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ABSTRACT: Early diagnosis and efficient treatment are of paramount importance to fight cancers. Monitoring the foreign body response of a patient to treatment therapies also plays an important role in improving the care that cancer patients receive by their medical practitioners. As such, there is extensive research being conducted into ultrasensitive point-of-care detection systems and “smart” personalized anti-cancer drug delivery systems. Electrospun nanofibers have emerged as promising materials for the construction of nanoscale biosensors and therapeutic platforms due to their large surface areas, controllable surface conformation, good surface modification, complex pore structure, and high biocompatibility. Electrospun nanofibers are produced by electrospinning, which is a very powerful and economically viable method of synthesizing versatile and scalable assemblies from a wide array of raw materials. This review describes the theory of electrospinning, achievements, and problems currently faced in producing effective biosensors/drug delivery systems, in particular, for cancer diagnosis and treatment. Finally, insights into future prospects are discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mortality statistics by geographical location reflects a concerning consistency in cancer. It accounts for a large proportion of recorded deaths in many areas of the world, with 9 million deaths by cancer being approximated worldwide in 2016,\(^1\) accounting for 15.8% of the overall 57 million reported deaths. Some technological advancements in the past decades have provided the necessary framework to address some of the medical issues associated with specialized therapy procedures. In almost all cancers, the average 5-year survival rate has improved by up to 2.3% in 2016 alone.\(^2\) Nevertheless, mortality rates for neoplastic related deaths have declined in a comparatively stagnant fashion relative to circulatory diseases over the past three decades (Figure 1). Currently, employed methods of treatment include a combination of surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, immunotherapy, and target-specific therapies.\(^3\) A fundamental shortcoming of these treatments is that they are often implemented as part of a mitigating methodology when cancer diagnostics have identified malignant tumors.

The need to pursue more effective means of cancer treatment becomes clear when currently employed methods are considered. Synergistic radiotherapy / surgical resection and systemic chemotherapy – the commonly applied therapeutic strategy\(^4\) – are limited in their application due to adverse health effects that accompany their administration. In the absence of selectivity for neoplastic cells, common health side effects that are observed might include kidney malfunction, nerve injury, nausea and vomiting,\(^5\) normal cell toxicity, and death due to extreme dosages.\(^6\) This is a direct result of the traditional drug delivery process, i.e., \textit{repeated} administration of treatment via the oral or parenteral route\(^7\). Naturally, with each dosage cycle, the drug concentration in the blood quickly rises and then declines.\(^6\) As every drug has a plasma concentration above which it is toxic and below which it is useless,\(^8\) this method of administration is susceptible to accidental or intentional overdose, substance abuse, or simple ineffectiveness.\(^7\) As such, nanoscale therapeutics have currently been the focus of much
experimental effort due to their ‘biomimetic’ approximation of the extracellular matrix (ECM)’s topography, allowing specific unloading of therapeutic cargo at the tumor site without systemic administration.\textsuperscript{6,7} At the expense of lower loading capacity, the nanometer scale allows drug-delivery across the cell membrane, which simultaneously minimizes drug uptake by the reticuloendothelial system and unwanted clearance from the body through the spleen or liver,\textsuperscript{9} the net effect of which is an improved therapeutic index relative to traditional drug delivery.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Age-standardized mortality rates by cause of death from 1982-2013. Produced by the authors using published U.K. government statistics.\textsuperscript{2}

In recent years, the ability to design novel approaches for cancer treatment has been facilitated by a greater understanding of the tumor microenvironment.\textsuperscript{10} For example, as neoplastic cells overexpress certain proteins and characteristic biomarkers, we could design platforms to target them. The advent of nanoscale technology has provided great opportunities in therapeutic treatment, with the potential to create “smart”, personalized anti-cancer therapies, and a new generation of biosensors\textsuperscript{11} designed to detect a specific biological analyte.\textsuperscript{12} For instance, nanomaterials derived from inorganic and carbonaceous materials have been developed for fabricating, e.g. volatile organic compound sensors for cancer diagnostic
purposes. However, poor thermal stability and selectivity to the desired biological analyte have imposed several practical limitations in employing them for routine clinical application.

Nanoparticles and hydrogels have been identified as potentially valuable platforms for in vivo cancer therapy as they allow for targeted and/or local delivery of therapeutic agents. However, their efficacy is constrained by short circulation times in the case of nanoparticles, and by significant wash-out of encapsulated drugs during the gelation period in the case of hydrogels.

Among the numerous nanomaterials, recently, electrospun nanofibers (ESNFs) have attracted much interest as a construction material for drug delivery platforms/biosensors due to their large surface area, controllable surface conformation, good surface modification, complex pore structure, and high biocompatibility. Due to their high drug loading efficiency and increased surface-to-volume area, ESNFs are in many ways superior to other small drug carriers as they allow for the administration and delivery of substances via a range of different routes. ESNFs can be dispensed in the body through common (oral, buccal, sublingual, rectal, vaginal, etc.) and topical (transdermal, ocular, inhalation) routes or in the form of local or loco-regional implants. ESNFs also allow topical controlled drug release, that makes them optimal for cancer therapy. Furthermore, electrospun nanofibers can be biodegradable, unlike silicone-based ones. Electrospun nanofibers have better in vitro and in vivo sensitivity than other sensors due to their small size and the enhancement of the mass transport limiting membrane. Furthermore, biomimetic coatings that can be achieved by electrospinning, which can prevent biofouling, thus increase the lifetime of biosensors. ESNFs are produced by the process of electrospinning, which permits a simple, variable, and effective synthetic route to prepare and control the production of nanofibers. Moreover, ESNFs have been fabricated and hybridized with functional additives to diversify their application to many fields. This review seeks to investigate the novel approaches in designing biosensors based on electrospun nano/microfibers and study their applications from the development of ultrasensitive sensors.
to drug delivery systems. Additionally, the pharmacokinetics of antineoplastic agents incorporated with nanofibers in mediating tumor area-specific release will be examined. Contributions from various research groups in this field will give a clear indication of the origins, current status, and potential development of ESNF-based biosensors for use in cancer diagnostics.

2. FABRICATION OF NANOFIBERS BY ELECTROSPINNING

2.1 Electrospinning process

Electrospinning is a highly versatile method to process solutions, suspensions or melts, into continuous fibers with nano/microscale diameters.\(^\text{25}\) It is the only method for mass-producing continuous long nanofibers.\(^\text{26}\) The process uses a high voltage that charges the liquid inside a metallic capillary. A standard laboratory-scale setup consists of four main components: a high voltage power supply, a syringe pump, a nozzle (usually metallic), and a collector (which can simply be metallic foil/plate/disk).\(^\text{27}\) The electrostatic force produced by the high voltage supply is applied to a polymer solution or melt, which is dispensed through the fine needle orifice at a controlled rate. Accumulated charge at the spinneret orifice subjects the discharged polymer melt to an electric field, which induces a conically-shaped geometry to form (termed the ‘Taylor cone,’ Figure 2.B).\(^\text{11,27}\) Increasing the strength of the electric field increases the accumulated charge on the surface of the budding polymer droplet, generating repulsive electric forces that overcome the surface tension of the polymer solution/melt.\(^\text{18}\) The resulting jet that is dispensed from the tip of the Taylor cone experiences electrical instabilities by the applied electric field, which causes it to elongate through the application of a mechanical force (Figure 2.A). The drawn polymer thread is directed to the oppositely charged or grounded
collector, and the residual solvent evaporates leaving only the charged polymer fiber on the collector mat (Figure 2.C)."}

**Figure 2.** Needle Electrospinning. (A) Schematic diagram of an electrospinning needle system using a single needle in a vertical configuration. (B) Forces acting on the tip of spinneret during the formation of a Taylor cone. (C) Horizontal configuration needle electrospinning. Setups represented with a static collector plate, but other configurations exist. Panels A and C reproduced with permission from ref 28. Copyright 2017 Elsevier. Panel B adapted with permission from ref 29. Copyright 2009 IIT Delhi.

2.2 Electrospinning parameters and their influence on fiber morphology

The collected fibers have a nano/microscale diameter with improved modular strength. They also resemble the ECM, making them ideal candidates for biological use. In order to use the produced electrospun fibers for biosensing, their morphological properties often need to be tweaked to produce patterned nanostructures with the objective of optimizing performance parameters of bioreceptors (e.g., response time, stability, and sensitivity). This is often achieved by using additives during or after the electrospinning process, which are immobilized inside or on the surface of the nanofibers. The recognition element is interfaced to an
immobilized bioreceptor and signal transducer (e.g., an electrochemical, optical, mass, or calorimetric-based transducer) which amplifies bioassay signal outputs for data processing.\textsuperscript{31} After detection, novel approaches to introducing additives in the form of chemotherapeutic agents favor local drug delivery systems (DDS), where antineoplastic drugs are encapsulated or loaded on biodegradable nanofiber (NF) scaffolds.\textsuperscript{19} The immobilization of the additives (e.g., bioreceptors and/or antineoplastic agents) is dependent on the physical and chemical properties of the NF-interstitial matrix, and the interactions between them.\textsuperscript{11} Each component of the biosensor contributes heavily to its functionality and effectiveness; as such, careful modification of electrospinning parameters - which generates an array of morphologies - addresses this highly selective behavior. \textbf{Table 1} summarizes the parameters that influence fiber morphology.
Table 1. Parameters of electrospinning and their influence on fiber morphologies.11,19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution Parameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>Fiber diameter increases and the bead formation decreases with increased viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Mass</td>
<td>Influences viscosity (vide supra); higher molecular mass results in a more uniform morphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Increases viscosity and amount of deposited nanofibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>Fiber diameter decreases with increasing conductivity; ionic materials can reduce atomization of polymer jet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent Properties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Tension</td>
<td>Bead formation may occur if surface tension is considerable (relative to the viscosity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor Pressure</td>
<td>Influences solvent evaporation during spinning; solvent evaporation may influence the formation of non-cylindrical morphologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Voltage</td>
<td>Decreasing fiber diameter with increasing voltage supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution Flow Rate</td>
<td>Lower flow rates = smaller diameter fibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher flow rates = larger diameter fibers and/or non-dry fiber deposition at the collector surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance of collector from nozzle</td>
<td>Fiber solidification; deposition area, increases with increased distance between collector and nozzle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector Type</td>
<td>Aligned fibers, yarns, braided, or random fibers can be obtained by changing from a plate to a drum, area, etc. type collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip</td>
<td>Hollow or blended fibers may be obtained; increasing tip diameter increases the final fiber diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrounding Conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>Influences the fiber diameter through temperature-viscosity relationship indirectly (decrease in viscosity with an increase in temperature), and directly increases the product yield/fiber diameter by changing the evaporation rate of the solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>High humidity may result in circular pore formation in fibers, which can lower the solvent evaporation rate. Low humidity may produce thicker fibers, due to rapid solvent evaporation. Further, high humidity can affect the total charge distribution on the Taylor cone, reducing the surface charge density and thus affecting the electrospinnability of the polymer solution.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3. Properties of electrospun nanofibers with different morphologies

Of interest to biosensor applications (aside from the standard smooth surface, solid interior NF) are the porous, nanoparticle-decorated, core-shell, and hollow nanostructures (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Fiber Morphologies: (A) Biomimetic multichannel microtubes. (B) Hollow nanofibers. (C) Nanoparticle-decorated nanofibers. (D) Core-shell nanofibers. (E) Porous nanofibers (red arrows depict pore structures). (F) Single electrospun nanofibers. Panel A reproduced with permission from ref 40. Copyright 2007 American Chemical Society. Panel B reproduced with permission from ref 41. Copyright 2010 American Chemical Society. Panels C and E reproduced with permission from ref 43. Copyright 2018 Radacsi, N.; Campos, F. D.; Chisholm, C. R. I.; Giapis, K. P. Panel D reproduced with permission from ref 42. Copyright 2012 Elsevier. Panel F reproduced with permission from ref 43. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.]

These specially fabricated morphologies have higher surface areas than the solid interior NFs, with a greater number of immobilization sites to interface receptors to and improve the performance of ESNF biosensors; Table 2 compares the relative merits and shortcomings of each morphology.
Table 2. Comparisons of the advantages and disadvantages of core-shell, porous, and hollow electrospun morphologies.\textsuperscript{16}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core-Shell</td>
<td>- Large surface area</td>
<td>- Complex synthetic route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unique properties</td>
<td>- Harsh post-treatments (which may denature biological components)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Selectable Core &amp; Shell materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous</td>
<td>- Simple to synthesize</td>
<td>- Complex pretreatment required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Versatile application</td>
<td>- Uncontrollable loading of nanoscale building blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Equipment-independent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow</td>
<td>- Large surface area</td>
<td>- Specific equipment required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Complex synthetic route</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Co-axial electrospinning is performed by fitting a small capillary inside a larger outer capillary. The inner capillary contains the core polymer, while the outer capillary produces the shell polymer.\textsuperscript{37} The polymer solutions are discharged while being subjected to an applied electrical field, conceptually similar to the single-solution electrospinning technique.\textsuperscript{27} The interactions that govern the resultant core-shell NF properties are derived from the degree of rheological, physical, and chemical dissimilarity between the two polymer solutions;\textsuperscript{28} however, a uniformly incorporated core-shell fiber is expected to form if a stable Taylor cone is maintained.\textsuperscript{44} Processing parameters related to coaxial electrospinning are reviewed in numerous literature sources,\textsuperscript{41,45} all of which agree that the complexity of coaxial electrospinning originates from the difficulty in maintaining a stable Taylor cone. To induce a stable Taylor cone, process parameters should be such that: (1) An electrospinnable shell solution is utilized; (2) the shell solution viscosity is higher than the core solution viscosity (so that viscous stresses between the core and shell solutions overcome the interfacial tension between them)\textsuperscript{46}; (3) a low vapor pressure solvent is used (fast evaporation may destabilize the
Taylor cone); and (4) a greater shell solution conductivity is used (to inhibit core-shell structural discontinuities induced by rapid core polymer elongation).

Hollow NFs may be produced using the co-axial electrospinning technique. Co-axial production of nanotubes was first introduced by Loscertales et al.\textsuperscript{47} and Li & Xia\textsuperscript{48} in 2004, presenting a single-step synthesis of hollow NFs by coaxially electrospinning two \textit{immiscible} liquids. A shell-forming sol constituent is gelated prior to being electrospun with an inert, immiscible core liquid, after which charge induced mechanical forces break up the nanojet, forming hollow NFs with liquid-filled cores. Evaporation of the liquid-filled core during NF elongation leaves only hollow NFs. Loscertales et al.\textsuperscript{47} postulated that in order to maintain the hollow fiber morphology, the shell material should be able to withstand the capillary forces generated after core removal. Similarly, Li & Xia\textsuperscript{48} stated that the presence of a ceramic sol-gel precursor in the shell material was a necessary requirement in order to form stable coaxial jets with robust tubular structures. In this, the fabrication process for hollow morphologies is more stringent compared to porous morphologies (similar to that of the core-shell morphology); however, the resultant surface area is greater,\textsuperscript{49} corresponding to a greater loading capacity for immobilizing transducing elements.

Porous nanostructures can be obtained by blending removable inorganic nanoscale building blocks into polymeric matrices and post-treating with organic solvents, removing the nanoscale building blocks to produce porous NFs.\textsuperscript{27} Additionally, polymeric porogens may be utilized as sacrificial polymer templates, whereby differences in physical properties between the electrospun NF-polymeric porogen matrix (synthesized using simple electrospinning techniques) is exploited in post-treatment processes to remove the porogen component.\textsuperscript{16}

Nanoparticle-decorated nanofibers can be prepared in one step (during the electrospinning process) or in multiple steps (deposition of nanoparticles after the electrospinning). The addition of nanoparticles on the surface of electrospun nanofibers can provide secondary or
tertiary functions that serve to improve upon the performance of non-functionalized nanofibers,\textsuperscript{35,36}

3. ELECTROSPUN FIBERS IN BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS

The structure produced by the electrospinning setup provides a spatiotemporal configuration with the ability to sequester stimulants in various compartments, similar to \textit{in vivo} conditions.\textsuperscript{28} This means that it is possible to modulate the release kinetics of various antineoplastic agents by manipulating the fiber thickness and localization. The release of cytotoxic treatment is unfortunately limited by the pore architecture of NF matrices through which it is dispersed. An NF mesh with a too small diameter-to-porosity ratio will impede the incorporation of interstitial additives into the scaffold material.\textsuperscript{28} This characteristic is desirable in barrier applications for the skin and endothelium, but not efficient for cancer treatment and detection.\textsuperscript{50} Integrins (more specifically $\alpha\beta_3$, a class of animal transmembrane proteins involved in cell-cell adhesion and substrate engagement) are known to play an important role in cytoskeletal organization of the cellular membrane.\textsuperscript{51} Integrin expression is upregulated on angiogenic endothelial cells; if $\alpha\beta_3$ is blocked with selective antibodies or (Arginine-Glycine-Aspartic acid)-containing peptides, angiogenic endothelial cells \textit{in vivo} will possibly disrupt metastatic mechanisms and undergo apoptosis.\textsuperscript{52,53} Aside from the chemical signaling initiative provided by the interstitial additive, the topological features of ESNFs predominate in the foreign body response of patients, suggesting mechanisms that potentially hinder or accelerate the migration and binding of target-moieties to biosensors.\textsuperscript{51} Therefore, ESNFs assembled to approximate an ECM architecture can, to a degree, control the activity of integrins; otherwise, there may be counteracting integrin-dependent proliferation signals.\textsuperscript{52} Brigger et al.\textsuperscript{54} developed this idea in their research into nanoparticle DDSs (of the nanosphere, polymeric
matrix variety). They reiterated the potential DDS capabilities of nanoscale polymer matrices, postulating the strategy of *in vivo* treatment using drug-loaded colloidal systems. Non-modified nanoparticles were rapidly opsonized by macrophages in the mononuclear phagocytes system (MPS) (Liver, lungs, spleen, bone marrow), resulting in higher concentrations of administered therapeutic agents in the spleen, liver, and lungs of mice. The propensity for MPS macrophages to undergo endocytosis/phagocytosis restricted the use of non-surface-modified nanoparticles to MPS-specific tumors. Surface characteristics of the traditional nanoparticle matrix were modified with hydrophilic-moiety, and the surface curvature has increased above 100 nm, reducing opsonization by MPS macrophages and prolonging the DDS’ half-life. This allowed selective extravasation of cytotoxic agents through the leaky vasculature surrounding neoplastic cells, extending its application to tumor sites outside the MPS system. This stresses the importance of spatial architecture on effective DDSs and applies equally to any foreign substance that is administered into the body of a patient.

For a similar reason, a considerable challenge in the production of nanoscale biosensing devices is maintaining bioreceptor functionality. For example, incorporation of antibodies as an interstitial additive requires a chemically favorable environment in the NF matrix. Antibodies can conjugate randomly to nanofibrous surfaces through carbodiimide-mediated conjugation routes, which may reduce the activity of the antibody. A study conducted by Liang et al. in 2005 on *in vitro* non-viral gene delivery with nanofibrous scaffolds examined the parameters affecting successful transfection. Polylactide-co-glycolide (PLGA) ESNF scaffolds were loaded with DNA particles. Two preparation methods were conducted: (1) DNA was condensed in a solvent mixture, followed by encapsulation in a triblock copolymer of poly(lactide)-b-poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(lactide) to form micelles. The micellar mixture was electrospun with PLGA to form a nonwoven nanocomposite and nanofibrous scaffold (with a core-shell structure) using coaxial electrospinning techniques. (2) DNA was simply
incorporated with the PLGA and electrospun thusly. The authors observed that DNA which was not encapsulated in the copolymer was degraded because of the electrospinning process, displaying no transfection. In comparison, the DNA encapsulated in the copolymer was virtually undisturbed, attributable to the core-shell structure protecting the DNA, allowing transfection to take place. The juxtaposition of the two results highlights the difficulty in maintaining bioactivity of interstitial additives. If biological agents are to be incorporated into electrospun structures, then special consideration of the biological function in which they are to be implemented must be upheld. This is of little consequence to the delivery of non-biological therapeutic agents such as heparin (an anticoagulant), which has been successfully incorporated in ESNF mats with uniform distributions in previous studies. A polymer melt was prepared by loading heparin in a 7:3 v/v dichloromethane: methanol solution, which was used to dissolve the polymer. The collected fibers (produced using a flow rate of 0.5 mL h\(^{-1}\) of polymer melt and a 0.8 kV cm\(^{-1}\) applied electric field between the capillary and the collection plate) were sterilized in 70% ethanol baths for 15 minutes followed by 5-minute cycle washes in cell culture media. Sustained diffusional release of biologically functional heparin was obtained over a 14-day period, highlighting its validity as a DDS for local administration to the site of vascular grafts. Evidently, the end objective of the electrospinning process demands careful consideration of the factors affecting it, be it biosensing, drug delivery, or otherwise.

4. ELECTROSPUN NANOFIBERS FOR BIOSENSORS

4.1. The Bioreceptor

The bioreceptor plays the role of molecular recognition, producing a physiochemical response to interactions with a biological analyte (i.e., biocatalysis, immunological coupling, chemoreception, etc.) to be detected by a signal-transducing element. The compact analytical device is represented generally in Figure 4. It has been noted in many studies that the functional
significance of biomarkers for early cancer detection is insurmountable. It is not the objective of this literature review to provide an account of biomarkers that have been identified as cancer-indicating. Instead, a partial list of the most promising analytes and their conjugate bioreceptors are provided in Table 3 to aid the reader in the subsequent discussion.

![Figure 4. Principle of function of a biosensor. A biological analyte in a complex media specifically interacts with an immobilized bioconjugate on the biosensor surface. The output signal is converted into a physical readout signal via a transducer. Incompatible analytes will not interact to produce a signal output. Reproduced with permission from ref 60. Copyright 2002 Springer-Verlag.](image)

It is interesting to note that despite the exceptionally greater scholarly effort into NF systems for cancer therapeutics (compared to in vitro diagnostics), comparatively few have been approved for clinical trial. A study conducted in 2006 reported over 150 companies developing nanoscale therapeutics, with only 23 being approved for clinical use, 3 for in vivo imaging, and 2 for in vitro diagnostics; collectively the market value was estimated at $6.2 billion (£14.86 billion, adjusting for inflation).61 In 2008, the US Federal Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency approved only a few for cancer treatment.55 As of 2016, 51 FDA-approved nanomedicines were identified, and a further 77 products were in clinical trial.
The FDA-approved nanotherapeutics were predominantly polymeric, liposomal, or nanocrystal formulations; more complex materials comprising micelles or protein-based nanoparticles are yet to be FDA-approved. While ESNFs for cancer treatment are mostly in their infantile or preclinical stages, it is worth observing that the detection and treatment of cancer are synergistic, so for optimal results both the local detection and delivery of cytotoxic treatment should be employed clinically. Biosensors are utilized more liberally due to their diverse portfolio, including glucose monitoring for diabetes, food quality and safety, fermentation processes, and environmental pollution control. Exploiting biosensors for disease screening and diagnostics is not a new concept; however, its employment in conjunction with DDSs appears novel. Not including the technological difficulties in constructing DDSs and ultrasensitive biosensors, it is evident that legal, ethical, and economic restrictions constrain its widespread adoption as primary point-of-care treatment presently.

Table 3. Promising analytes and their conjugate bioreceptors for cancer diagnostics and treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recognition Element</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Bioreceptor</th>
<th>Anatomical Relevance in Cancer Detection</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antigen/Antibody</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>anti-PSA</td>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>56,67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CA 125</td>
<td>anti-CA 125</td>
<td>Ovarian, Uterus, Pancreas, Liver, Colon</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>anti-CEA</td>
<td>Breast, Colon</td>
<td>12, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>GOx</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>63,68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ITIH4</td>
<td>Peptide-specific antibody</td>
<td>Ovarian, Breast, Colon, Prostate</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>HER-2 / EGFR family</td>
<td>anti-HER-2</td>
<td>Breast, Colon, Lungs</td>
<td>55,70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Folate</td>
<td>Folic acid-liposomal conjugates</td>
<td>Ovarian, Lung, Brain, Head &amp; Neck, Renal</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2. Bioreceptor Immobilization

Part of the construction of biosensors requires immobilization of the bioreceptor onto ESNF matrices by conjugation; this process is selective. This means that an immobilization method optimized for a particular bioreceptor does not apply uniformly to all bioreceptors. Just as conjugation of biological analytes to bioreceptors is selective (Table 3), the technique must be adjusted to conform to the unique properties of a given bioreceptor. The typical conjugation routes for tumor targeting is provided in Table 4.

Recent advances in bioconjugation techniques have been widely reviewed in the literature, however, a brief outline of the most common techniques prevalent to cancer optimized biosensors will be provided. Immobilization is classified under reversible processes (Adsorption and Bioaffinity) and irreversible processes (Covalent Binding, Cross-Linking, and Entrapment), represented in Figure 5. Adsorption (physical), bioaffinity, and entrapment are physical methods of immobilization while covalent bonding, adsorption (ionic), and cross-linking are chemical methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleic Acids</th>
<th>Bioconjugate Components</th>
<th>Bioconjugate Reagents</th>
<th>Bioconjugate Designs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Lung, Neck, Brain, Leukemia, Colon, Breast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PSA = Prostate Specific Antigen; CA 125 = Cancer Antigen 125; CEA = Carcinoembryonic antigen; ITIH4 = Inter-alpha-Trypsin Inhibiter Heavy chain family member 4; HRP = Horse Radish Peroxidase; HER-2 = Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2.
Polymers; dendrimers; cytotoxic agents; toxins; enzymes; prodrugs; haptens or ligands; antibody or antibody fragments

Heterobifunctional aliphatic crosslinkers; heterobifunctional PEG-based crosslinkers; PEGylation agents; multifunctional scaffolds; zero-length crosslinkers; homobifunctional crosslinkers; thiolation reagents; spacer arms

Antibody-drug; antibody-enzyme; antibody–polymer–drugs; antibody–polymer–dye–drug; hapten–drug; ligand-drug

**Figure 5. Bioreceptor Immobilization Methods.** (A) Adsorption, physical and ionic binding. (B) Bioaffinity-based immobilization. (I) Covalent binding through primary amines. (II) Entrapment on beads or fibers and micro-encapsulation. (III) Cross-linking using carbodiimide mediated conjugation. Reproduced with permission from ref 73. Copyright 2016 Susana Liébana, Guido A. Drago.

### 4.2.1. Reversible Processes

Adsorption (by physical or ionic binding) represents the easiest method of immobilization, where the ESNF matrix is enriched with bioreceptor molecules at the vicinity of the interface. Physical adsorption (**Figure 5 (A)**) of the bioreceptor molecules occurs by weak intermolecular interactions (i.e., hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces or hydrophobic interactions)
between the adsorbate (the bioreceptor) and the adsorbent (the ESNF surface). Ionic
chemisorption simply binds the biological agent through salt linkages.

Bioaffinity immobilization (Figure 5 (B)) occurs via two alternative routes. In the first,
the bioreceptor species is conjugated to a ‘fusion tag’ molecule which has an affinity for the
ESNF matrix. In the second, the ESNF matrix is activated and precoupled with an affinity
ligand, after which the bioreceptor is added. The precoupling process can be conducted by any
covalent bonding mechanism used for generating affinity media; however, if the affinity ligand
is a biologically active protein, then the precoupling process should optimize the number of
accessible binding sites between the target analyte and bioreceptor, which ultimately
determines the sensitivity and stability of the biosensor. Even so, the unique characteristics of
this method pose some challenges to its eventual commercialization due to affinity ligands
being, on occasion, economically infeasible, unstable in vivo, or difficult to isolate.76

4.2.2. Irreversible Processes

Covalent binding (Figure 5 (I)) immobilizes the bioreceptor onto the ESNF matrix by
covalent bonding. This process is widely used when designing biosensors due to the stability
of the bond, which precludes the leaching of bioreceptor molecules into the surrounding
solution, thereby allowing prolonged in vivo measurements to be taken.77 Active binding sites,
such as the antibody recognition areas should not be blocked by this process, as this counteracts
the objective of biosensing. Generally, five functional groups have been identified as the
necessary precursors to covalent binding immobilization in practical conjugation methods.
These are: Primary amines (-NH2) (can be targeted without denaturing the bioreceptor using
N-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester reactive groups); Carboxy groups (-COOH) (usually available on
the bioreceptor surface as a C-terminus in polypeptide chains); Thiols (-SH) (disulfide bonds
between cysteine groups in the bioreceptor can be reduced to thiols using maleimide -or
iodoacetyl-activated reagents for thiol-directed conjugation); Carbonyls (-CHO) (can be created by glycosylation of glycoproteins with sodium meta-periodate); Carbohydrates (oxidized sugars can be reacted to hydrazide-activated groups or primary amines through reductive amination).\textsuperscript{73}

Entrapment is the method of choice for the immobilization of enzymes with low molecular weight substrates in polymeric networks. The occlusion of bioreceptors in the ESNF matrix occurs by physically caging the enzyme (not binding it, see Figure 5(II)), allowing substrates and products to selectively permeate through the caged structure while still maintaining bioactivity.\textsuperscript{78} Access to binding sites on the enzyme can be inhibited by the ESNF enclosure, preventing the use of bioreceptors with high molecular weight substrates.

Cross-linking immobilization chemically joins the bioreceptor to the ESNF matrix by an intermediary cross-linker molecule which contains two (or more) reactive functional groups (Figure 5(III)). Many cross-linker molecule variants are available for immobilizing specific bioreceptors (Table 4). For instance, bioconjugates made with HRP for developing western blots can be immobilized using zero-length cross-linkers, where the carbohydrate on the glycoprotein is oxidized and subsequently coupled to a target molecule by reductive amination.\textsuperscript{75}

4.3. The Biosensor

The immobilization and stabilization of the bioreceptor occur principally on the transducing element of the ESNF biosensor complex. Occasionally, immobilization may take place on functional additives that are incorporated to improve the analytical performance of the biosensor.\textsuperscript{73} Transducing elements are categorized into electrochemical, calorimetric-based, optical, or mass-based.\textsuperscript{12} Currently, the distribution of reported biosensors favors the use of electrochemical biosensors, with 89% being documented as electrochemical, 9% as optical,
An earlier analysis in this literature review on the biological detection behavior of biosensors has emphasized the importance of bioreceptor functionality. In truth, effective transduction of analyte response signals is heavily dependent on the dispersion behavior and biological compatibility of functional additives in ESNFs; likewise, physical characteristics of the transducing elements contribute significantly to the overall performance of the biosensor. The following discussion will focus mainly on providing an account of ESNF electrochemical biosensors, their synthetic routes, and their application in cancer detection. A brief discussion will follow on the use of optical, mass, and calorimetric biosensors, and their application in cancer detection.

4.3.1. Electrochemical Biosensors

Electrochemical biosensors (ECBSs) are currently the most mature biosensing devices used in practice. Characteristics of this variant of biosensor, derived from microelectronic circuits, include robustness, easy scalability, portability, excellent detection limits (even with a small analyte volume), and the ability to be employed domestically as point-of-care devices. Principally, the ECBS operates by extracting electrical response signals from biological analytes that react electrochemically with the surface of a working electrode. The response signal is either a measurable current (amperometric; linear concentration dependence), a measurable potential (potentiometric; logarithmic concentration dependence), or a measurable alteration in the conductive properties of a medium between two electrodes (conductometric). The recognition element is typically an enzyme due to its high catalytic activity and selective binding between the analyte and bioreceptor. However, immunosensors - in which antibodies are coupled to electrochemical transducers - have also been widely employed in the measurement of cancer biomarkers, especially prostate-specific antigens.
Electrodes in the ESNF matrix serve as the transducing element of the biosensor, usually requiring a minimum of three to be used in electro-active responses. A reference electrode, commonly made of silver, is isolated from the surface reaction and maintained at a fixed potential from which measurements can be compared. The working electrode (cathodic or anodic mode) transduces the measured electrochemical response, while the auxiliary electrode connects the working electrode to an electrolyte solution, inducing a current for operation. The properties of the electrode material influence the response time, stability, and sensitivity of the biosensor, but transducer-immobilized enzymes often exhibit inefficient electron transfer for signal transduction. This is the result of unfavorable enzyme orientation to the electrode surface, which inhibits a rapid exchange of electrons. The sensitivities of unaltered ECBSs are rarely useful for biomarker detection as a result. By modifying the transducer with highly conductive and chemically stable additives such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs), metal nanoparticles, and quantum dots (QDs), it is possible to improve an ECBS’s sensitivity and signal-to-noise ratio through facilitated electron transport between the bioreceptor and electrode. Furthermore, studies have demonstrated a strong adsorption capacity for enzymes on these nanoscale building blocks, subsequently improving the response time, sensitivity, and stability (by minimizing enzyme unfolding) of ECBSs. The homogeneous distribution of these nanoscale building blocks is vital to ensure good performance, so dispersion strategies for nanoscale building blocks in ESNF-ECBSs will be discussed.

4.3.1.1. Pre-Processing Modification of Nanoscale Building Blocks in Electrospun Nanofibers

The pre-processing method of ESNFs introduces nanoscale building blocks by adding the desired modifier to the polymer melt before being electrospun into nanofibrous scaffolds. Theoretically, the greater the nanoscale building block content in the polymer melt, the more
even the distribution through the ESNF matrix, and the greater the performance of the ECBS. These properties are mutually inhibited, however, as nanoscale building blocks have higher surface energy than the ESNF matrix often leading to aggregation. Pre-processing methods of overcoming this aggregatory behavior include blending of nanoscale building blocks (through external force-assisted dispersion or anisotropic additive-promoted dispersion) or in situ synthesis of nanoscale building blocks in ESNFs.

4.3.1.1. Blending of Nanoscale Building Blocks in Electrospun Nanofibers

Dispersion of nanoscale building blocks in ESNFs can be assisted by external driving forces - such as ultrasonic treatment or adding surface-active agents - or adding anisotropic materials to ESNF matrices, which promotes a more uniform distribution in the polymer melt after being electrospun. Generally, it is a metal nanoparticles that are loaded in the polymer melt for ECBSs due to their good dispersion, and optimal conductive properties, however, organic nanostructures may also be utilized in, for example, near-infrared imaging for early cancer detection or as fluorescence probes for bioimaging. Diamond nanostructures have emerged as attractive materials in biomedical applications such as bioimaging, biosensing, and drug delivery due to their exceptional mechanical, thermal, optical, and electrical properties. Additionally, it has been noted in previous studies that Ag, Au, Pt, Cu, and Ni nanoparticles have very good electrochemical activity towards H₂O₂, the analyte conjugated to HRP that is prevalent in breast cancer monitoring (see Table 3). The works of Devadoss et al. present their findings on synthesizing (Au nanoparticle)-composite Nafion NFs (specifically: (Au nanoparticle)-Nafion-polyacrylic acid (PAA) NFs) using the simple electrospinning technique outlined in section 2. N,N’(4-dimethylamino) pyridine (DMAP) and DMAP-protected (Au nanoparticle)-incorporated Nafion NFs were directly mixed and electrospun on a stainless steel working electrode, after which HRP was immobilized on the electrode surface by physical
adsorption in the presence of poly(acrylic acid) molecules. Electron microscope imagery compared the (Au nanoparticle)-composite with the (Au nanoparticle)-free composite, revealing a high surface area and uniform distribution of Au nanoparticles in the composite NFs. This homogenous inclusion was attributed to the strong electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged sulfonate groups in Nafion and the positively charged DMAP-protected Au nanoparticles. It was demonstrated that the (Au nanoparticle)-composite electrodes, when employed as reservoirs for horseradish peroxidase immobilization, produced reliable and sensitive electrochemical detection of the enzymatic reaction occurring on the surface. The sensitivity was improved by order of magnitude compared to previous ECBSs (with a limit of detection = 38 nM), and the bioreceptor remained biologically stable for over three weeks.

A recent review on metal-oxide NF-based ECBSs\(^3\) provides an account of current advancements in the production of ESNFs doped with metal-oxide precursors. These metal-oxide NFs are produced by blending an inorganic precursor with a polymer solution and electrospinning using co-axial,\(^{28,37}\) colloid-,\(^93\) solution- (see section 2),\(^94\) and melt-electrospinning\(^95\) techniques. While melt-electrospinning eliminates the need for harsh organic solvents, the necessary elevated operating temperatures (between 60°C and 90°C)\(^50\) may preclude its use in biosensor application by potentially denaturing immobilized enzymes. Nevertheless, a metal-oxide derived ESNF mat can be synthesized by evaporating a sacrificial polymer carrier and oxidizing an inorganic precursor, promoting fiber-aligned, uniform nucleation of metal-oxide nanoscale building blocks in a polymer matrix.\(^38\) The resultant morphological properties include a high surface area-to-pore ratio and diverse pore architecture, which increases the number of available bioreceptor binding sites and further improves the biosensor sensitivity. Indeed, mesoporous zinc oxide (ZnO) ESNF-ECBSs with high sensitivity, reproducibility, and stability were successfully fabricated and reported by Ali et al.\(^96\) for the detection of anti-epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (overexpressed in breast
cancer patients). High sensitivity (7.76 kΩ µM⁻¹), low detection limit (1 fM), fast detection
time (128 s), and a broad detection range (1 fM - 0.5 µM) was obtained, corresponding to an
order of magnitude improvement in sensitivity relative to the then-current best in literature,⁹⁷
and three orders of magnitude improvement relative to the Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent
Assay standard for breast cancer biomarker detection. Similarly, ZnO ESNFs doped with
multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) have been produced by blending a three-component
mixture (of ZnO, MWCNTs, and polymer melt) and electrospinning for use in CA 125 analyte
detection (see Table 3).⁹⁸ Highly oriented ZnO nanowires were embedded in the ESNF matrix
by a one-step calcination process at a temperature that simultaneously avoided MWCNT
decomposition and created MWCNT-ZnO functional groups for antibody immobilization,
resulting in an immunosensor with good dispersion of MWCNTs and high electrocatalytic
activity. A particularly promising development in the field follows the recently published
works of Tripathy et al.⁹⁹ The authors present their findings on synthesizing an electrospun
semi-conducting Manganese (III) Oxide (Mn₂O₃) NF ECBS for DNA hybridization detection.
The Mn₂O₃ NFs were synthesized by blending a polyacrylonitrile / N,N-dimethylformamide
polymer matrix with a known weight % of Manganese (II) Acetate tetrahydrate and stirring for
1 hour at 60°C and then 2 hours at 65 °C. The precursor solution was electrospun to form a
free-standing nonwoven NF membrane; collected NFs were then calcinated at 500 °C to obtain
homogeneously dispersed Mn₂O₃ NFs. The DNA probe was immobilized by covalently
binding the amine groups on the nucleotide to activated carboxylic groups on the Mn₂O₃ NF
matrix, shown in Figure 6.
Figure 6. Schematic representation of a proposed DNA-hybridization semi-conducting Manganese (III) Oxide electrochemical biosensor. GCE = Glassy Carbon Electrode, MNF = Modified nanofiber, MPA = Mercaptopropionic acid, EDC = 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide, NHS = N-hydroxysuccinimide. Reproduced with permission from ref 99. Copyright 2017 Elsevier.

It was demonstrated that the Mn$_2$O$_3$-doped ECBS performed better than the unmodified ESNF-ECBS, obtaining unprecedented sensitivities (up to 6.93 kΩ mol$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$) and a zeptomolar limit of detection (120 x 10$^{-21}$ M). The authors attribute these properties to a decreased charge transfer resistance in the system, promoted by accumulation of negatively charged ssDNA probes on the semiconducting NF coating. Additionally, the accumulated charge produced a Mn2O3 NF matrix with a lower band structure than conventionally wide bandgap material approaches, significantly enhancing the limit of detection of biological analytes in ECBSs. This synthetic methodology is especially attractive for biosensors used in cancer detection as the immobilization and functionalization protocols are generic in nature. The ECBS could be extended to any of the recognition elements outlined in Table 3 simply by modifying the
working electrode with probe sequences specific to the desired biological analyte, providing an almost all-inclusive anatomically relevant platform for point-of-care lab-on-chip cancer diagnostics.

4.3.1.1.2. In Situ synthesis of Nanoscale Building Blocks in Electrospun Nanofibers

In situ synthesis requires dispersing metallic ions in a polymer solution before electrospinning, thereafter reducing the uniformly distributed ions to metallic nanoscale building blocks by heat-, light-, additives, or electro-stimulation. Several medically relevant ESNFs have been functionalized with nanoscale building blocks using in situ methods, including: GNP-doped polyacrylonitrile ECBSs by an oxidation-reduction reaction, hybrid silica-PVA NFs by sol-gel electrospinning, CNT- and Pt nanoparticle-doped polyvinylidene difluoride NF membranes for biosensor application, and Ag-doped ZnO nanoparticles on electrospun cellulose NF mats by hydrolysis. As the nanoscale building block precursors are added in their ionic form, the aggregatory behavior characteristic of pre-processing blending is avoided. In this, in situ synthesis is the preferable approach for metal nanoparticles; however, this strategy is exclusive to metallic based nanoscale building blocks and cannot be employed for doping ESNFs with CNTs, MWCNTs, or other non-metallic nanoscale building blocks. Interestingly, there are many instances in literature where metal-oxides have been introduced to ESNFs in situ as a surface coating. After electrospinning a polymer-precursor solution, calcination of the organometallic metal-oxide / sol-gel precursor caused the NF diameter to contract. This induced a brittle fiber morphology, onset by internal mechanical and thermal stresses. Generally, supplementary materials which are both mechanically and chemically stable during the calcination process are embedded in polymer-precursor solutions before being electrospun to preserve the ESNF’s structural integrity. The principle that only surface-bound nanoscale building blocks interact with recognition elements - meaning...
internally dispersed nanoscale building blocks contribute little to the transduction of biological response signals\textsuperscript{107} - suggests that in situ surface coated metal-oxide ESNFs are promising materials for biosensor applications. On the nanoscale, however, morphological processing and surface arrangements of nanoscale building blocks are of paramount importance in improving the sensitivity of biosensors to cancerous analytes, an issue which is best addressed by synthetic post-processing routes.

4.3.1.2. Post-Processing Modification of Nanoscale Building Blocks in Electrospun Nanofibers

The post-processing method of synthesizing ESNFs with nanoscale building blocks requires decorating an as-spun NF matrix with functional additives by various immobilization techniques.

4.3.1.2.1. Core-Shell Morphology

Regardless of complex post-treatments restricting its broader application, core-shell NFs have been adopted previously as ESNF-ECBSs for cancer detection. Li et al.\textsuperscript{108} reported their findings on synthesizing an immunosensor for CEA-biomarker detection. A nanoporous gold/chitosan modified paper working electrode was used as the sensor platform, and graphene QD functionalized bimetallic Platinum-coated gold (Au@Pt) core-shell nanoparticles were the signal labels.\textsuperscript{108} Anti-CEA antibodies were subsequently immobilized by covalent assembly of signal antibodies on graphene QD tagged Au@Pt core-shell nanoparticles, following a sandwich-type immunoreaction in which graphene QDs / Au@Pt labels were captured onto the NGC-PWE surface.\textsuperscript{108} The proposed strategy produced an immunosensor with a detection range of 1.0 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1} - 10 ng mL\textsuperscript{-1}, and a limit of detection of 0.6 pg mL\textsuperscript{-1}, comparable in performance to the best commercial graphene / CNT based ECBSs.\textsuperscript{108} The authors also
remarked that the synthetic technique they employ can be readily expanded to detecting other
cancer biomarkers and that its potential for ultrasensitive cancer diagnostics is very
promising. 108

Core-shell ESNFs have also been fabricated and reported by Li et al. 109 and Shen et al. 110
as novel H$_2$O$_2$ ECBSs. Li et al. constructed a piece of conductive cloth from electrospun
polycaprolactone (PCL) NFs decorated with polypyrrole (PPy)-coated silver nanoparticles
(Ag@PPy), which formed the core-shell structure of Ag@PPy / PCL@PPy. The flexible
conducting cloth was successfully pasted onto a glassy carbon electrode for biosensory
application, showing good electrochemical activity toward the direct reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ with a
limit of detection down to 1 µM and a broad linear detection range of 0.01 mM - 3.5 mM.
Likewise, Shen et al. described the synthesis of a novel core-shell hybrid H$_2$O$_2$ biosensor,
utilizing both in situ and synthetic post-processing routes. SiO$_2$@Au NFs were produced by
electrospinning a silica sol precursor, after which gold seed particles were grown in situ via a
layer-by-layer self-assembly method. Gold shells were then used to encapsulate the SiO$_2$@Au
NFs, forming the gold-coated silica fiber hybrid material. The nanocomposite displayed
excellent chemical stability, biocompatibility (with horseradish peroxidase, see Table 3), as
well as a high sensitivity (from 5 µM to 1 mM with a limit of detection of 2 µM), indicative of
an ECBS platform with a high level of variability.

4.3.1.2.2. Porous Morphology

Cui et al. 111 constructed a carbon NF-hydroxyapatite (HA) composite by assembling HA
onto carboxylic group-functionalized carbon NFs and post-treating with acid and thermal
processes. SEM images of the CNFs-HA composite displayed a homogenous and porous
structure, providing a large electrode surface area for the loading of metalloprotein Cytochrome
c (Cyt c) in H$_2$O$_2$ biodetection. 111 The CNFs-HA composite ECBS obtained better performance
than previously documented Cyt c loaded biosensors, displaying good analytical performance (linear detection range from 2 µM - 8.7 µM, limit of detection of 0.3 µM) facilitated by a Cyt c loading capacity that was double that of traditional CNT loading capacities.111

Mondal et al.38 recently reported a facile method for fabricating functional micro-, submicro-, and nano-channels embedded in porous carbon film ECBSs. The microfluidic platform was constructed by electrospinning a skin layer of poly(methyl methacrylate) solution on a silicon wafer substrate, followed by a spin coating of polyacrylonitrile. Thermally-induced carbonization of the composite film decomposed the poly(methyl methacrylate) NFs, producing embedded microchannels in the polyacrylonitrile-derived amorphous monolithic carbon electrode. Pt nanoparticles were then in situ assembled on the embedded channels by thermal decomposition of a precursor salt to enhance the performance of the carbon electrode.38 Further plasma treatment functionalized the composite surface with carboxylic groups, allowing anti-aflatoxinB1 (anti-AFB1) antibodies to be grafted by carbodiimide-mediated conjugation routes.38 An improved ECBS performance was obtained, attributable to aligned nanochannels in the porous carbon film which simultaneously acted as a reaction chamber for antigen-antibody interactions and a fast electron transport route between the electrolyte and working electrode. The synthesized immunosensor had a higher detection range than previously reported AFB1 sensors and a comparable picomolar limit of detection. Even though the proposed immunosensor was produced for the detection of AFB1 (prevalent in the food-processing industry), synthesizing antigen-immobilized ESNFs with microfabricated channels represents a novel success in the development in state-of-the-art microfluidic devices.112–114 Incorporation of microfluidic channels into the porous carbon film serves to improve the sensitivity, stability, and reproducibility of the immunosensor by maintaining the anti-AFB1 functionality.115 This result appears analogous to the discussion of Tripathy and co-workers’ report (see section 4.3.1.1.1.). This synthetic route could be extended to the development of a
broad range of cancer-detecting biosensors by modifying the immobilization and functionalization protocols. According to the literature, the four areas in cancer diagnostics in which microfluidic-hybridized-ENSFs may change the current paradigm are: (1) Molecular-scale diagnostics; (2) Tumor biology; (3) High-volume screening for therapeutics; and (4) Cancer cell isolation.\textsuperscript{114} The microfluidics field is a rapidly expanding area in cancer detection, and there are already instances of approved diagnostic applications.\textsuperscript{116} A particularly active research group in this regard is the team of Prof. Hsian-Rong, who developed multiple generations of “NanoVelcro” cell-affinity substrates, in which circulating tumor cell (CTC) capture agent-coated nanostructured substrates were utilized to immobilize CTCs with high efficiency.\textsuperscript{117,118} Guarino and Ambrosio provide an extensive review on the role of microfluidics and ESNFs in the future of cancer diagnostics.\textsuperscript{114} Integration of these technologies represents an innovative platform for fabricating complex nanoscale systems as \textit{in vitro} models for toxicological investigation; however, its adoption as a primary diagnostic tool remains a largely unknown proposition to-date.\textsuperscript{116}

\textbf{4.3.1.2.3. Hollow Morphology}

Ji et al.\textsuperscript{119} fabricated cationic polyelectrolyte-doped hollow NFs by coaxially electrospinning a core/shell solution of poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH) / polyurethane (PU) using a specially designed two-capillary spinneret; the spinneret was designed such that \textit{in situ} encapsulation of bioactive molecules prevents them from being contacted by the organic solvent. Doping of PAH on the PU ESNF allowed \textit{Candida antarctica} lipase B and glucose oxidase enzymes to be precisely grafted in spatially isolated compartments on the ESNF surface \textit{and} lumen by ion-exchange interactions between the charged enzymes and ionizable groups on PAH, producing woven-membrane NFs with enhanced enzymatic stability. The multienzyme ESNF system has good potential for being employed as an ultrasensitive cancer
biosensor, assuming transducing elements can be successfully incorporated into the structure. Enzyme biomarker irregularities in certain cancers (i.e., overexpressed ITIH4 (Inter-alpha-Trypsin Inhibitor Heavy chain family member 4) and underexpressed apolipoprotein A1 in ovarian cancers)\(^6\) could be independently targeted using a single ESNF platform, whereby multienzyme cascade reactions \textit{in vivo} could provide an unsurpassed efficiency/specificity for biosensors monitoring cancer progression. The complexity involved in constructing a multienzyme system that simultaneously inhibits negative interferences between grafted enzymes and performs multistep biotransformations might prove too complex for constructing a feasible biosensor. Nevertheless, if multiple enzymes could simultaneously be targeted, it would improve the diagnostic value of the biosensor as a single biomarker can be indicative of a variety of different cancers.

\subsection{4.3.2. Optical Biosensors}

Optical biosensors (OBSs) use light-based sensing methods that measure changes in specific wavelengths of light, which are converted into an electrical readout. Most commercialized platforms use fluorescence labels as the detection system; however, interferometry and spectroscopy of optical waveguides and surface plasmons resonance are also available\(^{120}\). The signal readout instrumentation is usually expensive relative to ECBSs, so their employment in cancer biosensing is relegated to predominantly laboratory-based testing rather than point-of-care devices for \textit{in vivo} analysis\(^{12}\). OBSs have been used to detect cancerous analytes such as CEA\(^{121}\), PSA\(^{122}\), volatile organic compounds\(^{123}\), and histamine (for breast, colon, and pancreatic cancers)\(^{124}\).

ESNF-OBSs appear to be particularly useful in detecting early-stage lung cancers. Considering that lung cancer has one of the greatest mortality rates of all known cancers\(^{125}\), early diagnosis is of paramount importance to avoid metastasis. Davis et al.\(^{123}\) demonstrated a
novel dual-mode optical sensing platform for volatile organic compound differentiation. Polydiacetylene (PDA)-embedded ESNFs were produced by mixing a diacetylene monomer solution with an acidic solution for 60 minutes. The resultant sol-gel was electrospun at a rate of 0.1 - 1.0 mL h$^{-1}$, subject to an 8 - 20 kV electric field, towards a grounded aluminum plate (tip-to-collector distance: 10 - 12 cm). For fluorescence measurements, a poly(ethylene oxide) matrix polymer was used to construct PDA-embedded NFs, which were subsequently photopolymerized under UV irradiation to produce fluorescence responsive ESNFs. The solvent-dependent fluorescent transition of NFs generated a response pattern that was successfully used to distinguish between four different organic vapors (THF, chloroform, methanol, and hexane). It was further shown that the fluorescent and colorimetric sensing of biotin-avidin interactions could be realized by embedding biotinylated-pentacosadiynoic acid monomers into silica-reinforced nonwoven NF mats. There is a possibility to broaden the application to different cancer monitoring by generalizing the detection response to hapten bioconjugate interactions (see Table 4). Finally, a three-component PDA NF sensor array was fabricated and tested against eight different organic amine vapors. Using colorimetry and principal component analysis, analyte-dependent colorimetric responses were observed (attributable to amine specific basicity and steric hindrance). This result is particularly useful in lung cancer detection as two of the amine vapors tested were piperidine and pyridine, a class of aromatic amines. Human exhaled breath contains hundreds of volatile organic compounds, and aromatic amines are known biomarkers used to predict lung cancer.$^{19}$ The sensor array differentiated between the eight amine vapors in 72 different samplings, all within a 95 % confidence interval.$^{19}$ Ultrasensitive detection and differentiation of volatile organic compounds that are indicative of onset lung cancers prove to be a very promising platform for early lung cancer detection, suggesting the OBS proposed by Davis et al.$^{123}$ could be employed as a novel biosensor that utilizes the technique of electrospinning.
Similarly, Ifegwu et al. \textsuperscript{126} fabricated nylon 6 NFs doped with Au nanoparticles for the colorimetric probe of 1-hydroxypyrene, a biomarker associated with the largest class of cancer-causing compounds: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Au nanoparticles were \textit{in situ} embedded by chemisorption between the Au nanoparticles and the amide groups on the nylon-6 backbone.\textsuperscript{126} The nylon-6 / Au nanocomposite was electrospun to form uniformly dispersed nanoparticle-doped NFs.\textsuperscript{126} A prominent spectroscopic feature of Au nanoparticles is surface plasmons resonance, which arises from the collective oscillatory behavior of Au’s free electrons of the conduction band that prompts an intense absorption band in the visible spectrum.\textsuperscript{126} As such, the resultant ESNF was characterized by a highly sensitive, photostable reddish-white fiber that turned blue/purple when in contact with a standard solution of 1-hydroxypyrene.\textsuperscript{126} Au nanoparticles have also been functionalized with DNA and grafted onto ESNFs for detecting nucleic acid-based marker, which are used to monitor not only lung cancers, but also neck, brain, colon, and breast cancers.\textsuperscript{127} Wang et al.\textsuperscript{127} introduced a facile approach to fabricating a novel nanocomposite membrane for highly specific and sensitive detection of nucleic acids. DNA-functionalized Au nanoparticles were assembled on cellulose acetate ESNFs to be used as a fluorescent platform which was highly sensitive, selective, and reproducible, as well as low-cost to fabricate.\textsuperscript{127} Further to fluorescent and colorimetric sampling, Au-labelled targeting molecules can be used as high-contrast agents to visualize injected substances in cells or tissue sections.\textsuperscript{75} Detection of such Au nanoparticle conjugates is particularly effective by electron microscopy, as individual particles can be precisely imaged.\textsuperscript{75} To that end, Au nanoparticles have been used previously as theranostic agents (simultaneous diagnosis and treatment) in photothermal therapy, where irradiation of Au nanoparticles incorporated in as-spun NFs causes them to heat up due to Au nanoparticles absorbing photon energy. The small size and rapid heating of Au nanoparticles, as well as the ability to precisely monitor their locations, provides the necessary framework for selective
heating and destruction of neoplastic cells without damaging the surrounding healthy tissue. Photothermal treatment by shortwave radiofrequency ablation could be applied with Au microelectrodes to penetrate deep-seated tumors, noninvasively. However, its employment as a theranostic agent suggests that non-enzymatic bioreceptors would be required, as high-temperature Au nanoparticle-doped ESNFs would likely denaturize any biological components immobilized on the surface.

4.3.3. Mass-Based Biosensors

Mass-based biosensors (MBBSs) utilize piezoelectric techniques, where crystals produce readout signals based on differential mass measurements that arise from the application of a potential force. Immunosensors and microcantilever sensors that adopt piezoelectric technology are designed to detect cancerous analytes by immobilizing the appropriate conjugate on a sensor chip. Electrospinning has been used previously to fabricate piezoelectric materials for biomedical application, including polyvinylidene polymer NFs embedded with barium titanate nanoparticles and poly(vinylidene fluoride) / PAN-based superior hydrophobic piezoelectric solids derived by aligned MWCNTs. Recently, Zhao et al. demonstrated that poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA) NFs can display piezoelectric properties along their diameter fiber direction, where previously documented PLLA MBBSs were only able to obtain shear-direction piezoelectricity. The authors attribute this success to the twisting of C=O dipoles in the polymer chains along the shear fiber direction, which was obtained by generating a high DC electric field and applying it to the polymer melt during electrospinning. Typically, the helical conformation structure of PLLA orients the dipole components perpendicular to the fiber chain direction, such that adjacent polymer chains will be arranged antiparallel to each other, shown in Figure 7. This results in a configuration that does not permit piezoelectricity along the fiber diameter direction. By applying shear force and
a strong electric field in the same direction, Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{131} were able to align the C=O dipoles along the polymer chain and induce piezoelectricity across the fiber diameter.\textsuperscript{131} The ESNFs were further functionalized with two Au electrodes after being hot-pressed for 30 minutes at 50 MPa, from which supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} post-treatment produced a PLLA ESNF with 61.8\% crystallinity.\textsuperscript{131} An output current and voltage of 8 pA and 20 mV, respectively, was obtained by a simple push-release response to an applied force perpendicular to the fiber length.\textsuperscript{131} It is stated that this device enables a broad range of promising future applications in the field of biosensing.\textsuperscript{131} It is possible to consider adapting the push-release response to detecting surface stresses induced by bioreceptor-analyte specific binding. A NF mat woven using this process could produce a highly sensitive MBBS with a very high surface area, corresponding to a greater bioreceptor immobilizing capacity. Intuitively, a high concentration of grafted bioreceptors interacting with target analytes would result in an output response proportional to the number of bound target analytes, perhaps validating it as a novel biosensor platform for cancer detection. More experimental effort is required to corroborate this remark.

\textbf{Figure 7.} Poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA) Nanofiber. (Left) Molecular geometry of PLLA. (Middle) Helical structure of PLLA, where shadowed circles denote carbonyl carbon atoms, and outer large circles denote the methyl groups. (Right) Crystal structure of the \textit{\textalpha}-form of PLLA.
PLLA with top and profile projections. Adapted for reprint with permission from ref 133.

Copyright 2003 American Chemical Society.

4.3.4. Calorimetric Biosensors

Calorimetric Biosensors (CBSs) measure changes in heat from enzymatic exothermic reactions, which can be used to measure analyte concentrations.\(^1\) There are very few instances in literature where CBSs are used in cancer diagnostics; fewer still, ones that utilize the technique of electrospinning. However, an ESNF-CBS with cancer-detecting potential was recently reported by Gonzalez and Frey.\(^{134}\) Poly(vinyl caprolactam) (PVCL) was copolymerized with hydroxymethyl acrylamide (NMA), after which it was electrospun and thermally cured to produce P(VCL-co-NMA) temperature-responsive chemical hydrogel NFs. The temperature response was measured using a swelling-shrinking experiment, and all samples responded quickly and reversibly to changes in temperature.\(^{134}\) This unique property may be utilized more in the future, presuming nanotechnology continues to mature.

5. DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS USING ELECTROSPUN NANOFIBERS

Size variations within the nanoscale enable nanoparticles – functionalized, or not, with conjugated biomolecules for specific intracellular targeting – to be introduced into the body with varying bioavailability and blood circulation times.\(^{135}\) It has been demonstrated that nanoparticles with diameters less than 10 nm are removed from the body by extravasation and renal clearance,\(^{136}\) nanoparticles with diameters greater than 200 nm are typically removed by phagocytes,\(^9\) and nanoparticles between 10 nm and 100 nm can penetrate small capillaries,\(^9\) be sequestered in endocytic vesicles,\(^{137,138}\) and circulate for prolonged periods of time, suggesting optimal nanoparticle diameters fall between 10 nm and 100 nm in size.\(^{139,140}\) The delivery vehicle for parenteral administration of these nanoparticles is typically a liposomal or polymeric carrier.\(^9\) While liposome assisted drug delivery has been the subject of a plethora of
positive results in preclinical trials, clinical translation has progressed moderately due to problems with industrial scalability, post-modification functionality, pharmacokinetic evaluation, and release profile moderation.\textsuperscript{141} In contrast, polymeric DDSs are capable of controlled and sustained release of therapeutic agents as the biochemical properties of encapsulation systems are optimized according to the release profile needed for a given target tissue.\textsuperscript{142} An ideal universal drug-delivery platform is not likely to be obtained, however polymeric DDSs represent a promising pre-requisite for future generation DDSs which might incorporate combinatorial biosensing feedback as well as \textit{in vivo} release of cytotoxic treatment. As such, ESNF DDSs will be critically reviewed in their biomedical context.

ESNFs may be fabricated as implantable DDSs for cancer therapeutics, analogous in principle to the synthetic methodology employed in ESNF biosensors. Morphological properties of ESNFs saturated with cytotoxic agents contribute significantly to drug release kinetics.\textsuperscript{27} ESNFs with a high surface-area-to-volume ratio can accelerate the dissolution of therapeutic agents in an aqueous environment, enhancing the drug release efficiency in localized tumors.\textsuperscript{16,143} For this reason, most examples of ESNF-DDSs practice coaxial electrospinning to obtain core-shell or hollow NFs (see Table 2). Further to ESNF morphologies, drug release profiles have been shown to be controlled by the composition and concentration of shell polymers, solvents used, drug/protein concentration, surface additives, electrospinning conditions, and the solubility characteristics of bioactive agents.\textsuperscript{59} Therapeutic agents in an electrospun matrix rely on the surrounding fluid to permeate into the scaffold in order to dissolve it so that it can be released by either a diffusion, leaching, or combinatorial mechanism. The rate at which drugs are released \textit{in vivo} depends on the pharmacokinetics once they are incorporated within NFs; to mediate tumor area-specific release, drug-polymer process parameters can be selected to optimize the release kinetics indirectly. ESNFs that are biodegradable and biocompatible have become the new tendency due to their dual-use in DDSs
and in implantable scaffolds. In contrast to non-biodegradable polymers, in which the drug-diffusion distance is dependent on a fixed geometry, drug-diffusion in biodegradable polymers is a function of the polymer degradation rate, introducing another order of complexity to the fabrication process. So far, popular biodegradable electrospinnable polymers identified in the literature are polycaprolactone, polyvinyl alcohol, cellulose acetate, gelatin and zein protein. Generally, natural polymers (such as cellulose acetate, gelatin, and zein protein) are the best choice for biocompatibility as they facilitate cell attachment / cellular activity and possess functional groups compatible for hydrophilic interactions; this is especially appropriate if the therapeutic agent is bioactive in nature. Considering most instances of sustained drug release are reported for small hydrophobic drugs or large biological macromolecules, chemical and thermal modifications can destabilize the desired physiological properties. In this respect, care must be taken to achieve designs of considerable utility.

5.1. Modes of Delivery for Electrospun Nanofibers

A common approach employed for the delivery of ESNF drug-loaded systems is through the oral, buccal and sublingual routes; where the drug is rapidly metabolized in the oral cavity. A range of hydrophilic polymers can be appropriate for the development of such systems, including poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) and poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO).

Through the transdermal route, drugs are administered and delivered locally or systematically via the skin. Transdermal drug delivery is a desired alternative to oral delivery, which can be advantageous over hypodermal injections, as a less invasive alternative. This approach is of interest for drug-loaded systems that cannot be taken up by the oral route due to rapid enzymatic gastrointestinal and hepatic pre-systemic metabolism. Due to the nano-dimensions and the high-surface-to-volume ratio of the ESNFs, good dispersity of the drug on
the polymer matrix can be achieved, significantly increasing the solubility of the drug at the surface of the skin.\textsuperscript{149}

The ocular route is a minimally-invasive approach of targeted delivery of ESNFs through the ocular tissues. Aside from the direct delivery path, such an approach carries disadvantages due to the need of semi-transparent membranes, difficulties in application, and potential contaminations. Nonetheless, the usage of ESNF as a topical system for the delivery of substances can be beneficial in comparison to eye drops where less than 5\% of the contained drug reaches the desired tissue.\textsuperscript{150}

In a more direct approach, anti-cancer ESNF scaffolds can be placed on the tumor bed for systemic localized delivery.\textsuperscript{151} Because the majority of the ESNFs follow a passive diffusion release behavior, thus lacking the ability of controlling the need of reaching the desired substance concentration for effective killing of the cancer cells, stimuli-responsive (pH-dependent, photothermal or magnetic trigger of drug release) smart drug delivery ESNFs with on-demand drug release capabilities have attracted much attention.\textsuperscript{152} Chemotherapeutic in-stent devices consisting of an ESNF surface that can be surgically placed at the tumor-bearing area in cancers, such as ureter\textsuperscript{153} or colorectal\textsuperscript{154} cancer, is another approach that can provide systemic drug release of anticancer drugs along with providing the required mechanical stability to prevent restenosis caused by tumor ingrowth.\textsuperscript{154}

### 5.2. Release Behavior of Drug-Loaded Electrospun Nanofibers

The solvent-drug and polymer-drug compatibilities are particularly noteworthy interactions in controlling drug release rates, so detailed strategies have been outlined in the literature\textsuperscript{144} for pairing solvents compatible with polymers to mitigate phase separation of drug-loaded ESNFs (which can result in a burst release of therapeutic agents upon dissolution). In short, to achieve a high drug loading efficiency, hydrophilic drugs are paired with hydrophilic ESNFs, and
hydrophobic drugs with hydrophobic ESNFs. A strategy adopted by many authors requires blending polymers with both hydrophobic- and hydrophilic-moieties, which further helps diversify the drug loading range of ESNFs. By utilizing the high surface areas of coaxially spun hollow and/or core-shell NFs with multiple therapeutic agents, one could not only incorporate multiple stimulants in a single therapeutic platform but also tailor the release of each agent independently by altering the fiber thickness and localization. It has been remarked that these morphologies also provide the additional benefit of inhibiting the initial burst release response.

For instance, Bonadies et al. developed new drug administration systems from poly(butylene adipate/poly(vinylpyrrolidone) core-shell ESNFs for malaria and prostate cancer applications. A considerable burst release was observed in polymer blends with a greater drug-to-poly(vinylpyrrolidone) ratio; this is characteristic of hydrophilic shell-polymers accelerating hydrophobic drug solubilization upon immersion in an aqueous environment. Subsequent diffusion from the hydrophobic core-polymer – and the low solubility of the anti-malarial drug – resulted in the sustained release of remaining treatment at a controlled rate. Polymer blends with a lower drug-to-poly(vinylpyrrolidone) ratio performed the best for in vitro inhibition of malarial parasites, and furthermore reduced the viability of prostatic cancer cell. Ramachandran et al. developed a flexible theranostic implantable system for prolonged and sustained (30 days, zero-order) release of Temozolomide, an anti-glioma chemotherapeutic drug, under in vivo conditions, using a rat model. Different sets of co-axial ESNFs were produced to achieve fiber-by-fiber switching between specific time periods. The rat model illustrated a constant drug release of 116.6 μg/day with only a very small amount of drug leakage in the peripheral blood (<100 ng), rendering a long-term (>4 months) survival rate of 85.7%. Similarly, G. Xia et al. developed a Gemcitabine (GEM)-based implantable system against pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma in BALB/c athymic mice. The system
consisted of GEM dispersed in hyaluronic acid (HA) hydrosol (forming the sol solution) enwrapped with PLA-HA (electrospinning solution) to form core-shell (GEM@PLA-HA) ESNFs via sol-electrospinning. Although the antitumor effect of the developed system was not superior to that of GEM intravenous administration, due to the localized approach the mice implemented with the GEM@PLA-HA ESNFs presented a more efficient inhibition of residual tumor growth and a significantly reduced liver toxicity. Such a system could be implanted upon tumor removal for the prevention of tumor reoccurrence locally. Earlier this year, Hyun Mu et al. developed an injectable short PLA cytokine immobilized (Cyto-sPLA) system. The Cyto-PLA nanofibrous mats were produced via electrospinning. The produced ESNFS were then dispensed in ethanol, microtomed, and filtered to obtained Cyto-sPLA ESNFs with length ranging from 15-100 μm. Ethanol-based aqueous solutions consisting of polydopamine (pDA) conjugated IL-2 Fc immobilized Cyto-sPLA ESNFs were injected near the targeted tumor site in mice. The treated mice presented a significantly increased number and proportion of CD8+ T cells for up to 9 days, presenting retarded tumor progression with an up to 70% tumor regression. This is an innovative immunotherapeutic approach towards the reinvigoration of the body's non-functional CD8+ cells for suppressing tumor growth. The results of these studies suggest a facile approach for the design of nanoscale targeted drug administration, while simultaneously validating nanofibrous assemblies as potential replacements to traditional therapeutics.

Table 5. Different drug release mechanisms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release exponent (n)</th>
<th>Drug Transport Mechanism</th>
<th>Rate as a function of time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0.45</td>
<td>Fickian Diffusion</td>
<td>$t^{0.5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45 &lt; n &lt; 0.89</td>
<td>Non-Fickian (Kinetic) Transport</td>
<td>$t^{(n-1)}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
drug release is constant and controlled by polymer relaxation

**drug release is polymer erosion-controlled

Characterizing the drug pharmacokinetics in ESNFs is difficult due to the complex morphologies of these polymer matrices and the structural variations they may undergo due to swelling. Various mathematical models have been proposed to describe drug release kinetics including first order models, the Higuchi model, the Hixson-Crowell model, and the Korsmeyer-Peppas model. Good success has been achieved in the Korsmeyer-Peppas framework, which models the release kinetics as a general power-law derived from a polymeric system, and can be modified to compensate for burst-release responses:\(^{158}\):

\[
\frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = K_{kp} t^n
\]  

(1)

Where \(M_t\) is the amount of drug released in time \(t\), \(M_\infty\) is the amount of drug released after time \(\infty\), \(n\) is the diffusional exponent or drug release exponent, and \(K_{kp}\) is the Korsmeyer release constant.

Release kinetics are evaluated using the logarithmic form of equation 1:\(^{158}\):

\[
\log \left( \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} \right) = \log (K_{kp}) + n \log (t)
\]  

(2)

By plotting \(\log \left( \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} \right)\) against \(\log (t)\), a linear plot with a gradient of \(n\) and an intercept of \(\log (K_{kp})\) may be obtained. To determine whether the mechanism occurs by diffusion, leaching, or a combination of the two, the exponent variable \(n\) is used to describe drug release in cylindrical shaped matrices (and occasionally thin films)\(^{28}\). Table 5 provides the mechanism boundaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.89</th>
<th>Case II Transport*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0.89</td>
<td>Super Case II Transport**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zero order release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*drug release is constant and controlled by polymer relaxation

**drug release is polymer erosion-controlled
If the release mechanism does not conform to the application, the ESNF-drug matrix has been designed for (such as a reservoir-type therapeutic device exhibiting Case II transport as opposed to Fickian diffusion) then process parameters (such as applied voltage or polymer supply rate) may be adjusted to alter the fiber architecture. Various modified forms of equation 1-1 have appeared in previous drug release studies, given in Table 6.

**Table 6.** Strategies for sustained drug release from ESNFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber System</th>
<th>Agent Name</th>
<th>Loading (wt. %)</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>7 d</th>
<th>14 d</th>
<th>Release Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCL:PVA</td>
<td>Metoclopramide hydrochloride</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>[ M_t = \frac{2\pi h D q C_s}{\ln \left( \frac{r_o}{r_i} \right)} t ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL:PVA</td>
<td>Metoclopramide hydrochloride</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[ M_t = \frac{2\pi h D q C_s}{\ln \left( \frac{r_o}{r_i} \right)} t ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL:Gelatin</td>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[ M_t = \frac{2\pi h D q C_s}{\ln \left( \frac{r_o}{r_i} \right)} t ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28% Zein: 1% Ferulic Acid</td>
<td>Ketoprofen</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[ \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = K_{kp} t^n ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL:PLGA</td>
<td>TFV, AZT, RAL</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[ \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = K_{kp} t^n ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL:PEG</td>
<td>Salicylic acid</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[ \frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = \frac{M_B}{M_\infty} + K_{kp} t^n ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCL = Polycaprolactone; PVA = Polyvinyl alcohol; PLLA = Poly(L-lactic acid); PEG = Polyethylene glycol; PLGA = Poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid; TFV = Tenofovir; AZT = azidothymidine; RAL = Raltegravir. \( M_t \) is the amount of drug released at time \( t \), \( M_\infty \) is the amount of drug released at time \( \infty \), \( M_b \) is the burst release, \( K_{kp} \) is the Korsmeyer release constant, \( n \) is the diffusional exponent or drug release exponent, \( h \) is the height of the cylinder.
$r_o$ is the outside radius of the fiber, $r_i$ is the inside radius of the fiber, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $\varphi$ is the partition coefficient for the drug from the core to the shell, $C_s$ is the steady state concentration.

The number of interrelated parameters affecting the release kinetics makes it difficult to provide any meaningful comparisons between the isolated studies listed in Table 6. Note, however, the difference in release rates between the PCL:PVA and PLLA:PVA fibers, keeping all other variables constant. Over twice as much metoclopramide hydrochloride is released from the PCL:PVA fiber compared to the PLLA:PVA fiber after 14 days. The authors attribute this observation to a far higher porosity in the PCL shell, which allowed direct access to the drug by the surrounding fluid, resulting in a predominantly burst release mechanism. On the contrary, the PLLA shell exhibited a fickian diffusion mechanism. This is but one example of many, yet it reflects the importance of material selection in mediating tumor-specific release of cytotoxic treatment. The PLLA:PVA fiber is more relevant in the context of ESNF-DDSs; reservoir-type DDSs have been applied to the treatment of breast, colorectal, lung, head, neck, and many more cancers. PLLA:PVA would be particularly good in the treatment of deep-seated tumors due to both PLLA and PVA being biodegradable, meaning prolonged in vivo treatment can be obtained without the need to remove the polymer matrix by operation once the treatment period is complete.

5.3. The Current and Future Status of Drug-Loaded Electrospun Nanofibers

In the absence of approved alternative treatment methods, traditional therapeutic practices have been the focus of some practical reform. Similar to the novel therapeutic platforms highlighted in this review, systemic chemotherapeutic drugs have, quite unsuccessfully, endeavored at improved delivery efficiencies by incorporating cancer-targeting moiety. A
median of only 0.7% of injected chemotherapeutic agents reach solid tumors upon systemic administration, according to a recent 10-year long literature survey.\textsuperscript{166} Some instances of improved systemic treatment have been reported using combinatorial chemotherapy and photodynamic therapy nanohybrids\textsuperscript{167} or synergistic nanoparticle / chemotherapeutic drug hybrids\textsuperscript{168}; however, interest has shifted to local rather than systemic administration methods. Naturally, there is an ongoing effort to not only clinically approve various novel therapeutic agents, for example, those listed in Table 7, but also to optimize their delivery platform for routine clinical application, like those listed in Table 8. Optimized delivery platforms may mitigate the current problems with systemic administration; however, several problems remain before ESNF DDSs transition to clinical trials. The essential ones are 1) secondary removal surgery of non-biodegradable scaffolds; 2) the potential hazard of residual solvent from the manufacturing process; and 3) the biological challenge of foreign-body administration.\textsuperscript{165} Of note is the complexity surrounding the third point, which was briefly discussed in Section 1 and Section 3. It is one of the major objectives in site-specific delivery to overcome the biological barriers that preclude efficacious unloading of cytotoxic treatment which, currently, is a non-trivial yet surmountable task. As the mechanisms involved in hindering drug delivery become better understood, functional ESNF DDSs outside the confines of convention may be formulated to address these limitations.\textsuperscript{169} For instance, active-targeting micelles have been encapsulated in core/shell NFs by coaxially electrospinning micelle-doped-poly(vinyl alcohol) / cross-linked gelatin. Compared to the traditional administration of micelles for cancer therapy, the implantable doxorubicin-micelle-loaded NF reduced the frequency of administration while retaining high efficacy against solid tumors.\textsuperscript{170} More recently, an implantable hierarchical-structured fiber device developed via microfluidic-electrospinning was capable of co-delivering Doxorubicin (DOX)-loaded micelles encapsulated within ESNFs synergistically with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor, apatinib (AP), loaded into the ENSF's
This system aimed to inhibit P-glycoprotein (P-gp), an ATP-dependent efflux pump by preventing the over-expression of the protein aiming to overcome multiple drug resistance (MDR). The device was implanted to nude mice bearing multidrug-resistant human mammary adenocarcinoma (MCF-7/Adr) tumors. The sustained release of AP continuously inhibited the P-pg efflux pump, allowing for an increased intracellular uptake of DOX. The system presented low systemic toxicity and significantly decreased tumor volumes in comparison to the single drug ESNFs and the intravenously injected mice.\textsuperscript{171}

These active targeting approaches enhance the specificity of encapsulated cargo to diseased tissues, minimizing deposition in non-target locations. However, the apparent therapeutic superiority of active-targeting approaches over non-targeting approaches is still a matter of some debate, with somewhat paradoxical results being reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{172}

Several studies have reported an improved efficacy and uptake of ligand-targeted therapeutics in neoplastic cells relative to their non-targeted analogs,\textsuperscript{170,173,174} while conversely there are studies that report no improvement in bioavailability of ligand-targeted therapeutics at tumor sites.\textsuperscript{175} This is perhaps a contributing factor as to why only one active-targeting nanomedicine, Ontak®, has been FDA-approved (as of 2016).\textsuperscript{62,176} It is more likely that economical and regulatory limitations heavily contribute to the lack of currently-available ESNF DDSs. From start to finish, an estimated 10-15 years and $1 billion worth of pre-clinical / clinical phase testing are required before therapeutics become available on the market.\textsuperscript{62} The limitations in pharmaceutical development are typically centered on four common trajectories: 1) reliability of the marketed product; 2) scalability of the manufacturing process; 3) chemical instability or denaturation of therapeutic compounds during manufacturing; and 4) long term stability of product after administration.\textsuperscript{177} As such, accurate characterization of the polymeric carrier-drug matrix is a necessary pre-requisite before predicting its behavior in a biological context. This is an important issue if ESNF DDSs are to progress beyond theoretical intrigue.\textsuperscript{62} There are
other significant steps involved in reaching clinical trial phases, but it is out with the scope of this review to highlight them in detail; the reader is referred to references\textsuperscript{62,141,177} for a more comprehensive account.

<p>| Table 7. Examples of Nanoparticle-based therapeutics in clinical trial phases. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic Agents</th>
<th>Target Cancer</th>
<th>Clinical Trial Phases</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Recruitment Status</th>
<th>Trial ID (ClinicalTrials.gov)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanoparticle</td>
<td>Rectal Cancer</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Lymphatic tracer</td>
<td>Not yet recruiting</td>
<td>NCT03550001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanoparticle</td>
<td>Thyroid Cancer</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Lymphatic tracer</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NCT02724176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIND-014, docetaxel nanoparticle</td>
<td>KRAS Mutation Positive or Squamous Cell Non-small Lung Cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Second-line treatment</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NCT02283320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-1, Albumin-bound paclitaxel nanoparticle</td>
<td>Advanced Pancreatic Cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>First-line treatment</td>
<td>Active, Not recruiting</td>
<td>NCT02124317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab (biological), gemcitabine hydrochloride (drug), S-1 (drug)</td>
<td>Breast Cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>First-line treatment</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NCT00662129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paclitaxel albumin-stabilized nanoparticle</td>
<td>Ovarian, Peritoneal Cancers</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>First-line treatment</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NCT00825201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic nanoparticle</td>
<td>Prostate Cancer</td>
<td>Early Phase I</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NCT02033447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRLX101, enzalutamide</td>
<td>Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>First-line hormonal therapy, Treatment</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
<td>NCT03531827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOTAP:Chol-fus1 nanoparticle</td>
<td>Lung Cancer</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Recruiting</td>
<td>NCT01455389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EktoTherix™ Tissue Repair Scaffold</td>
<td>Non-melanoma Skin Cancer, Basal Cell Carcinoma, Squamous Cell Carcinoma</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>NCT02409628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Despite the many benefits that ESNF DDSs boast, a major liability to their adoption as primary point-of-care is the initial burst release of drugs upon intravenous administration.\(^9\) This attribute is observed in many diffusion-release ESNF delivery platforms, like some of those listed in Table 8, which diminishes or undermines the intended application. For example, recently Gemcitabine-loaded poly(L-lactate) / hydrosol ESNFs were fabricated by Xia et al\(^{151}\) for the purpose of pancreatic recurrence prevention. \textit{In vitro} and \textit{in vivo} studies suggest the manufactured drug-polymer platform is well suited for abating residual cancerous cell proliferation after primary-care surgery. However, initial burst-release of up to 29.8\% in the first day of loaded Gemcitabine was observed upon administration, with subsequent controlled release for up to 3 weeks after. This poses some inherent complications. Firstly, the ESNF platform may only be implanted once, which concerns the long-term objective of localized treatment, and secondly, this form of treatment cannot significantly increase the concentration of therapeutic agents in the blood. The results, while promising, must demonstrate a transferable efficacy in humans before progressing to a clinical trial phase. Foremost, the remarks of the authors reiterate a common caveat in the literature: secondary removal of the ESNF platform is required if it is not biodegradable. The biodegradability component is a subject of great interest for localized DDSs. It has been remarked that the continued advancement of biodegradable ESNF DDSs might facilitate a more rapid transition of the nanotherapeutic field from promising to commonplace,\(^{165}\) as, indeed, most currently approved nanoparticle-loaded platforms consist of simple analogs of well-described approved drugs.\(^{62}\) From a purely scientific perspective, improvements in the biological understanding of diseased states and how they interact with administered ESNF DDSs, along with improvements in materials engineering, will serve to expand upon the already encouraging results reported in this review and advance nanotherapeutics into commonplace application.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic Agent</th>
<th>Materials and Methods</th>
<th>Drug Release Studies</th>
<th>In vivo studies</th>
<th>In vitro studies</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>Different concentration of ampicillin were blended with core/shell poly(methyl methacrylate)/nylon6 NFs by coaxial electrospinning</td>
<td>Smooth core/shell fibers encapsulating variable concentrations of ampicillin were obtained, giving a sustained release of non-Fickian diffusion (stage 1), and Fickian diffusion (stage 2 and 3) mechanisms over a 1 month period.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>The antibacterial activity of the system was verified by means of optical density measurements against Gram-positive <em>L. innocua.</em></td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>Drug loaded Core/shell poly(vinyl pyrrolidone)/ethyl cellulose NFs were fabricated using a Teflon-coated concentric spinneret in a modified coaxial electrospinning set-up.</td>
<td>Amorphously distributed acetaminophen core-shell NFs with linear morphologies and clear core-shell structures were obtained, exhibiting tunable dual drug controlled-release profiles over a minimum of 24 hours.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin (DOX)</td>
<td>Core-shell structured NaGdF4(\text{Yb@NaGdF4:Yb@mSiO2})-polyethylene glycol nanoparticles loaded with the antitumor drug, doxorubicin, were incorporated into poly(ε-caprolactone) and gelatin loaded with antiphlogistic drug, indomethacin, to form NFs via electrospinning process.</td>
<td>Burst release of therapeutic agent (60% in the first 15 hours) and subsequent diffusional release (80% cumulative release after 64 hours).</td>
<td>Multifunctional spinning pieces were surgically implanted at the tumor sites of mice as part of orthotopic chemotherapy by controlled-release of Doxorubicin from mesoporous SiO(_2). The inflammatory response was suppressed, helping wound healing in vivo.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin (DOX)</td>
<td>Graphene</td>
<td>Sustained release of DOX following a</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Cell viability results showed the higher</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oxide / TiO$_2$ / DOX composites were loaded into chitosan/poly(lactic acid) (PLA) solutions to fabricate electrospun chitosan / PLA / GO / TiO$_2$ / DOX NF scaffolds via electrospinning process. Small burst release was achieved from NF scaffolds with 30 and 50μm thicknesses within two weeks incubation time. The faster DOX release rate from NFs was obtained in pH 5.3 compared to pH 7.4. Korsmayer-Peppas kinetic model was used to determine the DOX release mechanism.

**Mycophenolic acid (MPA)**

Coaxial fibers with poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL)/MPA core and PCL sheath NFs were produced using traditional and coaxial electrospinning techniques. MPA-encapsulated coaxial fibers exhibited sustained release of cytotoxic treatment over a 100-hour period while MPA-incorporated single fibers exhibited burst-release mechanics. N/A

**Niflumic acid (NIF)**

High throughput production of mats with PVP via nozzle-free electrospinning process. The product was then mixed with microcrystalline cellulose for capsule formulation. Amorphous NIF was formed. The dissolution rate of the capsule formulation showed a 14-fold increase within the first 15 minutes. N/A

**Temozolomide (TMZ)**

TMZ was initially loaded into chitosan nanoparticles and synthesized CS/TMZ nanoparticles were incorporated into the synthesized poly (ε-caprolactone diol) based polyurethane (PCL-Diol-b-PU) NFs using electrospinning techniques. Sustained TMZ release for 30 days with the zero-order kinetic model was achieved from both CS/TMZ loaded PCL-Diol-b-PU and gold-coated NFs. N/A

182: In vitro glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) tumor cell culture results demonstrated strong cell suppression, with coaxial fibers inhibiting GBM cell growth 3-5x more than single fiber membranes.

143: N/A

183: Cell viability results indicated that the gold-coated NFs can effectively inhibit the growth of U-87 glioblastoma cells.
6. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Electrospinning has become a valuable means of producing functional ESNFs with unique morphological properties for biosensor and DDS applications. More research is being conducted into understanding the interactions that govern the overall effectiveness of these therapeutic platforms, and technological advancements in the field of nanoscale biomedicine is assisting the transition into localized point-of-care treatment methodologies. Biomarkers and biological analytes provide meaningful information in cancer diagnostics and treatment. However, their low concentration in complex biological media for early-stage cancers requires an ultrasensitive, highly specific, reproducible sensing device. The sensitivities of many ESNF-based biosensors are now mature enough to detect cancerous analytes in bodily fluids, providing the necessary framework for non-invasive sampling. They may be applied routinely as well, outputting accurate results with minimal maintenance and handling expertise; this is in stark contrast to traditional methods of analysis, which are often time-consuming, require trained personnel, and can be very costly. It is without a doubt that ESNF-based biosensors and DDSs are recognized as very promising areas of research in the field of cancer therapeutics, but they currently represent a niche compared to the total pharmaceutical and medical device market.

Many ESNF-based biosensors have been developed and reported in this literature review, utilizing a diverse inventory of polymers and approaches, incorporating or not nanoscale building blocks with pre- or post-treatment processes to produce biosensor platforms with improved analytical performance and functionality. It is challenging to identify which method of detection is the most appropriate, considering the many parameters involved in synthesizing an ESNF-based biosensor. Few biosensors rival the degree of molecular recognition – and the ultra-sensitivities that result – offered by enzymatic ECBSs, however, which is why most biosensors reported in literature rely on this method of transduction. To that end, spatial
A wide range of NF architectures, electrode materials, immobilization techniques, and nanoscale building block dispersion strategies have been the subject of much experimental effort, all of which can be incorporated within an ESNF framework. It is expected that by exploiting the versatility of electrospinning, ESNF-based biosensors and DDSs can be expanded to a broader range of cancers by immobilizing and maintaining a broader range of bioactive agents. Nevertheless, relatively few of these therapeutic platforms have been employed practically, and there are currently no commercially available devices. To validate them as primary point-of-care sampling and treatment devices, research towards multi-analyte / multi-drug systems is required. Simultaneous measurement of cancerous analytes will improve the biosensor’s diagnostic value, as many overexpressed biomarkers are indicative of a range of cancers. Additionally, sequestration of multiple therapeutic agents in a single ESNF matrix can be an effective means of inhibiting metastatic tumors, which is important in improving mortality rates for cancer patients. Some constraints, such as currently unobtainable economies of scale, complex synthetic routes, and legislative limitations have kept ESNF-based biosensors / DDSs in pre-clinical and clinical trial stages. Yet, the rate of their development promises that they will debut in routine clinical application in the foreseeable future.

**ABBREVIATION LIST**

- CBS = Calorimetric Biosensor
- CNT = Carbon Nanotube
- DDS = Drug Delivery System
- ECBS = Electrochemical Biosensor
- ECM = Extracellular Matrix
- ESNF = Electrospun Nanofiber
- GQD = Graphene Quantum Dot
- MBBS = Mass-Based Biosensor
- MPS = Mononuclear Phagocytes System
- MWCNT = Multiwall Carbon Nanotube
- NF = Nanofiber
OBS = Optical Biosensor
QD = Quantum Dot
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