THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Macrophages as a Cell-Based Therapy for Liver Disease

Citation for published version:

Starkey Lewis, PJ, Moroni, F & Forbes, SJ 2019, 'Macrophages as a Cell-Based Therapy for Liver Disease', *Seminars in liver disease*. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1688502>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1055/s-0039-1688502](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1688502)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Seminars in liver disease

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is Author's final peer- reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Instructions to Contributors

Dear Contributor:

Enclosed in this document please find the page proofs, copyright transfer agreement (CTA), and offprint order form for your article in *Seminars in Liver Disease*. Please print this document and complete and return the CTA and offprint order form, along with corrected proofs, within 72 hours.

- 1) Please read proofs carefully for **typographical** and **factual** errors only; mark corrections in the margins of the proofs in blue or black pen, or use **Adobe Acrobat tools** to mark the changes in the PDF file directly. Please be sure to write as clearly as possible so no errors are introduced into your article. **Answer (on the proofs) all author queries marked in the margins of the proofs.** Check references for accuracy. **Please check on the 1st page of your article that your titles and affiliations are correct.** Avoid elective changes, because these are costly and time consuming and will be made at the publisher's discretion.
- 2) Please pay particular attention to the proper placement of figures, tables, and legends. Please provide copies of any formal letters of permission that you have obtained.
- 3) **Please return the corrected proofs, signed copyright transfer agreement, and your offprint order form.**
- 4) As a contributor to this journal you will receive a complimentary PDF file of the article after publication.
 - If you wish to order offprints, **please circle the quantity required** (left column) **and the number of pages in your article.** If you wish to order copies of the journal please enter the number of copies on the indicated line.
 - If you do not want to order offprints or journals simply put a slash through the form, **but please return the form.**

Please return all materials within 72 hours. E-mail is the easiest way to ensure your corrections are received in a timely manner. Please return your corrected proofs to:

Ananya Das, Project Manager
Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers
Fax: +91-120-4556649
Email: ananya.das@thieme.in

Please do not return your materials to the editor or the typesetter.

Please note: Due to a tight schedule, if the publisher does not receive the return of your article proofs within 7 days of the date the e-mail was sent to you, the publisher reserves the right to proceed with publication without author changes. Such proofs will be proofread by the editor and the publisher.

Thank you for your contribution to this journal.

Permission to Publish and Copyright Transfer Agreement

Manuscript Information:

Journal: _____

Manuscript Title: _____

Manuscript Number: _____

Authors: _____

Corresponding author's contact data: _____

Corresponding author's e-mail address: _____

Contact at the publishers: _____

E-mail address at the publishers: _____

Dear Author,

Please

- read this form carefully,*
- check all Manuscript Information,*
- sign this form with your digital signature and*
- return to us.*

Thank you very much in advance.

Assignment of Rights

We – the Thieme Publishing Group – do not accept any manuscript for publication in a journal that has previously been published elsewhere.

Your consent to the following assignments of rights, also on behalf of the other authors (if several authors contribute to the manuscript), and the signing of this Copyright Transfer Agreement is a necessary requirement for the publication of your manuscript.

Upon acceptance of your manuscript by us you assign to us (on behalf of all authors), without geographical or language restriction and for the duration of the legal copyright term, the rights to use your article, for all print runs/updates, including the rights to:

- reproduce and distribute copies of the article in printed form (e.g., in a periodical or journal, medical textbook or other target group oriented book, paperback book, special edition for secondary markets or special customers, brochures, advertising supplements, edited volumes, etc.);
- reproduce and distribute the article in electronic media formats (e.g., magnetic tape, CD-Rom, CDI, DVD, electronic paper, hardware RAM, hard-disk, USB memory stick) and make available to the public (e.g., internet, intranet or other wired or wireless data networks), in particular by displaying on stationary or mobile visual display units, monitors, PDA, mobile phones, smart phones or other devices by download (e.g., e-pub, PDF, App) or retrieval in any other form;
- publish ourselves or to authorize the publication of excerpts in other works or articles, in audio-visual accompanying materials or interactive products or services, and including the transfer of rights of use to third parties (e.g., under the terms of licensing agreements);

– translate, transfer and process into other languages or versions (e.g., podcast, audiobook or other image and sound carriers), broadcast by means of television, cable or satellites, radio or other audio-visual media, to rent out and lend, store in an electronic archive and to use in any other type of format that may become known in the future and – where applicable – for all other rights protected by organizations assessing and/or collecting fees for copyright use. Furthermore you assign to us all statutory royalty claims under relevant law insofar we mandate an organization to administer such rights for publishers and authors; we accept the assignments.

Any adaptations, if appropriate for the exercise of the rights of use granted to us, shall be processed by us. Please forward any inquiries that are addressed to you regarding the above-mentioned rights of use for our attention and response.

Open Access / Repositories

The rights of use are assigned to us exclusively – subject to your rights in accordance with our Open Access Guidelines. Our Open Access Guidelines state that immediately after the publication of the article by us, you and the other authors are entitled to make the published version of the article available to the public on your homepage and on the homepage of your institution for your own scientific and other non-commercial purposes. Twelve months after publication by us, you and the other authors are entitled to make the accepted manuscript version available to the public on other non-commercial websites, provided that you make full reference to the published version ("Green Open Access"). For further details please click the button "Information on Green Open Access."

For more Information on our Open Access Program please visit <http://open.thieme.com>.

Duties of care

Product liability laws set high standards for your duty of care as the author of a scientific manuscript. This is especially the case when you give therapeutic information and/or specify doses. Therefore please check this information carefully in the typeset page-proofs of your article. Your task will be much easier if you have the information counterchecked – depending on the sensitivity of the information within the article – by specialist colleagues. Only you, as the author, have the specialist knowledge to be able to assess the accuracy of the information. For further information on how to indicate corrections, please click the button "Correction markup symbols".

Author's Declaration

I have taken note of the information on the duties of care under product liability law; I agree to the assignments of rights in accordance with the foregoing sections "Assignment of Rights" and "Open Access / Repositories" also on behalf of the other authors (if several authors have contributed to the article).

I declare that no third party rights will be infringed through the publication. Any material contained in the manuscript (including illustrations, tables, or other material) from third-party sources will be identified as such through citation, indicating the source. If required, I have obtained the copyright permission from the publishers concerned.

The above-mentioned assignments of rights also relate to the illustrations in your manuscript. We do not accept any illustrations for which it has not been granted all rights of use in accordance with this contract.

Should one of the foregoing regulations be or become invalid in whole or in part this shall not affect the validity of the other provisions. Any invalid provision shall be replaced by a regulation that comes as close as possible to the purpose of the invalid provision in economic terms, insofar as legally permissible.

This article is ready to print after the execution of the corrections indicated by me.

Date

Digital Signature

OPEN
ACCESS

Information on
Green Open Access

Correction markup
symbols

Information on the
digital signature

Order Form for Offprints and additional copies of the Seminars in Liver Disease
(Effective January 2013)

Please circle the cost of the quantity/page count you require (orders must be in increments of 100)

Quantity	Pages in Article / Cost				
	1 to 4	5 to 8	9 to 12	13 to 16	17 to 20
100	\$298	\$497	\$746	\$968	\$1,158
200	\$397	\$646	\$970	\$1,258	\$1,495
300	\$496	\$798	\$1,198	\$1,568	\$1,869
400	\$549	\$886	\$1,330	\$1,735	\$2,075
500	\$598	\$966	\$1,450	\$1,886	\$2,262
1000	\$1,076	\$1,739	\$2,610	\$3,385	\$3,995

Volume/Issue #: _____ Page Range (of your article): _____

Article Title: _____

MC/Visa/AmEx No: _____ Exp. Date: _____

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

City/State/Zip/Country: _____

Corresponding author will receive a complimentary PDF of the article after publication.

Number of **additional** copies of the journal, at the discounted rate of \$25.00 each: _____

Notes

1. The above costs are valid only for orders received before publication of the issue. **Reprints ordered after printing will be substantially more expensive.**

2. **A shipping charge will be added to the above costs.**

3. Reprints are printed on the same coated paper as the journal and saddle-stitched.

4. For larger quantities or late orders, please contact reprints department: Phone: +1(212) 584-4662
Fax: +1(212) 947-1112
E-mail: reprints@thieme.com

Macrophages as a Cell-Based Therapy for Liver Disease

Philip J. Starkey Lewis^{Q2}, PhD^{1,*} Francesca Moroni, MD^{1,*} Stuart J. Forbes, MD, PhD¹

¹ MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Semin Liver Dis 2019;00:1–10.

Address for correspondence Stuart J. Forbes, MD, PhD, MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, Edinburgh bioQuarter, University of Edinburgh, 5 Little France Drive, Edinburgh, EH16 4UU, United Kingdom (e-mail: stuart.forbes@ed.ac.uk).

Abstract

Liver failure arising from acute and chronic liver disease is an unmet clinical need that urgently requires novel therapeutic options in addition to orthotopic liver transplantation. Cell therapies offer new strategies to recover liver function through the reconstitution of healthy parenchyma and resolution of tissue pathology. Macrophages are professional phagocytes that comprise a key part of the innate immune system providing an important defense mechanism against invading pathogens. Macrophages are an inherently diverse cell type with respect to ontogeny, tissue distribution, phenotype, and function. The ability of macrophages to afford innate immunity, efficiently scavenge apoptotic/necrotic cells, and modulate local tissue microenvironment makes them an attractive cell therapy candidate for various diseases. This review aims to outline the rationale and utility of macrophages to serve as a potential cell therapy for liver disease.

Keywords

- macrophages
- cirrhosis
- acetaminophen
- liver immunology
- cell therapy

Death from liver disease continues to increase in contrast to other chronic conditions.^{1,2} Cirrhosis of the liver is the result of prolonged injury to the liver arising from multiple etiologies. Cirrhosis-related deaths accounted for over a million deaths globally in 2010 with mortality rates increasing substantially in the United Kingdom.^{3,4} In the United Kingdom, chronic liver disease accounts for the majority of liver transplantations, with a relatively lower incidence of transplantations to treat acute liver failure (ALF) (NHS Interim Report on Liver Transplantation, 2018). ALF is a relatively rare but life-threatening critical illness with acetaminophen (APAP) poisoning alone accounting for half of ALF cases in the United States equating to nearly 500 deaths annually.^{5,6} Liver failure arising from either acute or chronic injury is limited to orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) as the only curative option. Liver transplantation alone is inadequate with demand for grafts outweighing supply of suitable organs. Furthermore, the surgical procedure carries significant morbidity and mortality, and patients are committed to life-long immunosuppression.⁷ Therefore, there is an urgent requirement for the development of alternative therapies for acute and chronic liver diseases. Despite the relative success of therapeutic interventions for specific etiologies (e.g., novel antiviral therapy for hepatitis C virus infec-

tion, alcohol abstinence for alcoholic liver disease), patients often present to medical attention late when cirrhosis and related complications have already occurred.⁸ Therefore, there is a need to explore novel therapies for both acute failure and chronic liver disease to provide additional therapeutic options beside organ transplantation.

Cell Therapies for Liver Disease

Cell therapies herald a new era in medicine, offering alternative strategies to promote the functional recovery of diseased or injured tissues. Cell therapies are an attractive therapeutic approach because they promote the repair of a patient's own tissue using a fully defined (i.e., Good Manufacturing Practices [GMP]-compliant) cellular product that can be produced at scale, and delivered to patients. In the context of liver disease, several cell types have been tested in both preclinical animal models and human trials with varying degrees of success in terms of safety and efficacy (see ► **Table 1**). The first evidence of the feasibility of cellular therapy for liver disease was gained from hepatocyte transplantation for metabolic liver disease.^{9–11} Two studies performing intrasplenic transplantation of allogenic hepatocytes in ALF patients with hepatic encephalopathy grade > 3 showed minimal improvement in survival.¹² In the group listed for OLT, hepatocyte transplantation improved cardiovascular stability but did not significantly

* Philip J. Starkey Lewis and Francesca Moroni have equal contribution.

Table 1 Cell therapy strategies that have been trialed for liver disease

Cell type	Use	Safety	Efficacy	Strengths and limitations
Hepatocytes ^{25,26}	Humans (small RCTs)	High risk of thrombosis	Reduction of LDL (familial hypercholesterolemia) Reduction of need of factor VII replacement (congenital deficiency) Reduction of ALT and BIL (biliary atresia) No efficacy proven in ALF and other congenital and metabolic diseases (PFIC, OTC, and ASL deficiency)	Poor engraftment Difficult expansion Difficult cryopreservation
iPSCs ²⁰	Animals	High teratogenic risk	Improvement in survival (50 vs. 0% survival at day 3 in treatment vs. control) Reduction of fibrosis (50% reduction at Sirius red staining)	Teratogenic Generate organ buds
Fetal hepatocytes ²²	Animals	Safe in rats with no evidence of oncogenesis at 3 mo	Improvement in bilirubin of around 50% in Gunn's rats Improvement in survival	Isolation from aborted fetus Poor expansion
HPCs ^{27,35}	Animals	Little risk of thrombosis	Not proven	Poor engraftment Easy isolation Good cryopreservation No human studies
hBTSC ^{29,30}	Humans (2 case reports)	Safe	Improvement of MELD (from 24 to 20) Improvement of CP score (from 12 to 10) but not sustained at 1 y	Multipotent
HSCs ^{33,34,36,37}	Humans (RCT and pilot study)	Safe (no SAR or SUSAR in RCT)	Transient nonstatistically significant improvement in bilirubin in pilot study. No evidence of improvement in MELD in large RCT	Poor engraftment Good ex vivo expansion Good cryopreservation
FLSPCs ^{31,32}	Animals	Safe in rats (small size, can be used in low numbers)	Improvement in albumin	Require regenerative stimuli to proliferate Pluripotent Good cryopreservation
MSCs ^{46,48,49}	Humans (RCT)	Safe (no SAR or SUSAR in RCT)	Among all markers only Alb improved with statistically significance compared with controls (from 30 to 35)	Easy to expand in vitro Immunomodulatory
Macrophages ^{78,81}	Animals On-going phase 1/2 clinical trial	Safe (no evidence of cytokine storm in mice models)	Improvement in albumin (~5–10% in treatment group) Reduction of fibrosis (reduction of 25% of collagen I and hydroxyproline staining in treatment vs. control)	Immunomodulatory Antifibrotic

Abbreviations: ALF, acute liver failure; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ASL, argininosuccinate lyase; CP score, Child–Pugh score; FLSPC, fetal stem/progenitor cell; hBTSC, human biliary tree stem cell; HPC, hepatocyte progenitor cell; HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; iPSC, induced pluripotent stem cell; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; OTC, ornithine transcarbamylase; PFIC, progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis; RCT, randomized control trial; SAR, serious adverse reaction; SUSAR, suspected unexpected serious adverse reaction.

ameliorate liver function.¹³ Therefore, hepatocyte transplantation has only shown utility to bridge to OLT. Experiments in rodents have shown hepatocytes transferred via the hepatic portal vein cause significant thrombosis and ischemia-reperfusion injury.¹⁴ Even when intrasplenic approach is adopted, engraftment of hepatocytes is extremely limited as over 90% of transplanted cells are phagocytosed by Kupffer cells (KCs).¹⁵

Since adult hepatocytes have limited availability, there is a worldwide effort to produce functional hepatocytes from pluripotent cells to generate a potentially limitless source of hepatocyte-like cells (HLCs) for drug screening and medical use.¹⁶ Recently, there have been great advances producing HLCs that recapitulate the biology of bona fide adult hepatocytes.¹⁷ For instance, HLCs can be derived from induced pluripotent stem

cells (iPSCs) or embryonic stem cells (ESCs). In vitro studies demonstrate that HLCs can be generated in a stepwise protocol from iPSCs.^{18,19} iPSC-derived cells could be sourced from individual patients to allow production of autologous cells for transfer back into the same patient.^{20,21} However, these have not been tested yet in clinical trials for acute or chronic liver disease. In parallel, fetal hepatocytes have been proposed as an alternative strategy to improve engraftment and function. Preclinical studies provided evidence of fetal hepatocyte metabolic function in rats.^{22,23} In patients, allogenic fetal hepatocytes were transplanted intraperitoneally to seven patients with ALF but led to recovery in only three subjects with advanced encephalopathy.²⁴ Several limiting factors preclude hepatocyte therapy as an effective therapeutic strategy for liver disease including risk of thrombosis, the unstable ex vivo phenotype, and poor hepatocyte engraftment.^{14,25,26} To mitigate these limitations, alternative cell types have been considered, for example, hepatocyte progenitor cells (HPCs). HPCs are found in adult livers in the canal of Hering and can become activated after liver injury to repopulate the organ with functional hepatocytes or biliary cells.²⁷ HPCs are an attractive option for cell transplantation due to their small size (5–15 μm), reduced risk of embolism, ease of cryopreservation, tolerability toward ischemia, and minimal immunogenicity.²⁷ However, HPCs are a relatively scarce cell type in adult liver, and engraftment represents a major obstacle for clinical use, although recent work showed coating the cells with hyaluronic acid provided a modest improvement in engraftment in mice.²⁸ Furthermore, the differentiation of human HPCs to mature hepatocytes has not yet been convincingly demonstrated. HPCs are present in fetal liver in high numbers and can be easily isolated and cultured. Therefore, fetal human biliary tree stem cells (hBTSCs) have been considered for HPC therapy.²⁹ hBTSCs have been tested in two case reports in cirrhotic patients after transplantation of 4 to 6×10^7 cells via the hepatic artery. Although this technique appeared safe, biochemical improvements were only transitory suggesting limited efficacy.³⁰ Another approach involves use of fetal stem/progenitor cells (FLSPCs), highly proliferative precursor cells of endodermal origin with the capacity to form hepatocytes and bile duct epithelial cells (sourced from rats at ED14). Although FLSPCs have not yet been tested in humans, they have been shown to repopulate large areas of rat parenchyma, maintain high proliferation rates, and retain differentiation potential posttransplantation even after cryopreservation.^{31,32} In contrast to the poor replicative capacity of ex vivo hepatocytes, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are highly proliferative with the ability to transdifferentiate into mature hepatocytes thereby representing an appealing cell therapy for liver disease.^{33–35} A randomized-controlled trial of HSC-like bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell transfer to 30 cirrhotic patients on the OLT waiting list suggested transient improvement of albumin in treated subjects. However, this was not statistically significant and the overall liver function did not improve as per the Child–Pugh score.³⁶ Moreover, a U.K.-based clinical trial recently reported that CD-133+ HSC therapy in conjunction with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) did not improve liver function in cirrhotic patients.³⁷ Mesenchymal stem cells

(MSCs) are multipotent cells that can be readily isolated from adult bone marrow or umbilical cord tissue and can expand in vitro with capacity to differentiate into several lineages including hepatocytes.^{38–40} MSCs are known to exhibit immunomodulatory functions and have been shown to reduce inflammation, reduce injury, and protect against hepatocyte apoptosis in several liver injury (acute liver injury [ALI]) models.^{41–45} MSCs have also been tested in cirrhotic patients demonstrating minor improvements in liver synthetic function and Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score, although MSCs require further evaluation in larger clinical trials with predefined primary endpoints.^{46–49} Studies to date have involved small numbers of patients with short-term endpoints, thus evidence of long-term benefit and a clear understanding of the mechanism of action of MSCs is required.⁵⁰ In summary, various cell therapies hold potential to provide new strategies to treat liver disease. However, many cell therapy candidates are not yet ready to be tested in clinical trials. Engraftment represents a major obstacle in diseased liver tissue for various cell therapies designed to improve hepatic function. Further work to elucidate the mechanisms of action of each cell therapy candidate will help design early clinical trials to test safety and efficacy. Data from clinical trials are currently at an early stage and have only showed limited success at best so far.^{49,51–53}

Role of Macrophages in Liver Disease

The liver contains the largest population of tissue resident macrophages in the body in the form of KCs located within the hepatic sinusoids.^{54,55} In the steady state, KCs provide important hepatic innate immunity by efficiently phagocytosing gut-derived pathogens (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and bacterial products) from portal blood, thereby providing an immunological barrier between the gut and the systemic circulation.⁵⁶ KCs are also highly adapted to remove apoptotic debris (principally dead erythrocytes), particulate matter, and are involved in the clearance of several serum proteins (**Fig. 1A and B**).^{57,58} KCs have been shown to be implicated in the early activation of the innate immune system after a hepatotoxic event, for example, during APAP overdose. Hepatocyte necrosis releases a plethora of proinflammatory signals, including several danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), chemokines, and cytokines that can activate resident macrophages via Toll-like receptor signaling resulting in the recruitment of circulating monocytes and other inflammatory cells to the liver.^{59,60} However, recent studies have shown in ALI, there is a substantial loss of KCs at peak injury leading to a deficit in hepatic innate immunity.^{61,62} During APAP-induced liver injury, uncontrolled inflammation resulting from massive hepatocyte necrosis coupled with KC loss results in a sepsis-like condition termed systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS)—a major determinant of clinical outcome in patients with APAP-induced ALI.⁶³ Likewise, in chronic end-stage liver disease, when KC-mediated barrier function is diminished, patients are prone to developing bacterial and fungal infections representing a major trigger of acute-on-chronic liver failure.^{64,65} Clearly, liver resident KCs are critical in maintaining important

Fig. 1 ^{Q4} (A) Kupffer cells (KCs) are liver resident macrophages located within the hepatic sinusoids that comprise part of the mononuclear phagocyte system. (B) KCs possess several important functions in the steady state including providing barrier function against gut-derived bacteria, scavenging of damaged/aged erythrocytes, and clearance of several serum proteins. (C) During chronic liver disease, hepatic myofibroblasts (activated hepatic stellate cells) deposit excessive amounts of collagen replacing hepatocytes impacting liver function. (D) Transfer of bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs)/monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) have shown efficacy in liver fibrosis models with evidence of collagen regression, myofibroblast apoptosis, and enhanced recruitment of innate immune cells. (E) During acute liver injury, a transient loss of KCs occurs alongside massive hepatocyte necrosis causing a deficit in barrier function. (F) Supplementing the macrophage pool during acute liver injury is a potential strategy to restore hepatic barrier function. Alternatively activated macrophages (AAMs) in particular possess a high capacity for efferocytosis to resolve necrosis and in turn reduce inflammation and promote liver repair.

innate immunity and are fundamentally implicated in the pathology of liver disease.

Macrophage Therapy for Liver Fibrosis

Chronic liver disease is fundamentally distinct pathology from ALI resulting from long-term iterative injury with an inflammatory basis arising from various etiologies. Ultimately, chronic liver disease results in the deposition of large quantities of extracellular matrix (ECM). The substitution of healthy parenchyma with scar tissue (ECM proteins comprising mainly collagens) can develop into cirrhosis characterized by reduced liver function, portal hypertension, and related complications.⁶⁶ Human and mouse macrophages express several members of the matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) family of endopeptidases, including MMP8, MMP9, and MMP12.^{67–71} A subset of MMPs have properties allowing them to unwind and cleave collagen helices affording them collagenolytic activity, a natural process that occurs in development and wound healing.⁷² Therefore, macrophages represent a key cell type implicated in the metabolism of ECM and tissue remodeling.⁷³ The deranged architecture, inflammatory niche, and excessive ECM in fibrotic liver provide significant barriers for the engraftment and long-term functionality of transplanted cells. Novel strategies that target the existing hepatic scar tissue directly, using either cells or biologics, are gaining attention as an alternative to hepatocyte transplantation.⁷⁴ Novel carrier systems (e.g., liposomes⁷⁵ or mannosylated conjugated proteins⁷⁶) have been developed to target endogenous KCs to modulate macrophage function in situ (for expert review on targeting endogenous macrophages for liver disease, refer to Tacke⁷⁷). In parallel, transfer of exogenous macrophages (e.g., syngeneic, autologous, or allogeneic cells) represents an alternative technique to modulate the hepatic microenvironment. Intravenous injection of bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) to mice with established carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄)-induced liver fibrosis resulted in less collagen deposition, fewer hepatic myofibroblasts (activated hepatic stellate cells), and enhanced recruitment of host monocytes and neutrophils—a further source of MMP9.⁷⁸ Importantly, liver synthetic function was improved in fibrotic mice after BMDM delivery evidenced by increased serum albumin levels. In this study, macrophages were injected via the hepatic portal vein, a dosing route that may be unsuitable in cirrhotic patients due to associated coagulopathy and portal hypertension. However, a more recent study showed that transfer of classically activated macrophages (CAMs) administered to fibrotic mice via tail vein also resolved collagen efficiently.⁷⁹ Further mechanistic insight from this study revealed that recruited natural killer cells were a major source of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand that promoted myofibroblast apoptosis. In addition, intravenous delivery of murine ESC-derived macrophages recapitulated fibrosis resolution observed with primary macrophages, although a greater number of cells were required to achieve efficacy.⁸⁰ These studies provide evidence that disease-modifying macrophages can be administered peripherally. Translational studies have since demonstrated that primary human monocyte-derived

macrophages (MDMs) sourced from healthy donors have antifibrotic activity after intrasplenic cell transfer to fibrotic immunocompromised mice.⁸¹ However, safety concerns exist using myeloid cell transfer approaches given that several groups have reported injurious responses after transplanting immature cell types in disease models, for example, bone marrow precursor cells and monocytes.^{78,82,83} These findings underscore the importance of using defined protocols that yield highly enriched populations of fully mature cells qualified by a robust set of maturity markers.

In contrast to the emergency setting of ALF, the relatively slow progression of compensated liver cirrhosis (median survival > 12 years⁸) provides a therapeutic window available over a longer timeframe assuming complications can be managed and disease-inducing factors controlled (e.g., antiviral medication, cessation of alcohol consumption). Therefore, this timeframe allows the collection of a patient's own monocytes for macrophage differentiation (typically 7 days) before infusion back into the patient, that is, autologous cell therapy. Moore et al demonstrated that MDMs sourced from cirrhotic patients are phenotypically similar to healthy donor-derived macrophages in terms of MMP expression and surface marker composition.⁸¹ Furthermore, intrasplenic transplantation of healthy human MDMs to an immunocompromised mouse model of liver fibrosis elicited regression of collagen, and reduction of liver injury markers. This work provided the platform to build a GMP-compatible pipeline to generate clinical-grade human MDMs for potential therapeutic applications.⁸⁴ A differentiation protocol now exists with clear release criteria for functionally mature human macrophages (25F9^{hi}, CD206^{hi}, CCR2^{lo}) using a defined serum-free, antibiotic-free method. Safety and efficacy studies of autologous macrophage therapy are now underway in a Phase I/II first-in-human clinical trials for the treatment of liver cirrhosis. Exogenous macrophage delivery has shown promise in preclinical models to elicit collagen regression and stimulate hepatic function (→Fig. 1C and D).

Macrophage Efferocytosis and Acute Liver Injury

Macrophages are exquisitely adapted to recognize and remove dead or dying cells from the system. Macrophages, including KCs, express a repertoire of cell surface receptors including Mer,⁸⁵ phosphatidylserine receptors,⁸⁶ lectins,⁸⁷ and scavenger receptors⁸⁸ that recognize motifs on dying cells to initiate and facilitate their internalization and degradation. Macrophage-mediated removal of dead cells, known as efferocytosis coined from the Latin term “efferre”: “to bury,” is thought to be a prerequisite for the resolution of inflammation by clearing the inflammatory source to allow the restitution of injured tissue.^{89,90} During liver injury (e.g., during APAP overdose), there is a sudden and massive chemical insult to the liver, causing widespread hepatocyte necrosis that occurs rapidly after drug ingestion.⁹¹ In the clinic, severe toxicity can be mitigated via the timely infusion of N-acetylcysteine (NAC; a sulfhydryl donor that boosts hepatocyte antioxidant capacity to prevent hepatocyte

death). However, in patients who present late (i.e., later than 10 hours of APAP ingestion), NAC has much reduced efficacy,⁹² and APAP-induced liver injury (APAP-ALI) can result in more than 50% hepatocyte necrosis providing a massive source of inflammatory mediators and DAMPs.^{59,93,94} In addition to hepatocyte necrosis, recent evidence showed there is a transient but significant loss in viable KCs at peak injury, which results in a diminished barrier function and reduced phagocytic capacity in the liver.^{61,62} The gut lumen contains a huge source of bacteria and bacterial products, which can translocate to the liver parenchyma via the hepatic portal vein. KCs provide important innate immunity by recognizing and engulfing bacteria to maintain homeostasis.⁵⁵ A recent study described a further population of MDMs that exist in the liver capsule to provide innate immunity against peritoneal pathogens.⁹⁵ APAP-ALI is known to drastically reduce numbers of hepatic KCs, and therefore impair the performance of the mononuclear phagocyte system in the liver.⁶² For example, the clearance of circulating microaggregated albumin is compromised in patients with APAP-ALF.⁶¹ Indeed, the lack of innate immunity predisposes ALF patients to risk of developing serious bacterial and/or fungal infections.⁹⁶ In a study involving 50 ALF patients, 28 out of 30 patients that died had a detectable bacterial infection and all the deaths that occurred after 7 days of hospital admission were attributed to microbial infection.⁹⁷ Furthermore, a separate study reported fungal infections (candida and aspergillus) in 32% of ALF patients, in which fungal infection led to a major cause of death in 7 of the 16 infected patients.⁹⁸ Indeed, antimicrobial drugs have been trialed as a prophylactic treatment to ALF-associated infections but have resulted in only marginal benefit.^{98,99} These reports suggest that impaired barrier function resulting from ALI risks the development of serious systemic infections that are a major determinant of clinical outcome.

The combination of massive hepatocellular necrosis and diminished clearance functions promotes uncontrolled inflammation. In APAP-induced ALF patients, this is recognized as SIRS and represents a key determinant of clinical outcome risking multiorgan failure and death.^{63,100} Several experimental strategies to modulate hepatic immune function during ALI are now gaining attention.¹⁰¹ Tissue macrophage populations are controlled, in part, through CSF 1 receptor (CSF1R) stimulation, which promotes the survival, proliferation, and differentiation of cells in the macrophage lineage.¹⁰² Mice treated with a modified CSF1 fusion protein (CSF1-Fc) demonstrated enhanced hepatic clearance capacity by increasing numbers of both resident and infiltrating macrophages in the liver.¹⁰³ Importantly, CSF1-Fc treatment also increased macrophage accumulation at the necrotic regions and reduced serum alanine aminotransferase activity in mice with APAP-ALI. The hepatoprotective role of macrophages has been demonstrated by several groups. Chemical ablation of KCs in mice exhibited aggravated hepatic vascular permeability in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) after APAP-ALI.¹⁰⁴ Furthermore, mice lacking KCs and infiltrating MDMs show sustained necrosis and elevated serum transaminases after APAP-ALI, suggesting macrophages are required for necrosis resorption.^{105–107}

Timely removal of necrotic tissue is required for appropriate wound healing. Macrophages also possess paracrine functionality and are a major source of anti-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors. KCs can secrete interleukin (IL)-10 upon activation, a potent immunosuppressive cytokine that has been shown to be hepatoprotective during APAP-ALI.^{107–109} Infiltrating macrophages in particular have also been shown to express high levels of Vegf, a proangiogenic cytokine associated with neovascularization in chronic injury models.¹¹⁰ Hepatic macrophages isolated from APAP-injured mouse liver stimulated LSEC proliferation and migration in vitro suggesting paracrine proangiogenic function.¹⁰⁵ Macrophages are also known to express several WNT ligands,^{111,112} which are known to stimulate β -catenin signaling in hepatocytes during liver regeneration.¹¹³ CSF1R-mediated hepatic macrophage accumulation induced a modest but significant increase in hepatocyte proliferation after partial hepatectomy suggesting macrophages may promote parenchymal cell division, although specific factors underpinning this have not been identified.¹⁰³ Macrophages can adopt a variety of phenotypes in response to their microenvironment. Alternatively activated macrophages (AAMs) have been shown to exhibit a greater capacity for efferocytosis in vitro (discussed further below).¹¹⁴ Boosting the hepatic macrophage pool to restore hepatic innate immunity, promote efferocytosis of necrotic cells, suppress inflammation, and stimulate hepatocellular proliferation may be an attractive strategy for the treatment of ALI (**> Fig. 1E and F**).

Relevance of Macrophage Phenotype and Function

Macrophages are an inherently plastic cell type capable of acquiring a spectrum of phenotypes in response to stimuli from the microenvironment. Traditionally, this phenotypic axis was defined simplistically as “M1” macrophages (classical-activation with enhanced bactericidal properties), versus “M2” macrophages (alternative-activation with enhanced tissue remodeling properties), which has provided a useful framework despite calls for a more nuanced nomenclature.¹¹⁵ Functional analysis of different macrophage phenotypes can be achieved by polarizing cells in vitro using defined factors (e.g., lipopolysaccharide and interferon γ to produce CAMs, or IL-4/-13 to produce AAMs). As discussed earlier, CAMs outperformed standard BMDMs in terms of their role in collagen regression.⁷⁹ Polarized macrophages may offer greater efficacy or improved safety profiles since a polarized cell population is phenotypically more uniform. One safety concern that exists with macrophage therapy is the risk of transplanted macrophages acquiring a potentially deleterious phenotype in response to microenvironmental cues in a diseased organ. Polarizing macrophages ex vivo using high concentrations of recombinant cytokines prior to transplant may reduce this risk since there is some evidence that polarized macrophages can retain their phenotype epigenetically.¹¹⁶ Safety studies that test macrophage phenotype and persistence in relevant disease models are warranted. In the setting of ALI, efficient efferocytosis of necrotic material is required to suppress

inflammation. Numerous groups have reported that AAMs have enhanced phagocytic function versus standard BMDMs or CAMs.^{80,117,118} Therefore, transferring AAMs or by promoting hepatic macrophage phagocytosis may represent a therapeutic strategy in the setting of ALI.

Highly defined macrophages with desired characteristics may indeed provide more precise therapy. Tissue-resident macrophages are known to display unique gene expression profiles with considerable diversity among macrophage populations.¹¹⁹ While macrophages share a common set of functions, tissue-specific functions do exist, for example, osteoclasts perform efficient bone resorption in contrast to microglia, which support neuronal circuit development.^{120,121} In the liver, KCs are highly specialized at removing damaged erythrocytes from the circulation.¹²² KCs express several genes involved with lipid and iron metabolism including several scavenger receptors, which are enriched in KCs versus other macrophage populations.¹²³ Tissue-resident macrophages, including KCs, develop from embryonic precursors with the capacity to proliferate and self-renew.^{124,125} During APAP-ALI, approximately half of KCs are lost at peak injury but recover through proliferation over several days.⁶² During this time, circulating inflammatory monocytes infiltrate the liver and differentiate into short-lived MDMs. Blood monocytopenia resulting from massive influx of circulating monocytes into the liver has been associated with poor prognosis in patients.¹²⁶ It has been shown experimentally that MDMs can repopulate the liver and acquire self-renewal properties, but only under specific conditions.¹²³ ESC-derived macrophages may resemble tissue-resident macrophages more closely with lower expression levels of *Myb* (a HSC transcription factor) compared with BMDMs.⁸⁰ The source of exogenous macrophages may have implications on the phenotype, function, and persistence of these cells in tissues after administration.

In summary, evidence suggests that macrophages play a key role in the initiation and resolution phases of both acute and chronic liver disease. The barrier function provided by KCs is essential to prevent bacteremia and systemic inflammation. Supplementing hepatic macrophage populations using exogenous cell transfer or by cytokine-induced endogenous macrophage expansion are clinically relevant strategies that have the potential to augment hepatic innate immunity during liver disease. Methods to generate clinical-grade primary human macrophages have recently been described allowing these cells to be evaluated in prospective clinical trials.

Main Concepts and Learning Points

- Kupffer cells are liver resident macrophages that possess several important functions in liver tissue, including providing barrier function against gut-derived pathogens.
- Macrophages play distinct roles in the initiation and resolution phases of liver injury, therefore are intrinsically implicated in liver disease pathophysiology.
- Patients with both acute and chronic liver disease have a perturbed phagocytic system thereby being at risk of developing serious bacterial/fungal infections.

- Strategies that restore hepatic innate immunity during liver disease through direct cell transfer or cytokine-induced macrophage replacement are gaining attention.
- Primary human macrophages can now be manufactured to meet GMP standards and clinical trials to test safety and efficacy in liver disease are underway.

Conflict of Interest^{Q3}

Dr. Starkey Lewis has a patent PCT/GB2017/052769 pending. Dr. Forbes has a patent PCT/GB2017/052769 pending, and a patent UK application ref 1804255.6 pending.

Q3

References

- 1 Murray CJ, Richards MA, Newton JN, et al. UK health performance: findings of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2013;381(9871):997–1020
- 2 Shiels MS, Chernyavskiy P, Anderson WF, et al. Trends in premature mortality in the USA by sex, race, and ethnicity from 1999 to 2014: an analysis of death certificate data. *Lancet* 2017;389(10073):1043–1054
- 3 Leon DA, McCambridge J. Liver cirrhosis mortality rates in Britain from 1950 to 2002: an analysis of routine data. *Lancet* 2006;367(9504):52–56
- 4 Mokdad AA, Lopez AD, Shahrzaz S, et al. Liver cirrhosis mortality in 187 countries between 1980 and 2010: a systematic analysis. *BMC Med* 2014;12:145
- 5 Bernal W, Wendon J. Acute liver failure. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369(26):2525–2534
- 6 Lee WM. Acetaminophen (APAP) hepatotoxicity—Isn't it time for APAP to go away? *J Hepatol* 2017;67(06):1324–1331
- 7 Goldberg D, French B, Trotter J, et al. Underreporting of liver transplant waitlist removals due to death or clinical deterioration: results at four major centers. *Transplantation* 2013;96(02):211–216
- 8 D'Amico G, Garcia-Tsao G, Pagliaro L. Natural history and prognostic indicators of survival in cirrhosis: a systematic review of 118 studies. *J Hepatol* 2006;44(01):217–231
- 9 Matas AJ, Sutherland DE, Steffes MW, et al. Hepatocellular transplantation for metabolic deficiencies: decrease of plasmas bilirubin in Gunn rats. *Science* 1976;192(4242):892–894
- 10 Fox IJ, Chowdhury JR, Kaufman SS, et al. Treatment of the Crigler-Najjar syndrome type I with hepatocyte transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338(20):1422–1426
- 11 Sokal EM, Smets F, Bourgois A, et al. Hepatocyte transplantation in a 4-year-old girl with peroxisomal biogenesis disease: technique, safety, and metabolic follow-up. *Transplantation* 2003;76(04):735–738
- 12 Strom SC, Fisher RA, Thompson MT, et al. Hepatocyte transplantation as a bridge to orthotopic liver transplantation in terminal liver failure. *Transplantation* 1997;63(04):559–569
- 13 Bilir BM, Guinette D, Karrer F, et al. Hepatocyte transplantation in acute liver failure. *Liver Transpl* 2000;6(01):32–40
- 14 Weber A, Grover-Picard MT, Franco D, Dagher I. Hepatocyte transplantation in animal models. *Liver Transpl* 2009;15(01):7–14
- 15 Joseph B, Malhi H, Bhargava KK, Palestro CJ, McCuskey RS, Gupta S. Kupffer cells participate in early clearance of syngeneic hepatocytes transplanted in the rat liver. *Gastroenterology* 2002;123(05):1677–1685
- 16 Zakikhan K, Pournasr B, Vosough M, Nassiri-Asl M. *In vitro* generated hepatocyte-like cells: a novel tool in regenerative medicine and drug discovery. *Cell J* 2017;19(02):204–217
- 17 Zhang K, Zhang L, Liu W, et al. *In vitro* expansion of primary human hepatocytes with efficient liver repopulation capacity. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018;23(06):806–819.e4

- 18 Sullivan GJ, Hay DC, Park IH, et al. Generation of functional human hepatic endoderm from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hepatology* 2010;51(01):329–335
- 19 Si-Tayeb K, Noto FK, Nagaoka M, et al. Highly efficient generation of human hepatocyte-like cells from induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hepatology* 2010;51(01):297–305
- 20 Takayama K, Akita N, Mimura N, et al. Generation of safe and therapeutically effective human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells for regenerative medicine. *Hepatol Commun* 2017;1(10):1058–1069
- 21 Liu H, Kim Y, Sharkis S, Marchionni L, Jang YY. In vivo liver regeneration potential of human induced pluripotent stem cells from diverse origins. *Sci Transl Med* 2011;3(82):82ra39
- 22 Kokudo N, Otsu I, Okazaki T, et al. Long-term effects of intrasplenically transplanted adult hepatocytes and fetal liver in hyperbilirubinemic Gunn rats. *Transpl Int* 1995;8(04):262–267
- 23 Lilja H, Arkadopoulos N, Blanc P, et al. Fetal rat hepatocytes: isolation, characterization, and transplantation in the Nagase analbuminemic rats. *Transplantation* 1997;64(09):1240–1248
- 24 Habibullah CM, Syed IH, Qamar A, Taher-Uz Z. Human fetal hepatocyte transplantation in patients with fulminant hepatic failure. *Transplantation* 1994;58(08):951–952
- 25 Mito M, Kusano M, Kawaura Y. Hepatocyte transplantation in man. *Transplant Proc* 1992;24(06):3052–3053
- 26 Hansel MC, Gramignoli R, Skvorak KJ, et al. The history and use of human hepatocytes for the treatment of liver diseases: the first 100 patients. *Curr Protoc Toxicol* 2014;62:14
- 27 Susick R, Moss N, Kubota H, et al. Hepatic progenitors and strategies for liver cell therapies. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2001;944:398–419
- 28 Nevi L, Carpino G, Costantini D, et al. Hyaluronan coating improves liver engraftment of transplanted human biliary tree stem/progenitor cells. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2017;8(01):68
- 29 Semeraro R, Carpino G, Cardinale V, et al. Multipotent stem/progenitor cells in the human foetal biliary tree. *J Hepatol* 2012;57(05):987–994
- 30 Cardinale V, Carpino G, Gentile R, et al. Transplantation of human fetal biliary tree stem/progenitor cells into two patients with advanced liver cirrhosis. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2014;14:204
- 31 Yovchev MI, Xue Y, Shafritz DA, Locker J, Oertel M. Repopulation of the fibrotic/cirrhotic rat liver by transplanted hepatic stem/progenitor cells and mature hepatocytes. *Hepatology* 2014;59(01):284–295
- 32 Oertel M, Menthen A, Chen YQ, Shafritz DA. Properties of cryopreserved fetal liver stem/progenitor cells that exhibit long-term repopulation of the normal rat liver. *Stem Cells* 2006;24(10):2244–2251
- 33 Theise ND, Nimmakayalu M, Gardner R, et al. Liver from bone marrow in humans. *Hepatology* 2000;32(01):11–16
- 34 Moore JK, Stutchfield BM, Forbes SJ. Systematic review: the effects of autologous stem cell therapy for patients with liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2014;39(07):673–685
- 35 Czyz J, Wiese C, Rolletschek A, Blyszczuk P, Cross M, Wobus AM. Potential of embryonic and adult stem cells in vitro. *Biol Chem* 2003;384(10–11):1391–1409
- 36 Lyra AC, Soares MB, da Silva LF, et al. Infusion of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells through hepatic artery results in a short-term improvement of liver function in patients with chronic liver disease: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;22(01):33–42
- 37 Newsome PN, Fox R, King AL, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor and autologous CD133-positive stem-cell therapy in liver cirrhosis (REALISTIC): an open-label, randomised, controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;3(01):25–36
- 38 Chen AK, Reuveny S, Oh SK. Application of human mesenchymal and pluripotent stem cell microcarrier cultures in cellular therapy: achievements and future direction. *Biotechnol Adv* 2013;31(07):1032–1046
- 39 Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC, et al. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 1999;284(5411):143–147
- 40 Ji R, Zhang N, You N, et al. The differentiation of MSCs into functional hepatocyte-like cells in a liver biomatrix scaffold and their transplantation into liver-fibrotic mice. *Biomaterials* 2012;33(35):8995–9008
- 41 Spaggiari GM, Capobianco A, Becchetti S, Mingari MC, Moretta L. Mesenchymal stem cell-natural killer cell interactions: evidence that activated NK cells are capable of killing MSCs, whereas MSCs can inhibit IL-2-induced NK-cell proliferation. *Blood* 2006;107(04):1484–1490
- 42 Jung J, Choi JH, Lee Y, et al. Human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells promote hepatic regeneration in CCl4-injured rat liver model via increased autophagic mechanism. *Stem Cells* 2013;31(08):1584–1596
- 43 Zhu X, He B, Zhou X, Ren J. Effects of transplanted bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in animal models of acute hepatitis. *Cell Tissue Res* 2013;351(03):477–486
- 44 Kanazawa H, Fujimoto Y, Teratani T, et al. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate hepatic ischemia reperfusion injury in a rat model. *PLoS One* 2011;6(04):e19195
- 45 Salomone F, Barbagallo I, Puzzo L, Piazza C, Li Volti G. Efficacy of adipose tissue-mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in rats with acetaminophen liver injury. *Stem Cell Res (Amst)* 2013;11(03):1037–1044
- 46 Zhang Z, Lin H, Shi M, et al. Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells improve liver function and ascites in decompensated liver cirrhosis patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;27(Suppl 2):112–120
- 47 Owen A, Newsome PN. Mesenchymal stromal cell therapy in liver disease: opportunities and lessons to be learnt? *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2015;309(10):G791–G800
- 48 Shi M, Zhang Z, Xu R, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cell transfusion is safe and improves liver function in acute-on-chronic liver failure patients. *Stem Cells Transl Med* 2012;1(10):725–731
- 49 Mohamadnejad M, Alimoghaddam K, Bagheri M, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in decompensated cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2013;33(10):1490–1496
- 50 Zhao L, Chen S, Shi X, Cao H, Li L. A pooled analysis of mesenchymal stem cell-based therapy for liver disease. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2018;9(01):72
- 51 Forbes SJ, Gupta S, Dhawan A. Cell therapy for liver disease: from liver transplantation to cell factory. *J Hepatol* 2015;62(1, Suppl):S157–S169
- 52 Huebert RC, Rakela J. Cellular therapy for liver disease. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2014;89(03):414–424
- 53 El-Ansary M, Abdel-Aziz I, Mogawer S, et al. Phase II trial: undifferentiated versus differentiated autologous mesenchymal stem cells transplantation in Egyptian patients with HCV induced liver cirrhosis. *Stem Cell Rev* 2012;8(03):972–981
- 54 Bilzer M, Roggel F, Gerbes AL. Role of Kupffer cells in host defense and liver disease. *Liver Int* 2006;26(10):1175–1186
- 55 Ju C, Tacke F. Hepatic macrophages in homeostasis and liver diseases: from pathogenesis to novel therapeutic strategies. *Cell Mol Immunol* 2016;13(03):316–327
- 56 Gao B, Jeong WI, Tian Z. Liver: an organ with predominant innate immunity. *Hepatology* 2008;47(02):729–736
- 57 Dixon LJ, Barnes M, Tang H, Pritchard MT, Nagy LE. Kupffer cells in the liver. *Compr Physiol* 2013;3(02):785–797
- 58 Radi ZA, Koza-Taylor PH, Bell RR, et al. Increased serum enzyme levels associated with Kupffer cell reduction with no signs of hepatic or skeletal muscle injury. *Am J Pathol* 2011;179(01):240–247
- 59 Martin-Murphy BV, Holt MP, Ju C. The role of damage associated molecular pattern molecules in acetaminophen-induced liver injury in mice. *Toxicol Lett* 2010;192(03):387–394

- 60 Dambach DM, Watson LM, Gray KR, Durham SK, Laskin DL. Role of CCR2 in macrophage migration into the liver during acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity in the mouse. *Hepatology* 2002; 35(05):1093–1103
- 61 Canalese J, Gove CD, Gimson AE, Wilkinson SP, Wardle EN, Williams R. Reticuloendothelial system and hepatocytic function in fulminant hepatic failure. *Gut* 1982;23(04):265–269
- 62 Zsigmond E, Samia-Grinberg S, Pasmanik-Chor M, et al. Infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages and resident Kupffer cells display different ontogeny and functions in acute liver injury. *J Immunol* 2014;193(01):344–353
- 63 Craig DG, Reid TW, Martin KG, Davidson JS, Hayes PC, Simpson KJ. The systemic inflammatory response syndrome and sequential organ failure assessment scores are effective triage markers following paracetamol (acetaminophen) overdose. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;34(02):219–228
- 64 Fernández J, Acevedo J, Wiest R, et al; European Foundation for the Study of Chronic Liver Failure. Bacterial and fungal infections in acute-on-chronic liver failure: prevalence, characteristics and impact on prognosis. *Gut* 2018;67(10):1870–1880
- 65 Rimola A, Soto R, Bory F, Arroyo V, Piersa C, Rodes J. Reticuloendothelial system phagocytic activity in cirrhosis and its relation to bacterial infections and prognosis. *Hepatology* 1984;4(01):53–58
- 66 Bataller R, Brenner DA. Liver fibrosis. *J Clin Invest* 2005;115(02):209–218
- 67 Wen G, Zhang C, Chen Q, et al. A novel role of matrix metalloproteinase-8 in macrophage differentiation and polarization. *J Biol Chem* 2015;290(31):19158–19172
- 68 Steenport M, Khan KM, Du B, Barnhard SE, Dannenberg AJ, Falcone DJ. Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-1 and MMP-3 induce macrophage MMP-9: evidence for the role of TNF- α and cyclooxygenase-2. *J Immunol* 2009;183(12):8119–8127
- 69 Bellac CL, Dufour A, Krisinger MJ, et al. Macrophage matrix metalloproteinase-12 dampens inflammation and neutrophil influx in arthritis. *Cell Reports* 2014;9(02):618–632
- 70 Fallowfield JA, Mizuno M, Kendall TJ, et al. Scar-associated macrophages are a major source of hepatic matrix metalloproteinase-13 and facilitate the resolution of murine hepatic fibrosis. *J Immunol* 2007;178(08):5288–5295
- 71 Newby AC. Metalloproteinase production from macrophages - a perfect storm leading to atherosclerotic plaque rupture and myocardial infarction. *Exp Physiol* 2016;101(11):1327–1337
- 72 Van Doren SR. Matrix metalloproteinase interactions with collagen and elastin. *Matrix Biol* 2015;44–46:224–231
- 73 Madsen DH, Leonard D, Masedunskas A, et al. M2-like macrophages are responsible for collagen degradation through a mannose receptor-mediated pathway. *J Cell Biol* 2013;202(06):951–966
- 74 Pellicoro A, Ramachandran P, Iredale JP, Fallowfield JA. Liver fibrosis and repair: immune regulation of wound healing in a solid organ. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2014;14(03):181–194
- 75 Surewaard BG, Deniset JF, Zemp FJ, et al. Identification and treatment of the *Staphylococcus aureus* reservoir in vivo. *J Exp Med* 2016;213(07):1141–1151
- 76 Melgert BN, Olinga P, Van Der Laan JM, et al. Targeting dexamethasone to Kupffer cells: effects on liver inflammation and fibrosis in rats. *Hepatology* 2001;34(4 Pt 1):719–728
- 77 Tacke F. Targeting hepatic macrophages to treat liver diseases. *J Hepatol* 2017;66(06):1300–1312
- 78 Thomas JA, Pope C, Wojtacha D, et al. Macrophage therapy for murine liver fibrosis recruits host effector cells improving fibrosis, regeneration, and function. *Hepatology* 2011;53(06):2003–2015
- 79 Ma PF, Gao CC, Yi J, et al. Cytotherapy with M1-polarized macrophages ameliorates liver fibrosis by modulating immune microenvironment in mice. *J Hepatol* 2017;67(04):770–779
- 80 Haideri SS, McKinnon AC, Taylor AH, et al. Injection of embryonic stem cell derived macrophages ameliorates fibrosis in a murine model of liver injury. *NPJ Regen Med* 2017;2(01):14
- 81 Moore JK, Mackinnon AC, Wojtacha D, et al. Phenotypic and functional characterization of macrophages with therapeutic potential generated from human cirrhotic monocytes in a cohort study. *Cytotherapy* 2015;17(11):1604–1616
- 82 Heymann F, Hammerich L, Storch D, et al. Hepatic macrophage migration and differentiation critical for liver fibrosis is mediated by the chemokine receptor C-C motif chemokine receptor 8 in mice. *Hepatology* 2012;55(03):898–909
- 83 Mossanen JC, Krenkel O, Ergen C, et al. Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2-positive monocytes aggravate the early phase of acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury. *Hepatology* 2016; 64(05):1667–1682
- 84 Fraser AR, Pass C, Burgoyne P, et al. Development, functional characterization and validation of methodology for GMP-compliant manufacture of phagocytic macrophages: a novel cellular therapeutic for liver cirrhosis. *Cytotherapy* 2017;19(09): 1113–1124
- 85 Scott RS, McMahon EJ, Pop SM, et al. Phagocytosis and clearance of apoptotic cells is mediated by MER. *Nature* 2001;411 (6834):207–211
- 86 Fadok VA, Bratton DL, Rose DM, Pearson A, Ezekewitz RA, Henson PM. A receptor for phosphatidylserine-specific clearance of apoptotic cells. *Nature* 2000;405(6782):85–90
- 87 Karlsson A, Christenson K, Matlak M, et al. Galectin-3 functions as an opsonin and enhances the macrophage clearance of apoptotic neutrophils. *Glycobiology* 2009;19(01):16–20
- 88 Platt N, Suzuki H, Kurihara Y, Kodama T, Gordon S. Role for the class A macrophage scavenger receptor in the phagocytosis of apoptotic thymocytes in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1996;93 (22):12456–12460
- 89 deCathelineau AM, Henson PM. The final step in programmed cell death: phagocytes carry apoptotic cells to the grave. *Essays Biochem* 2003;39:105–117
- 90 Greenlee-Wacker MC. Clearance of apoptotic neutrophils and resolution of inflammation. *Immunol Rev* 2016;273(01):357–370
- 91 James LP, Mayeux PR, Hinson JA. Acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2003;31(12):1499–1506
- 92 Prescott LF, Park J, Ballantyne A, Adriaenssens P, Proudfoot AT. Treatment of paracetamol (acetaminophen) poisoning with N-acetylcysteine. *Lancet* 1977;2(8035):432–434
- 93 Gujral JS, Knight TR, Farhood A, Bajt ML, Jaeschke H. Mode of cell death after acetaminophen overdose in mice: apoptosis or oncotic necrosis? *Toxicol Sci* 2002;67(02):322–328
- 94 Dragomir AC, Laskin JD, Laskin DL. Macrophage activation by factors released from acetaminophen-injured hepatocytes: potential role of HMGB1. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2011;253(03):170–177
- 95 Sierro F, Evrard M, Rizzetto S, et al. A liver capsular network of monocyte-derived macrophages restricts hepatic dissemination of intraperitoneal bacteria by neutrophil recruitment. *Immunity* 2017;47(02):374–388.e6
- 96 Rolando N, Philpott-Howard J, Williams R. Bacterial and fungal infection in acute liver failure. *Semin Liver Dis* 1996;16(04): 389–402
- 97 Rolando N, Harvey F, Brahm J, et al. Prospective study of bacterial infection in acute liver failure: an analysis of fifty patients. *Hepatology* 1990;11(01):49–53
- 98 Rolando N, Harvey F, Brahm J, et al. Fungal infection: a common, unrecognised complication of acute liver failure. *J Hepatol* 1991; 12(01):1–9
- 99 Karvellas CJ, Cavazos J, Battenhouse H, et al; US Acute Liver Failure Study Group. Effects of antimicrobial prophylaxis and blood stream infections in patients with acute liver failure: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014;12 (11):1942–9.e1
- 100 Rolando N, Wade J, Davalos M, Wendon J, Philpott-Howard J, Williams R. The systemic inflammatory response syndrome in acute liver failure. *Hepatology* 2000;32(4 Pt 1):734–739

- 101 Possamai LA, Thursz MR, Wendon JA, Antoniadou CG. Modulation of monocyte/macrophage function: a therapeutic strategy in the treatment of acute liver failure. *J Hepatol* 2014;61(02):439–445
- 102 Stanley ER, Chitu V. CSF-1 receptor signaling in myeloid cells. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2014;6(06):a021857
- 103 Stutchfield BM, Antoine DJ, Mackinnon AC, et al. CSF1 restores innate immunity after liver injury in mice and serum levels indicate outcomes of patients with acute liver failure. *Gastroenterology* 2015;149(07):1896–1909.e14
- 104 Holt MP, Yin H, Ju C. Exacerbation of acetaminophen-induced disturbances of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in the absence of Kupffer cells in mice. *Toxicol Lett* 2010;194(1–2):34–41
- 105 You Q, Holt M, Yin H, Li G, Hu CJ, Ju C. Role of hepatic resident and infiltrating macrophages in liver repair after acute injury. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2013;86(06):836–843
- 106 Holt MP, Cheng L, Ju C. Identification and characterization of infiltrating macrophages in acetaminophen-induced liver injury. *J Leukoc Biol* 2008;84(06):1410–1421
- 107 Ju C, Reilly TP, Bourdi M, et al. Protective role of Kupffer cells in acetaminophen-induced hepatic injury in mice. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2002;15(12):1504–1513
- 108 Bourdi M, Masubuchi Y, Reilly TP, et al. Protection against acetaminophen-induced liver injury and lethality by interleukin 10: role of inducible nitric oxide synthase. *Hepatology* 2002;35(02):289–298
- 109 Knolle P, Schlaak J, Uhrig A, Kempf P, Meyer zum Büschenfelde KH, Gerken G. Human Kupffer cells secrete IL-10 in response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) challenge. *J Hepatol* 1995;22(02):226–229
- 110 Ehling J, Bartneck M, Wei X, et al. CCL2-dependent infiltrating macrophages promote angiogenesis in progressive liver fibrosis. *Gut* 2014;63(12):1960–1971
- 111 Boulter L, Guest RV, Kendall TJ, et al. WNT signaling drives cholangiocarcinoma growth and can be pharmacologically inhibited. *J Clin Invest* 2015;125(03):1269–1285
- 112 Preziosi M, Okabe H, Poddar M, Singh S, Monga SP. Endothelial Wnts regulate β -catenin signaling in murine liver zonation and regeneration: a sequel to the Wnt-Wnt situation. *Hepatol Commun* 2018;2(07):845–860
- 113 Yang J, Mowry LE, Nejak-Bowen KN, et al. β -catenin signaling in murine liver zonation and regeneration: a Wnt-Wnt situation!. *Hepatology* 2014;60(03):964–976
- 114 Mukaro VR, Bylund J, Hodge G, et al. Lectins offer new perspectives in the development of macrophage-targeted therapies for COPD/emphysema. *PLoS One* 2013;8(02):e56147
- 115 Martinez FO, Gordon S. The M1 and M2 paradigm of macrophage activation: time for reassessment. *F1000Prime Rep* 2014;6:13
- 116 Liu HC, Zheng MH, Du YL, et al. N9 microglial cells polarized by LPS and IL4 show differential responses to secondary environmental stimuli. *Cell Immunol* 2012;278(1–2):84–90
- 117 Chinetti-Gbaguidi G, Baron M, Bouhellel MA, et al. Human atherosclerotic plaque alternative macrophages display low cholesterol handling but high phagocytosis because of distinct activities of the PPAR γ and LXR α pathways. *Circ Res* 2011;108(08):985–995
- 118 Mendoza-Coronel E, Ortega E. Macrophage polarization modulates Fc γ R- and CD13-mediated phagocytosis and reactive oxygen species production, independently of receptor membrane expression. *Front Immunol* 2017;8:303
- 119 Gautier EL, Shay T, Miller J, et al; Immunological Genome Consortium. Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. *Nat Immunol* 2012;13(11):1118–1128
- 120 Blair HC, Teitelbaum SL, Ghiselli R, Gluck S. Osteoclastic bone resorption by a polarized vacuolar proton pump. *Science* 1989;245(4920):855–857
- 121 Zhan Y, Paolicelli RC, Sforazzini F, et al. Deficient neuron-microglia signaling results in impaired functional brain connectivity and social behavior. *Nat Neurosci* 2014;17(03):400–406
- 122 Terpstra V, van Berkel TJ. Scavenger receptors on liver Kupffer cells mediate the in vivo uptake of oxidatively damaged red blood cells in mice. *Blood* 2000;95(06):2157–2163
- 123 Scott CL, Zheng F, De Baetselier P, et al. Bone marrow-derived monocytes give rise to self-renewing and fully differentiated Kupffer cells. *Nat Commun* 2016;7:10321
- 124 Sheng J, Ruedl C, Karjalainen K. Most tissue-resident macrophages except microglia are derived from fetal hematopoietic stem cells. *Immunity* 2015;43(02):382–393
- 125 Gomez Perdiguero E, Klapproth K, Schulz C, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-sac-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors. *Nature* 2015;518(7540):547–551
- 126 Moore JK, MacKinnon AC, Man TY, Manning JR, Forbes SJ, Simpson KJ. Patients with the worst outcomes after paracetamol (acetaminophen)-induced liver failure have an early monocytopenia. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2017;45(03):443–454

Author Query Form (SLD/1900002)

Special Instructions: Author please write responses to queries directly on proofs and then return back.

Q1: AU: Please check if the suggested short article title is correct.

Q2: AU: Please confirm that given names (red), middle names (black) and surnames (green) have been identified correctly.

Q3: AU: Please check if the conflict of interest section is correct as set.

Q4: AU: Please send the raw files for the figures (with extensions like .ppt) which will be reworked to include the SLD branding.

THIEME