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This research traces conflict through competing claims to Rwanda’s landscapes. Rwanda is one of the smallest countries in sub-Saharan Africa, and its terrain of hills and valleys and its temperate climate made it favourable for settlers that utilised them in diverse ways. Pastoralists acquired highland plateaus for the farming of cows, and as territory increased so did power. Agronomists farmed hillsides, while hunter-gatherer communities inhabited highland forests and low-lying wetlands. Power, class and social rank in Rwanda remain deeply inscribed in these territorial arrangements that permitted access to resources, in turn contributing to the 1994 genocide.

Today Rwanda is the most densely populated country in sub-Saharan Africa. Human settlements nestle within a hilly network of forest, marshland, rivers and lakes. Land insecurity is rife, and the returning diaspora continue to re-settle in Kigali, the capital, with a population of 1.22 million set to triple by 2040. Rwanda’s redevelopment hinges upon the relations between land, resources and settlement, yet dominant forms of urban planning and architecture suggest otherwise.

Kigali is replete with generic high-rise buildings, sitting within inner city zoned land once occupied by low-income wetland communities. Land reform policies consolidate rural settlements into ‘model villages’, as natural resources are monetised through tourism, energy and collective agricultural projects. [Fig. 1] With relations between human settlements and land strained, livelihoods are undermined. It is the hunter-gatherer Twa who have suffered most from the supposed benefits of development.

Approximately 30,000 Twa reside across Rwanda in the worst socio-economic conditions of the national population. Climate change, conservation and gorilla protection policies have forced Twa communities off their ancestral lands. In 2011 a Rwandan government project demolished temporary displaced Twa grass-roofed homes; built from materials that are today forbidden. Twa communities today are forcefully ‘reintegrated’ into Rwandan society through ‘modern’ generic homes sited on government reservations. According to UN Reports on racial discrimination, post-war Rwanda does not in practice permit the same rights of access to housing, jobs, education and land for the Twa. As a result the Twa population is in decline.

The political scientist Peter Uvin regards western development as a factor that reinforced Rwanda’s internal colonialism, enacted through structural and direct violence. Structural violence takes an indirect form through physical and physiological exclusion manifesting as poverty, hunger and marginalisation from society. Direct violence needs little explanation in the Rwandan context. Uvin asserts that the privileging of one elite ethnic group through political and economic processes of development in Rwanda, legitimises one group’s claims to sovereignty over another, aiding control of
Fig. 1: Resources relative to areas inhabited by Twa, © Killian Doherty.
Fig. 2: Indigenous value mapping. © Killian Doherty.
Exposure to the Twa’s non-western, non-dualist perspectives have challenged my own western conventions of scale and the temporality of dwelling and the landscape itself. Design interventions, if they occur at all, must therefore be multi-scalar, connecting the political scale of the constrained dwelling to the environmental scale of the increasingly delimited cultural landscape.

Ethnic difference remains absent within the discourse of democracy, unity and development in Rwanda. As social architecture in Rwanda flourishes primarily by western firms aligned with development intentions, it cannot engage directly with political matters of social justice and human rights violations. Circumnavigating this paralysis anthropology, sociology and political economy are used to examine the contradictions that resound within the built spaces of development, to articulate a spatial voice conceived from difference. This research aims to explore this through mediation between the Twa’s dual perspective of dwelling, as violently constrained and potentially boundless within the forests.

Notes
The author would like to acknowledge the Twa communities in Rwanda for the formation of this PhD research. This research, at the UCL Bartlett School of Architecture, is funded by the Frederick Bonnart-Braunthal Scholarship.

1. As Tutsis amassed sizable amounts of cattle, land was acquired through systems of patrimony. Hutu farmers who served under monarchs paid taxes and/or contributed unpaid labour.

3. With a population of 10.6 million and density of 430 people per sq/km.


5. ‘Poor Kiyovu’, a former low-income neighbourhood in central Kigali is now a ‘heritage residential neighbourhood’; it was re-zoned by the Rwanda Development Board to make way for new commercial developments.

6. This includes national hydroelectric projects within Northern Rwanda that have commandeered the country’s largest wetlands. Tourism in Rwanda accounts for 46 percent of the GDP with the Virunga Mountain Gorilla being the largest attraction. The nationwide Crop Intensification Programme controls, through mono-cropping, what is grown across public and private sector farming in Rwanda at all levels.


11. Ibid., 49.

12. Ibid., 54.

13. Ibid., 55.


17. For examples see the work of USA based firms MASS Architects and Sharon Davis Design.
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