blood

2003 101: 1155-1163
Prepublished online September 12, 2002;
doi:10.1182/blood-2002-02-0569

A macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor–green fluorescent protein transgene is expressed throughout the mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse

R. Tedjo Sasmono, Delvac Oceandy, Jeffrey W. Pollard, Wei Tong, Paul Pavli, Brandon J. Wainwright, Michael C. Ostrowski, S. Roy Himes and David A. Hume

Updated information and services can be found at:

<http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/content/101/3/1155.full.html>

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following Blood collections

[Gene Expression](#) (1086 articles)

[Phagocytes](#) (974 articles)

Information about reproducing this article in parts or in its entirety may be found online at:

http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#repub_requests

Information about ordering reprints may be found online at:

<http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#reprints>

Information about subscriptions and ASH membership may be found online at:

<http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/site/subscriptions/index.xhtml>

Blood (print ISSN 0006-4971, online ISSN 1528-0020), is published weekly by the American Society of Hematology, 2021 L St, NW, Suite 900, Washington DC 20036.

Copyright 2011 by The American Society of Hematology; all rights reserved.

A macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor–green fluorescent protein transgene is expressed throughout the mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse

R. Tedjo Sasmono, Delvac Oceandy, Jeffrey W. Pollard, Wei Tong, Paul Pavli, Brandon J. Wainwright, Michael C. Ostrowski, S. Roy Himes, and David A. Hume

The *c-fms* gene encodes the receptor for macrophage colony-stimulating factor (CSF-1). The gene is expressed selectively in the macrophage and trophoblast cell lineages. Previous studies have indicated that sequences in intron 2 control transcript elongation in tissue-specific and regulated expression of *c-fms*. In humans, an alternative promoter was implicated in expression of the gene in trophoblasts. We show that in mice, *c-fms* transcripts in trophoblasts initiate from multiple points within the 2-kilobase (kb) region flanking the first coding exon. A reporter gene construct containing 3.5 kb of 5' flanking sequence and the down-

stream intron 2 directed expression of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) to both trophoblasts and macrophages. EGFP was detected in trophoblasts from the earliest stage of implantation examined at embryonic day 7.5. During embryonic development, EGFP highlighted the large numbers of *c-fms*-positive macrophages, including those that originate from the yolk sac. In adult mice, EGFP location was consistent with known F4/80-positive macrophage populations, including Langerhans cells of the skin, and permitted convenient sorting of isolated tissue macrophages from disaggregated tissue. Expression of EGFP in

transgenic mice was dependent on intron 2 as no lines with detectable EGFP expression were obtained where either all of intron 2 or a conserved enhancer element FIRE (the *Fms* intronic regulatory element) was removed. We have therefore defined the elements required to generate myeloid- and trophoblast-specific transgenes as well as a model system for the study of mononuclear phagocyte development and function. (Blood. 2003;101:1155-1163)

© 2003 by The American Society of Hematology

Introduction

The differentiation of macrophages from bone marrow progenitors requires the coordinated expression of many genes needed for mature cell function. This process is controlled by the lineage-specific growth factor, macrophage colony-stimulating factor (CSF-1), which acts by binding to cell-surface receptors (CSF-1R) encoded by the *c-fms* proto-oncogene.¹ We²⁻⁴ and others^{5,6} have studied the transcriptional regulation of the *c-fms* gene as a route to understanding lineage commitment in the macrophage lineage. The *c-fms* mRNA is detectable in the earliest yolk sac phagocytes formed during mouse development, prior to many other markers, including the macrophage-restricted transcription factor PU.1, and the expression in the embryo and adult mouse is largely restricted to cells of the macrophage lineage.^{7,8} The only other major site where the *c-fms* gene is expressed is in placental trophoblasts.^{9,10} In humans, there is a trophoblast-specific promoter that lies at the 3' end of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β (PDGFR- β) gene, some 20 kilobase (kb) upstream of the first coding exon.^{5,11}

The murine exon 2 *c-fms* promoter was more active in transient transfections of a macrophage cell line, RAW264, than in untransfected fibroblasts,¹² but it was also active in a wide range of tumor

cell lines that do not express the full-length endogenous mRNA.^{3,13} Tumor cells in which the promoter was active were shown to produce *c-fms* transcripts that contained exon 2 and extended into the downstream intron 2, but did not have detectable full-length *c-fms* mRNA. Inclusion of intron 2 in reporter gene constructs abolished reporter gene expression in nonmacrophage tumor cells, but significant activity was retained in RAW264 macrophages.³ We showed elsewhere that intron 2 contains a DNase 1 hypersensitive site we refer to as the *Fms* intronic regulatory element (FIRE). FIRE was required for maximal expression of a reporter gene in stably-transfected RAW264 macrophages.⁴ The intronic sequence without FIRE actually profoundly suppressed reporter gene expression driven by the exon 2 promoter.⁴

In this paper, we show that the proximal promoter of *c-fms* combined with the first intron directed consistent expression of the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) reporter gene in the same locations as the endogenous gene, and appropriate expression required the intronic elements described previously. We demonstrate that the *fms*-EGFP reporter gene provides a definitive marker for cells of the mononuclear phagocyte lineage throughout

From the Institute for Molecular Bioscience and ARC Special Research Centre for Functional and Applied Genomics, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; the Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva University, Bronx, NY; The Canberra Hospital, Woden, Australian Capital Territory, Australia; and the Department of Molecular Genetics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH.

Submitted February 21, 2002; accepted September 4, 2002. Prepublished online as *Blood* First Edition Paper, September 12, 2002; DOI 10.1182/blood-2002-02-0569.

Supported by grants from the Australian National Health and Medical Research

Council to D.A.H. R.T.S. and D.O. are recipients of the Australian International Postgraduate Research Scholarship and University of Queensland International Postgraduate Research Scholarship.

Reprints: David A. Hume, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Q4072, Australia; e-mail: d.hume@imb.uq.edu.au.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. section 1734.

© 2003 by The American Society of Hematology

embryonic development, in the bone marrow and peripheral blood and in all adult tissues. These findings provide a framework for the use of the *c-fms* promoter in applications where targeted manipulation of macrophage or trophoblast differentiation in transgenic animals is desired.

Materials and methods

Analysis of *c-fms* transcripts in placental RNA

Total RNA was extracted from embryonic day 14 (e14) placentae as described.⁹ Aliquots were subjected to 4 sets of 5' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) experiments according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) using reverse orientation (3'→5') primers located in exon 2. Primer sequences for 5'RACE experiments are described in Table 1. Products of the reactions were cloned into a TA vector (Invitrogen) and sequenced. The mouse *c-fms* sequence accession number is AF290879.

To construct a representative placental library, poly A⁺-selected e14 placental RNA was converted into cDNA using both random and oligo dT priming. This cDNA was cloned into a λ Zap vector (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). Average library insert size was 1.5 kb and its complexity was 1.5×10^6 independent clones. This library was probed with a [³²P]-dCTP-labeled full-length *c-fms* cDNA in the first round followed by a *c-fms* exon 2 probe in the second round. The 5' ends of the selected inserts were sequenced.

For Northern blot analysis, 20 μ g total RNA was separated by formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred to nylon filters, and probed with a [³²P]-dCTP-labeled cDNA probe specific for exon 1 or 2 of *c-fms*. Samples included RNA from trophoblastic stem cells (TS)¹⁴ (generously provided by Dr Janet Rossant, Toronto, ON, Canada), a macrophage cell line (BAC1.2F5),¹⁵ and e10 and e14 placentae, all of which are *c-fms*-positive^{9,10} as well as the *c-fms*-negative L-cell line and mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEF).

Plasmid constructs

Some of the reporter constructs that were used in this study have been described previously,³ except that the luciferase gene was replaced by an enhanced variant of the green fluorescent protein gene derived from the pEGFP-N1 vector (Clontech). Briefly, the constructs used were the 3.5 kb sequence flanking mouse *c-fms* exon 2 driving the EGFP gene (p3.5*fms*-EGFP), the 3.5 kb exon 2 promoter plus the whole intron 2 sequence driving the EGFP gene (p7.2*fms*-EGFP), and p7.2*fms*-EGFP with the deletion of conserved FIRE region on intron 2 driving the EGFP gene (p7.2*fms* Δ FIRE-EGFP). The 7.2*fms* promoter has been renamed from 6.7*fms*, to reflect accurate sizing based on the full-length sequence (accession number AF290879). All plasmids were prepared on QIAGEN affinity columns according to the manufacturer's instructions. For generation of transgenic mice, plasmid backbones were removed by restriction digestions, and the transgenes used for DNA injection were gel-purified by using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN, Clifton Hill, Victoria, Australia).

Generation of transgenic mice

Transgenic (TG) mice were generated at the Transgenic Animal Service of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia (www.tasq.uq.edu.au) by

injection of the transgenes into pronuclei of (C57BL/6 $\times$ CBA)F₁ (BCBF1) fertilized eggs. TG mice generated were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. The integration of the transgenes was investigated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of tail biopsy DNA, amplifying the EGFP gene by using primers 5'-CTGGTCGAGCTGGACGGC-GACG-3' (forward) and 5'-CACGAATCCAGCAGGACCATG-3' (reverse). The amplification temperatures were 1 minute at 95°C, 1 minute at 60°C, and 1 minute at 72°C for 25 cycles after an initial denaturing step of 5 minutes at 95°C. Southern blot analyses were also conducted on genomic DNAs of some mice for confirmation of genotype using standard protocols.

Cells and tissue culture

Thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages (TEPMs) were isolated from peritoneal cavities after intraperitoneal injections of 1 mL of 10% thioglycollate broth, followed by peritoneal lavage with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 3 to 4 days later. Pulmonary macrophages were obtained from broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL), whereas bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) were obtained by isolation of bone marrow cells from the femurs of adult mice followed by differentiation of cells in complete RPMI medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Serum Supreme, BioWhittaker, Walkersville, MD) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Glutamax, Invitrogen), 20 U/mL penicillin, 20 μ g/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 100 U/mL CSF-1 (Chiron) for 7 days.¹⁶ For dual-color fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of splenocytes (Figure 5A) using Mac-1 (CD11b) and F4/80 antibodies, spleen cells were mechanically disaggregated by mincing the tissues using sterile scalpel blades. Spleen adherent cells were enriched by overnight incubation on bacteriologic petri dishes, to which they adhere weakly, followed by removal of the tissue culture (TC) media and floating cells. The remaining adherent cells were harvested by squirting the petri dish surface with medium using a 20-mL syringe and an 18-gauge needle, and were subjected to FACS analysis after washing in PBS. For FACS analysis of tissue macrophages in spleen, liver, and lung, enzymatic digestions were performed with 0.1 U/mL Collagenase and 0.8 U/mL Dispase (Roche, Castle Hill, Australia) in PBS/20% fetal calf serum (FCS)⁷ for 1 hour at 37°C. Dispersed cells were washed in PBS and strained through 100- μ m cell strainers (BD Falcon, Bedford, MA) and single cell suspensions were subjected to FACS. For isolation of cells from intestinal lamina propria, epithelial cells were washed in calcium- and magnesium-free Hanks balanced salt solution (Invitrogen) and minced tissues were enzymatically digested as described for other tissues above. Disaggregated cells were separated into low- and high-density fractions via centrifugation on Nycodenz (Nycodenz Pharma, Norway) gradient prior to FACS analysis.¹⁷

Trophoblast cell examination in tissue culture was conducted by culturing the ectoplacental cone (EC)¹⁸ from e6.5 transgenic mice embryos. Briefly, the concepti were dissected free from the decidua under a stereomicroscope, the EC was then cultured on each well of 24-well TC plates, in modified Eagle medium (MEM) α -modification medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS, 20 U/mL penicillin, and 20 μ g/mL streptomycin, in the presence or absence of 100 U/mL CSF-1 (Chiron).

Table 1. Primers used in the 5'RACE experiments

Experiment set	Primer name	Sequence	Purpose
First set	JP170	5'-GCCAGCAAATTCGAAGAG-3'	Reverse transcription
	JP164	5'-ATCGCAGGGTCACCGTTTCACCCGGC-3'	First PCR
	JP134	5'-GACCATGCCAAATGTGGCCAGCA-3'	Second PCR
Second set	JP170	5'-GCCAGCAAATTCGAAGAG-3'	Reverse transcription
	JP169	5'-AAGCTCGGTACAACGGTA-3'	First PCR
	JP164	5'-ATCGCAGGGTCACCGTTTCACCCGGC-3'	Second PCR
Third set	JP193	5'-CCAGGGGATAGCGTGAGC-3'	Reverse transcription
	JP192	5-GATGCAGGTTGGAGAGTCG-3'	PCR
Fourth set	JP194	5'-AGGCCCAACTCCATAGC-3'	Reverse transcription
	JP193	5'-CCAGGGGATAGCGTGAGC-3'	PCR

Flow cytometry

Examination of EGFP⁺ cells by flow cytometry was done on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and the data were analyzed on CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson). Isolated macrophages were directly subjected to FACS analyses after being washed and resuspended on IXPBS. For *c-fms* 2-color FACS analyses, isolated cells were washed once in ice-cold PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.1% NaN₃. Nonspecific binding was blocked by incubation of cells in 0.1% goat serum for 15 minutes, followed by incubation with anti-*c-fms* antibody (1:100 dilution of hybridoma supernatant¹⁹) for 30 minutes. Cells were then washed with PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.1% NaN₃, and incubated with goat anti-rat phycoerythrin (PE) F(ab')₂ (Serotec, Oxford, United Kingdom) for 30 minutes. Cells were subsequently washed with PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.1% NaN₃, resuspended, and analyzed by FACS. For Mac-1 and F4/80 staining, the same procedure was conducted, except that PE-conjugated anti-Mac-1 or anti-F4/80 (Serotec) antibodies were used. For peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) analysis, mature red cells were lysed with lysis buffer (155 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, and 0.1 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid]) prior to antibody staining.

Histology and EGFP examination

Various tissues were directly fixed for 2 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS after dissections, followed by an overnight incubation in 18% sucrose at 4°C. On the following day, tissues were embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Finetech, Tokyo, Japan) and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen sections (8 μm to 12 μm thick) were cut at -16°C with a LEICA cryostat model CM3050 (Leica Instrument, Germany), and mounted in DAKO fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO, CA). The fluorescence of the EGFP was visualized under an Olympus AX70 microscope (Olympus Australia, Melbourne) and pictures were either taken using Kodak film or digitally acquired using Spot RT Colour digital camera model 2.2.0 (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI). For Langerhans cell examination, mouse ears were separated into dorsal and ventral halves with forceps. Dorsal ear halves were incubated in 20 mM EDTA/PBS for 1 hour. Epidermal sheets were then mounted on glass slides. For whole-mount embryo observations, freshly dissected embryos were usually unfixed or fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and visualized in PBS under an inverted Olympus AX70 microscope. Yolk sac examination was performed in embryos derived from nontransgenic mothers mated to transgenic males.

Results

Start site analysis

The region flanking the first coding exon (exon 2) in both mouse and human genes contains the transcription start site used in macrophages. Matrix alignment of the region flanking this exon in mouse (accession number AF290879) and human (U63963, X14 720) reveals no sequence homology outside of the 3' end of the PDGFR gene and the 500-bp flanking exon 2 (data not shown). This finding suggested that the exon 1 promoter identified in the human *c-fms* gene, immediately distal to the PDGFR locus,^{5,11} might not be conserved in the mouse.

To determine whether mouse and human genes employ different transcriptional mechanisms to express *c-fms* mRNA in trophoblastic tissues, we performed systematic 5'RACE analyses on mouse e14 placental RNA utilizing primers based on the known mouse exon 2 sequence. The products were sequenced and revealed a heterogeneous set of spliced transcripts arising from the region from -280 to -400 relative to the *fms* ATG start codon in exon 2. To further explore the sequences of the trophoblastic transcriptional start site, an e14 placental library was probed with *c-fms* as described in "Materials and methods." Sequences in the isolated clones upstream of exon 2 confirmed the heterogeneous nature of the upstream sequences with no clones extending beyond -400. The

start sites and splicing patterns of various transcripts are shown in Figure 1A. These data indicate that mouse placenta utilizes a quite distinct promoter from the human choriocarcinoma lines previously studied. Figure 1B shows the splicing variants of exon 1. Of the splice donor sites used in the mouse transcripts, only the downstream site at -268 has a likely equivalent in the human gene.

Figure 1. DNA sequence of the upstream elements of the mouse *c-fms* gene encompassing exon 1. Panel A shows 1 kb of the 5' upstream sequence before the translational start site "ATG" (boxed) within exon 2. The arrow at "-72" indicates the beginning of exon 2. The arrowheads indicate the 3' boundaries of exon 1. Boldfaced letters specify the splicing donor and acceptor sites. The sequence of exon 1 probe used for analysis in Figure 2 is underlined. (B) The splicing variants of exon 1. The number of arrowheads at each location represents the number of appearances of different exon 1 start sites from the sum of both the 5'RACE and e14 placental library screening experiments. GA and GT are splicing donor sites while AG and CAG are splice acceptors. The arrow shows the beginning of exon 2.

Figure 2. Differential expression of murine exon 1 in trophoblast cells. (A) Exon 1 is expressed only in mouse placenta. This panel shows 20 μ g total RNA from L cells, a fibroblast cell line which does not express the *fms* gene, *c-fms*-positive macrophage cell line BAC1.2F5 cells, and e10 and e14 mouse placental RNA, probed with either an exon 2 or an exon 1 probe. (B) Exon 1 is expressed in trophoblastic cells. BAC1.2F5, mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs), trophoblastic stem cells (TSs), or e14 placentae were probed with exon 1 or exon 2 probes. In each panel the ethidium-bromide staining of 18S rRNA was used to monitor the loading of the RNAs.

To confirm the 5'RACE data, separate probes were constructed to detect the putative exon 1 sequence and used in Northern analysis. Figure 2A-B shows that an exon 1 probe detected *c-fms* mRNA in the TS trophoblast cell line, and in e10 and e14 placentae, where *c-fms* mRNA in the macrophage line BAC1.2F5 was detected only with an exon 2 probe. No transcripts for either exon were detected in mouse L cells or MEFs, neither of which express *c-fms*. These data show the presence of immediate upstream sequences of exon 2 which are uniquely expressed in trophoblastic tissues.

Transgenic animal characterization

The 7.2*fms*-EGFP was microinjected into mouse embryos by standard methods, and progeny were screened for incorporation of the transgene by PCR and Southern blot (data not shown) analyses. There were 6 transgenic lines produced, but one did not transmit the transgene. For all of the 7.2*fms*-EGFP transgenic lines, EGFP was detected readily in peritoneal, bone marrow-derived, and broncho-alveolar lavage macrophages (Figure 3B). The level of EGFP expression in macrophages from 3 lines tested by flow cytometry was remarkably consistent (Table 2).

Intron 2 as well as the FIRE region required for the activity of the transgene in vivo

In stable transfections of the macrophage line RAW264, removal of the first intron greatly reduced EGFP expression, and removal of the FIRE sequence abolished it.⁴ To extend these observations, we produced 4 independent transgenic lines with constructs using the 3.5-kb promoter alone, and 6 independent lines with the 7.2-kb promoter without the FIRE sequence. In contrast to the thioglycolate-elicited peritoneal macrophages (TEPM) or BMMs from the 7.2*fms*-EGFP transgenic mice, those obtained from lines expressing EGFP from the 3.5-kb promoter exhibited fluorescence in a smaller number of cells and at a much lower level (Figure 3B, upper row and Table 2). On tissue sections of these transgenic mice, the level of EGFP was below the limits of detection (not shown). Deletion of the FIRE region completely abolished the activity of the transgene in these macrophage populations in each of the 6 transgenic lines examined (Figure 3B, lower row and Table 2). Again, there was no detectable expression of EGFP in tissue sections of any of the transgenic lines produced with the 7.2*fms* Δ FIRE-EGFP transgene. The data indicate that the intron, and particularly the FIRE sequence, is absolutely needed for the reproducible, position-independent expression, obtained with the 7.2*fms*-EGFP transgene.

c-fms/EGFP transgene is active in trophoblasts

The analysis of the start site above suggests that the 3.5-kb *fms* promoter could direct trophoblast expression. In order to assess the possible activities of the *c-fms* promoter driving the EGFP reporter gene in these cells, EGFP expression in early embryonic development was determined. Fluorescence microscope observation of 7.2*fms*-EGFP TG embryos revealed the presence of green-fluorescent cells in the ectoplacental cone area of e7.5 embryos. Later in development, trophoblasts in the deciduum surrounding the embryo were also positive for EGFP, as were trophoblastic giant cells in e10.5 and e12.5 embryos (not shown). The locations of the EGFP⁺ cells in these embryos were similar to the expression of *c-fms* mRNA detected by in situ hybridization.^{8,20} The expression of EGFP in trophoblasts was also observed in giant cells

Figure 3. Activity of the transgenes in isolated macrophages from 3 different transgenic mice. This study generated 3 strains of transgenic mice. They were 3.5*fms*-EGFP (4 independent lines), 7.2*fms*-EGFP (6 independent lines), and 7.2*fms* Δ FIRE-EGFP (6 independent lines). Analyses were done on at least 3 independent lines for each strain. Macrophages isolated from peritoneum (thioglycolate-elicited peritoneal macrophages [TEPM]), derived from bone marrow macrophages (BMMs) and from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), were subjected to FACS analysis. Representative FACS profiles (filled histograms) of macrophages isolated from 3.5*fms*-EGFP TG mice are shown in the top row. Middle row profiles show the representative profiles of EGFP expression in macrophages isolated from 7.2*fms*-EGFP TG mice; histograms in the bottom row are the representative profiles of EGFP expression in macrophages isolated from 7.2*fms* Δ FIRE-EGFP TG mice. Dotted lines represent histograms of nontransgenic littermate controls.

Table 2. Proportion and fluorescence intensities of EGFP⁺ macrophages in 3 different *fms*-EGFP transgenic mice in 3 different lines

	TEPM EGFP ⁺ cells, percentage	TEPM mean fluorescence intensity	BMM EGFP ⁺ cells, percentage	BMM mean fluorescence intensity
3.5<i>fms</i>-EGFP transgenic mice				
Line no. 1	0.1	18.62	0.6	17.15
Line no. 2	0.69	16.28	8.18	20.77
Line no. 3	0.21	13.93	3.6	20.11
Average ± SD	0.3 ± 0.3	16.2 ± 2.3	3.8 ± 1.9	19.3 ± 1.9
7.2<i>fms</i>-EGFP transgenic mice				
Line no. 1	71.71	87.20	71.40	70.89
Line no. 2	72.40	154.76	72.33	83.68
Line no. 3	74.58	80.04	84.46	248.83
Average ± SD	72.89 ± 1.4	107.31 ± 41.1	76.06 ± 7.2	132.8 ± 96.3
7.2<i>fms</i>Δ<i>FIRE</i>-EGFP transgenic mice				
Line no. 1	2.92	20.43	2.54	17.59
Line no. 2	0.9	13.72	0.69	13.38
Line no. 3	0.8	15.01	1.36	40.87
Average ± SD	1.54 ± 1.1	16.3 ± 3.5	1.5 ± 0.9	23.9 ± 12.0

Results are from individual animals of each of the transgenic lines. Mean fluorescence intensities of nontransgenic controls were 2.96 ± 0.9 (BMM) and 2.66 ± 1.1 (TEPM).

grown in tissue-culture plates derived from the ectoplacental cones (Figure 4A). These observations support the data from the start site analysis, and demonstrated that the exon 2 *c-fms* upstream promoter region in the mouse is used by both macrophage lineage and trophoblast cells.

EGFP is widely expressed in phagocytic cells in tissues of the transgenic embryos

Our laboratory has previously described the analysis of *c-fms* gene expression by whole-mount in situ hybridization in mouse embryonic development.^{7,8} The ability of the 7.2*fms*-EGFP transgene to recapitulate *c-fms* mRNA expression was examined systematically. The first *c-fms*-expressing cells were detected in the yolk sac around e9.5 and the location is recapitulated by EGFP⁺ cells. Their

abundance and distribution is striking. They are not focused in blood islands, but distributed throughout the yolk sac (Figure 4B). There is no possibility that the green-fluorescent cells observed in the yolk sac originated from infiltration of cells of maternal origin because all the embryos examined were derived from nontransgenic mothers mated with a transgenic male. The origin and function of these yolk sac-derived embryonic phagocytes has been reviewed recently.²¹ By e10 to e10.5, significant numbers of EGFP⁺ cells were observed infiltrating first into the head, then the liver of the embryo (not shown), again entirely consistent with the published pattern of *c-fms* mRNA expression⁷ and independent evidence of the infiltration of hematopoietic progenitors and stem cells into the liver which occurs at e10.5 to e11.²² The number of green-fluorescent cells escalated rapidly through development of

Figure 4. Expression of EGFP during embryonic development. (A) In 7.2*fms*-EGFP TG mice, other than those of macrophage lineage, EGFP is also expressed in trophoblast cells. This panel shows the EGFP expression in cultured trophoblastic giant cells derived from the e6.5 ectoplacental cone. (B) Numerous EGFP⁺ stellate cells were observed in the yolk sac at e9.5. (C) EGFP⁺ cells were observed by whole-mount visualization in the interdigital region of the developing footplate of an e12 to e13 embryo. (D) Sagittal section of an e13.5 embryo showing numerous EGFP⁺ cells in the epidermal layer of the dorsal part of the embryo. (E) Numerous EGFP⁺ cells were observed in the mesenchymal area beneath the chest. (F) The liver and its surrounding area were occupied by a large number of EGFP⁺ cells. EGFP⁺ cells also present in the brain, especially in the pons-midbrain junction (G) and choroid plexus (H). (I) Section through somites shows the presence of EGFP⁺ cells around the developing vertebrae. (J) Lung also contains EGFP⁺ cells. (K) EGFP⁺ cells present in the intrinsic muscle of the tongue. (L) Few EGFP⁺ cells were observed in the developing eye.

the embryo to e12 to e13. In particular, *c-fms*-positive cells have been shown to be involved in removing apoptotic cells in the interdigital regions in developing footplates of the mouse embryo.^{7,8} Similarly, Figure 4C shows massive accumulation of green-fluorescent cells in the interdigital regions at e12.5.

Embryo sections at e13.5 revealed the presence of green-fluorescent cells throughout the body of the embryos, with extensive accumulation of the cells in regions with high tissue turnover and extensive cell death. Figure 4 shows some representative sites of high numbers of EGFP⁺ cell infiltration, including the epidermal layer of the dorsal part of the embryo (Figure 4D), as well as in the mesenchymal area beneath the chest (Figure 4E) and other surfaces of the body, the liver and its surrounding area (Figure 4F), and the brain. In the brain, EGFP⁺ cells were especially prevalent in the pons-midbrain junction area (Figure 4G), as well as in the choroid plexus (Figure 4H). Elsewhere in the embryo, numerous green-fluorescent cells were found in the mesenchymal area around the somites (Figure 4I), lung (Figure 4J), around the intrinsic muscles of the tongue (Figure 4K), and also in the developing eye (Figure 4L). Most importantly, the morphology, abundance, and location of EGFP⁺ cells are consistent with absolute restriction of the transgene to embryonic phagocytes, and to the known location of cells expressing *c-fms* mRNA and other macrophage-specific genes.^{7,8}

EGFP is specifically expressed in myeloid cells in the bone marrow, blood, and tissues

Mononuclear phagocytes develop from bone marrow progenitors, enter the circulation as blood monocytes, and then leave to replenish tissue macrophage populations. Macrophages share progenitors with granulocytes, and the knockout of the PU.1 transcriptional regulator,²³ which affects transcription of *c-fms*,²⁴ has deficiencies in both lineages. Although *c-fms* mRNA and protein are absent from mature granulocytes, the more stable EGFP reporter could be retained in progeny from the common precursor. Dual-color fluorescence immunostaining was carried out on bone marrow and peripheral blood using the F4/80 and Mac-1 (CD11b) markers. Additionally, we examined CSF-1R (*c-fms*) surface protein expression. Representative profiles are shown in Figure 5B. In bone marrow, most EGFP-expressing cells also expressed CD11b, indicating that the transgene expression is restricted to myeloid cells. By contrast, few CD11b⁺ cells were EGFP⁺. About 50% of the EGFP⁺ cells also expressed the mature macrophage marker F4/80 and/or detectable surface CSF-1R. Among peripheral blood mononuclear cells, the EGFP⁺ cells all expressed both F4/80 and CD11b, and the proportion upon which surface CSF-1R was undetectable was smaller than in marrow (Figure 5B). Figure 5C shows the expression of CD11b and F4/80 on EGFP⁺ peritoneal and broncho-alveolar lavage cells. All EGFP⁺ cells in the peritoneum express both markers. By contrast, alveolar macrophages, as expected,²⁵ lack CD11b but express F4/80 at low levels. The analysis of alveolar macrophages is complicated by the relatively high background autofluorescence.

EGFP is expressed in tissue macrophage populations

Macrophages defined by the F4/80 surface marker in the mouse are a major component (10%-15%) of the cells in most tissues of the body.²⁶⁻³² The images of F4/80 location have been loaded in a database at www.imb.uq.edu.au/groups/hume. F4/80 is not detected on all putative tissue macrophages, and, as evident from Figure 5C, is especially low in lung macrophages and macrophages of lymphoid organs. EGFP was detected in all of the major macrophage populations of the body. In the spleen, the red pulp macrophages were strongly EGFP⁺ (Figure 6A). Enzymatic diges-

Figure 5. FACS analysis of spleen, bone marrow, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), peritoneal macrophages (TEPMs) and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) cells of the 7.2 *fms*-EGFP TG mice. (A) In the spleen, EGFP is expressed mainly on adherent cells. Mechanically disaggregated spleen cells were grown overnight in TC dishes, and adherent and nonadherent cells were subjected to FACS analysis (top panels). Splenocytes were also stained with anti-F4/80 and anti-Mac-1 antibodies as described in "Materials and methods" (bottom panels). (B) Coexpression of EGFP and cell-surface markers examined by dual-color FACS analysis in bone marrow and PBMCs. For CSF-1R staining, cells were incubated with rat anti-mouse *c-fms* antibody, and stained with PE-conjugated goat anti-rat F(ab')₂. Other markers used were PE-conjugated anti-Mac-1 and anti-F4/80 antibodies. Quadrants were set based on the profiles from non-TG littermate controls. (C). Mac-1 and F4/80 staining of peritoneal macrophages and bronchoalveolar lavage cells. Cells were stained with anti-Mac-1 and anti-F4/80 antibodies. EGFP⁺ cells were gated and presented as black histograms. Dotted histograms represent isotype control staining. All data are representative of 3 separate analyses.

tion of the spleen followed by flow cytometry revealed approximately 18% of cells that were EGFP⁺. The majority of these cells adhered to bacteriologic plastic, supporting their identity as macrophages (Figure 5A). Similarly, in the liver EGFP was expressed specifically on sinusoidal stellate cells, resembling liver macrophages (Kupffer cells) with the characteristic concentration toward the periphery of liver lobules. In this organ, these cells constituted about 8% to 9% of the whole liver cells, as examined by FACS analysis following enzymatic disaggregation (Figure 6B). In the lung there is a large interstitial macrophage population in addition to those present in broncho-alveolar spaces.³³ EGFP expression was detected on 8% of cells from enzymatically digested lung (Figure 6C). Finally, in the intestinal lamina propria there is a large population of macrophages that can be isolated following enzymatic

Figure 6. Expression of EGFP in adult tissues examined by fluorescence microscopy. Adult tissues were fixed on 4% paraformaldehyde, incubated overnight in 18% sucrose, embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) media and frozen sectioned. (A) Left, splenic macrophages expressing EGFP. These cells are located mainly in the red pulp of the spleen. RP indicates red pulp; WP, white pulp. Right, FACS analysis of enzymatically digested spleen revealed about 18% of cells expressing EGFP. (B) In the liver, EGFP is expressed in the Kupffer cells (left). These EGFP⁺ cells constituted about 8% of the cell population, as shown in the FACS profile of the liver (right). (C) Expression of EGFP in the alveolar and interstitial lung macrophages, which composed about 8% of the whole cell population. (D) Abundant EGFP⁺ cells were observed in the lamina propria of the small intestine and constituted about 7% of the whole cell population. EGFP⁺ cell gates (M2) in the FACS profiles were made based on the nontransgenic littermate control profiles. (E) Brain macrophages (microglia) expressed EGFP. (F) Examination of the cross section of the eye revealed the expression of EGFP in microglia (arrow) in the retina. Renal interstitial macrophages (G) are EGFP-positive, as are macrophages that reside in the interstitium of testis (H). (I) Bone-resorptive osteoclasts expressed EGFP. (J) A cross-section of the ear revealed the expression of EGFP in Langerhans cells (arrow) and epidermal macrophages. (K) EGFP expression in Langerhans cells in the epidermal sheet of the ear. (L) Thymic macrophages shown to express EGFP.

digestion. Figure 6D shows the tissue section and the FACS profile of the enzymatically digested mouse intestinal wall, where 6% to 8% of cells were EGFP⁺. Nycodenz gradient centrifugation of this digested tissue could increase the proportion of EGFP⁺ cells up to 15%, consistent with previous studies on purification of these cells.¹⁷

Macrophages and *c-fms* expression in the brain are of particular interest because of the neurologic abnormalities in the CSF-1-deficient *Csf1^{op}/Csf1^{op}* mouse³⁴ and the isolated claim that the human *c-fms* promoter drives transgene (*lacZ*) expression in astrocytes.³⁵ The F4/80 antigen is readily detected on brain macrophages (microglial cells).³⁶ In the brain, EGFP was detectable in macrophages associated with the microvasculature and meningeal surfaces (not shown) and in microglia (Figure 6E). In this organ, microglial processes were clearly evident and highlighted by the fluorescent protein expression. Expression of EGFP in microglia can also be clearly observed in the retina, where they spread in 2 dimensions in the plane of the inner and outer plexiform layers²⁸ (Figure 6F). In neither brain nor retina was there any evidence of expression in glial cells other than microglia.

Macrophages defined by F4/80 antigen expression are abundantly associated with epithelia and in endocrine organs where they may perform specific physiologic functions.^{26,30,37} In an extensive survey, EGFP expression was generally consistent with previous data on F4/80. Examples shown include the lamina propria of the gastrointestinal tract (Figure 6D), the renal medulla (Figure 6G), and interstitium of the testis (Figure 6H). A number of additional examples and further images are displayed at www.imb.uq.edu.au/groups/hume. Bone resorptive osteoclasts share a progenitor with macrophages and their production is CSF-1 dependent. Although they lose mature macrophage markers such as F4/80,²⁹ mature osteoclasts express *c-fms* mRNA and CSF-1 can acutely regulate osteoclastic bone resorption.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ In the transgenic mice, osteoclasts expressed detectable EGFP both in culture and bone sections (Figure 6I).

EGFP expression in lymphoid organs and dendritic cells

Cell populations such as the Langerhans cells (LCs) of the skin are considered to be immature dendritic cells (DCs). They are CSF-1 responsive,^{41,42} but in the absence of CSF-1 in the *Csf1^{op}/Csf1^{op}* mouse their numbers are unaffected.^{43,44} EGFP was detected in the Langerhans cells either in section (Figure 6J, arrow) or in en face

view in epidermal sheets (Figure 6K). In the spleen, the EGFP marker was detectable at a low level in cells forming a reticular network within the white pulp, consistent with expression in interdigitating DCs.⁴⁵ Similarly, in the mesenteric lymph node, EGFP was expressed at high levels on cells within the medullary cords, where F4/80 is also expressed,²⁷ but also on presumed interdigitating cells within lymphoid follicles (not shown). The myeloid/DC component of thymus is complex.⁴⁶ EGFP was very abundant in large stellate cells especially concentrated around the cortical medullary junction (Figure 6L). In the cortex, there was also a network of ramified EGFP expression that may correspond to thymic DCs.

Discussion

Transcriptional regulatory elements of the *c-fms* gene

The *c-fms* gene is expressed in trophoblasts and macrophages in both mice and humans. The first part of this study showed that the underlying mechanisms are different. Whereas the human gene reportedly utilizes a promoter in the 3' end of the upstream PDGFR- β to direct expression in trophoblasts,^{5,11} the mouse gene clearly uses multiple transcription start sites within the 500-bp flanking exon 2. This region is actually conserved between mouse and human, and contains elements such as AP-1 sites that have been implicated in trophoblast-specific transcription. These proximal sequences might contribute to activation of the upstream promoter, or splicing, in the human gene expressed in trophoblasts. The 7.2-kb *fms* promoter could be utilized to direct expression of a trophoblast-specific transgene for functional/immunologic studies. Because the upstream promoter is not used in macrophages and is not required for promoter activity in transient transfections,³ we may be able to selectively delete the trophoblast control elements to eliminate trophoblast expression while retaining macrophage promoter activity.

Analysis of multiple independent transgenic lines confirmed that the activity of the 7.2-kb promoter construct was largely, or completely, abolished by either deletion of the first intron or elimination of the FIRE sequence. As observed in stably transfected cell lines,⁴ there was detectable residual activity in macrophages with the promoter

alone, in keeping with reports of successful use of the corresponding human promoter region to drive low-level expression of a transgene.⁴⁷ Elimination of FIRE in an intron-containing construct, on the other hand, abolished all activity.⁴ We have proposed that FIRE acts to overcome a block to transcription elongation in the first intron⁴; these findings extend the evidence favoring this model to a transgene in vivo. Based on the constitutive activity in a wide range of mouse tumor cell lines,^{3,13} we might have anticipated that the 3.5-kb *c-fms* promoter would generate ectopic expression in transgenic mice. In contrast to the data with tumor cells, the 3.5-kb promoter was very weakly active in untransformed 3T3 fibroblasts.¹² The findings with the transgenic mice support the view that constitutive *fms* promoter activity in tumor lines reflects their malignancy.¹³ Interestingly, deletion of the trophoblast promoter region newly identified herein abolished *c-fms* promoter function in nonmacrophage tumor cells but not in RAW264 cells.¹³

Definition of the mononuclear phagocyte system

The mononuclear phagocyte system was defined as a family of cells arising from bone marrow progenitors, circulating as monocytes and entering the tissues where they form the resident macrophage population.⁴⁸ In the mouse, F4/80, which detects a member of the EGF-TM7 family of surface receptors,⁴⁹ has been most widely studied as a marker of tissue macrophages.²⁶⁻³² The F4/80 immunoreactivity defined a population of cells in almost every organ of the body that shared characteristic locations and stellate morphology and included most known macrophagelike cells. However, F4/80 is not detectable on progenitor cells, and is difficult to detect on blood monocytes and several tissue macrophage populations, notably in lymphoid tissues, intestine, and lung.^{27,30} The pattern of the *c-fms*-EGFP transgene was indistinguishable from published pictures of F4/80 in many locations. In addition to the well-documented macrophage populations of the liver and the red pulp of spleen, EGFP, like F4/80, was expressed in the large peri-epithelial macrophagelike cell populations of the kidney and gastrointestinal tract^{26,30} as well as the sinusoidal and interstitial populations of endocrine organs.³¹ For this reason, we have dubbed *fms*-EGFP mouse lines the *MacGreen* mouse, recognizing that there will be many applications of such animals in the study of innate immunity and experimental pathology.

Tissue macrophages are thought to adapt to local environments to perform tissue-specific functions. For example, the interstitial macrophages of the testis shown clearly in Figure 6H are thought to regulate testosterone production³⁷ and the CSF-1-deficient mouse has reproductive defects when they are reduced or absent.⁵⁰ The transgene marker can be applied conveniently for purification of macrophages from each of these sites for phenotypic analysis. Figures 5 and 6 show the examples using bone marrow progenitor cells, lung interstitial macrophages, intestinal lamina propria, and splenic macrophages. Unlike F4/80, which appears only after the liver becomes the major hematopoietic site,²¹ the *c-fms*-EGFP transgene was expressed in phagocytelike cells throughout development. The expression in the embryo was indistinguishable from our earlier description of the location of *c-fms* mRNA.^{7,8} We have been able to sort fluorescent cells from enzymatically digested embryos (not shown), providing the opportunity to delineate further the ways that they differ from macrophages in adult tissues.

Given the central function of CSF-1 in macrophage differentiation, and the lack of macrophages in CSF-1-deficient *Csf1^{op}/Csf1^{op}* mice⁴⁴ or in the recently characterized *c-fms* knock out,⁵¹ the CSF-1R is the most obvious definitive marker for the mononuclear phagocyte lineage. We infer that the expression of *c-fms*-EGFP correlates with expression of a functional CSF-1 receptor. Colocalization of EGFP and surface CSF-1R in bone marrow cells supported this view (Figure 5). There was a population of cells that were EGFP⁺ but lacked detectable surface CSF-1R, but these cells expressed myeloid markers, CD11b, and to a lesser extent, F4/80 (Figure 5). CSF-1R is acutely down-modulated from the cell surface by a range of stimuli including ligand,^{52,53} whereas EGFP is stable. Hence, it is not surprising that the correlation is imperfect in progenitor cells and proliferating myeloid precursors. In peripheral blood, there was no detectable expression of EGFP in mature granulocytes. In the mononuclear cell fraction, and in peritoneal exudates, there was a very good correlation between EGFP and expression of F4/80 and CD11b, indicating that the transgene is restricted to monocyte macrophages and is absent from T or B lymphocytes.

CSF-1-independent populations of mononuclear phagocytes

The widespread expression of the transgene on all known F4/80-positive macrophage populations, including Langerhans cells, in *MacGreen* mice raises the issue of the existence of CSF-1-independent macrophage populations, and the relationship between macrophages and DCs. In the CSF-1-deficient *Csf1^{op}/Csf1^{op}* mouse, the majority of F4/80-positive macrophage populations are depleted, but not absent, whereas others, notably those of lymphoid organs and the skin, are unaffected.⁴⁴ Even the CSF-1-dependent bone cells of the *Csf1^{op}/Csf1^{op}* mouse increase in number with age,⁵⁴ a phenomenon that has been attributed to vascular endothelial growth factor A,⁵⁵ which can signal macrophages through the *fms*-like receptor tyrosine kinase *flt-1*.⁵⁶ Lymphoid organ T-cell area DC and skin LC numbers were also not substantially altered in *Csf1^{op}/Csf1^{op}* mice.^{43,44,57} This observation does not imply that subpopulations of F4/80-positive cells in vivo are CSF-1-unresponsive, or have differentiated from a separate progenitor cell from CSF-1-responsive monocyte-macrophages. There is, in fact, evidence that LCs and mature DCs respond to CSF-1 and that the factor can influence divergence of the macrophage/DC functional fate.⁴² We may infer that other factors in particular tissue locations can substitute for CSF-1. Ongoing studies in our laboratory will address the relationship between EGFP expression and other DC markers.

Conclusion

We have identified the elements within the *c-fms* gene required for reproducible expression of a reporter gene in cells of the mononuclear phagocyte lineage. The *c-fms*-EGFP transgene will be a useful marker for further studies of the biology of the cells in this system.

Acknowledgment

Thanks to Ms Elizabeth Williams for help in all aspects of the production and analysis of the transgenic mice in this project.

References

- Stanley ER, Berg KL, Einstein DB, et al. Biology and action of colony-stimulating factor-1. *Mol Reprod Dev.* 1997;46:4-10.
- Xie Y, Chen C, Hume DA. Transcriptional regulation of *c-fms* gene expression. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* 2001;34:1-16.
- Yue X, Favot P, Dunn TL, Cassady AI, Hume DA. Expression of mRNA encoding the macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor (*c-fms*) is controlled by a constitutive promoter and tissue-specific transcription elongation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1993;13:3191-3201.
- Himes SR, Tagoh H, Goonetilleke N, et al. A highly conserved *c-fms* gene intronic element controls macrophage-specific and regulated expression. *J Leukoc Biol.* 2001;70:812-820.
- Roberts WM, Shapiro LH, Ashmun RA, Look AT.

- Transcription of the human colony-stimulating factor-1 receptor gene is regulated by separate tissue-specific promoters. *Blood*. 1992;79:586-593.
6. Zhang DE, Hetherington CJ, Chen HM, Tenen DG. The macrophage transcription factor PU.1 directs tissue-specific expression of the macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1994;14:373-381.
 7. Lichanska AM, Browne CM, Henkel GW, et al. Differentiation of the mononuclear phagocyte system during mouse embryogenesis: the role of transcription factor PU.1. *Blood*. 1999;94:127-138.
 8. Hume DA, Monkley SJ, Wainwright BJ. Detection of *c-fms* protooncogene in early mouse embryos by whole mount in situ hybridization indicates roles for macrophages in tissue remodelling. *Br J Haematol*. 1995;90:939-942.
 9. Arcenci RJ, Shanahan F, Stanley ER, Pollard JW. Temporal expression and location of colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF-1) and its receptor in the female reproductive tract are consistent with CSF-1-regulated placental development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1989;86:8818-8822.
 10. Regenstreif LJ, Rossant J. Expression of the *c-fms* proto-oncogene and of the cytokine, CSF-1, during mouse embryogenesis. *Dev Biol*. 1989;133:284-294.
 11. Visvader J, Verma IM. Differential transcription of exon 1 of the human *c-fms* gene in placental trophoblasts and monocytes. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1989;9:1336-1341.
 12. Reddy MA, Yang BS, Yue X, et al. Opposing actions of *c-ets/PU.1* and *c-myb* protooncogene products in regulating the macrophage-specific promoters of the human and mouse colony-stimulating factor-1 receptor (*c-fms*) genes. *J Exp Med*. 1994;180:2309-2319.
 13. Favot P, Yue X, Hume DA. Regulation of the *c-fms* promoter in murine tumour cell lines. *Oncogene*. 1995;11:1371-1381.
 14. Tanaka S, Kunath T, Hadjantonakis AK, Nagy A, Rossant J. Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4. *Science*. 1998;282:2072-2075.
 15. Morgan C, Pollard JW, Stanley ER. Isolation and characterization of a cloned growth factor dependent macrophage cell line, BAC1.2F5. *J Cell Physiol*. 1987;130:420-427.
 16. Stacey KJ, Fowles LF, Colman MS, Ostrowski MC, Hume DA. Regulation of urokinase-type plasminogen activator gene transcription by macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1995;15:3430-3441.
 17. Pavli P, Woodhams CE, Doe WF, Hume DA. Isolation and characterization of antigen-presenting dendritic cells from the mouse intestinal lamina propria. *Immunology*. 1990;70:40-47.
 18. Albieri A, Bevilacqua E. Induction of erythrophagocytic activity in cultured mouse trophoblast cells by phorbol myristate acetate and all-*trans*-retinal. *Placenta*. 1996;17:507-512.
 19. Sudo T, Nishikawa S, Ogawa M, et al. Functional hierarchy of *c-kit* and *c-fms* in intramarrow production of CFU-M. *Oncogene*. 1995;11:2469-2476.
 20. Passey RJ, Williams E, Lichanska AM, et al. A null mutation in the inflammation-associated S100 protein S100A8 causes early resorption of the mouse embryo. *J Immunol*. 1999;163:2209-2216.
 21. Lichanska AM, Hume DA. Origins and functions of phagocytes in the embryo. *Exp Hematol*. 2000;28:601-611.
 22. Dzierzak E, Medvinsky A, de Bruijn M. Qualitative and quantitative aspects of haematopoietic cell development in the mammalian embryo. *Immunol Today*. 1998;19:228-236.
 23. Anderson KL, Smith KA, Connors K, McKercher SR, Maki RA, Torbett BE. Myeloid development is selectively disrupted in PU.1 null mice. *Blood*. 1998;91:3702-3710.
 24. Ross IL, Yue X, Ostrowski MC, Hume DA. Interaction between PU.1 and another Ets family transcription factor promotes macrophage-specific Basal transcription initiation. *J Biol Chem*. 1998;273:6662-6669.
 25. Flotte TJ, Springer TA, Thorbecke GJ. Dendritic cell and macrophage staining by monoclonal antibodies in tissue sections and epidermal sheets. *Am J Pathol*. 1983;111:112-124.
 26. Hume DA, Gordon S. Mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localization of antigen F4/80: identification of resident macrophages in renal medullary and cortical interstitium and the juxtaglomerular complex. *J Exp Med*. 1983;157:1704-1709.
 27. Hume DA, Robinson AP, MacPherson GG, Gordon S. The mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localization of antigen F4/80: relationship between macrophages, Langerhans cells, reticular cells, and dendritic cells in lymphoid and hematopoietic organs. *J Exp Med*. 1983;158:1522-1536.
 28. Hume DA, Perry VH, Gordon S. Immunohistochemical localization of a macrophage-specific antigen in developing mouse retina: phagocytosis of dying neurons and differentiation of microglial cells to form a regular array in the plexiform layers. *J Cell Biol*. 1983;97:253-257.
 29. Hume DA, Loutit JF, Gordon S. The mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localization of antigen F4/80: macrophages of bone and associated connective tissue. *J Cell Sci*. 1984;66:189-194.
 30. Hume DA, Perry VH, Gordon S. The mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localisation of antigen F4/80: macrophages associated with epithelia. *Anat Rec*. 1984;210:503-512.
 31. Hume DA, Halpin D, Charlton H, Gordon S. The mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localization of antigen F4/80: macrophages of endocrine organs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1984;81:4174-4177.
 32. Hume DA, Gordon S. The mononuclear phagocyte system of the mouse defined by immunohistochemical localisation of antigen F4/80. In: van Furth R, ed. *Mononuclear Phagocytes: Characteristic, Physiology and Function*. Boston, MA: Martinus Nijhoff; 1985:9-17.
 33. Holt PG, Warner LA, Papadimitriou JM. Alveolar macrophages: functional heterogeneity within macrophage populations from rat lung. *Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci*. 1982;60:607-618.
 34. Michaelson MD, Bieri PL, Mehler MF, et al. CSF-1 deficiency in mice results in abnormal brain development. *Development*. 1996;122:2661-2672.
 35. Tkachuk M, Gisler RH. The promoter of macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor is active in astrocytes. *Neurosci Lett*. 1997;225:121-125.
 36. Perry VH, Hume DA, Gordon S. Immunohistochemical localization of macrophages and microglia in the adult and developing mouse brain. *Neuroscience*. 1985;15:313-326.
 37. Cohen PE, Nishimura K, Zhu L, Pollard JW. Macrophages: important accessory cells for reproductive function. *J Leukoc Biol*. 1999;66:765-772.
 38. Edwards M, Sarma U, Flanagan AM. Macrophage colony-stimulating factor increases bone resorption by osteoclasts disaggregated from human fetal long bones. *Bone*. 1998;22:325-329.
 39. Kawakami M, Kuroda S, Yamashita K, Yoshida CA, Nakagawa K, Takada K. Expression of CSF-1 receptor on TRAP-positive multinuclear cells around the erupting molars in rats. *J Craniofac Genet Dev Biol*. 1999;19:213-220.
 40. van Furth R. Production and migration of monocytes and kinetics of macrophages. In: van Furth R, ed. *Mononuclear Phagocytes: Biology of Monocytes and Macrophages*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic; 1992:3-12.
 41. Koyama Y, Marunouchi T. Macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) inhibits the decrease in the amount of rRNA and 1A beta mRNA in cultured epidermal Langerhans cells of the mouse. *J Dermatol*. 1996;23:73-82.
 42. Xu S, Ariizumi K, Edelbaum D, Bergstresser PR, Takashima A. Cytokine-dependent regulation of growth and maturation in murine epidermal dendritic cell lines. *Eur J Immunol*. 1995;25:1018-1024.
 43. Usuda H, Naito M, Umeda S, Takahashi K, Shultz LD. Ultrastructure of macrophages and dendritic cells in osteopetrosis (op) mutant mice lacking macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF/CSF-1) activity. *J Submicrosc Cytol Pathol*. 1994;26:111-119.
 44. Cecchini MG, Dominguez MG, Mocci S, et al. Role of colony stimulating factor-1 in the establishment and regulation of tissue macrophages during postnatal development of the mouse. *Development*. 1994;120:1357-1372.
 45. Steinman RM, Pack M, Inaba K. Dendritic cells in the T-cell areas of lymphoid organs. *Immunol Rev*. 1997;156:25-37.
 46. Shortman K, Vremec D, Corcoran LM, Georgopoulos K, Lucas K, Wu L. The linkage between T-cell and dendritic cell development in the mouse thymus. *Immunol Rev*. 1998;165:39-46.
 47. Jin DI, Jameson SB, Reddy MA, Schenkmann D, Ostrowski MC. Alterations in differentiation and behavior of monocytic phagocytes in transgenic mice that express dominant suppressors of ras signaling. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1995;15:693-703.
 48. van Furth R, Cohn ZA, Hirsch JG, Humphrey JH, Spector WG, Langevoort HL. The mononuclear phagocyte system: a new classification of macrophages, monocytes, and their precursor cells. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1972;46:845-852.
 49. McKnight AJ, Gordon S. EGF-TM7: a novel subfamily of seven-transmembrane-region leukocyte cell-surface molecules. *Immunol Today*. 1996;17:283-287.
 50. Cohen PE, Chisholm O, Arcenci RJ, Stanley ER, Pollard JW. Absence of colony-stimulating factor-1 in osteopetrotic (csfmp/csmp) mice results in male fertility defects. *Biol Reprod*. 1996;55:310-317.
 51. Dai XM, Ryan GR, Hapel AJ, et al. Targeted disruption of the mouse colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor gene results in osteopetrosis, mononuclear phagocyte deficiency, increased primitive progenitor cell frequencies, and reproductive defects. *Blood*. 2002;99:111-120.
 52. Sester DP, Beasley SJ, Sweet MJ, et al. Bacterial/CpG DNA down-modulates colony stimulating factor-1 receptor surface expression on murine bone marrow-derived macrophages with concomitant growth arrest and factor-independent survival. *J Immunol*. 1999;163:6541-6550.
 53. Fowles LF, Stacey KJ, Marks D, Hamilton JA, Hume DA. Regulation of urokinase plasminogen activator gene transcription in the RAW264 murine macrophage cell line by macrophage colony-stimulating factor (CSF-1) is dependent upon the level of cell-surface receptor. *Biochem J*. 2000;347:313-320.
 54. Pollard JW, Stanley ER. Pleiotropic roles for CSF-1 in development defined by mouse mutation, osteopetrotic. *Ad Develop Biochem*. 1996;4:153-193.
 55. Niida S, Kaku M, Amano H, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor can substitute for macrophage colony-stimulating factor in the support of osteoclastic bone resorption. *J Exp Med*. 1999;190:293-298.
 56. Neufeld G, Cohen T, Gengrinovitch S, Poltorak Z. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptors. *Faseb J*. 1999;13:9-22.
 57. Witmer-Pack MD, Hughes DA, Schuler G, et al. Identification of macrophages and dendritic cells in the osteopetrotic (op/op) mouse. *J Cell Sci*. 1993;104:1021-1029.