) and Ph.D. (2002) degrees in Molecular Genetics of Microorganisms from the University of São Paulo, USP. She is currently Associate Professor at the School of Pharmaceutical Science, São Paulo State University (UNESP), where she is the leader of the "Biochemical and Molecular Biology of Trypanosomatids Laboratory." Dr. Graminha's lab is involved in Drug Discovery for leishmaniasis and engaged in important Brazilian projects funded by Federal and São Paulo State government agencies (MCTI/CNPq/FNDCT-Brazilian Antarctic Program and MCT/CNPq/MMA/MEC/CAPES/FNDCT—Brazilian Biodiversity and FAPESP). Her research group is currently engaged in the evaluation of new nanotechnological formulations in order to improve antileishmanial compounds as well as to unravel their

molecular mechanism of action through cellular biology, genetics and omics approaches. She has completed the Fulbright scholarship Program in Global Health, delivered conferences at international scientific meetings/symposia and published papers/reviews on neglected tropical diseases.

a sandfly, and a mammalian host.^{4,5} *Leishmania* organisms are dimorphic protists alternating between the promastigotes (in the insect vector) and the amastigotes (in vertebrate hosts)⁶ (Fig. 1).

Pentavalent antimonials, the standard drugs for 70 years, remain being used as first-line drugs in several parts of the world as sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam®) or meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime®).^{8,9} Amphotericin B (AmB) deoxycholate has been used since the early 1960s

as second-line treatment for leishmaniasis in the New World, where resistance to pentavalent antimonials has emerged.¹¹ Alternatively, pentamidine isethionate, miltefosine and paromomycin are available, but their use is limited due to toxicity or high cost of treatment (Fig. 2).¹² Furthermore coinfection HIV-VL cases are less responsive to each of these antileishmanial therapies presenting high relapse rates or deaths due to concurrent illness complications and drug toxicity.³ Moreover, strains of the most

Figure 1. Life cycle of *Leishmania*. Modified from [7], K. J. Esch and C. A. Petersen, Transmission and epidemiology of zoonotic protozoal diseases of companion animals. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 26, 58 (2013). © 2013.

Figure 2. Chemicals structures of miltefosine, pentamidine, paromomycin, meglumine antimoniate, sitamaquine, sodium stibogluconate and amphotericin B.

Table I. Current antileishmanial drugs, associated limitations and (possible) mechanism(s) of action.

Drug	Administration	Associated problems	Mechanisms of action	Refs.
Sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam®) and Meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime®)	Parenteral	Hepatotoxic, nephrotoxic and potentially fatal cardiotoxic effects. Resistance in Bihar, India	Inhibition of glycolysis and fatty acid β -oxidation	[1, 8, 35]
Amphotericin B (Fungizone®)	Slow intravenous	Nephrotoxicity, hypokalemia, rigors and chills during infusion. Resistance not documented	Presents high affinity for ergosterol, the predominant sterol of the <i>Leishmania</i> cell membrane	[36, 37]
Pentamidine	Intramuscular	Pain, nausea, vomiting, dizziness, myalgia, hypertension, headache, hypoglycemia, and transient hyperglycemia	Interferes with DNA synthesis and modifies the morphology of the kinetoplast	[38]
Miltefosine	Oral	Contra-indicated in pregnancy. Gastrointestinal effects, nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity and possible teratogenicity. Resistance (due to inactivation of a P-type ATPase)	Associated with phospholipid biosynthesis and alkyl-lipid metabolism in <i>Leishmania</i>	[8, 39, 8]
Paromomycin	Topical for CL and parenteral for VL	Erythema, pain, oedema, and ototoxicity (damage to internal ear)	Inhibits translocation and recycling of ribosomal subunits and hence protein synthesis	[36, 38, 40]
Sitamaquine	Oral	Vomiting, abdominal pains, headache, methemoglobinemia (for individuals with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency) and renal adverse effects	Unknown	[36, 41]

human-infective *Leishmania* species are reported to be resistant to standard chemotherapeutics¹³ (Table I). Hence, development of new drugs for the treatment of leishmaniasis is urgent.

Nowadays, there are two approaches to develop new therapies: one is the search for new drugs and the other is the optimization of actual drug formulation.^{14–22} Traditional drug discovery takes 10 to 12 years in general and involves high costs; around one billion dollars on average to develop a drug.²³ A possibility to improve leishmaniasis treatment would be the application of drug delivery systems which can enhance the therapeutic potency of existing drugs by optimizing their adsorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) and reducing toxicity. However, *Leishmania* poses an additional challenge to drug delivery as the drug has to achieve therapeutic levels at multiple sites (liver, bone marrow, spleen, cutaneous lesions) and reach the parasites inside the phagolysosome of macrophages. Thus, the development of systems that are capable of delivering drugs and target parasites within the host cells is crucial. Novel advances in nanotechnology have proven beneficial in therapeutic fields such as drug-delivery and gene/protein delivery and its application in developing drug carriers has been useful for a range of different diseases caused by parasitic or bacterial pathogens such as leishmaniasis, malaria, Chagas disease and tuberculosis.^{24–31} In this context, researchers have demonstrated the benefits of the use of nanotechnology to

improve the efficacy as well as to reduce the side-effects and toxicity of the drugs used for treatment of these infectious diseases.

Nanotechnology involves the engineering of macromolecular devices in the nanometer range and has already been widely applied in medicine.^{32,33} There are some nanotherapeutics that have been approved by the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) and are currently available for clinical use for different diseases such as fungal infections and leishmaniasis (Abelcet®, AmBisome® and Amphotec®), hepatitis (Pegasys® and PegIntron™), HIV-associated sarcoma (DaunoXome®) and many other conditions.³⁴ In this review we will discuss examples how lipid-based colloid delivery systems have been used to improve the therapeutic aspects of existing antileishmanial drugs.

CURRENT STRATEGIES ON LEISHMANIASIS TREATMENT

The current treatment for leishmaniasis is based on chemotherapy and poses limitations such as toxicity, difficult route of administration and lack of efficacy on parasitic infections in some endemic areas. Despite considerable efforts to find new drugs against *Leishmania* spp., the treatment of leishmaniasis is still based on the use of the pentavalent antimonials sodium stibogluconate and meglumine antimoniate, developed during the 1920s.

These drugs are known to have severe side effects, including nausea, abdominal colic, diarrhea, skin rashes, hepatotoxicity, cardiotoxicity, nephrotoxicity and pancreatitis. Electrocardiogram evaluation (cardiotoxicity) as well as determination of serum levels of creatinine/urea (nephrotoxicity) aminotransferases/alkaline phosphatase (hepatotoxicity) and amylase (pancreatitis) all provide indications for the high incidence of adverse events, especially of pancreatitis, which in HIV and VL coinfection have often been associated with an increasing number of deaths.⁴² Another problem associated to HIV-VL coinfection is the rise in the number of relapse cases after the use of antimonials.^{43,44} Furthermore, resistance to antimonials has been a growing problem for approximately four decades.^{45,46}

Among the chemotherapeutic agents used as second-line treatment for leishmaniasis, the polyene antibiotic AmB and its liposomal formulation have been introduced for use against VL.⁴⁷ Although it is highly effective, even in antimony-unresponsive patients, AmB has restrictions due to its renal toxicity and requirement for inconveniently slow intravenous administration.⁴⁸ Liposomal AmB is preferred over conventional AmB because of its milder toxicity profile, but its use remains very limited as a result of its high cost.⁴⁹ Only in South America, pentamidine, an aromatic diamine, has been used in the treatment of CL,⁸ but severe adverse effects, including diabetes mellitus, hypoglycemia, shock, myocarditis and renal toxicity, limit its use.⁵⁰ Paromomycin is an aminoglycoside antibiotic with described leishmanicidal activity; however, this drug has been documented to have variable efficacy in different countries and is not commonly used or widely available outside Africa and the Indian subcontinent.^{25,51} Miltefosine, registered in 2002, is the first, and remains the only, orally administered agent used for the treatment of all types of leishmaniasis,^{38,45,52} even though gastrointestinal side-effects (anorexia, nausea, vomiting and diarrhea), hepatotoxicity and renal insufficiency have been reported.⁵³ Despite efforts to fight this disease for the past 10 years, and to allow the use of lipid formulations of AmB, miltefosine and paromomycin for the treatment of leishmaniasis, chemotherapy in many endemic countries, including Brazil, is still based on pentavalent antimonials or conventional AmB, notwithstanding their inherent toxicities and complex route of administration.⁵⁴

NOVEL NANOTECHNOLOGY-BASED APPROACHES FOR ANTI-LEISHMANIAL DRUG DELIVERY

General Information About Nanotechnologies for Drug Delivery

Several authors reported the leishmanicidal activity of new compounds, but some of them showed toxicity when tested *in vivo*. Furthermore, the fact that the human pathogenic forms of *Leishmania*, the amastigotes, reside within the phagolysosome of macrophages can render it difficult for

the drugs to access the parasites. In this sense, nanotechnological strategies have shown clear advantages both in efficacy to target monocytes/macrophages intracellularly and in overcoming toxicity problems (Table II).

In general, nanoformulations have been proposed primarily as means to decrease the toxic effects of available drugs, to provide sustained drug release in addition to improving their bioavailability, and to protect the incorporated drugs from being metabolized promptly, thus allowing prolonged drug residence in the human body, and therefore prolonging time between administrations.³³

Nanomedicine is the field of science involved in the design and development of nanotechnology-based therapeutics and diagnostics with dimensions in the range of 1 nm to 1000 nm.^{55,56} The design of nanometer-sized delivery systems for drugs represents one of the most promising developments for antimicrobial therapies because such systems may lead to treatments with higher target delivery effect, resulting in higher therapeutic efficacy and lower toxicity.⁵⁷ Moreover, such systems can improve bioavailability and protect the incorporated drugs from being metabolized, causing prolonged drug residence in the human body, and therefore allowing to increase the time between administrations.³³ Several nanotechnological strategies, such as lipid-based colloid systems,^{37,58} nanostructured layered films,⁵⁹ polymeric nanoparticles^{60,61} and silver nanoparticles⁶² have been explored for precise drug delivery in leishmaniasis treatment, increasing effectiveness and safety of drug therapy.

Many drugs are poorly soluble in aqueous phase which compromise their distribution into and within the bloodstream. Solubilization of hydrophobic drugs in colloidal particles is a possible approach to overcome the problem of bringing a hydrophobic substance into the aqueous blood compartment.

Nanoparticles (NPs) can be classified either by the way in which they carry substances or by the characteristics of the matrix. According to the classification based on the type of material from which the matrix is made one can distinguish organic nanoparticles and inorganic nanoparticles.⁶³ Nanotechnology-drug delivery systems include organic NPs, liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs), nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs), polymeric nanoparticles and microemulsions (Fig. 3). The inorganic nanoparticles involve the use of different inorganic oxides and have different sizes, shapes, solubility, and long-term stability.⁶⁴ They are usually synthesized by chemical reduction of the metallic salt (gold/silver/silica/aluminum/titanium) with a reducing agent.⁶⁵

Liposomes

Liposomes are spherical vesicular structures that are composed of phospholipid bilayers concentrically oriented around an aqueous compartment that serve as carriers

Table II. Advantages and disadvantages of current nanomedicines.

Nanotechnology based-system	Advantages	Disadvantages	Reference
Liposomes	Liposome increased drug stability via encapsulation; Liposomes are non-toxic, flexible, biocompatible, completely biodegradable; Liposomes increased efficacy and therapeutic index of drug; Flexibility to couple with site-specific ligands to achieve active targeting; Use preferentially for parenteral or cutaneous routes	Short half-life; Leakage and fusion of encapsulated drugs; Production costs can be high	[132]
Polymeric nanoparticles	Avoid reticulo-endothelial system; High level of biocompatibility to reduce cytotoxicity and maximize tissue compatibility		[101]
Lipid nanocapsules	Easy to scale-up; Encapsulating lipophilic and hydrophilic drugs; Avoidance of organic solvents; Use for cutaneous route	Toxicity related to surfactant	[58]
Solid lipid nanoparticles	Easy to scale-up and sterilize; Improve stability of drugs; Encapsulating lipophilic and hydrophilic drugs; Avoidance of organic solvents; Use for oral, parenteral or cutaneous routes	Low drug loading and expulsion of matrix; Short half-life; Toxicity related to surfactant	[58]
Nanostructured lipid carriers	Improve stability of drugs; Improve loading of drugs when compared with SLN; Long shelf-life; Easy to scale-up and sterilize; Avoidance of organic solvents; Use for oral, parenteral or cutaneous routes	Toxicity related to surfactants	[133]
Microemulsions	Solubilize hydrophilic and lipophilic drugs; Easy preparation; Long shelf-life; Thermodynamically stables; Small size droplets (<0.22 μm) and can be sterilized by filtration; Use for oral, parenteral or cutaneous routes	Large amount of surfactants; Toxicity related to surfactant	

of lipophilic or hydrophilic drugs.⁶⁷ The main advantage of liposomes is their ability to provide sustained drug release, leaving the drug available in the blood circulation for a long period of time, which increases the prophylactic effect and reduces the drug dosage; additionally, these structures are biocompatible with biological fluids, decreasing the toxicity of drugs and limiting any local inflammatory reactions.^{68–70} For antileishmanial therapy, drug targeting can be achieved by liposomal encapsulated drugs allowing them to reach the intracellular *Leishmania* amastigotes; the drug containing liposomes naturally enter in the macrophages by phagocytosis and hence deliver the drugs passively to the phagolysosome where they then can act directly on the parasites.^{71–73}

Severe acute and chronic side effects have limited the use of AmB deoxycholate as antileishmanial agent.⁷⁴ Thus, in an attempt to improve the pharmacokinetic properties of the drug, the tolerability in the patient and to minimize the side effects, three lipid-associated formulations were developed and extensively used in clinical studies for treatment of leishmaniasis.^{75–83}

The commercial liposomal AmB, Ambisome, produced by Gilead Sciences, is the only drug approved in 1997 by the FDA for VL treatment.⁸⁴ Ambisome presented low toxicity and high cure rate (above 90%) when compared to AmB deoxycholate (Fungizone)^{85,86} and is recommended by the WHO as first-line therapy for treatment of VL

caused by *L. donovani* in India, Bangladesh, Bhutan and Nepal or *L. infantum* in the Mediterranean Basin, Middle East, Central Asia and South America.⁸⁷ This liposomal formulation is 350–750 times more active than meglumine antimoniate and 2–5 times more active than free AmB.^{11,86} Ambisome has been used to treat HIV-*Leishmania* coinfecting patients;⁸⁴ however, this treatment has not been able to reduce relapse and mortality rates in cases of such coinfecting patients⁸⁸ and unresponsiveness to Ambisome seemed to develop rapidly in co-infected patients.⁸⁹ Although Ambisome is the most efficacious AmB liposomal formulation, there are other formulations of this drug currently available on the market: the colloidal formulation Amphocyl in Europe (also called Amphotec in USA), the injectable suspension Abelcet (USA), Fungisome (India), and the emulsion Amphomul (India), but the administration and toxicity of the drug still pose problems in each of these therapeutic alternatives.

The improvement of antimonial chemotherapy is urgent; however, there are very few studies in experimental models regarding the use of liposomes containing antimonials for leishmaniasis treatment.^{70,90–95} The meglumine antimoniate liposomal formulation was 10-fold more effective than the free drug against intracellular amastigotes of *L. major* and five-fold more selective to the parasite with reduced macrophage toxicity. It was observed that the uptake of meglumine antimoniate containing liposomes was higher

Figure 3. Conventional lipid-based colloidal carriers. Schematic differences between liposomes, lipid nanocapsules, polymeric nanoparticles, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs), nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) and microemulsions drug delivery systems. Modified from [66], B. Fonseca-Santos, et al., Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for the treatment of alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 10, 4981 (2015). © 2015.

than that observed for non-infected macrophages which could have contributed to the improvement of the selectivity index.⁹¹

A macrophage possesses many receptors on its surface involved in control of its cellular processes such as activation, recognition, endocytosis and secretion. Incorporation of ligands into liposomes capable of interacting with macrophage receptors has been used to enhance the uptake of liposomal content by the macrophages.⁹⁶ Mannose and 4-sulphated *N*-acetyl galactosamine bearing liposomes showed increased antileishmanial activity and enhanced intracellular localization of AmB compared to unmodified liposomes.⁹⁷ Other macrophage-specific ligands such as tuftsin residues and phosphatidylserine have also been incorporated into liposomes resulting into improved macrophage targeting of antileishmanial agents.^{91,98,99}

Polymeric Nanoparticles

Polymeric nanoparticles are composed of a polymeric matrix, which can be made of synthetic or natural polymers.¹⁰⁰ They present a high level of biocompatibility to reduce cytotoxicity and maximize tissue compatibility, by their formulation from either natural or synthetic polymers.¹⁰¹ The synthetic polymers most

widely used are polyesters such as polylactide (PLA), polylactide-polyglycolide copolymers (PLGA), polycaprolactones (PCL), and polyacrylates (PCA); examples of natural polymers employed are albumin and chitosan.¹⁰² The most commonly used polymer is PLGA and several PLGA-drug delivery systems have been approved by the FDA.¹⁰³ Polymeric nanoparticles are prepared by several methods, such as solvent evaporation, spontaneous emulsification, solvent diffusion or polymerization.¹⁰⁴

The first researchers who evaluated the potential of polymeric nanoparticles against leishmaniasis were Gaspar and coworkers.¹⁰⁵ They developed primaquine-loaded poly-alkylcyanoacrylate nanoparticles against *L. donovani*-infected macrophages. This formulation was shown to be 21 times more effective than the free drug in clearance of the parasite.¹⁰⁵ AmB has also been employed in the development of some polymeric nanoparticles. In some studies, PLGA nanoparticles with AmB have been developed and were tested against *in vitro* cultured promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of *L. infantum*. Moreover, their *in vivo* biological activity was determined in a mouse model and compared with that of the commercial solution AmBisome in doses of 2.5 and 5.0 mg/Kg AmB equivalents by intravenous administration. PLGA nanoparticles appeared to be equally effective as AmBisome against the different

Leishmania life-cycle stages in the *in vitro* assays and superior in *in vivo* efficacy.¹⁰⁶ Another study developed AmB nanoencapsulated in PLGA and dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) nanoparticles. Their efficacy was evaluated in the treatment of experimental cutaneous leishmaniasis in C57BL/6 mice. AmB-coated PLGA–DMSA nanoparticles showed the same efficacy as free AmB to reduce paw diameter; however, the treatment with these nanoparticles also promoted a significantly greater reduction in parasite number and cell viability compared with the free drug.¹⁰⁷

Lipid Nanocapsules

Lipid nanocapsules (LNs) are biomimetic carriers that mimic lipoprotein with size ranges from 20 to 100 nm. These carriers are composed of a lipid core surrounded by a membrane made from surfactant characterized by a hybrid structure between polymer nanocapsules and liposomes. Their preparation involves a method based on the phase inversion principle of an oil/water (O/W) system upon thermal manipulation. Unlike liposomes which are manufactured through processes involving organic solvent and are leaky and unstable in biological fluids, LNs are prepared by solvent-free methods, and “soft-energy” technology and present great stability (with physical stability up to 18 months). In addition, besides the advantage of high drug bioavailability, it is important to emphasize that LNs containing drugs are able to deliver their content directly to the target site at a reducible dosage (10,000 fold), thus leading significantly to the prevention or at least reduction of any side effects to acceptable levels.^{108, 109}

The potential of targeting and drug delivery of LNs to specialized phagocytes via phosphatidylserine (PS)-specific ligand-anchored nanocapsules bearing doxorubicin (DOX) has been evaluated. Kansal and coworkers showed that LN containing doxorubicin (LN-DOX) had a 1.75-fold higher uptake if PS is anchored onto its surface compared with non-PS containing LN-DOX and that the former favored delivery into the cell cytoplasm.²⁴ PS containing LN had a lower IC₅₀ value against *L. donovani*, probably as a consequence of better uptake of PS-containing LN as both formulations had similar entrapment efficiencies (80%). Therefore, PS can improve uptake by macrophages by binding to ligands present on the surface of the cell or the carrier system.²⁴

Miltefosine is a membrane-active alkylphospholipid administered orally for treatment of leishmaniasis. Its mechanism of action may involve disturbance of calcium homeostasis in *Leishmania*.¹¹⁰ Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy studies showed that this drug causes dramatic injuries in the membrane of *Leishmania* promastigotes.¹¹¹ In studies of drug delivery of miltefosine-loaded LNs, these formulations showed high entrapment efficiency, good colloidal properties, sustained release of the drug and physical stability. It is

possible to design unique nanodevices combining the biological activity of a drug with the biopharmaceutical advantages of LNs, and allowing targeting via the oral route. From a clinical point of view it is important that available data suggest the feasibility of a single-dose oral nanomedicine for enhanced therapy of schistosomiasis caused by *Schistosoma mansoni* and possibly other diseases like leishmaniasis.¹¹²

Although there are few studies regarding the effectiveness of this nanocarrier against *Leishmania* spp, its structure has shown advantages such as the ability to deliver hydrophobic/hydrophilic drugs, the increased uptake of drugs by the cell, the possibility for both parenteral and oral delivery, and the feasibility to target drugs.^{90, 113}

Solid Lipid Nanoparticles (SLNs) and Nanostructured Lipid Carriers (NLCs)

Among the nanocarriers, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs) and nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) are the most promising.¹¹⁴ SLNs are prepared by using physiologically tolerated solid lipid components and are applied for administration of lipophilic drug molecules. However, SLNs have major drawbacks of low drug loading and possible drug expulsion due to a propensity for lipid crystallization or transformation during storage procedures (Table II). To overcome these disadvantages, NLCs have been introduced with a matrix made up of a mixture of solid and liquid lipids. SLNs and NLCs have several advantages such as low cost and safety compared to other colloidal carriers including liposomes, microemulsions and nanocapsules.¹¹⁵ Although NLCs possess a higher capacity for drug incorporation than SLNs,^{58, 113, 116} this formulation has not yet been evaluated as alternative administration for therapy of leishmaniasis.

Paromomycin has been the most intensively studied compound with regard to the potential topical treatment for leishmaniasis.¹¹⁷ Conventional topical dosage forms of paromomycin had already been tested previously, but despite the promising results obtained, the efficacy was limited due its physicochemical properties and different constituents present in ointments formulations which contribute to insufficient concentration of paromomycin at the sites of infection after topical administration.^{118–125} For the treatment of CL, drugs topically applied must be able to cross the skin and reach the *Leishmania* amastigotes within the phagolysosomes of infected macrophages localized in the deep dermal layer of the skin.

The therapeutic potential of SLNs has been evaluated in different studies such as cancer¹²⁶ and parasitic diseases such as malaria,¹²⁷ human African trypanosomiasis¹²⁸ and CL.¹²⁹ Particularly for CL, SLNs could improve the interaction of the drug with the stratum corneum and other layers of the skin and thus provide the possibility of topical administration with controlled release, reduced drug toxicity and so to optimize its treatment.^{129, 130} Paromomycin-containing SLN has been previously described¹³⁰ and

showed potent activity against *L. major* and *L. tropica* intracellular amastigotes when compared to free paromomycin; the cytotoxicity of paromomycin-SLN formulation is size dependent.¹³¹ These results showed that delivery of drugs to macrophages via nanoparticles is feasible; however, additional studies are necessary in order to investigate the *in vivo* antileishmanial potential of this antibiotic formulation.

In a similar way, Gupta and coworkers developed AmB containing SLN as well as AmB loaded in modified SLN coated with the macrophage-specific ligand, O-palmitoyl mannan.¹⁰ The antileishmanial activity of free and SLNs entrapped AmB was tested *in vitro* against a *L. donovani* infected macrophage-amastigote system (J774A.1 cells), which showed higher efficacy of the AmB-modified SLNs over AmB-SLNs and free drug. Both formulations were also able to target infected macrophages in the liver and spleen of mice which demonstrates the potential of these formulations as therapeutic alternative to treat VL.¹⁰

Among all its sophisticated mechanisms of evasion of the host immune system^{134–142} is *Leishmania*'s capability of preventing the generation of pro-inflammatory cytokines¹⁴³ and activating a Th2 type immune response. Therefore, stimulation of Th1 and suppression of Th2 immune responses are considered a promising therapeutic strategy for leishmaniasis.¹⁴⁴ It is known that the aminopolysaccharide chitosan stimulates macrophages to produce several proinflammatory cytokines including IL1, IL6, TNF- α and nitric oxide (NO).^{144–146} Thus, AmB-SLN bound to chitosan successfully exhibited low toxicity and improved the therapeutic effect against *L. donovani* intracellular amastigotes, probably because of an enhancement of NO production during the TH1 cell response which could explain its efficacy over amphotericin loaded SLN and free AmB.¹²⁹

Microemulsions

Microemulsions are translucent, stable, isotropic mixtures of oil, water and surfactant, frequently in combination with a cosurfactant, with a size of approximately 100 nm. Such a mixture may form hydrophilic aggregates in oil (water-in-oil system, W/O), hydrophobic aggregates in water (oil-in water system, O/W), and also bi-continuous systems, such as a mixture of W/O and O/W, in which the dispersed phase is in the nanometer size range. Microemulsions have generated considerable interest over the years as potential drug delivery systems.^{147, 148} The existence of microdomains of different polarity within the same single-phase solution improves drug solubilization and protection against enzymatic hydrolysis, while a potential to increase drug absorption is provided by the surfactant that induces membrane fluidity and thus permeability. Despite the huge therapeutic potential of microemulsions in leishmaniasis treatment, there are few reports about it in the literature, most of them merely describing the preparation

of AmB or the promising experimental drug buparvaquone loaded microemulsions rather than their potential biological application.¹⁴⁹

Other Nanocarriers

Currently, new nanosystems are being developed. One of the most popular technologies involves carbon nanostructures such as nanotubes.⁶³ Carbon nanotubes have engrossed remarkable attention as the most promising nano-material in the 21st century for numerous applications.^{152, 153}

AmB has been attached to functionalized carbon nanotubes. This new formulation of AmB (f-CNT-AmB) exhibited significantly higher efficacy against *in vitro* intracellular amastigotes of *L. donovani* than that of free AmB. The *in vivo* toxicity assessment of the compounds in BALB/c mice revealed no hepatic or renal toxicity. Moreover, f-CNT-AmB inhibited the amastigote replication in hamsters by 90%, instead of treatment with free AmB that caused 69% inhibition.¹⁵⁴

Another study involved the test of a formulation of betulin (BET) attached to functionalized carbon nanotubes (f-CNTs) against *L. donovani*. The fCNT-BET was 12-fold more effective against intracellular amastigotes than BET alone with no significant cytotoxicity observed on host cells.¹⁵⁵

ABSORPTION AND DISTRIBUTION OF NANOPARTICLES

The absorption and distribution profile of a nanoparticle is greatly determined by physicochemical properties such as size, charge, hydrophobicity, and targeting molecules, and these properties are dependent on the type of the delivery system¹⁵⁶ (Fig. 4).

The size of the nanoparticle is important for its entry into cells, its interactions with the immune system, and its clearance.¹⁵⁸ Cell uptake mechanisms are partially dependent on size. Endocytosis is the process through which nanoparticles or small molecules enter cells; the specific type of endocytosis through which the nanoparticle enters the cell determines the translocation of the entrapped molecule inside the endosome.¹⁵⁹ Hydrophobicity affects cellular uptake, distribution, interaction with immune cells and plasma proteins, and clearance from the body.^{158, 160} Charge is important for mucoadhesion or diffusion, cellular uptake, and toxicity. Targeting affects biodistribution and immune responses.¹⁵⁸

These physicochemical characteristics of the nanoparticle surface also play a decisive role in uptake. Passive vectoring is related to the inherent capacity of phagocytic cells when they recognize substances foreign to the organism.⁶³ According to the physicochemical characteristics of the nanocarrier and the nature of the target cells, two main internalization pathways may occur: either

Figure 4. Properties of nanoparticles such as size, surface, charge and hydrophobicity that each, by different mechanisms, may affect the efficacy of drug delivery. Modified from Dobrovolskaia and McNeil, 2007 and [157], J. Lazarovits, et al., Nanoparticle-blood interactions: The implications on solid tumour targeting. *Chemical Communications* 51, 2756 (2015). © 2015.

phagocytosis or other endocytic pathways (macropinocytosis or endocytosis) (Fig. 5).¹⁰²

Distribution and uptake can also be driven by active vectoring. This involves the addition of specific compounds (ligands) to the nanoparticle surface. Such ligands favor interactions with the cell membrane, thus enhancing the recognition of nanoparticles by cells.^{161, 162}

The ligand is chosen dependent on its stability and selectivity with regard to the target cells. Other factors such as availability and interactions with immunologic cells or with membranes are also taken into account.⁶³ It is noteworthy that polymeric nanoparticles and liposomes, whose structure and chemical composition strongly differ, still show similar interactions with macrophages, based on their surface electric charge. Liposomes displaying a negatively charged surface, generally containing the negatively charged phospholipids phosphatidylserine (PS) and phosphatidylglycerol (PG), exhibit a much higher binding to and phagocytosis by macrophages as compared to neutral vesicles.^{163, 164} Indeed, up-regulation of the level of negatively charged PS in the outer leaflet of the *Leishmania* spp. amastigote plasma membrane was recently demonstrated. This seems to be highly relevant for their attachment to macrophages,¹⁶⁵ as negatively charged phospholipids expressed in the outer membrane leaflet of cells are recognized by macrophages (via scavenger receptors) as apoptotic cells.¹⁶⁶

COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF THERAPY-BASED NANOTECHNOLOGY AND DRAWBACKS

Commercial feasibility is the most desired characteristic of any novel delivery system and it is governed by the cost of the material and the ease of manufacturing and scale up.

Although liposomal AmB is currently the most effective strategy, this drug is quite expensive resulting in higher cost-effectiveness.¹⁶⁸ The higher the dosage, the more expensive the treatment. Indeed, the cost (estimates presented in 2008 US dollars, US\$) per patient treated with Ambisome varied from \$153.4 (10 mg/kg, single dose) to \$300 (20 mg/kg of L-AmB for 4 days). In order to reduce the cost of treatment, clinical trials involving coadministration of Ambisome with other antileishmanial drugs were conducted. The estimated total cost of the treatment for combination therapy per patient is \$129 and \$132 for Ambisome along with miltefosine and Ambisome along with paromomycin, respectively, compared with \$153 for a single dose of Ambisome (10 mg/kg).^{168, 169} The cost limitations of Ambisome were counter balanced to some extent by a donation program involving Gilead Sciences and WHO in 2012 that involved the donation of 450,000 vials of Ambisome with their distribution to all beneficiary countries in 2013. However, the lyophilized Ambisome is not stable at temperatures above 25 °C (kept lyophilized) or even at 2–8 °C (when reconstituted in sterile water)

Figure 5. Main internalisation pathways of mammalian cells. (a) Phagocytosis is an actin-based mechanism occurring primarily in macrophages, and fully associated with opsonization. (b) The process by which clathrin-coated vesicles are produced involves interactions of multifunctional adaptor proteins with the plasma membrane, with the formation of a clathrin lattice and a dependence on the GTPase dynamin. (c) Caveolae are one source of clathrin-independent or raft-dependent endocytosis. Caveolae-mediated endocytosis occurs in typical flask-shaped invaginations of the membrane coated with caveolin dimers, also with a dependence on dynamin. (d) The formation of macropinosomes involves actin-based deformation and remodeling of the cell membrane and is regulated by mechanical stimuli to which cells are exposed. Macropinocytosis is an actin-based pathway, engulfing extracellular milieu with nanoparticles; it has a poor selectivity. (e) Other endocytosis pathways can be involved in the nanoparticle internalization, independent of clathrin, caveolae and actin. Modified from [102, 167], H. Hillaireau and P. Couvreur, Nanocarriers' entry into the cell: Relevance to drug delivery. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 66, 2873 (2009). © 2009 and K. Murugan, et al., Parameters and characteristics governing cellular internalization and trans-barrier trafficking of nanostructures. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 10, 2191 (2015). © 2015.

which limits its use in the field in most of the affected countries.^{170, 171}

The commercial scenario for SLN is promising. SLNs are based on triglyceride lipids which are less expensive than phospholipids and their manufacturing process and scaling up are feasible.¹¹³ The scale up and manufacture

process are also possible when microemulsions are used as template for SLN production.¹⁷²

PERSPECTIVES AND CONCLUSION

Targeting of antileishmanial drugs to infected macrophages via novel drug delivery systems presents a promising approach to overcome the limitations associated with the current treatment protocols. The unmodified and surface engineered drug carriers resulted in the reduced toxicity and increased effect of drug on the *Leishmania* parasites. The development of drug delivery systems will allow to overcome not only toxicity and drug effectiveness but also contribute to lower costs which will not only benefit the treatment of patients with leishmaniasis but also accelerate the development of systems for other serious (sub)tropical infectious diseases such as malaria, Chagas disease, tuberculosis, schistosomiasis among others.

Despite the promising results involving nanotechnology-drug delivery systems in the treatment of leishmaniasis, the results obtained so far are mainly based on preclinical studies and, consequently, patients have not entirely yet been benefited. The next step will thus be to conduct clinical trials to confirm the results already obtained. Indeed, despite the need to improve antimonial chemotherapy and the extremely promising results obtained with liposomes in experimental models of leishmaniasis, no pharmaceutical composition associating lipid formulations with an antimonial has reached commercialization so far. The meglumine antimoniate, for instance, presents low drug encapsulation efficiency in liposomes due to its hydrophilic property as well as short-term stability, thus it is necessary to develop other nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems to overcome these problems.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Programa de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico da Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas da UNESP (PADC) for financial support.

REFERENCES

1. M. P. Barrett and S. L. Croft, Management of trypanosomiasis and leishmaniasis. *British Medical Bulletin* 104, 175 (2012).
2. D. Pace, Leishmaniasis. *Journal of Infection* 69, S10 (2014).
3. J. Alvar, P. Aparicio, A. Aseffa, M. Den Boer, C. Canavate, J.-P. Dedet, L. Gradoni, R. Ter Horst, R. Lopez-Velez, and J. Moreno, The relationship between leishmaniasis and AIDS: The second 10 years. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 21, 334 (2008).
4. P. Kaye and P. Scott, Leishmaniasis: Complexity at the host-pathogen interface. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 604 (2011).
5. M. C. Pinto, K. Barbieri, M. C. E. Silva, M. A. S. Graminha, C. Casanova, A. J. Andrade, and A. E. Eiras, Octenol as attractant to *nyssomyia neivai* (diptera:psychodidae:phlebotominae) in the field. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 48, 39 (2011).

6. T. Van Assche, M. Deschacht, R. A. I. da Luz, L. Maes, and P. Cos, Leishmania-macrophage interactions: Insights into the redox biology. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 51, 337 (2011).
7. K. J. Esch and C. A. Petersen, Transmission and epidemiology of zoonotic protozoal diseases of companion animals. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 26, 58 (2013).
8. S. L. Croft and P. Oliaro, Leishmaniasis chemotherapy-challenges and opportunities. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 17, 1478 (2011).
9. A. Badiee, V. Heravi Shargh, A. Khamesipour, and M. R. Jaafari, Micro/nanoparticle adjuvants for antileishmanial vaccines: Present and future trends. *Vaccine* 31, 735 (2013).
10. F. K. Santos, M. Oyafuso, C. Kiill, M. Daflon-Gremiao, and M. Chorilli, Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for treatment of hyperproliferative skin diseases—A review. *Current Nanoscience* 9, 159 (2013).
11. G. Wortmann, M. Zapor, R. Ressler, S. Fraser, J. Hartzell, J. Pierson, A. Weintrob, and A. Magill, Liposomal amphotericin B for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 83, 1028 (2010).
12. B. Chawla and R. Madhubala. *Drug Targets in Leishmania*. *J. Parasit Dis.* 34, 1 (2010).
13. S. Das, P. Roy, S. Mondal, T. Bera, and A. Mukherjee, One pot synthesis of gold nanoparticles and application in chemotherapy of wild and resistant type visceral leishmaniasis. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 107, 27 (2013).
14. T. G. Passalacqua, L. A. Dutra, L. de Almeida, A. M. Velásquez, F. A. Torres, P. R. Yamasaki, M. B. Dos Santos, L. O. Regasini, P. A. Michels, V. A. S. Bolzani, and M. A. Graminha, Synthesis and evaluation of novel prenylated chalcone derivatives as anti-leishmanial and anti-trypansomal compounds. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 25, 3342 (2015).
15. V. A. Santos, L. O. Regasini, C. R. Nogueira, G. D. Passerini, I. Martinez, V. S. Bolzani, M. A. Graminha, R. M. Cicarelli, and M. Furlan, Antiprotozoal sesquiterpene pyridine alkaloids from *Maytenus ilicifolia*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 75, 991 (2012).
16. V. A. Dos Santos, K. M. Leite, M. da Costa Siqueira, L. O. Regasini, I. Martinez, C. T. Nogueira, M. K. Galuppo, B. S. Stolf, A. M. Pereira, R. M. Cicarelli, M. Furlan, and M. A. Graminha, Antiprotozoal activity of quinonemethide triterpenes from *maytenus ilicifolia* (celastraceae). *Molecules* 18, 1053 (2013).
17. A. Coqueiro, L. O. Regasini, G. M. Leme, L. Polese, C. T. Nogueira, M. L. Del Cistia, M. A. S. Graminha, and V. D. S. Bolzani, Leishmanicidal activity of *brosimum glaziovii* (moraceae) and chemical composition of the bioactive fractions by using high-resolution gas chromatography and GC-MS. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 25, 1839 (2014).
18. L. A. Dutra, L. de Almeida, T. G. Passalacqua, J. S. Reis, F. A. E. Torres, I. Martinez, R. G. Peccinini, C. M. Chin, K. Chegaev, S. Guglielmo, R. Fruttero, M. A. S. Graminha, and J. L. dos Santos, Leishmanicidal activities of novel synthetic furoxan and benzofuroxan derivatives. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58, 4837 (2014).
19. F. A. E. Torres, T. G. Passalacqua, A. M. A. Velasquez, R. A. de Souza, P. Colepicolo, and M. A. S. Graminha, New drugs with antiprotozoal activity from marine algae: A review. *Revista Brasileira De Farmacognosia-Brazilian Journal of Pharmacognosy* 24, 265 (2014).
20. M. Brito Oliveira, G. Calixto, M. Graminha, H. Cerecetto, M. Gonzalez, and M. Chorilli, Development, characterization, and *in vitro* biological performance of fluconazole-loaded microemulsions for the topical treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Biomed. Research International* 2015, Article ID 396894 (2015).
21. T. G. Passalacqua, F. A. Torres, C. T. Nogueira, L. de Almeida, M. L. Del Cistia, M. B. Dos Santos, L. O. Regasini, M. A. Graminha, R. Marchetto, and A. Zottis, The 2',4'-dihydroxychalcone could be explored to develop new inhibitors against the glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Leishmania* species. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 25, 3564 (2015).
22. A. Velásquez, R. de Souza, T. Passalacqua, A. Ribeiro, M. Scontri, C. Chin, L. de Almeida, M. Del Cistia, J. Rosa, A. Mauro, and M. Graminha, Antiprotozoal activity of the cyclopalladated complexes against *leishmania amazonensis* and *trypanosoma cruzi*. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 27, 1032 (2016).
23. W. Zheng, N. Thorne, and J. C. McKew, Phenotypic screens as a renewed approach for drug discovery. *Drug Discovery Today* 18, 1067 (2013).
24. S. Kansal, R. Tandon, P. Dwivedi, P. Misra, P. R. Verma, A. Dube, and P. R. Mishra, Development of nanocapsules bearing doxorubicin for macrophage targeting through the phosphatidylserine ligand: A system for intervention in visceral leishmaniasis. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 67, 2650 (2012).
25. N. P. Aditya, P. G. Vathsala, V. Vieira, R. S. Murthy, and E. B. Souto, Advances in nanomedicines for malaria treatment. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 201–202, 1 (2013).
26. P. Urbán and X. Fernández-Busquets, Nanomedicine against malaria. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 21, 605 (2014).
27. X. Fernández-Busquets, Toy kit against malaria: Magic bullets, LEGO, Trojan horses and Russian dolls. *Ther. Deliv.* 5, 1049 (2014).
28. W. N. Omwoyo, B. Ogutu, F. Oloo, H. Swai, L. Kalombo, P. Melariri, G. M. Mahanga, and J. W. Gathirwa, Preparation, characterization, and optimization of primaquine-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 9, 3865 (2014).
29. E. L. Romero and M. J. Morilla, Nanotechnological approaches against chagas disease. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 62, 576 (2010).
30. U. Ikoba, H. Peng, H. Li, C. Miller, C. Yu, and Q. Wang, Nanocarriers in therapy of infectious and inflammatory diseases. *Nanoscale* 7, 4291 (2015).
31. S. Espuelas, D. Plano, P. Nguewa, M. Font, J. A. Palop, J. M. Irache, and C. Sanmartín, Innovative lead compounds and formulation strategies as newer kinetoplastid therapies. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 19, 4259 (2012).
32. T. Kozako, N. Arima, M. Yoshimitsu, S. I. Honda, and S. Soeda, Liposomes and nanotechnology in drug development: Focus on oncotargets. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 7, 4943 (2012).
33. V. Sanna, N. Pala, and M. Sechi, Targeted therapy using nanotechnology: Focus on cancer. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 9, 467 (2014).
34. C. L. Ventola, The nanomedicine revolution: Part 2: Current and future clinical applications. *P&T* 37, 582 (2012).
35. S. L. Croft, S. Sundar, and A. H. Fairlamb, Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 19, 111 (2006).
36. N. Singh, M. Kumar, and R. K. Singh, Leishmaniasis: Current status of available drugs and new potential drug targets. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine* 5, 485 (2012).
37. M. Balasegaram, K. Ritmeijer, M. A. Lima, S. Burza, G. O. Genovese, B. Milani, S. Gaspari, J. Potet, and F. Chappuis, Liposomal amphotericin B as a treatment for human leishmaniasis. *Expert Opinion on Emerging Drugs* 17, 493 (2012).
38. G. Kaur and B. Rajput, Comparative analysis of the omics technologies used to study antimonial, amphotericin B, and pentamidine resistance in leishmania. *J. Parasitol Res.* 2014, 726328 (2014).
39. F. J. Pérez-Victoria, F. Gamarro, M. Ouellette, and S. Castanys, Functional cloning of the miltefosine transporter, A novel P-type phospholipid translocase from *Leishmania* involved in drug resistance. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 49965 (2003).
40. N. Singh, M. Kumar, and R. K. Singh, Leishmaniasis: Current status of available drugs and new potential drug targets. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* 5, 485 (2012).
41. P. M. Loiseau, S. Cojean, and J. Schrével, Sitamaquine as a putative antileishmanial drug candidate: From the mechanism of action to the risk of drug resistance. *Parasite* 18, 115 (2011).

42. S. Sundar and J. Chakravarty, Antimony toxicity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 7, 4267 (2010).
43. N. Berhe, A. Ali, A. Hailu, and H. Yeneneh, Relapse in ethiopian visceral leishmaniasis (vl) patients after therapy with pentavalent antimonials-a 10-year observation. *Acta. Tropica*. 57, 83 (1994).
44. E. Diro, L. Lynen, R. Mohammed, M. Boelaert, A. Hailu, and J. van Griensven, High parasitological failure rate of visceral leishmaniasis to sodium stibogluconate among HIV Co-infected adults in ethiopia. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases* 8, e2875 (2014).
45. S. Sundar and M. Rai, Advances in the treatment of leishmaniasis. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 15, 593 (2002).
46. H. C. Maltezos, Drug resistance in visceral leishmaniasis. *J. Biomed. Biotechnol.* 2010, 617521 (2010).
47. M. Balasegaram, K. Ritmeijer, M. A. Lima, S. Burza, G. Ortiz Genovese, B. Milani, S. Gaspani, J. Potet, and F. Chappuis, Liposomal amphotericin B as a treatment for human leishmaniasis. *Expert Opin. Emerg. Drugs* 17, 493 (2012).
48. V. Bhandari, A. Kulshrestha, D. K. Deep, O. Stark, V. K. Prajapati, V. Ramesh, S. Sundar, G. Schonian, J. C. Dujardin, and P. Salotra, Drug susceptibility in leishmania isolates following miltefosine treatment in cases of visceral leishmaniasis and post kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases* 6, e1657 (2012).
49. T. P. C. Dorlo, M. Balasegaram, J. H. Beijnen, and P. J. de Vries, Miltefosine: A review of its pharmacology and therapeutic efficacy in the treatment of leishmaniasis. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 67, 2576 (2012).
50. E. P. N. Gadelha, S. Talhari, J. A. D. Guerra, L. O. Neves, C. Talhari, B. Gontijo, R. M. da Silva, and A. C. Talhari, Efficacy and safety of a single dose pentamidine (7 mg/kg) for patients with cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *L. guyanensis*: A pilot study. *Anais Brasileiros De Dermatologia*. 90, 807 (2015).
51. J. van Griensven and E. Diro, Visceral leishmaniasis. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America* 26, 309 (2012).
52. S. Singh and R. Sivakumar, Challenges and new discoveries in the treatment of leishmaniasis. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy* 10, 307 (2004).
53. N. K. Verma and C. S. Dey, The anti-leishmanial drug miltefosine causes insulin resistance in skeletal muscle cells *in vitro*. *Diabetologia*. 49, 1656 (2006).
54. R. Laniado-Laborin and M. N. Cabrales-Vargas, Amphotericin B: Side effects and toxicity. *Revista. Iberoamericana De Micologia*. 26, 223 (2009).
55. A. L. R. de Souza, C. Kiill, F. dos Santos, G. da Luz, H. Rocha e Silva, M. Chorilli, and M. Gremiao, Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for dermatomycosis treatment. *Current Nanoscience* 8, 512 (2012).
56. V. Wagner, A. Dullaart, A. K. Bock, and A. Zweck, The emerging nanomedicine landscape. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1211 (2006).
57. G. Tiwari, R. Tiwari, B. Sriwastawa, L. Bhati, S. Pandey, P. Pandey, and S. K. Bannerjee, Drug delivery systems: An updated review. *Int. J. Pharm. Investig.* 2, 2 (2012).
58. Y. Zhai and G. Zhai, Advances in lipid-based colloid systems as drug carrier for topic delivery. *J. Control Release* 193, 90 (2014).
59. M. F. Zampa, I. M. Araújo, V. Costa, C. H. Nery Costa, J. R. Santos, V. Zucolotto, C. Eiras, and J. R. Leite, Leishmanicidal activity and immobilization of dermaseptin 01 antimicrobial peptides in ultrathin films for nanomedicine applications. *Nanomedicine* 5, 352 (2009).
60. T. G. Ribeiro, M. A. Chávez-Fumagalli, D. G. Valadares, J. R. França, L. B. Rodrigues, M. C. Duarte, P. S. Lage, P. H. Andrade, D. P. Lage, L. V. Arruda, D. R. Abánades, L. E. Costa, V. T. Martins, C. A. Tavares, R. O. Castilho, E. A. Coelho, and A. A. Faraco, Novel targeting using nanoparticles: An approach to the development of an effective anti-leishmanial drug-delivery system. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 9, 877 (2014).
61. T. G. Ribeiro, J. R. França, L. L. Fuscaldi, M. L. Santos, M. C. Duarte, P. S. Lage, V. T. Martins, L. E. Costa, S. O. Fernandes, V. N. Cardoso, R. O. Castilho, M. Soto, C. A. Tavares, A. A. Faraco, E. A. Coelho, and M. A. Chávez-Fumagalli, An optimized nanoparticle delivery system based on chitosan and chondroitin sulfate molecules reduces the toxicity of amphotericin B and is effective in treating tegumentary leishmaniasis. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 9, 5341 (2014).
62. E. Moreno, J. Schwartz, C. Fernández, C. Sanmartín, P. Nguewa, J. M. Irache, and S. Espuelas, Nanoparticles as multifunctional devices for the topical treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv.* 11, 579 (2014).
63. H. Zazo, C. I. Colino, and J. M. Lanao, Current applications of nanoparticles in infectious diseases. *J. Controlled Release* 224, 86 (2016).
64. S. D. Mahajan, R. Aalinkel, W.-C. Law, J. L. Reynolds, B. B. Nair, D. E. Sykes, K.-T. Yong, I. Roy, P. N. Prasad, and S. A. Schwartz, Anti-HIV-1 nanotherapeutics: Promises and challenges for the future. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 7, 5301 (2012).
65. J. Turkevich, Colloidal gold, part I. historical and preparative aspects, morphology and structure. *Gold Bulletin* 18, 86 (1985).
66. B. Fonseca-Santos, M. P. D. Gremiao, and M. Chorilli, Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for the treatment of alzheimer's disease. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 10, 4981 (2015).
67. D. D. Lasic, Novel applications of liposomes. *Trends in Biotechnology* 16, 307 (1998).
68. M. Owais and C. M. Gupta, Targeted drug delivery to macrophages in parasitic infections. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 2, 311 (2005).
69. R. A. Schwendener, Liposomes in biology and medicine. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 620, 117 (2007).
70. R. R. Ribeiro, E. P. Moura, V. M. Pimentel, W. M. Sampaio, S. M. Silva, D. A. Schettini, C. F. Alves, F. A. Melo, W. L. Tafuri, C. Demicheli, M. N. Melo, F. Frézard, and M. S. Michalick, Reduced tissue parasitic load and infectivity to sand flies in dogs naturally infected by *Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi* following treatment with a liposome formulation of meglumine antimoniate. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 52, 2564 (2008).
71. S. Sundar and J. Chakravarty, Liposomal amphotericin B and leishmaniasis: Dose and response. *J. Glob. Infect. Dis.* 2, 159 (2010).
72. F. M. Ferreira, R. A. Castro, M. A. Batista, F. M. Rossi, D. Silveira-Lemos, F. Frézard, S. A. Moura, and S. A. Rezende, Association of water extract of green propolis and liposomal meglumine antimoniate in the treatment of experimental visceral leishmaniasis. *Parasitol Res.* 113, 533 (2014).
73. M. K. Basu and S. Lala, Macrophage specific drug delivery in experimental leishmaniasis. *Current Molecular Medicine* 4, 681 (2004).
74. J. P. Gangneux, A. Sulahian, Y. J. F. Garin, and F. Derouin, Lipid formulations of amphotericin B in the treatment of experimental visceral leishmaniasis due to *Leishmania infantum*. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 90, 574 (1996).
75. S. Sundar, H. Mehta, A. V. Suresh, S. P. Singh, M. Rai, and H. W. Murray, Amphotericin B treatment for Indian visceral leishmaniasis: Conventional versus lipid formulations. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 38, 377 (2004).
76. S. Sundar, J. Chakravarty, D. Agarwal, M. Rai, and H. W. Murray, Single-dose liposomal amphotericin B for visceral leishmaniasis in india. *New England Journal of Medicine* 362, 504 (2010).
77. R. T. Proffitt, A. Satorius, S. M. Chiang, L. Sullivan, and J. P. Adlermoore, Pharmacology and toxicology of a liposomal formulation of amphotericin-b (ambisome) in rodents. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 28, 49 (1991).
78. L. Gradoni, K. Soteriadou, H. Louzir, A. Dakkak, S. O. Toz, C. Jaffe, J.-P. Dedet, L. Campino, C. Canavate, and J.-C. Dujardin,

- Drug regimens for visceral leishmaniasis in Mediterranean countries. *Tropical Medicine and Amp; International Health* 13, 1272 (2008).
79. S. Mondal, P. Bhattacharya, M. Rahaman, N. Ali, and R. P. Goswami, A curative immune profile one week after treatment of indian kala-azar patients predicts success with a short-course liposomal amphotericin b therapy. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases* 4, e764 (2010).
 80. S. Sundar, P. K. Sinha, M. Rai, D. K. Verma, K. Nawin, S. Alam, J. Chakravarty, M. Vaillant, N. Verma, K. Pandey, P. Kumari, C. S. Lal, R. Arora, B. Sharma, S. Ellis, N. Strub-Wourgaft, M. Balasegaram, P. Oliario, P. Das, and F. Modabber, Comparison of short-course multidrug treatment with standard therapy for visceral leishmaniasis in india: An open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 377, 477 (2011).
 81. S. Sundar, A. Singh, D. Agarwal, M. Rai, N. Agrawal, and J. Chakravarty, Safety and efficacy of high-dose infusions of a pre-formed amphotericin b fat emulsion for treatment of indian visceral leishmaniasis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 80, 700 (2009).
 82. S. Sundar, H. Mehta, A. Chhabra, V. Singh, V. Chauhan, P. Desjeux, and M. Rai, Amphotericin B colloidal dispersion for the treatment of indian visceral leishmaniasis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 42, 608 (2006).
 83. V. Yardley and S. L. Croft, Activity of liposomal amphotericin B against experimental cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother* 41, 752 (1997).
 84. E. K. Elmahallawy and A. Agil, Treatment of leishmaniasis: A review and assessment of recent research. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 21, 2259 (2015).
 85. M. Paul, R. Durand, H. Fessi, D. Rivollet, R. Houin, A. Astier, and M. Deniau, Activity of a new liposomal formulation of amphotericin B against two strains of Leishmania infantum in a murine model. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 41, 1731 (1997).
 86. J. D. Berman, W. L. Hanson, W. L. Chapman, C. R. Alving, and G. Lopez-Berestein, Antileishmanial activity of liposome-encapsulated amphotericin B in hamsters and monkeys. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 30, 847 (1986).
 87. W. H. O. WHO, Control of leishmaniasis, Report of a meeting of the WHO expert committee on the control of leishmaniasis, Sponsored by World Health Organization, Geneva, March (2010).
 88. S. Burza, P. K. Sinha, R. Mahajan, M. A. Lima, G. Mitra, N. Verma, M. Balasegaram, M. Balasegarem, and P. Das, Five-year field results and long-term effectiveness of 20 mg/kg liposomal amphotericin B (Ambisome) for visceral leishmaniasis in bihar, India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 8, e2603 (2014).
 89. S. Burza, R. Mahajan, P. K. Sinha, J. van Griensven, K. Pandey, M. Angeles Lima, M. Gonzalez Sanz, T. Sunyoto, S. Kumar, G. Mitra, R. Kumar, N. Verma, and P. Das, Visceral leishmaniasis and HIV co-infection in bihar, India: Long-term effectiveness and treatment outcomes with liposomal amphotericin B (amBisome). *Plos Negl. Trop. Dis.* 8, e3053 (2014).
 90. S. A. M. Kalat, A. Khamesipour, N. Bavarsad, M. Fallah, Z. Khashayarmanesh, E. Feizi, K. Neghabi, A. Abbasi, and M. R. Jaafari, Use of topical liposomes containing meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime) for the treatment of L-major lesion in BALB/c mice. *Experimental Parasitology* 143, 5 (2014).
 91. S. E. Borborema, R. A. Schwendener, J. A. Osso, H. F. de Andrade, and N. do Nascimento, Uptake and antileishmanial activity of meglumine antimoniate-containing liposomes in Leishmania (Leishmania) major-infected macrophages. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 38, 341 (2011).
 92. D. A. Schettini, R. R. Ribeiro, C. Demicheli, O. G. F. Rocha, M. N. Melo, M. S. M. Michalick, and F. Frezard, Improved targeting of antimony to the bone marrow of dogs using liposomes of reduced size. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* 315, 140 (2006).
 93. D. A. Schettini, A. P. C. Val, L. F. Souza, C. Demicheli, O. G. F. Rocha, M. N. Melo, M. S. M. Michalick, and F. Frezard, Pharmacokinetic and parasitological evaluation of the bone marrow of dogs with visceral leishmaniasis submitted to multiple dose treatment with liposome-encapsulated meglumine antimoniate. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research* 38, 1879 (2005).
 94. R. Sinha, J. Roychoudhury, P. Palit, and N. Ali, Cationic liposomal sodium stibogluconate (ssg), a potent therapeutic tool for treatment of infection by ssg-sensitive and -resistant leishmania donovani. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 59, 344 (2014).
 95. J. Roychoudhury, R. Sinha, and N. Ali, Therapy with sodium stibogluconate in stearylamine-bearing liposomes confers cure against ssg-resistant leishmania donovani in BALB/c mice. *Plos One* 6, e17376 (2011).
 96. F. L. Ahsan, I. P. Rivas, M. A. Khan, and A. I. T. Suarez, Targeting to macrophages: Role of physicochemical properties of particulate carriers-liposomes and microspheres-on the phagocytosis by macrophages. *J. Controlled Release* 79, 29 (2002).
 97. D. Singodia, A. Verma, R. K. Verma, and P. R. Mishra, Investigations into an alternate approach to target mannose receptors on macrophages using 4-sulfated N-acetyl galactosamine more efficiently in comparison with mannose-decorated liposomes: An application in drug delivery. *Nanomedicine-Nanotechnology Biology and Medicine* 8, 468 (2012).
 98. P. Y. Guru, A. K. Agrawal, U. K. Singha, A. Singhal, and C. M. Gupta, Drug targeting in leishmania-donovani infections using tuftsin-bearing liposomes as drug vehicles. *Febs. Letters* 245, 204 (1989).
 99. A. K. Agrawal, A. Agrawal, A. Pal, P. Y. Guru, and C. M. Gupta, Superior chemotherapeutic efficacy of amphotericin B in tuftsin-bearing liposomes against leishmania donovani infection in hamsters. *J. Drug Target* 10, 41 (2002).
 100. S. Bamrungsap, Z. Zhao, T. Chen, L. Wang, C. Li, T. Fu, and W. Tan, Nanotechnology in therapeutics: A focus on nanoparticles as a drug delivery system. *Nanomedicine* 7, 1253 (2012).
 101. D. Lembo and R. Cavalli, Nanoparticulate delivery systems for antiviral drugs. *Antiviral Chem. Chemother*. 21, 53 (2010).
 102. H. Hillaireau and P. Couvreur, Nanocarriers' entry into the cell: Relevance to drug delivery. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 66, 2873 (2009).
 103. R. A. Jain, The manufacturing techniques of various drug loaded biodegradable poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) devices. *Biomaterials* 21, 2475 (2000).
 104. K. S. Soppimath, T. M. Aminabhavi, A. R. Kulkarni, and W. E. Rudzinski, Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles as drug delivery devices. *J. Controlled Release* 70, 1 (2001).
 105. R. Gaspar, V. Preat, F. R. Opperdoes, and M. Roland, Macrophage activation by polymeric nanoparticles of polyalkylcyanoacrylates-activity against intracellular leishmania-donovani associated with hydrogen-peroxide production. *Pharm. Res.* 9, 782 (1992).
 106. H. Van de Ven, C. Paulussen, P. B. Feijens, A. Matheessen, P. Rombaut, P. Kayaert, G. Van den Mooter, W. Weyenberg, P. Cos, L. Maes, and A. Ludwig, PLGA nanoparticles and nanosuspensions with amphotericin B: Potent *in vitro* and *in vivo* alternatives to Fungizone and AmBisome. *J. Controlled Release* 161, 795 (2012).
 107. R. F. de Carvalho, I. F. Ribeiro, A. L. Miranda-Vilela, J. de Souza Filho, O. P. Martins, D. E. O. Cintra e Silva, A. C. Tedesco, Z. G. Lacava, S. N. Bão, and R. N. Sampaio, Leishmanicidal activity of amphotericin B encapsulated in PLGA-DMSA nanoparticles to treat cutaneous leishmaniasis in C57BL/6 mice. *Exp. Parasitol* 135, 217 (2013).
 108. N. T. Huynh, C. Passirani, P. Saulnier, and J. P. Benoit, Lipid nanocapsules: A new platform for nanomedicine. *Int. J. Pharm.* 379, 201 (2009).
 109. B. Heurtault, P. Saulnier, B. Pech, J. E. Proust, and J. P. Benoit, A novel phase inversion-based process for the preparation of lipid nanocarriers. *Pharm. Res.* 19, 875 (2002).

110. X. Serrano-Martin, G. Payares, M. De Lucca, J. Carlos Martinez, A. Mendoza-Leon, and G. Benaim, Amiodarone and miltefosine act synergistically against leishmania mexicana and can induce parasitological cure in a murine model of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 5108 (2009).
111. R. A. Moreira, S. A. Mendanha, K. S. Fernandes, G. G. Matos, L. Alonso, M. L. Dorta, and A. Alonso, Miltefosine increases lipid and protein dynamics in leishmania amazonensis membranes at concentrations similar to those needed for cytotoxicity activity. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58, 3021 (2014).
112. M. M. Eissa, R. M. El-Moslemany, A. A. Ramadan, E. I. Amer, M. Z. El-Azzouni, and L. K. El-Khordagui, Miltefosine lipid nanocapsules for single dose oral treatment of schistosomiasis mansoni: A preclinical study. *Plos One* 10, e0141788 (2015).
113. A. A. Date, M. D. Joshi, and V. B. Patravale, Parasitic diseases: Liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles versus lipid nanoparticles. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 59, 505 (2007).
114. V. P. Torchilin, Multifunctional, stimuli-sensitive nanoparticulate systems for drug delivery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 13, 813 (2014).
115. C. Schwarz, W. Mehnert, J. S. Lucks, and R. H. Muller, Solid lipid nanoparticles (sln) for controlled drug-delivery.1. production, characterization and sterilization. *J. Controlled Release* 30, 83 (1994).
116. M. R. Sato, P. B. da Silva, R. A. de Souza, K. C. dos Santos, and M. Chorilli, Recent advances in nanoparticle carriers for coordination complexes. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 15, 287 (2015).
117. A. Asilian, A. Sadeghinia, G. Faghihi, A. Momeni, and A. Amini Harandi, The efficacy of treatment with intralesional meglumine antimoniate alone, compared with that of cryotherapy combined with the meglumine antimoniate or intralesional sodium stibogluconate, in the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol* 97, 493 (2003).
118. S. H. Elsafi, A. G. Murphy, A. D. M. Bryceson, and R. A. Neal, A double-blind clinical-trial of the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis with paromomycin ointment. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 84, 690 (1990).
119. A. Asilian, T. Jalayer, J. A. G. Whitworth, R. L. Ghasemi, M. Nilforooshzadeh, and P. Oliario, A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of a two-week regimen of aminosidine (paromomycin) ointment for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis in iran. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 53, 648 (1995).
120. A. Bensalah, H. Zakraoui, A. Zaatour, A. Ftaiti, B. Zaafour, A. Garraoui, P. L. Oliario, K. Dellagi, and R. Benismail, A randomized, placebo-controlled trial in tunisia treating cutaneous leishmaniasis with paromomycin ointment. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 53, 162 (1995).
121. A. Asilian, T. Jayaler, M. Nilforooshzadeh, R. L. Ghassemi, R. Peto, S. Wayling, P. Oliario, and F. Modabber, Treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis with aminosidine (paromomycin) ointment: Double-blind, randomized trial in the islamic republic of iran. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 81, 353 (2003).
122. F. Iraj and A. Sadeghinia, Efficacy of paromomycin ointment in the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis: Results of a double-blind, randomized trial in isfahan, iran. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 99, 3 (2005).
123. G. Faghihi and R. Tavakoli-Kia, Treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis with either topical paromomycin or intralesional meglumine antimoniate. *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology* 28, 13 (2003).
124. B. Shazad, B. Abbaszadeh, and A. Khamesipour, Comparison of topical paromomycin sulfate (twice/day) with intralesional meglumine antimoniate for the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by L. major. *European Journal of Dermatology* 15, 85 (2005).
125. G. Carneiro, D. C. M. Santos, M. C. Oliveira, A. P. Fernandes, L. S. Ferreira, G. A. Ramaltes, E. A. Nunan, and L. A. M. Ferreira, Topical delivery and *in vivo* antileishmanial activity of paromomycin-loaded liposomes for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Journal of Liposome Research* 20, 16 (2010).
126. T. Geetha, M. Kapila, O. Prakash, P. K. Deol, V. Kakkar, and I. P. Kaur, Sesamol-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles for treatment of skin cancer. *Journal of Drug Targeting* 23, 159 (2015).
127. P. Dwivedi, R. Khatik, K. Khandelwal, R. Shukla, S. K. Paliwal, A. K. Dwivedi, and P. R. Mishra, Preparation and characterization of solid lipid nanoparticles of antimalarial drug arteether for oral administration. *Journal of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering* 4, 133 (2014).
128. C. Olbrich, A. Gessner, O. Kayser, and R. H. Muller, Lipid-drug-conjugate (LDC) nanoparticles as novel carrier system for the hydrophilic antitrypanosomal drug diminazenediacetate. *Journal of Drug Targeting* 10, 387 (2002).
129. V. Jain, A. Gupta, V. K. Pawar, S. Asthana, A. K. Jaiswal, A. Dube, and M. K. Chourasia, Chitosan-assisted immunotherapy for intervention of experimental leishmaniasis via amphotericin b-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 174, 1309 (2014).
130. M. Ghadiri, A. Vatanara, D. Doroud, S. Fatemi, and A. R. Najafabadi, Paromomycin loaded solid lipid nanoparticles: Characterization of production parameters. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering* 16, 1273 (2011).
131. M. H. Kharaji, D. Doroud, T. Taheri, and S. Rafati, Drug targeting to macrophages with solid lipid nanoparticles harboring paromomycin: An *in vitro* evaluation against L. major and L. tropica. *AAPS PharmSciTech.* 17, 1110 (2015).
132. F. Frezard and C. Demicheli, New delivery strategies for the old pentavalent antimonial drugs. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery* 7, 1343 (2010).
133. C. L. Fang, S. A. Al-Suwayeh, and J. Y. Fang, Nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) for drug delivery and targeting. *Recent Pat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 41 (2013).
134. A. Descoteaux, S. J. Turco, D. L. Sacks, and G. Matlashewski, Leishmania-donovani lipophosphoglycan selectively inhibits signal transduction in macrophages. *Journal of Immunology* 146, 2747 (1991).
135. A. L. Sorensen, A. S. Hey, and A. Kharazmi, Leishmania-major surface protease gp63 interferes with the function of human monocytes and neutrophils *in-vitro*. *Apmis.* 102, 265 (1994).
136. K. J. Moore and G. Matlashewski, Intracellular infection by leishmania-donovani inhibits macrophage apoptosis. *Journal of Immunology* 152, 2930 (1994).
137. N. E. Reiner, W. Ng, and W. R. McMaster, Parasite-accessory cell-interactions in murine leishmaniasis. 2. leishmania-donovani suppresses macrophage expression of class-i and class-ii major histocompatibility complex gene-products. *Journal of Immunology* 138, 1926 (1987).
138. S. D. Leao, T. Lang, E. Prina, R. Hellio, and J. C. Antoine, Intracellular leishmania-amazonensis amastigotes internalize and degrade mhc class-ii molecules of their host-cells. *J. Cell Sci.* 108, 3219 (1995).
139. S. Frankenburg, V. Leibovici, N. Mansbach, S. J. Turco, and G. Rosen, Effect of glycolipids of leishmania parasites on human monocyte activity-inhibition by lipophosphoglycan. *Journal of Immunology* 145, 4284 (1990).
140. S. M. Puentes, R. P. Dasilva, D. L. Sacks, C. H. Hammer, and K. A. Joiner, Serum resistance of metacyclic stage leishmania-major promastigotes is due to release of C5B-9. *Journal of Immunology* 145, 4311 (1990).
141. A. Brittingham, C. J. Morrison, W. R. McMaster, B. S. McGwire, K. P. Chang, and D. M. Mosser, Role of the leishmania surface protease gp63 in complement-fixation, cell-adhesion, and resistance to complement-mediated lysis. *Journal of Immunology* 155, 3102 (1995).

142. T. Hermoso, Z. Fishelson, S. I. Becker, K. Hirschberg, and C. L. Jaffe, Leishmanial protein-kinases phosphorylate components of the complement-system. *Embo J.* 10, 4061 (1991).
143. C. Prive and A. Descoteaux, Leishmania donovani promastigotes evade the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases p38, c-Jun N-terminal kinase, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase-1/2 during infection of naive macrophages. *European Journal of Immunology* 30, 2235 (2000).
144. G. Peluso, O. Petillo, M. Ranieri, M. Santin, L. Ambrosio, D. Calabro, B. Avallone, and G. Balsamo, Chitosan-mediated stimulation of macrophage function. *Biomaterials* 15, 1215 (1994).
145. W. G. Seo, H. O. Pae, N. Y. Kim, G. S. Oh, I. S. Park, Y. H. Kim, Y. M. Kim, Y. Lee, C. D. Jun, and H. T. Chung, Synergistic cooperation between water-soluble chitosan oligomers and interferon-gamma for induction of nitric oxide synthesis and tumoricidal activity in murine peritoneal macrophages. *Cancer Letters* 159, 189 (2000).
146. Z. J. Yu, L. H. Zhao, and H. P. Ke, Potential role of nuclear factor-kappaB in the induction of nitric oxide and tumor necrosis factor-alpha by oligochitosan in macrophages. *International Immunopharmacology* 4, 193 (2004).
147. J. M. Sarciaux, L. Acar, and P. A. Sado, Using microemulsion formulations for oral-drug delivery of therapeutic peptides. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* 120, 127 (1995).
148. P. P. Constantinides, Lipid microemulsions for improving drug dissolution and oral absorption-physical and biopharmaceutical aspects. *Pharmaceutical Research* 12, 1561 (1995).
149. E. S. Swenson and W. J. Curatolo, Intestinal permeability enhancement for proteins, peptides and other polar drugs-mechanisms and potential toxicity. 2. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* 8, 39 (1992).
150. G. Venkatesh, M. I. A. Majid, S. M. Mansor, N. K. Nair, S. L. Croft, and V. Navaratnam, *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of self-microemulsifying drug delivery system of buparvaquone. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy* 36, 735 (2010).
151. A. C. Bains, M. A. Silva, M. Kogake, L. A. Videla, and V. B. Junqueira, Influence of lindane and paraquat on oxidative stress-related parameters of erythrocytes *in vitro*. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 13, 461 (1994).
152. A. Bianco, K. Kostarelos, C. D. Partidos, and M. Prato, Biomedical applications of functionalised carbon nanotubes. *Chemical Communications* 5, 571 (2005).
153. K. Balasubramanian and M. Burghard, Chemically functionalized carbon nanotubes. *Small* 1, 180 (2005).
154. V. K. Prajapati, K. Awasthi, S. Gautam, T. P. Yadav, M. Rai, O. N. Srivastava, and S. Sundar, Targeted killing of Leishmania donovani *in vivo* and *in vitro* with amphotericin B attached to functionalized carbon nanotubes. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 66, 874 (2011).
155. P. Saudagar and V. K. Dubey, Carbon nanotube based betulin formulation shows better efficacy against leishmania parasite. *Parasitology International* 63, 772 (2014).
156. J. W. Card, T. S. Jonaitis, S. Tafazoli, and B. A. Magnuson, An appraisal of the published literature on the safety and toxicity of food-related nanomaterials. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* 41, 20 (2011).
157. J. Lazarovits, Y. Y. Chen, E. A. Sykes, and W. C. W. Chan, Nanoparticle-blood interactions: The implications on solid tumour targeting. *Chemical Communications* 51, 2756 (2015).
158. T. Borel and C. M. Sabliov, Nanodelivery of bioactive components for food applications: Types of delivery systems, properties, and their effect on adme profiles and toxicity of nanoparticles. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology* 5, 197 (2014).
159. G. Sahay, D. Y. Alakhova, and A. V. Kabanov, Endocytosis of nanomedicines. *J. Controlled Release* 145, 182 (2010).
160. S. Naahidi, M. Jafari, F. Edalat, K. Raymond, A. Khademhosseini, and P. Chen, Biocompatibility of engineered nanoparticles for drug delivery. *J. Controlled Release* 166, 182 (2013).
161. J. K. Vasir and V. Labhasetwar, Biodegradable nanoparticles for cytosolic delivery of therapeutics. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* 59, 718 (2007).
162. E. Briones, C. I. Colino, and J. M. Lanao, Delivery systems to increase the selectivity of antibiotics in phagocytic cells. *Journal of Controlled Release* 125, 210 (2008).
163. T. D. Heath, N. G. Lopez, and D. Papahadjopoulos, The effects of liposome size and surface-charge on liposome-mediated delivery of methotrexate-gamma-aspartate to cells-*in vitro*. *Biochimica. Et. Biophysica. Acta.* 820, 74 (1985).
164. A. Raz, C. Bucana, W. E. Fogler, G. Poste, and I. J. Fidler, Biochemical, morphological, and ultrastructural studies on the uptake of liposomes by murine macrophages. *Cancer Research* 41, 487 (1981).
165. J. M. D. Balanco, M. E. C. Moreira, A. Bonomo, P. T. Bozza, G. Amarante-Mendes, C. Pirmez, and M. A. Barcinski, Apoptotic mimicry by an obligate intracellular parasite downregulates macrophage microbicidal activity. *Current Biology* 11, 1870 (2001).
166. V. A. Fadok, A. de Cathelineau, D. L. Daleke, P. M. Henson, and D. L. Bratton, Loss of phospholipid asymmetry and surface exposure of phosphatidylserine is required for phagocytosis of apoptotic cells by macrophages and fibroblasts. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 1071 (2001).
167. K. Murugan, Y. E. Choonara, P. Kumar, D. Bijukumar, L. C. du Toit, and V. Pillay, Parameters and characteristics governing cellular internalization and trans-barrier trafficking of nanostructures. *International Journal of Nanomedicine* 10, 2191 (2015).
168. F. Meheus, M. Balasegaram, P. Oliaro, S. Sundar, S. Rijal, M. Abul Faiz, and M. Boelaert, Cost-effectiveness analysis of combination therapies for visceral leishmaniasis in the indian subcontinent. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases* 4, e818 (2010).
169. A. H. A. M. Ahmed, S. Brocchini, and S. L. Croft, Recent advances in development of amphotericin B formulations for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 25, 695 (2012).
170. World Health Organization/Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases, edited by Peter Holmes (2015), ISBN: 978 92 4 156 486 1, WHO reference number: WHO/HTM/NTD/2015.1.
171. C. Bern, J. Adler-Moore, J. Berenguer, M. Boelaert, M. den Boer, R. N. Davidson, C. Figueras, L. Gradoni, D. A. Kafetzis, K. Ritmeijer, E. Rosenthal, C. Royce, R. Russo, S. Sundar, and J. Alvar, Liposomal amphotericin B for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 43, 917 (2006).
172. E. Marengo, R. Cavalli, O. Caputo, L. Rodriguez, and M. R. Gasco, Scale-up of the preparation process of solid lipid nanospheres. Part I. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* 205, 3 (2000).