THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Vocal cues to identity

Citation for published version:

Humphries, DJ, Finch, FM, Bell, MBV & Ridley, AR 2016, 'Vocal cues to identity: Pied babblers produce individually distinct but not stable loud calls', *Ethology: international journal of behavioural biology*, vol. 122, no. 7, pp. 609-619. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12508>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1111/eth.12508](https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.12508)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Ethology: international journal of behavioural biology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Vocal cues to identity: pied babblers produce individually distinct but not stable loud calls

David J. Humphries^{1,4} (corresponding author), Fiona M. Finch⁴, Matthew B. V. Bell^{2,4},
Amanda R. Ridley^{1,3,4,5}

¹ Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW, Australia

² Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH9 3JT, UK

³ DST/NRF Centre of Excellence at the Percy FitzPatrick Institute, University of Cape Town,
Western Cape, South Africa

⁴ Pied Babbler Research Project, Kuruman River Reserve, South Africa

⁵ Centre for Evolutionary Biology, School of Animal Biology, University of Western Australia,
WA, Australia

Correspondence: david.humphries@mq.edu.au

Running title: Individuality in pied babbler loud calls

Word count: 6317

Abstract

Reliable cues to identity are an important component for the successful coordination of social behaviours in group living animals. Coordinating social behaviours over long distances becomes problematic, as cues to identity are often limited to one or two sensory modalities. This limitation can often select for strong individuality in those cues used for long distance communication. Pied babblers, *Turdoides bicolor*, produce a number of different types of 'loud calls' which are frequently used to signal to individuals beyond the range of visual or olfactory pathways of communication. Here we show that three of these 'loud call' types: the v-shaped chatter, the double note ascending chatter, and the atonal chatter, are each individually distinct. We hypothesise that individuality in the three loud call types tested here may represent a possible pathway to social recognition in this species that may have important consequences for social interactions. However, we also found that the atonal chatter was unstable between years suggesting that this particular call type may not be a reliable long-term indicator to identity.

Introduction

The ability to recognise and classify individuals either as kin, mate, neighbour or rival is likely to be advantageous (Sherman et al. 1997). Correct recognition of these classes may reduce the cost of agonistic competition, increase the opportunity for kin directed altruism, and decrease the risk of costly inbreeding (Barnard & Burk 1979; Tibbetts & Dale 2007). It has been suggested that many animals that engage in complex social behaviours often display distinctive phenotypic characteristics to facilitate recognition (Tibbetts 2004; Pollard & Blumstein 2011). In birds,

vocalisations are often the dominant form of communication (Halpin 1991) and ‘vocal signatures’ to both identity (Price 1998; Seddon et al. 2002; Sharp & Hatchwell 2005; McDonald et al. 2007; Kennedy et al. 2009) and kinship have been found (Sharp & Hatchwell 2006; McDonald & Wright 2011). Reliable cues to identity may be particularly important in animals that engage in cooperative tasks with others, as it can allow individuals to maximise their direct or indirect fitness by recognising and avoiding cheats or by preferentially assisting kin (Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011).

Among highly social birds, individuality has been found in a variety of vocalisation types including contact calls (Sharp & Hatchwell 2005), lost calls (Seddon et al. 2002), mobbing calls (Kennedy et al. 2009), provisioning calls (McDonald et al. 2007), and song (Price 1998). Individuality in these calls may play an important role in coordinating social behaviours. For example, long tailed tits, are able to recognise familiar kin from their vocalisations, and use these cues to preferentially assist at the nests of close relatives (Sharp et al. 2005). Recognition speed and accuracy may be improved by combining information from multiple sensory modalities (Amedi et al. 2005). With ‘loud call’ vocalisations (also referred to as ‘long distance calls’), the receiving individual may often be out of range to perceive visual or olfactory cues of identity, the receiver is reliant on the identity signals within the vocalisation in order to evaluate caller identity (Schleidt 1973; Mitani et al. 1996; Darden et al. 2003; Slabbekoorn 2004). Vocal individuality, where inter-individual call variation is greater than intra-individual variation (Falls 1982), may be under particularly strong selection in loud calls due to: (a) its function in the coordination of social behaviours, and (b) the limits on the number of communication pathways available over long distances.

Under some circumstances there may be extended periods between the previous and current encounter between the signalling individual and the receiver. Here it is not just important that the signalling individual produces a cue to identity, but also that those cues remain stable through time. For instance, the black-legged kittiwake produces individually distinct loud calls that are used for mate recognition and may be used to relocate a breeding partner at the beginning of each breeding season (Wooller 1978; Aubin et al. 2007). The use of vocalisations to relocate breeding partners after months of separation may necessitate the selection for identity cues that are reliable from year to year. However, in a number of studies where vocalisations have been found to be individually distinct over short periods, those vocal characteristics that defined an individual changed through time (Jorgensen & French 1998; Ellis 2008). It is therefore important to ascertain how stable cues to identity are through time.

The Southern pied babbler, *Turdoides bicolor*, is a highly social and territorial species from southern Africa that produces a range of different loud call vocalisations (Golabek 2010). Here we investigate whether the loud calls of the Southern pied babbler are both (a) individually distinct when collected within one week of each other, and (b) distinctive from one year to the next. Previous work has identified that pied babblers produce eight acoustically distinct loud call types that are used in a variety of both inter- and intra-group social situations (Golabek 2010). These loud calls are characteristically one or two syllables that are repeated for up to 80 seconds in duration (see methods). Loud calls can be given by any member of the social group, but all eight call types are most commonly produced by a dominant group member (Golabek 2010). Here we have focused our analysis on three of these loud call types, the 'v-shaped chatter', the 'double note ascending chatter', and the

'atonal chatter' (see figure 1). These three call types were chosen as they were the most frequently observed and recorded of the eight call types. We also investigate the stability of one of the loud calls, the atonal chatter, to test how reliable it may be as a cue to identity through time. The atonal chatter was chosen because it was the most frequently observed of the call types across the two observation years. Given that loud calls are often meant for long distance communication, and that pied babblers are a highly social species, we hypothesise that these three call types will have lower intra-individual call variation than inter-individual call variation, which may facilitate the correct recognition of individuals. We also expect these calls to be reliable indicators of identity through time by having lower call variation from one year to the next than variation between individuals.

Methods

Study population and Sound Recording

We recorded the loud calls from a population of pied babblers located at the Kuruman River Reserve in the southern Kalahari desert, South Africa (26°57'S 21°49'E) (see Ridley & Raihani 2007 for more details about the study site). Each member of the study population is individually identifiable using a unique combination of colour bands. These medium-sized (70-95g) cooperatively breeding passerines are habituated to close observation, allowing sound recordings to be collected within 5-10 metres of the calling bird. Vocalisations were recorded between October 2010 and April 2012 using a Marantz PMD660 data recorder (2008 D&M Holdings Inc.) and a Sennheiser ME66 shotgun microphone with a K6 power module (2004 Sennheiser), housed in a Rycote pistol grip with windshield to reduce

background wind noise. Recordings were collected at a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz, to 16-bit WAVE files (.wav). We recorded a minimum of six loud call vocalisations of the same call type from an adult bird within a seven day period. This was to try to minimise any acoustic changes that may have been brought on by changes in physical condition, age or environmental conditions. To test whether calls were reliable indicators to identity through time, we re-recorded individuals a minimum of one year on, again collecting a minimum of 6 calls within seven days. None of the individuals that were re-recorded experienced a change in dominance status, a factor that has been found to affect vocal characteristics in other species (Rukstalis et al. 2003). All calls were collected during the wet season (September-April) to minimise acoustic changes resulting from seasonal variation in physical condition. We also compared the weights of the birds at the time of recording across the two field seasons as a measure of change in physical condition. Focal birds were habituated to the use of a weighing scale by rewarding this behaviour with small amounts of egg and mealworm. Weights were collected for each focal bird using an Ohaus CS200 flat-topped weighing scale (Ohaus, UK) at the start of each recording session (accuracy $\pm 0.1\text{g}$).

The three call types

The three loud call types analysed, the v-shaped chatter, the double note ascending chatter and the atonal chatter, were all given in a variety of social contexts. However, we have limited our analysis to calls of the same call type given in the same social context.

The v-shaped chatter is given predominantly as a solo call by the dominant male in both inter and intra-group social contexts. We observed that strings of v-shaped chatter calls lasted for 7.37 ± 0.46 seconds on average (mean $\pm$ SD; range 1.8-59.0). Our acoustic analysis of the v-shaped chatter was conducted on a total of 81 'v-shaped chatter' calls collected from 8 individuals (average number of calls per focal bird 10.13 ± 5.17 SD).

The double note ascending chatter is mostly frequently observed as a solo call by the dominant male in both inter and intra-group social contexts (Golabek 2010). We observed calling bouts of the double note ascending chatter lasting 8.02 ± 0.44 seconds on average (mean $\pm$ SD; range 1.1 – 40.1). For the 'double note ascending chatter', we were able to collect 87 calls from 8 different individuals for our analysis. We measured a minimum of six calls from each focal bird, with an average of 10.87 ± 6.73 (mean $\pm$ SD) calls per focal bird.

The 'atonal chatter' can be given by either sex, and is the most common female solo loud call in the pied babbler (Golabek 2010). It is typically given in intra-group social interactions (Golabek 2010). Calling bouts of the atonal chatter were 6.12 ± 0.33 seconds long on average (mean $\pm$ SD; range 2-25). Our analysis was conducted on 147 atonal chatter calls collected from 15 individuals. We collected an average of 9.73 ± 3.43 (mean $\pm$ SD) calls per focal bird in the first year of recording. We recorded the atonal chatter calls from seven individuals at least one year on. 64 calls were collected from these seven individuals in year one (average number of calls per individual 9.14 ± 3.28 SD) and 57 calls in season two (with an average of 8.14 ± 4.18 calls per individual; mean $\pm$ SD).

Sound Analysis

Acoustic analysis was carried out in the bio-acoustic software package 'Raven Pro v1.4' (Cornell lab of Ornithology, www.birds.cornell.edu/raven). For the 'v-shaped chatter' and the 'atonal chatter' we took the 20th call in the call sequence, and for the 'double note ascending chatter' we cut the 15th pair of syllables, taking the long and short syllables separately for analysis. If these calls were marred by background noise we cut the next clear call in the sequence. The calls in the call sequence are typically erratic for the first few seconds, we have chosen the 20th and 15th syllables as these appeared to represent points of consistent stability in the respective call sequences. Spectrogram windows were drawn in a Hamming window (512 point, with an overlap of 96.9%). A band pass filter between 500Hz and 22050 kHz was used to eliminate any low frequency noise in the recordings. Each syllable was manually selected and four parameters were automatically measured. The four measurements were; first quartile frequency, aggregate entropy, the centre frequency, and peak frequency (see Charif et al. 2009 for more information on these call parameters). These call parameters were chosen because they showed a lack of outliers and were not collinear with the other terms included (VIFs < 7; Allison 1999). Call duration was measured by hand, resulting in a total of five measurements for each call.

Statistics

Call parameter measurements were used to test for individuality using discriminant-function analysis (DFA) performed in the statistical package SPSS statistics, version

19.0 (SPSS Inc., IBM 2012). Our sample sizes here were limited to a minimum of six calls per individual. The DFA has a tendency to overestimate classification when the number of parameters exceeds the minimum number of cases (Tabachnick & Fidell 2001). We therefore limited the number of call parameters in each analysis to five parameters. The percentage of correctly classified cases after leave-one-out cross-validation from the DFA was tested for significance using a binomial test performed in SPSS.

To test for the consistency of vocal identity signatures, a DFA was run on the atonal chatter calls collected in the first year of study. The discriminant functions developed from those calls were then used to assign a predicted calling individual to the calls collected in the second year. We then established the percentage that had been assigned to the correct individual and followed this up with a binomial test performed in SPSS (testing observed classification rate versus what we would expect by chance). The average weights for each focal bird from the first year of recording were compared against the weights of the second year using a paired t-test to test for changes in the mass of the recorded birds between years.

Results

(a) The 'v-shaped chatter'

The DFA was able to correctly classify the v-shaped chatter in 50.0% of cases after leave-one-out cross-validation (DFA, Wilks Lamda = .100, $X^2_{35} = 167.250$, $P < 0.001$) indicating significant individuality in the parameter measurements recorded.

(b) The 'double note ascending chatter'

For the double note ascending chatter, both syllables proved to be individually distinct. The short syllable could be correctly classified in 53.2% of cases after leave-one-out cross validation (DFA, Wilks Lamda = 0.121, $X^2_{30} = 151.103$, $P < 0.001$), and The long syllable could be correctly classified in 61.5% of cases after leave-one-out cross-validation (DFA, Wilks Lamda = .159, $X^2_{30} = 130.512$, $P < 0.001$),

(c) The 'atonal chatter'

Atonal chatter calls were individually distinct and could be correctly classified in 42.7% of cases using leave-one-out cross validation (DFA, Wilks Lamda = 0.057, $X^2_{70} = 377.947$, $P < 0.001$).

(d) Consistency of individual call signatures

Using a subset of the atonal chatter calls from year one, they were again found to be individually distinct and could be correctly classified in 43.8% of cases after leave-one-out cross-validation (DFA, Wilkes Lamda = .167, $X^2 = 101.959$, $df = 30$, $P < 0.001$). Additionally, the calls collected one year on in the second season were also individually distinct and could be correctly classified in 56.1% of cases after leave-one-out cross-validation (DFA, Wilkes Lamda = .093, $X^2 = 118.696$, $df = 30$, $P < 0.001$). However, calls collected in the second year were only classified in 12.3% of cases by the discriminant functions produced from the calls of the first year (binomial test, $P = 0.288$). This demonstrates that there is as much variation within the calls collected from an individual between two different years as exists between individuals and

suggests that the atonal chatter may be an unreliable cue to identity through time.

The change in vocalisations occurred despite no significant change in the weights of the calling birds between the two recording sessions (paired t-test, $P=0.86$).

Discussion

Vocal individuality, where variation within the calls of an individual is lower than variation among individuals (Falls 1982), was found in all three of the loud call types tested here (the v-shaped chatter, the double note ascending chatter and the atonal chatter). Distinctive cues to identity are the foundation of recognition and are required for the identification of individuals, kin, neighbours, parent-offspring, rivals, and species (Sherman et al. 1997). Our findings that at least three of the call types of the pied babbler are individually distinct suggest a potential pathway to social recognition in this species that may be used to facilitate social interactions. Social recognition allows individuals to be selective in whom they cooperative with, which can both reduce cheating in mutualistic interactions, as well as increasing indirect fitness benefits when preferentially assisting kin (Bradbury & Vehrencamp 2011).

Recognition has been described as a three-step process; (1) a signalling individual must produce reliable cues to identity, (2) a receiver must detect these cues, and then (3) cognitively make a connection between the cue and the identity (Sherman et al. 1997). The production of vocal cues to identity can facilitate recognition at many levels, allowing both individual recognition as well as the recognition of familiar relatives (Halpin 1991). For example, in emperor penguins individuality in parental calls allows parents and offspring to relocate one another in a crowded colony (Robisson et al. 1993), and in the cooperatively breeding long-tailed tit, individually

distinct calls are used to recognise familiar kin and direct helping behaviours towards closely related individuals, which is likely to have inclusive fitness benefits (Hatchwell et al. 2001; Sharp & Hatchwell 2005; Sharp et al. 2005). Pied babblers coordinate many of their social behaviours, such as the spacing between foraging individuals, and the coordination of sentinel bouts through vocalisations (Radford & Ridley 2007; Hollén et al. 2008; Bell et al. 2010). Our findings that pied babblers produce vocal cues to identity demonstrates a potential pathway to recognition of both individuals and familiar kin in this species which may help further facilitate the coordination of social interactions, although whether they can discriminate between these calls remains to be tested.

Vocalisations are often highly plastic and acoustic structures may change in response to age, physical (Gouzoules & Gouzoules 1990; Bertucci et al. 2012), social (Farabaugh et al. 1994; Mathevon et al. 2010), motivational (Morton 1977), and environmental factors (Patricelli & Blickley 2006; Slabbekoorn & den Boer-Visser 2006). Our findings that the atonal chatter was not a stable long-term indicator to identity demonstrated that this call is also plastic, changing over the course of a year. The changes in the atonal chatter may represent a form of honest signalling where vocalisations change in response to changes in the physical and social status of the calling bird. We found no significant changes in the body mass of the focal birds between the two seasons, but vocal changes may correlate with other physical factors such as age (Green 1981; Blumstein & Munos 2005; Ey et al. 2007) or fatigue (Vannoni & McElligott 2009). Voice breaking has been noted in several species of birds and it is possible that the vocal shifts observed in the atonal chatter may correspond to the ageing of the birds (Radford 2004; Klenova et al. 2010). Here

we controlled for social factors by only using calls from individuals that were subordinates in both recording seasons, but it is possible that the changes in the identity signals reflected changes in social status within the subordinate ranks. Instability in the atonal chatter may have important consequences for its reliability as an identity cue over the long term. This could impact on the social behaviour and may require either frequent contact between individuals, or alternative cues to identity to be used in order for long-term recognition to occur. The atonal chatter call is most often observed in intra-group social interactions (Golabek 2010). The use of the atonal chatter call within the social group and the frequent contact that occurs between group members may keep group members updated on changes occurring within individual signatures. However, atonal chatter calls have also been observed from prospecting individuals (D. Humphries, *personal observation*). In the pied babbler, long-term recognition is likely to be important for inbreeding avoidance because they are a long-lived species and may need to find mating partners many years after initial dispersal from the natal territory (Nelson-Flower et al. 2012). Unstable identity labels could potentially lead to costly recognition errors such as inbreeding, if kin recognition in this species is based on prior association. However, research has indicated that inbreeding is rare in this species (Nelson-Flower et al. 2012), and therefore it is possible that other cues (such as different call types or signals) may act to allow inbreeding avoidance in this species.

To conclude, we have found that pied babblers produce three individually distinctive call types that have the potential to act as cues for social recognition. We also found that the atonal chatter was not a reliable indicator to identity from one breeding

season to the next, although the causality of these vocal changes currently remains unclear.

We would like to thank Tim Clutton-Brock, Cambridge University and the Kuruman Reserve Trust for access to their land; M. Manser and S. Townsend for their comments; and to the people that have been involved in the pied babbler research project over the years and have helped to set up and maintain this study system. This work was funded by a Macquarie University studentship. We thank the Northern Cape Conservation Authority for research permits. Ethical clearance was provided by the University of Cape Town and approved under ethics number R2012/2006/V15/AR.

Figures

Fig. 1. Spectrogram and waveform views of the three loud call types, 1) the ‘v shaped chatter’, 2) the ‘double note ascending chatter’ and 3) the ‘atonal chatter’ as defined by Golabek (2010). For the double note ascending chatter, (a) denotes the ‘small’ syllable and (b) the ‘long’ syllable section of this call. Spectrogram windows are drawn in a Hamming window (512 point, with an overlap of 96.9%). Grey scale represents a 65db range.

1) the ‘v-shaped chatter’

2) the 'double note ascending chatter'

3) the 'atonal chatter'

References

- Allison, P. D. 1999: Multiple regression: a primer. Pine Forge Press, Thousand Oaks.
- Amedi, A., Kriegstein, K., Atteveldt, N., Beauchamp, M. & Naumer, M. 2005: Functional imaging of human crossmodal identification and object recognition. *Exp. Brain Res.* **166**, 559-571.
- Aubin, T., Mathevon, N., Staszewski, V. & Boulinier, T. 2007: Acoustic communication in the Kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*: potential cues for sexual and individual signatures in long calls. *Polar Biol.* **30**, 1027-1033.
- Barnard, C. J. & Burk, T. 1979: Dominance hierarchies and the evolution of "individual recognition". *J. Theor Biol.* **81**, 65-73.
- Bell, M. B. V., Radford, A. N., Smith, R. A., Thompson, A. M. & Ridley, A. R. 2010: Bargaining babblers: vocal negotiation of cooperative behaviour in a social bird. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **277**, 3223-3228.
- Bertucci, F., Attia, J., Beauchaud, M. & Mathevon, N. 2012: Sounds produced by the cichlid fish *Metriacrima zebra* allow reliable estimation of size and provide information on individual identity. *J. Fish Biol.* **80**, 752-766.
- Blumstein, D. T. & Munos, O. 2005: Individual, age and sex-specific information is contained in yellow-bellied marmot alarm calls. *Anim. Behav.* **69**, 353-361.
- Bradbury, J. W. & Vehrencamp, S. L. 2011: Principles of animal communication, Second edn. Sinauer, Sunderland.
- Charif, R. A., Waack, A. M. & Strickman, L. M. 2009: Raven Pro 1.4 User's Manual. (Ornithology, C. L. o., ed), Ithaca, NY.
- Darden, S. K., Dabelsteen, T. & Pedersen, S. B. 2003: A potential tool for swift fox (*Vulpes velox*) conservation: individuality of long-range barking sequences. *J. Mammal.* **84**, 1417-1427.
- Ellis, J. M. S. 2008: Decay of apparent individual distinctiveness in the begging calls of adult female white-throated mapie-jays. *Condor* **110**, 648-657.
- Ey, E., Pfefferle, D. & Fischer, J. 2007: Do age- and sex-related variations reliably reflect body size in non-human primate vocalizations? A review. *Primates* **48**, 253-267.
- Falls, J. 1982: Individual recognition by sound in birds. In: Acoustic communication in birds. (Kroodsma, D. E. & Miller, E. H., eds). Academic Press, New York. pp. 237-278.
- Farabaugh, S. M., Linzenbold, A. & Dooling, R. J. 1994: Vocal plasticity in budgerigars (*Melopsittacus undulatus*): Evidence for social factors in the learning of contact calls. *J. Comp. Psychol.* **108**, 81-92.
- Golabek, K. A. 2010: Vocal communication and the facilitation of social behaviour in the southern pied babbler (*Turdoides bicolor*). PhD, University of Bristol, Bristol.
- Gouzoules, H. & Gouzoules, S. 1990: Body Size Effects on the Acoustic Structure of Pigtail Macaque (*Macaca nemestrina*) Screams. *Ethology* **85**, 324-334.
- Green, S. M. 1981: Sex Differences and Age Gradations in Vocalizations of Japanese and Lion-tailed Monkeys (*Macaca fuscata* and *Macaca silenus*). *Am. Zool.* **21**, 165-183.
- Halpin, Z. T. 1991: Kin recognition cues in vertebrates. In: Kin Recognition. (Hepper, P., ed). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp. 220-258.

- Hatchwell, B. J., Ross, D. J., Fowlie, M. K. & McGowan, A. 2001: Kin discrimination in cooperatively breeding long-tailed tits. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **268**, 885-890.
- Hollén, L. I., Bell, M. B. V. & Radford, A. N. 2008: Cooperative Sentinel Calling? Foragers Gain Increased Biomass Intake. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 576-579.
- Jorgensen, D. D. & French, J. A. 1998: Individuality but not Stability in Marmoset Long Calls. *Ethology* **104**, 729-742.
- Kennedy, R. A. W., Evans, C. S. & McDonald, P. G. 2009: Individual distinctiveness in the mobbing call of a cooperative bird, the noisy miner, *Manorina melanocephala*. *J. Avian Biol.* **40**, 481-490.
- Klenova, A. V., Volodin, I. A., Volodina, E. V. & Postelnykh, K. A. 2010: Voice breaking in adolescent red-crowned cranes (*Grus japonensis*). *Behaviour* **147**, 505-524.
- Mathevon, N., Koralek, A., Weldele, M., Glickman, S. & Theunissen, F. 2010: What the hyena's laugh tells: Sex, age, dominance and individual signature in the giggling call of *Crocuta crocuta*. *BMC Ecol.* **10**, 1-16.
- McDonald, P. G., F. Heathcote, C., F. Clarke, M., Wright, J. & J. N. Kazem, A. 2007: Provisioning calls of the cooperatively breeding bell miner *Manorina melanophrys* encode sufficient information for individual discrimination. *J. Avian Biol.* **38**, 113-121.
- McDonald, P. G. & Wright, J. 2011: Bell miner provisioning calls are more similar among relatives and are used by helpers at the nest to bias their effort towards kin. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **278**, 3403-3411
- Mitani, J., Gros-Louis, J. & Macedonia, J. 1996: Selection for acoustic individuality within the vocal repertoire of wild chimpanzees. *Int. J. Primatol.* **17**, 569-583.
- Morton, E. S. 1977: On the Occurrence and Significance of Motivation-Structural Rules in Some Bird and Mammal Sounds. *Am. Nat.* **111**, 855-869.
- Nelson-Flower, M. J., Hockey, P. A. R., O'Ryan, C. & Ridley, A. R. 2012: Inbreeding avoidance mechanisms: dispersal dynamics in cooperatively breeding southern pied babblers. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **81**, 876-883.
- Patricelli, G. L. & Blickley, J. L. 2006: Avian communication in urban noise: causes and consequences of vocal adjustment. *Auk* **123**, 639-649.
- Pollard, K. A. & Blumstein, D. T. 2011: Social Group Size Predicts the Evolution of Individuality. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 413-417.
- Price, J. J. 1998: Family- and Sex-Specific Vocal Traditions in a Cooperatively Breeding Songbird. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **265**, 497-502.
- Radford, A. N. 2004: Voice Breaking in Males Results in Sexual Dimorphism of Green Woodhoopoe Calls. *Behaviour* **141**, 555-569.
- Radford, A. N. & Ridley, A. R. 2008: Close calling regulates spacing between foraging competitors in the group-living pied babbler. *Anim. Behav.* **75**, 519-527.
- Ridley, A. & Raihani, N. 2007: Facultative response to a kleptoparasite by the cooperatively breeding pied babbler. *Behav. Ecol.* **18**, 324-330.
- Robisson, P., Aubin, T. & Bremond, J.-C. 1993: Individuality in the Voice of the Emperor Penguin *Aptenodytes forsteri*: Adaptation to a Noisy Environment. *Ethology* **94**, 279-290.
- Rukstalis, M., Fite, J. E. & French, J. A. 2003: Social Change Affects Vocal Structure in a Callitrichid Primate (*Callithrix kuhlii*). *Ethology* **109**, 327-340.
- Schleidt, W. M. 1973: Tonic communication: Continual effects of discrete signs in animal communication systems. *J. Theor. Biol.* **42**, 359-386.

- Seddon, N., Tobias, J. A. & Alvarez, A. 2002: Vocal communication in the pale-winged trumpeter (*Psophia leucoptera*): repertoire, context and functional reference. Behaviour **139**, 1331-1359.
- Sharp, S. P. & Hatchwell, B. J. 2005: Individuality in the contact calls of cooperatively breeding long-tailed tits (*Aegithalos caudatus*). Behaviour **142**, 1559-1575.
- . 2006: Development of family specific contact calls in the Long-tailed Tit *Aegithalos caudatus*. Ibis **148**, 649-656.
- Sharp, S. P., McGowan, A., Wood, M. J. & Hatchwell, B. J. 2005: Learned kin recognition cues in a social bird. Nature **434**, 1127-1130.
- Sherman, P. W., Reeve, H. K. & Pfennig, D. W. 1997: Recognition systems. In: Behavioural Ecology: an evolutionary approach. (Krebs, J. R. & Davies, N. B., eds). Blackwell Scientific, Oxford. pp. 69-96.
- Slabbekoorn, H. 2004: Singing in the wild: the ecology of birdsong. In: Natures music: the science of birdsong. (Marler, P. & Slabbekoorn, H., eds). Academic Press/ Elsevier, San Diego. pp. 178-205.
- Slabbekoorn, H. & den Boer-Visser, A. 2006: Cities Change the Songs of Birds. Curr. Biol. **16**, 2326-2331.
- Tabachnick, B. G. & Fidell, L. S. 2001: Using Multivariate Statistics. Allyn and Bacon, Boston.
- Tibbetts, E. A. 2004: Complex social behaviour can select for variability in visual features: a case study in Polistes wasps. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. **271**, 1955-1960.
- Tibbetts, E. A. & Dale, J. 2007: Individual recognition: it is good to be different. Trends Ecol. Evolut. **22**, 529-537.
- Vannoni, E. & McElligott, A. G. 2009: Fallow bucks get hoarse: vocal fatigue as a possible signal to conspecifics. Anim. Behav. **78**, 3-10.
- Wooler, R. D. 1978: Individual Vocal Recognition in the Kittiwake Gull, *Rissa tridactyla* (L.). Z. Tierpsychol. **48**, 68-86.