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Abstract
The concept of the ‘new speaker’ has gained currency in the sociolinguistics of minority languages in the past decade, referring to individuals who have acquired an additional language outside of the home and who make frequent use of it in the course of their daily lives. Policymakers and language advocates in both Scotland and Canada make frequent reference to the role that new speakers may play in the future of the Gaelic language on both sides of the Atlantic, and Gaelic language teaching of various kinds has been prioritised by policymakers as a mechanism for revitalising the language. This article examines reflexes of this policy in the two countries, juxtaposing the ongoing fragility of Gaelic communities with new speaker discourses around heritage, identity, and language learning motivations. In particular, I consider Nova Scotian new speakers’ sense of identity as ‘Gaels’, an ethnonym largely avoided or problematised by Scottish new speakers.
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Introduction
This article examines outcomes of revitalisation strategies in two historically interconnected but culturally disparate contexts. Scottish Gaelic (henceforward ‘Gaelic’) is a Celtic language currently undergoing revitalisation on both sides of the Atlantic. The language community thus presents an exceptional opportunity to evaluate policy outcomes in respect of a single minority language in two contrasting sociocultural settings. Language shift, loss, and revitalisation are matters of increasingly urgent political attention internationally (Nettle & Romaine 2000; Romaine 2000, 2008, 2013; Fishman & García 2010, 2011). Efforts to stem the decline of minoritised languages have turned increasingly to the generation of ‘new’ speakers via second language acquisition – especially bilingual, ‘revitalisation immersion education’ (García 2009) – as a strategy for increasing numbers of endangered language users.

In part, this development, observed in such diverse contexts as Aotearoa New Zealand, the Basque Country and Hawai‘i (as well as throughout the Celtic speaking world)
reflects a recognition by policymakers that once interrupted, the organic, intergenerational transmission of languages in the home domain is extremely hard for them to influence or to re-instate. As such, the creation of new speakers by other means has become increasingly important in the eyes of policymakers. The term *new speaker* has become increasingly prevalent in the sociolinguistics of European minority languages relatively recently, having initially been coined within the contexts of Galician and Catalan to refer to individuals who have acquired an additional language outside of the home setting and make use of it in their daily lives (O’Rourke & Ramallo 2013; Pujolar & González 2013; O’Rourke, Pujolar & Ramallo 2015).

Uniquely for an endangered minority language, new speakers of Gaelic have recently emerged as a crucial demographic for formal language maintenance efforts on both sides of the Atlantic Ocean. Gaelic-medium education (GME) is a core focus of policymakers’ strategies to revitalise Gaelic in Scotland, while in Nova Scotia, revitalisation efforts focus on language acquisition and socialisation outside the formal classroom. At the level of devolved (respectively, national and provincial) government, policymakers in both Scotland and Nova Scotia make frequent reference to the pivotal role that new speakers may play in the future of the Gaelic language (Bòrd na Gàidhlig 2014, 2018; Nova Scotia Office of Gaelic Affairs 2018; Scottish Government 2014). In light of the disparate degree of governmental and academic attention these Transatlantic Gaelic communities have received to this juncture, a crucial objective of the wider project outlined in this article has been to assess the language learning and life experiences that have informed Scottish and Nova Scotian new speakers’ decision to learn and use Gaelic in their lives, and, relatedly, their cultural identifications with the language.

**Theoretical Framework: Acquisition, Identity, and Fishmanian Views of Language Revitalisation**

support outside the classroom, stating that minoritised languages at which RLS
(‘reversing language shift’) efforts are directed require spaces for their habitual use
outside of the classroom (Fishman 2001b:471).

With regard to bilingual schooling, Edwards (2010:261) notes that in spite of their greater
command in the target language, immersion pupils generally appear not to seek out
opportunities to use their second language to a greater extent than, for instance, students
studying it as a subject; as Baker (2011:265) phrases it, there is always a chance that
‘[p]otential does not necessarily lead to production’. Fishman’s (1991, 2001a, b)
consistent recommendations vis-à-vis the re-attainment of diglossia and intergenerational
interaction thus remain influential, at least among language policy scholars, if not
policymakers attempting linguistic revitalisation of varying types in late modernity at
large. Transmission within the home of the endangered, ‘Xish’ language is foregrounded
at the fundamental, lower level stages of his model for assessing and reversing language
shift, the ‘graded intergenerational disruption scale’ (Fishman 1991:395).

Yet Fishman’s (1991, 2001a, b, 2013) RLS model and his recommendations on behalf of
threatened minority languages rest to a large degree upon a conception of language and
ethnic identity which many contemporary sociolinguists would regard as problematic. In
particular, the (theoretical and practical) feasibility of his emphasis on the straightforward
relationship of the minority language (‘Xish’) to its traditionally defined, ethnolinguistic
speaker community (‘Xmen/Xians’) has been questioned at length by various authors
(2007a:58), for instance, critiqued essentialist interpretations of the language-identity
nexus, in which ‘both “language” and “identity” and their iconic relationships are seen as
fixed, ascribed/natural and unproblematic’. Contemporary sociolinguists distance
themselves from such essentialist positions, which tend to presume that members of a
given identity category are ‘both fundamentally similar to one another and fundamentally
different to members of other groups’ (Bucholtz & Hall 2004:374).

Whilst his theoretical stance regarding the importance of the home context to
intergenerational transmission remains influential in the contemporary literature on
language revitalisation, Fishman’s ideas do draw to a large extent on such an
unproblematic, iconic conception of language and identity. Fishman’s (1991:394) model rests to a large degree on the ‘premises that Xmen are not Ymen and that Xish culture […] is not Yish culture’. He states, furthermore, that ‘prior ideological clarification’ of these fundamental premises ‘must not be skipped over’ if revitalisation initiatives are to succeed (ibid.). The limited practicability of ‘prior’ clarification of this kind in many contexts of language endangerment, or of such clearly defined ethnocultural differentiations in modern societies, leads us inexorably to a discussion of language ideologies and their role in the development of ethnolinguistic identities.

Silverstein (1979:193) defined linguistic ideologies as ‘sets of beliefs about language articulated by users as a rationalization or justification of perceived language structure or use’. In recent years scholars have frequently shown that ideologies of this kind are advanced in speakers’ discourse as attempted rationalisations for language practices, which may in turn reinforce those practices (Kroskrity 2000, 2004; Bucholtz & Hall 2004; Boudreau & Dubois 2007; Makihara 2010; Cavanaugh 2013). In particular, research on language ideologies has often addressed the relationship of speakers’ linguistic practices and perceptions to their sociocultural identities (Valdés, González, García, & Márquez 2008; García 2009; Makihara 2010). Such considerations regarding the role of language ideologies and cultural identity in speakers’ linguistic practices are central to the analysis I present in this article.

A number of principles present in the second language acquisition literature are also of particular relevance here, notably those pertaining to Gardner and Lambert’s (1959, 1972) ‘integrativeness’ model. Based on long-term examinations of French-English bilingualism in Canada, Gardner and Lambert (1959, 1972) defined integrative motivation as reflecting the second language learner’s sincere desire to adopt and integrate with culture of the L2 community. This desire was frequently observed in that context to exert an influence on learners’ successful acquisition of the L2. Yet there exist a number of questions as to this model’s applicability to the context of heritage language learners of Gaelic in Scotland and Canada (however distant such heritage connections to the historic and contemporary language community may be).
A particularly relevant consideration in this connection concerns the various ways in which contemporary notions of emergent, performative and nested linguistic identities may influence this model’s applicability in contemporary society. Bucholtz and Hall’s (2005:605) principle of emergence conceives of identity as a product of unfolding conversation, which emerges through interaction. In such a view, identity arises habitually within interaction, as specific sociocultural identities are practiced and repeated in daily communication. This principle of practice is in turn inhibited by the concept of partialness, that an identity construction ‘may be in part deliberate and intentional, in part habitual’, and therefore below the level of consciousness (Bucholtz & Hall 2005:606). At the same time, and with crucial implications for the following analysis, Bucholtz and Hall (2004:380) view language as being creatively used to construct identities through performance, a ‘highly deliberate and self-aware social display’, whilst individuals’ positionality in identity constructions varies depending on their interlocutors’ own identities.

A possible solution to incorporating a motivational SLA approach within such theoretical developments in sociocultural linguistics (as well as in psychology) has been developed by Dörnyei (2005) and Ushioda (2011; Dörnyei & Ushioda 2009). The concept of the ‘motivational self-system’ proposes that second language learners’ acquisition of an additional language is guided largely by their imagined identities in the language in question, conceived of in terms of the ‘ideal’ and ‘ought to’ L2 self. The distinction between these two notional ‘selves’ is characterised by, on the one hand, second language speakers’ internal conceptions of their desired identity in the target language, and, on the other, the identity they feel they ‘ought to’ enact externally while interacting with other speakers. Crucially for the present article, MacIntyre, Baker and Sparling (2017) conceptualise Nova Scotian Gaelic learners’ motivations in terms of the ‘rooted L2 self’, distinguishing their heritage-focused orientations from L2 learners without such keenly felt identifications vis-à-vis the target variety.

**Research Context 1: Gaelic in Scotland**

From the early sixth century, Gaels (Old Gaelic: *Goidil*; Latin *Scotti*) from north-eastern Ireland began to settle the western seaboard of northern Britain (Ó Baoill 2010; Clancy
As the Gaels extended their political and cultural influence across the mainland of *Alba* over the next five hundred years, their language expanded over the majority of what became Scotland (Dumville 2002). Yet the foundations of the Gaelic Kingdom of Alba eroded rapidly from the early twelfth century, as its institutions were increasingly replaced by those of an ascendant Anglo-Norman nobility, and the social terrain of Gaelic became increasingly restricted to the mountainous Highlands and Islands (Barrow 1989). After the mid-sixteenth century Scottish reformation, hostility to Gaelic on the part of the Scots crown became connected to policy to extirpate resistant elements from the kingdom. Anti-Gaelic policies adopted throughout the seventeenth century are regarded by Withers (1984) as having constituted an early wave of government ‘improvement’, exacerbated by the association of many Gaels with Jacobite rebellions in the eighteenth century. This dynamic eventually culminated, during the nineteenth century, in landowners’ implementation of systematic processes of land reorganisation and mass displacement that became known as the Highland Clearances (Withers 1984; Glaser 2007; Richards 2007). As Scottish Gaels were increasingly compelled to emigrate, the minoritisation of Gaelic as a spoken vernacular accelerated rapidly.

57,602 people were reported as able to speak Gaelic in Scotland in the 2011 census, approximating to 1.1% of the total population (National Records of Scotland 2013). The census also showed growth, for the first time, in the proportion of Gaelic speakers under the age of 20. Although the rate of this growth was just 0.1% compared to the percentage of speakers recorded for this age-group in 2001, a great deal was made of its importance in demonstrating the growth of GME in Scotland, and its potential for creating new speakers of the language (cf. Bòrd na Gàidhlig 2014; 2018; Scottish Government 2014). The view that immersion education in this context may be relied upon for the creation of new generations of committed and ideologically supportive habitual speakers has recently been challenged, however (Dunmore 2017, 2019). New speakers of various language learning backgrounds have, nevertheless, become an increasingly important demographic in Gaelic communities throughout Scotland, and have previously been examined in a series of seminal studies (McLeod, O’Rourke and Dunmore 2014; Nance 2015; Nance, McLeod, O’Rouke, & Dunmore 2016).
Crucially for the purposes of this article, these studies emphasised Scottish new Gaelic speakers’ association with the term ‘Gaelic community’/Coinhearsnachd na Gàidhlig in preference to the ethnonym ‘Gaels/Gàidheil’, an ideology partly reflected in their production of word-final rhotics, which tended not to reflect a traditional three-way phonemic distinction (Nance et al 2016). Whilst on the one hand native speakers were widely viewed as the best model for new speakers’ pronunciation and blas (‘accent/taste’), native speakers’ greater use of English loan words and frequent code-switching tended to be something new speakers tried to avoid (McLeod et al 2014:39; Nance et al 2016:181).

Research Context 2: Gaelic in Nova Scotia

The 2011 Canadian census recorded 1,275 self-reported speakers of ‘Gaelic languages’ in Nova Scotia, amounting to just over 0.1% of the total population of the province (Statistics Canada 2015). Of that number, only 300 individuals reported Gaelic as their ‘mother tongue’ (Statistics Canada 2017) with the remainder likely to have acquired the language in adolescence or adulthood. It seems probable that the majority of Gaelic language speakers in the province can communicate (to varying degrees) in Scottish Gaelic, as a result of historic mass migration from the Scottish Highlands, although some of those recorded may well be Irish or Manx speakers.

These rather cumbersome census data nevertheless demonstrate the increasing importance of new speaker networks, relative to declining native Gaelic communities in the province. The Nova Scotia Gaelic community is thus substantially smaller than that of Scotland relative to the total population, having declined from an estimated population of over 80,000 in the early 20th century (Kennedy 2002). As in Scotland, second language teaching has been prioritised by policymakers as a mechanism for revitalising Gaelic, and new speakers have consequently emerged as a significant element within the Nova Scotia Gaelic community, though accurate estimates of their number are hard to make at present.

The name Nova Scotia (‘New Scotland’) was first applied to the historic territories of the Indigenous Mi’kmaq people in 1621, when they were chartered for colonisation by James VI and I to William Alexander, first Earl of Stirling (Campbell & MacLean 1974:35;
Mertz 1982:36). The first Gaelic-speaking Scots to immigrate to Nova Scotia arrived as early as 1629, and while Alexander’s attempts to establish a sizeable Scottish colony in the region proved unsuccessful, Highlanders continued to migrate in small numbers during the 17th and early 18th centuries (Ó hIfearnáin 2002:64). Kennedy (2002:25-7) even cites evidence that Scots constituted the largest minority group in the Acadian colony of ‘New France’ after the territory was ceded to the French in 1632 (Campbell & MacLean:35). Migration from the Scottish Highlands to eastern Nova Scotia accelerated in earnest, however, from the 1770s, as the middle class Gaelic ‘tacksmen’ sought a new life and livelihood in North America in response to declining living standards at home. Migration continued over the next fifty years, but by the 1840s Highland migrants increasingly comprised the displaced and largely homeless tenant classes left destitute as a result of the Clearances.

Peak emigration to Nova Scotia occurred between about 1770 and 1850, when over 50,000 Highland Scots are estimated to have arrived into eastern counties (MacKinnon 2001:20; Kennedy 2002:20-21; Ó hIfearnáin 2002:65). Kennedy (2002:277) remarks that the nature of such emigration ‘ensured that large, nearly homogeneous communities were established, dominating nearly a third of the province’s area’. Gaelic speakers in Nova Scotia fared somewhat better than their contemporaries in Scotland; Kennedy (2002:277) argues that within this ‘richly Gaelic environment’, a unique, ‘truly Canadian and North American Gaelic community’ developed and flourished in the nineteenth century.

Shaw (1977) has estimated that by 1880 over 80,000 Gaelic speakers were present in Nova Scotia. By the time of the 1871 census, Scots were the largest ethnic group in Nova Scotia, having overtaken English settlers. Edwards (1991:273) has assessed evidence for the weakening of intergenerational transmission and resulting language shift to English in Gaelic communities even at this early stage, however. When state schools were established in 1864 no provision was made for any form of Gaelic education (MacKinnon 2001:19; Edwards 2010:156-7).

As such, Kennedy (2002:51) argues, children of Gaelic speakers in the 19th century subsequently learned next to nothing of their language in schools, and internalised negative attitudes regarding the relative unimportance of Gaelic in Anglophone Canadian
MacKinnon (2001:19) observes that Cape Breton’s Gaelic population had declined to just 24,000 by the 1931 census. Numbers of recorded Gaelic speakers in Nova Scotia continued to decline by around 50% in each subsequent census throughout the 20th century, until just under 1,500 were recorded in 1971 (MacKinnon 2001:19; cf. MacLean 1978).

Mertz (1982, 1989) investigated Gaelic language shift in Nova Scotia in detail, identifying the ‘metapragmatic filter’ through which Gaels came to attribute relative values to their languages, and which in turn impacted on their willingness to speak and transmit the Gaelic language in the crucial domains of daily life. The 1920s and 30s transformed the prevailing socio-economic circumstances in Nova Scotia, hastening and enhancing the ideological association of Gaelic with rurality, economic immobility and low social cachet. Mertz (1982:311-2) argues that the particular metapragmatic filter—that is, speakers’ language attitudes, ideologies and ‘folk theories’ concerning Gaelic—that had the greatest consequence for the future trajectory of the language in Nova Scotia was that prevailing at the time of the Great Depression.

The harsh economic climate of the 1920s and 30s essentially prompted Nova Scotia Gaels to re-evaluate their relationship with Gaelic, a language they increasingly viewed as a hindrance to their children’s future success and wellbeing (Mertz 1982, 1989). The linguistic tip was reached, and language shift to English subsequently accelerated as Gaelic speakers started to migrate in large numbers to urban centres in Halifax, New England, Ontario or the prairies (Kennedy 2002:73; Edwards 2010:154). Kennedy (2002:75) states that the urban environments that were increasingly the destination for Gaels ‘proved particularly hostile to the socialization of children in Gaelic’, while rural populations continued rapidly to decline.

In spite of the small overall numbers of reported Gaelic speakers in Nova Scotia, the provincial Office of Gaelic Affairs (2018) has estimated that at least 230,000 Nova Scotians claim descent from families who spoke Gaelic historically. Contemporary policy to revitalise Gaelic can be traced to bottom-up, grassroots efforts to stem the decline of Gaelic that started in the 1970s (Dunbar 2008; Edwards 2010). Such initiatives were joined at the start of this century by institutional supports for the language community,
whether learners, native or new speakers. Crucially in 2006, Rodney MacDonald, then Premier of Nova Scotia established Oifis Iomairtean na Gàidhlig (The Office of Gaelic Affairs) as a civil service unit within the provincial government, along with a ministerial portfolio for Gaelic Affairs and an estimated annual budget of C$68,000. Iomairtean na Gàidhlig exists, in its own words, for the purpose of helping ‘Nova Scotians [to] reclaim their Gaelic language and identity as a basis for cultural, spiritual, community and economic renewal […] by creating awareness, working with partners and providing tools and opportunities to learn, share and experience Gaelic language and culture’ (Gaelic Affairs 2018). These objectives are in turn to be achieved by:

- Creating awareness of Gaelic language, culture and history and its contribution to Nova Scotia’s diversity, community life and economy.
- Providing language training, support materials, innovative programming, strategic advice, research, translations and communications services to enable appreciation, acquisition and use of Gaelic language and culture.
- Building partnerships within government to ensure investment in and stewardship of these language and cultural resources that are uniquely Nova Scotian. (ibid)

The aims of Nova Scotian policymakers with responsibility for Gaelic therefore go beyond generating new speakers, and the principle of promoting the language as an aspect of the province’s distinct, collective identity is clearly discernible in the above extracts. Stimulating awareness and ownership of the language and its associated identity even among the estimated 230,000 Nova Scotians of Gaelic heritage is no small task for such a modestly-funded unit, let alone among the rest of Nova Scotia’s almost one million inhabitants. While the emphasis on language training, programming and materials highlights the importance of new speakers to the future of the language in the province, very little is currently known about either the size or linguistic practices of the new speaker population. Watson and Ivey (2016:184) summarised this deficiency in an influential conference paper, asking ‘who are these speakers? Why are they learning Gaelic? How fluent are they? And how often do they use it?’ (author translation).

In Nova Scotia, the provincial government supports Gàidhlig aig Baile (‘Gaelic in the home’) and Bun is Bàrr (‘root and branch’) programmes, both of which regularly
emphasise the importance of ongoing interaction between native Gaelic speakers and learners for both for socialising new Gaelic speakers in the language and developing their identities as Gaels, to a degree rarely observed in contemporary Scotland.

Notably, however, Gaelic educational opportunities in Nova Scotia are limited by comparison with Scotland, where over 5000 children are currently enrolled in GME. No such bilingual Gaelic education is currently available in the province, although immersion programmes have recently become available to Indigenous Mi’kmaq children in certain areas, and French-medium schools for Acadian children have operated since the 1990s. Such community schools often exist in close proximity to formerly predominantly Gaelic-speaking areas in Cape Breton; (bilingual) Gaelic education, by contrast, is limited to a small number of elementary and high schools, evening classes, residential courses and university classes.

Given the absence of formal immersion education for (potential) new Gaelic speakers, ‘core Gaelic’ second language teaching in high schools, extra-curricular immersion programmes, and adult language acquisition initiatives have formed the basis of revitalisation efforts in recent decades (MacEachen 2008; Dunbar 2008; Watson & Ivey 2016). In that sense, the bottom-up and community-centred focus of current Gaelic revitalisation efforts in Nova Scotia may be seen, from a Fishmanian perspective, as theoretically more sustainable in the long term than school-focused initiatives in Scotland.

As will be demonstrated in my analysis, an emphasis on ethnolinguistic identity and discourses of cultural distinctiveness currently dominating in Nova Scotia’s Gaelic community also closely parallel recommendations advocated by Fishman. In particular, Gàidhlig aig Baile and Bun is Bàrr programmes, which receive support from the provincial government, place heavy emphasis on encouraging meaningful and ongoing interaction between native Gaelic speakers and learners for socialising and creating new speakers of the language.

MacIntyre et al (2017) have recently investigated language learning motivations and ‘ideal’ or ‘ought-to selves’ among a sample of (more or less advanced) Gaelic learners in Nova Scotia. They conceptualise Nova Scotian L2 Gaelic speakers’ motivations in terms
of the ‘rooted L2 self’, distinguishing their orientations toward the language – which
drew heavily on understandings of heritage, kinship and ancestry – from L2 learners of
languages with which identity associations may be more abstract, or less keenly felt. This
notion of the ‘rooted’ self in second language acquisition is extremely useful, and
resonates closely with the analysis I outline below.

Research Questions

I have suggested in preceding sections that as a response to rapid language shift in
Scotland and Nova Scotia, Gaelic language teaching of various kinds has been prioritised
by policymakers attempting to revitalise the language. The following analysis examines
reflexes of this policy in the two countries, juxtaposing the ongoing fragility of Gaelic
communities with new speaker discourses around heritage, identity, and language
learning motivations. Specifically, my research questions are:

- What are the outcomes of language revitalisation initiatives in respect of new
  speaker identities in two divergent cultural contexts?

- What degree of salience continues to be attached to heritage-oriented,
  ethnolinguistic labels such as ‘Gael’ in the disparate settings under investigation?

Analytic Methods

The interview corpora upon which I draw for the following analysis were collated over
the course of seven years of research on various projects throughout Scotland, and three
months’ ethnographic fieldwork in Nova Scotia. The wider study draws on 60 semi-
structured interviews with new speakers of Gaelic (30 in each country), 4 focus groups (2
in each), 80 questionnaire responses, and ongoing participation in Gaelic community life
in the two countries; in the interests of space, the analysis developed in this article draws
on interview extracts alone, with pseudonyms used for all interviewees discussed.

In Scotland, interviews were conducted in the cities of Edinburgh, Glasgow and
Inverness. In this context, seven of the 30 new speakers interviewed were educated
through GME classes in the late 1980s and early 1990s, while the remaining 23
participants (and both interviewees discussed from the Scottish context in the following
analysis) acquired Gaelic to fluency later in life. 14 speakers were female, and 16 male.
Participants’ ages ranged from 18 to 82. As discussed elsewhere (Dunmore 2017, 2019), Scottish new speakers’ identifications with the Gaelic language varied widely, but were never described in terms analogous to the ‘Xians-with-Xish’ ideal emphasised in Fishman’s (1991, 2001b) theoretical formulations.

In Nova Scotia, interviews were conducted with new Gaelic speakers between 2017 and 2018 in the provincial capital of Halifax, in Antigonish County on the eastern mainland of the province, and throughout Cape Breton Island. Most participants had grown up in Cape Breton, and varied in age from 17 to 73; 19 were female and 11 male. Nova Scotian interviewees’ Gaelic learning trajectories and cultural identifications were somewhat different from those of most Scots participants; all 30 new speakers in this context acquired their Gaelic principally through a combination of extracurricular ‘community’ classes and immersion courses outside of the school system.

All 60 interviews were transcribed in full by the author using the software package Elan, and all transcripts were subsequently coded thematically for the most salient categories of discourse that emerged in interviewees’ accounts of their linguistic and cultural learning experiences. The analysis presented below employs an ethnography of speaking methodological framework. A central premise of the ethnography of speaking is the conceptualisation of spoken interaction in terms of the speech situation, speech event and, at the most minute level of analysis, the speech act (Hymes 1974:52). By focusing on these three levels of analysis, Hymes emphasised that language ought not to be separated from how and why it is used in practice. Saville-Troike (2003: 120) explains this emphasis, noting that ‘message form and message content… often cannot be separated in description and analysis’.

In particular, my analysis foregrounds the notions or ‘act’ sequences, ‘keys’, or context-based clues that can be utilised in order to establish the ‘tone, manner, or spirit’ in which a speech act is intended (Hymes 1974:57), and the ‘instrumentalities’, or ‘channels and forms of speech’ that participants make use of within speech acts (Hymes 1974:60). The specific analytic focus of the ethnography of speaking outlined in this article is the sociocultural identities that interviewees convey while describing their association with Gaelic. As suggested above, social constructivist approaches in interactional
sociolinguistics and anthropology have demonstrated consistently that identities are both projected and shaped by group members through language use, a conception that is further examined in my analysis (cf. Bucholtz & Hall 2004, 2005).

In the remainder of this paper I would like to draw attention to some of the language ideologies that Scottish, and particularly Nova Scotian speakers convey when describing their identifications with Gaelic. I argue that whilst the language clearly plays an important role in the lives of Scottish new speakers, the ideologies that they express tend to militate against their association with the traditionally defined, ethnolinguistic Gaelic community. By contrast, Nova Scotian new speakers seem substantially more enthusiastic to embrace their heritage identities as Gaels. A clear distinction will be demonstrated between Scottish new speakers’ negative perceptions and lack of association with the term ‘Gael(s)’, and their Canadian counterparts’ relative ease in employing that term as an element of their cultural heritage.

**Analysis: New Speakers of Gaelic and Ethnolinguistic Identity**

As with previous research on new Gaelic speakers in Scotland (cf. Oliver 2005, 2006; McLeod et al. 2014; Nance et al. 2016), a sense of disaffection (and occasionally open hostility) was generally conveyed by Scottish based interviewees when discussing the term ‘Gael’. In the first extract below, ‘Anna’ rejects the label outright, partly as a consequence of her different experiences acquiring and using Irish in Ireland, and Gaelic in Scotland:

**Extract 1.**

SD  A bheil fèin-aithne agad mar Ghàidheal?
       *Do you have an identity as a Gael?*

Anna  **Uh no absolutely not** […] is dòcha (1.2) gur e rud eachdraidheil a th’ ann- bha na Gàidheil ann an Alba **really (1.1) cut-off deliberately**
        *Uh no absolutely not* […] *perhaps (1.2) it’s a historic thing- the Gaels in Scotland were **really (1.1) cut-off deliberately**

SD  Hmm

Anna  Em:: **and** (1.2) bha iad (0.8) othered […] *like* ann an Êirinn cha robh mi a’ faireachdain **“oh yeah there’s the Gaels and then there’s us”** ach tha mi faireachdainn sin ’s dòcha ann an Alba
        *Em:: and (1.2) they were (0.8) othered […] *like in Ireland I don’t think ()* the Gaelgeoirí are as othered as that in Ireland I never
felt like "oh yeah there's the Gaels and then there's us" but I
maybe do feel like that in Scotland

A sense of alienation from a distinctive ethnolinguistic Gaelic identity is clearly
communicated in this extract, emphasised here in Hymes’s (1974) terms, by keys to the
pragmatic sense of the speech acts revealed in Anna’s use of hesitations, and code-
switching to English as a particular instrumentality to disassociate herself from the label
‘Gael’. Possible reasons for this rejection of the term are discussed by ‘Iain’, a new
speaker who has raised his entire family as Gaelic speakers, in the following dialogue:

Extract 2.

SD  An e Gàidheil a th’ annaibh mar theaghlach mar sin?
Are you [as a family] Gaels then?

Iain  Uch uh (0.7) chan- cha bhi mi a’ cleachdadh an fhacail ach (.) corra uair
ann an suidheachadh an latha an-diugh […] ’s e lucht na Gàidhlig mar as
trice [[a chleachdainn]

Uch uh (0.7) mn- I only use that word (.) very occasionally in the
context of the present day […] I would generally say [[Gaelic-
speaking people]

SD  [[Lucht na Gàidhlig] uh huh
[[Gaelic-speaking people] uh huh

Iain  No rudeigin mar sin […] bhithinn air leth faiceallach uch a chleachdadh
[...] ’s e bacadh air- air adhdbar na Gàidhlig a bhith a’ cantainn (.) “tha
sinn fa leth mar sluagh” [agus] chan eil e a’ leigeil daoine úra a-staigh um
[…] mar sin nah- cha bhithinn a’ cleachdadh ‘na Gàidheil’

Or something like that […] I would be very careful uh using that
[word] […] it’s an obstacle to- to promoting the cause of Gaelic to
say (.) “we are a separate people” [and] it doesn’t allow new
people in[to the community] […] so nah- I wouldn’t use [the term]
‘the Gaels’

Discourses outlined in extracts 1 and 2, above, neatly encapsulate the experience of most
new speakers of Gaelic in Scotland (see also McLeod et al 2014; Dunmore 2017, 2018a,
b). Whilst such a clear rejection of a social identity as Gaels is by no means uniformly
expressed by all members of this group, the ethnolinguistic category is overwhelmingly
avoided or problematized by most Scottish new speakers in my research. By contrast,
Nova Scotian new speakers’ Gaelic identities are frequently expressed in enthusiastic
terms, and it is clear that most new speakers in Nova Scotia embrace the Gael(ic) label
when describing their identification with the language and motivations for having learned
it. In the following extended extract, the informant ‘Seonaid’ explains the varying ways
in which contrasting Scottish and Gaelic identities are regarded in the province, both by herself and by other Nova Scotians of Highland extraction:

**Extract 3.**

Seonaid: Bruidhinn orm fhìn cha robh- chanainnsa gu làidir a-nis gur e Gàidheal a th’ annam- gur e bana-Ghàidheal a th’ annam ach cha robh fios agam gu dè a bha ann an Gàidheal mun a thòisich mi air Gàidhlig ionnsachadh agus a-nis nuair a bhios mi coinhead air mo theaghlahc tha fhios- ged nach eil Gàidhlig acasan tha mi faicinn cho Gàidhealach ’s a tha iad sna dòighean aca (.). Agus mar sin chanainn gu bheil mòran ann an Alba Nuadh (.). a tha gu math Gàidhealach, ged nach eil Gàidhlig aca tuilleadh, bha Gàidhlig a’s na teaghlach aca o chionn - fhios agad - ginealach no dhà… ach cha bhiodh iadsan ag aithneachadh (.). uh (.). ag aithneachadh (.). uh (.). an aimage ‘Gàidheal’ (.). you know chanadh iad dh’fhaoide bhaoidte ‘oh we’re Scottish- we’re Scotch’

Speaking about myself- I’d say now that I’m a Gael- that I’m a Gaelic woman but I didn’t know what a Gael was before I started learning Gaelic and now when I look at my family I know – although they don’t speak Gaelic – I can see just how Gaelic they are in their ways (.). And therefore I’d say lots of people in Nova Scotia are quite Gaelic, even though they can’t speak Gaelic anymore, their families spoke Gaelic – you know a generation or two ago… But they wouldn’t recognise uh the name ‘Gael’ you know, they’d maybe say ‘oh we’re Scottish- we’re Scotch’

This extract highlights the speaker’s changing awareness and appreciation of her Gaelic identity as her acquisition of and exposure to the language increased. On the other hand, she identifies the (extralinguistic) cultural practices of the people she was surrounded by whilst growing up as being quintessentially ‘Gaelic’ in various ways. She notes that this sense of cultural distinctiveness, however, was understood by such individuals as reflective of their ‘Scottish’ (or ‘Scotch’) heritage, rather than of any distinctively Gaelic, ethnolinguistic identity. Yet for new speakers this quality of Gaelic distinctiveness among certain Nova Scotians forms a frequently occurring trope across the 30 interviews conducted in the province. Whereas the previous interviewee traces a fluctuating trajectory in her own identification with the term ‘Gael’, the following speaker ‘Mairead’, 20 years her junior, expresses absolute certainty in her own association with the word, perhaps reflecting the increased prevalence of the term since the turn of the 21st century:

**Extract 4.**

SD: An e Gàidheal a th’ annadsa?= Are you a Gael?=
Mairead: =’S e
Mairead: "Is that because of the language itself or because of the culture, the songs and so on?"

Mairead: "Ah well it was the language of my ancestors."

SD: "Exactly"

Mairead: "And that’s why! I use English because I have to speak it with people but I prefer Gaelic."

SD: "Carson a tháin nad bheadh? Why do you think that is?"

Mairead: "I’m not sure um."

SD: "Hmm"

Mairead: "Gaelic is the language of my ancestors um it’s the language English is not the language of my ancestors!"

When questioned as to her own identity as a Gael, Mairead affirms without any hesitation that she is. A greater degree of hesitation is notable when in the following speech acts she explains the reasons for this, in which she regularly produces pauses of over two seconds duration when considering the basis of her Gaelic identity. The importance of heritage and ancestry for this speaker – and her perceived lack of any ancestral connection to the English language (in spite of having grown up with only English at home) is key to understanding her motivations for having learned Gaelic to fluency. This stands in stark contrast, as previously noted, to the majority of new speakers in Scotland. The realisation of this aspect of the second language learner’s own identity in Gaelic is likened by ‘Joy’ in the following extract to a kind of epiphany; a moment of self-discovery on the road to fluency in the language:
Extract 5.

Joy: Dar a thòisich mi air a’ Ghàidhlig bha iad a’ bruidhinn air um (.)
sloinnidhean agus direach bha bing: ‘O! Siud as coireach gu robh iad ag eigeadh
Sarah Archie Angus ris an t-seann tè a bha sin dar a bha mi òg!’ Agus rudan eile
mar sin agus aig ceann na seachdain thuirt mi ‘^Oh my god I think I’m Gaelic!’
((laughs)) Mura biodh ceangal ann le Gàidhlig air bith (x) cha- cha b’ urrainn
dhomh cumail orm ann an Alba Nuaidh […] feumaidh (.). Gàidhlig a bhith nad
chridh’, air neo (0.8) feumaidh tu ceangal a- a dhèanadh (.). um:: do fèin aithne aig
duine an dòigh air choreigin (.). uh ach chan eil mi bruidhinn air DNA

When I started [learning] Gaelic they were talking about um patronymics
[ancestry] and it was just like bing: ‘Oh! That’s why they called the old
lady Sarah Archie Angus when I was young!’ And other things like that
and at the end of the week I said ‘^Oh my god I think I’m Gaelic!’
((laughs)) If I hadn’t had any connection to Gaelic I wouldn’t- I couldn’t
have continued [learning] in Nova Scotia […] Gaelic has to be in your
heart, or (0.8) you have to- to make some sort of connection (.). um:: with
a person’s identity (.). uh but I’m not speaking about DNA

This interviewee’s understanding of her Gaelic identity is therefore described as having
occurred to her as suddenly as the flick of a switch. Without this sudden discovery of her
own connection to the language, through the familiarity of distinctive naming practices
and other characteristics of her community in childhood, she states that she wouldn’t
have been able to carry on learning Gaelic in the province. Motivation of this kind –
connection to the language in ones ‘heart’, and ancestry (if not biologically in ones
‘DNA’) is thus seen as key to understanding the decision to learn Gaelic to fluency. Yet
for the following speaker, ‘Mòrag’, a sense of identity as a Gael is problematised, and the
necessity of possessing such an ancestral connection is rejected. In this way Mòrag
avoids excessively relying, in her own words, on ‘purism’ in discussing her relationship
to the Gaelic language:

Extract 6.

SD:       Bheil thu coimhead ort fhèin mar bhana-Ghàidheal?
Do you regard yourself as a Gael?
Mòrag:    Uh huh (.). ^uill [(ann an ^dòigh]
^well /[in a ^way]
SD:        [(An canadh tu sin?)
^[Would you say so?]
Mòrag:    Ann an dòigh […] ach tha cuimhne agam nuair a bha mi aig an t-Sabhal
Mhòr bhiomaid daonnan a-mach air a’ chuspair seo
In a way [...] but I remember when I was at Sabhal Mòr [Ostaig; Gaelic College on the Isle of Skye] we were always discussing that issue

SD: Yeah
Mòrag: Agus uaireannan bhithinn ag ràdh (.) ‘carson?’ [...] Bidh mi ag ràdh gur e (.) bana-Ghàidheal a th’ annam [...] tha e gu ^math cudromach an-seo- tha sinn a’ feuchainn a bhith cleachdadh an fhacail siud- Gàidheal
And sometimes I would ask (.) ‘why?’ [...] I do say I am a Gael [...] it’s ‘quite important here- we try to use that word- Gael

SD: Hmm
Mòrag: Uh (.) o tha e air atharrachadh tro na linntean
Oh but it’s changed over the years

SD: Sheadh
Yeah
Mòrag: Bho- you know- ‘Highlander’ [[no ‘Scots’]
From- you know- ‘Highlander’ [[or ‘Scots’]

[[Highlander yeah]
Mòrag: ‘Scottish’, ‘Celtic’- diofar- diofar rudan [...] tha mi faireachdainn gu bheil um (1.4) thaa: hh: tha (.) tha sinn a’ siubhail tro ^purism an-seo ^uaireannan (.) agus uaireannan chan eil sin a’ còrdadh rium
‘Scottish’, ‘Celtic’ - different- different things [...] I feel that um (1.4) there’s:: hh: that (.) we rely too much on ^purism here ^sometimes (.) and sometimes I don’t enjoy that

In the above extract, Mòrag therefore qualifies her assertion of ethnocultural identity as a Gael with the phrase ‘in a way’ (ann an dòigh), producing rising intonation and heavy emphasis of the last word to convey this stance clearly. These paralinguistic keys in her speech – and later reference to excessive ‘purism’ in Nova Scotia’s Gaelic community – indicate Morag’s feeling that her possession of this identity may be viewed as potentially problematic. She recounts a sense of disillusionment at how prevalent discussion of the term seemed to her while studying at Sabhal Mòr Ostaig, Scotland’s Gaelic college on the Isle of Skye. Nevertheless, she goes on to explain that she habitually does in fact describe herself as a Gael (‘bidh mi ag ràdh gur e bana-Ghàidheal a th’ annam’) and, indeed, notes that language advocates in Nova Scotia consciously attempt to encourage the use of that term, where in the past Gaels in the province themselves might have identified to a greater degree as Highlanders, Scots, or perhaps less precisely, as ‘Celtic’. Mòrag’s somewhat hesitant allusion to ‘purism’ at the end of extract 6 is noteworthy.
Discussion: Gaels and Gaelic in 21st century Scotland and Nova Scotia

The foregoing analysis has sought to demonstrate that whilst the linkage envisaged between language and ethnolinguistic identity in Fishman’s (1991, 2001b, 2013) RLS model fails to mobilise in the case of most new Gaelic speakers in Scotland, the connection is viewed as straightforward (and necessary) among most new speakers in Nova Scotia. Limited identification with the label ‘Gael’ among GME pupils, as first reported over 15 years ago by Oliver (2002) has further implications for the applicability of Fishman’s models of language and identity to Scotland. Conversely, however, Oliver (2005:9, 2006:162) also found that the language is more frequently perceived as a marker of a specifically Gaelic identity than of Scottish identity in a wider sense. In my own data, the traditional ‘Xian’ ethnolinguistic speaker community indexed by the term ‘Gael(s)’ seems not to be one with which Scottish new speakers readily associate, or with which they would wish to integrate as part of their ‘ideal’ L2 selves (cf. Dörnyei 2005; Dörnyei & Ushioda 2009; MacIntyre et al 2017).

The relative ease with which Nova Scotian new speakers of Gaelic appear to construct and negotiate their ethnolinguistic identities as Gaels thus stands in stark distinction to Scottish speakers’ apparent disillusionment with the term. Whilst the evidence from Scotland I have discussed here tends to corroborate the view that essentialist conceptions envisaging a straightforward relationship between language and ethnolinguistic identity fail to adequately describe experiences of new speakers of minority languages, the evidence from Nova Scotia clearly challenges this hypothesis.

Thus, whilst the feasibility of positing a straightforward relationship between a minority language and its traditional speakers as a strategy for language revitalisation has been problematised by authors such as Jaffe (1999, 2007a, b), Edwards (2010, 2013), and Duchêne and Heller (2007, 2012), most Nova Scotian new speakers’ clear desire to embrace their ethnolinguistic identity as Gaels, and to privilege their ethnic heritage in negotiating this identity closely parallels Fishmanian conceptions of the language-identity nexus. Yet Fishman’s models of language and ethnic identity sit uneasily with contemporary conceptions which problematise essentialist perspectives in social research (Jaffe 1999, 2007a; Bucholtz & Hall 2004). Jaffe (2007a:70), for instance, advocated
approaches to language and identity ‘that acknowledge the political and social character of all identity claims and that leave room for the multiple forms of language practice’, without positing any direct and necessary relationship between the two. Partly, this stance was informed by many years of research on language revitalisation in a context in which ‘an essential relationship between language, culture and identity is posited as a given’ by community members themselves (Jaffe 2007a:74). In such cases, Bucholtz and Hall (2004:376) argue, essentialist perspectives should not be dismissed as long as they continue to possess salience and meaning for the speakers whom linguists study. Bourdieu (1991:221) commented for instance that contested definitions of ethnic identity and the nature of its ‘reality’ can be understood ‘only if one includes in reality the representation of reality’.

Drawing on this conception, Joseph (2010:12) argues that whilst ethnic identity categories may essentialise arbitrary differences between groups, they become meaningful, and socially ‘real’, when speakers make use of them as ‘mental representations’ of reality. Similarly, Jaffe (2007a:57) advises against interpreting essentialist outlooks as entirely separable from meaningful representation; where an essentialist position is reflected in the language ideologies professed by researchers’ informants, it may be interpreted as a significant and socially meaningful construction. To appreciate the social reality of essentialist perspectives in various communities’ conception of language and identity does not necessarily mean assuming such a perspective in one’s own theoretical approach (Bucholtz & Hall 2004). Indeed, Dorian (2010: 89) cautions on the basis of her own meticulous fieldwork on East Sutherland Gaelic over more than 40 years that the situated and contextual realities linking language and identity are in fact rarely as straightforward as essentialist conceptions would envisage.

From such a perspective, neither Nova Scotian speakers’ rather essentialist outlook on Gaelic, nor Scottish new speakers’ apparent lack of a clear identity as Gaels need necessarily to be viewed as problematic in and of themselves. For Nova Scotian new Gaelic speakers, ethnic identities are constructed in a way that a critical analyst may regard as essentialist. It is nonetheless important to bear in mind they do so within a
wider North American context in which perceived heritage often tends to form the basis of cultural identity claims, and a Canadian context where Anglophone or Francophone ethnolinguistic heritage are frequently foregrounded in debate and discussion concerning (white) identities (Heller 2006, 2010; Magnan & Lamarre 2016).

Conversely, if Scottish new speakers’ principal identification with and use of the Gaelic language derives from their educational and professional lives, it is clear no such heritage-oriented ethnolinguistic identity should be expected to develop. Yet without a strong social identity in the language outside such formal spheres, it would similarly seem naive to expect such speakers to take the language forward as a vital aspect of their domestic and family lives, and to transmit it to children in future. On the other hand, whilst Nova Scotian new speakers may well possess the requisite ethnolinguistic commitment to Gaelic to desire that their own children acquire the heritage and identity of Gaels, the relative paucity of support mechanisms for intergenerational transmission in the province, combined with the fast-dwindling network of native speakers provide clear challenges for the socialisation of young people in the language itself.

If it is hoped that such new Gaelic speakers in each country will progress to using the language in the home-community sphere, having developed strong identifications with the language, additional attention and resources should be focused on that specific objective in Scotland, as in Nova Scotia. If sufficient numbers of new Gaelic speakers are to be generated in the province, however, it is clear that official discourses privileging ancestry as a motivation for embracing and acquiring Gaelic (the ‘purism’ alluded to by Mòrag in extract 6, above) should be avoided. More civic views of Gaelic as a language and cultural tradition – alongside Acadian French and Mi’kmaq – that is open to all peoples of Nova Scotia would potentially find a wider, more receptive audience in the province.

Equally, whilst Nova Scotian Gaelic speakers often look enviously at current provision for GME in Scotland, it is clear policymakers in Edinburgh and Inverness would benefit from ongoing dialogue with language teachers and advocates in Halifax, Antigonish and Cape Breton. Scottish children currently in GME schools and classes should clearly be encouraged to speak and socialise in the language outside of the formal domain of
education as much as possible, to interact with remaining native speakers wherever possibilities for such socialisation exist, and to understand the importance of the Gaelic language to their (keenly felt) civic identities as Scots place in a modern, multicultural Scotland (see Dunmore 2017, 2018b, 2019).

**Conclusion**

The findings presented in this article speak to observable outcomes of current language revitalisation initiatives in Scotland and Nova Scotia. In Scotland, most new speakers reject the label ‘Gael’ as an emic descriptor of their identities. These new Gaelic speakers’ lack of identification as Gaels is not problematic for language policy objectives as such, but as I have argued, their functional fluency appears not to be accompanied by a strong social identity in Gaelic. If, as contemporary policy statements suggest, new speakers with a strong ideological commitment both to the language and to passing it onto future generations are among the principal intended outcomes of current language policy in Scotland, additional resources should be directed specifically at encouraging Gaelic learners’ development of the necessary linguistic practices and identities.

In Nova Scotia, by contrast, the ethnolinguistic identity component of new speakers’ Gaelic language acquisition and socialisation appears to be foregrounded in efforts to secure a future for the language in the province. Consequently, heritage and ancestry appear from interviewees’ own accounts to form the principal motivation of Nova Scotian new speakers’ attempts to acquire and use the language in their daily lives. As a consequence, their emic expressions of identity as ‘Gaels’ is clearly and notably stronger than amongst the majority of their Scottish counterparts. Yet this specific emphasis on heritage and ancestry could be viewed as arguably alienating for other ethnolinguistic groups in Nova Scotia who might otherwise engage with Gaelic for reasons of civic participation. The clear contrast between the two settings reflects the divergent histories and social geographies of Gaelic communities in Scotland and Nova Scotia, of which ever greater numbers of new speakers are increasingly a part. It is nevertheless clear that language advocates and policymakers on both sides of the Atlantic have much to learn from one another.
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Key to transcription conventions

| [[words]] | overlapping speech |
| (. )      | perceivable pause <1s duration |
| (2.0)     | perceivable pause >1s duration |
| (word)    | uncertain transcription       |
| (x)       | unintelligible                |
| ((word))  | analyst’s comments            |
| [...]     | material omitted              |
| wo::      | elongation                    |
| word      | emphatic speech               |
| word=     | latched speech, no pause      |
| words     | codeswitch                    |
| ^word      | high, rising intonation       |
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