

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Health Inequalities

Citation for published version:

Katikireddi, SV, Higgins, M, Smith, K & Williams, G 2013, 'Health Inequalities: The Need to Move Beyond Bad Behaviours', Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2012-202064

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1136/jech-2012-202064

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health

Publisher Rights Statement: J Epidemiol Community Health 2013;67:715-716 doi:10.1136/jech-2012-202064

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Health inequalities: the need to move beyond bad behaviours

Srinivasa Vittal Katikireddi* [1, 3] MFPH Martin Higgins [3] MA Katherine E Smith [2] PhD Gareth Williams [4] PhD

Corresponding Author*: [1] Evaluation of Social Interventions programme, MRC/CSO Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, 4 Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow, G12 8RZ. Email: vkatikireddi@sphsu.mrc.ac.uk Telephone: +44 (0)141 357 3949. Fax: +44 (0)141 337 2389.

[2] Public Health and Health Policy, NHS Lothian. Waverley Gate, 2-4 Waterloo Place,
 Edinburgh, EH1 3EG. Email: Martin.Higgins@nhslothian.scot.nhs.uk Telephone: +44
 (0)131 465 5492

[3] Global Public Health Unit, School of Social and Political Sciences, University of
 Edinburgh, 15a George Square, Edinburgh EH8 9LD. Email:
 Katherine.Smith@ed.ac.uk. Telephone: +44(0)131 651 1461.

[4] Cardiff Institute of Society and Health, School of Social Sciences, Cardiff
 University, 1-3 Museum Place, Cardiff, CF10 3BD, E-mail: WilliamsGH1@cf.ac.uk
 Telephone: +44 (0)29 20 875500

Key Words Inequalities; Health behaviour; Socioeconomic status; Policy; Politics 1200 words, 1 table, 12 references Word Count: 1097 Health inequalities have been observed internationally across a number of dimensions (including, for example, socio-economic position, ethnicity and gender) and have persisted over time.¹ The lack of progress in addressing them has disappointed many within the field of public health, particularly given an apparent prioritisation of health inequalities in UK policy.² Building on recent research highlighting the limitations of addressing health inequalities by trying to change health behaviours of individuals,³ we argue that attempts to tackle health inequalities are impeded by the current framing that dominates much public health policy and research. We suggest some alternative ways forward.

Policy analysts have drawn attention to a recurrent policy emphasis on health behaviours in the UK, despite acknowledgment amongst decision-makers that wider social and economic factors are important.⁴ This approach has been reinforced by researchers focusing on addressing health inequalities by modifying health behaviours via individual-level interventions, which do not fully take into account the impact of the social and economic environments in which people live over time.⁵ This preoccupation is illustrated by a recent King's Fund study that reported increasing inequality in what the authors call the 'clustering of unhealthy behaviours over time' in England.⁶ Even when governments commit to addressing social determinants of health, specific actions and interventions often revert to trying to modify individuals' behaviours. Hilary Graham describes this process as follows:

A recurrent slippage occurs as the policy statements move from overarching principles to strategic objectives, with a broad concept of determinants giving way to a narrower focus on individual risk factors.⁷

While there is clearly a role for addressing health behaviours as part of efforts to reduce health inequalities, this 'lifestyle drift', neglects the compelling evidence that it is 'social injustice that is killing people on a grand scale.'⁸ Framing health inequalities as a problem of individuals or particular communities rather than societies makes it easier to ignore much of the available evidence on why unhealthy behaviours remain prevalent under certain socio-economic conditions, which highlights the cumulative effects of disadvantage and adversity over the life course.⁵ Since the patterning of health behaviours reflects underlying inequalities in material

and social resources, it is highly unlikely that the growing inequality in health behaviours can be addressed without tackling these social factors.⁹ Yet 'upstream causes' and associated solutions are only briefly acknowledged in much policy and research, reinforcing claims that the 'politics' of evidence and policy are often ignored in public health circles.

To address health inequalities effectively, a re-focusing of both policy and research is necessary. Research on health inequalities needs to stop prioritising research on individually-targeted or community-targeted interventions and study not just the relationship between broad determinants and health inequalities, but also the effects of changes in these determinants. Such research poses methodological difficulties, requiring a mixture of quantitative and qualitative methods and multiple disciplinary perspectives. There are understandable reasons why researchers and policymakers have not been successful at plugging this evidence gap.³ First, research, funding, policy and advocacy all tend to be divided into silos that mirror each other and which encourage a focus on particular health issues and/or behaviours. Second, the medical paradigm that dominates public health research and funding favours evaluations of individualised interventions. Third, there seems to be a wariness amongst researchers, funders and policymakers about risky research that may not yield clear results, combined with a cautiousness about being 'political'.

Yet, existing evidence suggests some ways forward. First, policy interventions with the greatest chance of reducing health inequalities should target the population and not the individual. Macinytre has noted:

'Interventions at the higher, more regulatory or structural...appear to do more to reduce health inequalities than information based approaches.'¹⁰
Eikemo and Mackenbach show that focusing on upstream determinants, such as education, may have considerable potential in reducing health inequalities.¹
Similarly, Lorenc and colleagues note that downstream measures, especially media-driven behaviour change campaigns, seem most likely to produce intervention-generated inequalities.³ This suggests policymakers committed to reducing health

inequalities ought to focus on universal, upstream policies and think particularly carefully about the potentially unequal impacts of health promotion campaigns. Researchers, in turn, need to do more to recommend *which* universal, upstream policies are likely to be most important. This is likely to require much more interdisciplinary research with economists and experts in relevant policy areas (e.g. education or housing).

Second, researchers need to develop a better understanding of the actors, ideas and institutions affecting the policies that impact on the social determinants of health and their unequal distribution. In particular, health inequalities researchers need to pay more attention to the influence of business interests profiting from unhealthy behaviours (e.g. smoking, drinking alcohol and eating unhealthy foods) on research, policy and public debate. So far, public health research has been poor at investigating the myriad influences of industry, with the exception of tobacco control from which valuable lessons might be learnt.

Third, there continues to be a lack of evidence to allow assessments of the differential health impacts of interventions.³ This is partly because many of the most promising interventions for reducing health inequalities operate outside of the health sector, as high-level reviews of health inequalities make clear⁸. Indeed, engaging with non-health stakeholders motivates the European Commission's health in all policies (HiAP) workstream.

HiAP: 'addresses all policies such as transport, housing, the environment, education, fiscal policies, tax policies and economic policies. It is based on values and principles similar to those in the WHO's call for multisectoral action for health, and the concept of building healthy public policies, or the whole government approach'.¹¹

This means that health inequalities researchers cannot restrict themselves to assessing the impacts of policies and programmes specifically intended to reduce health inequalities. Rather, health inequalities researchers need to investigate the differential health impacts of non-health policies; a shift which is likely to be dependent on the support of major research funders. More interdisciplinary projects with researchers who do not have a health focus would be a step forward, whilst paying greater attention to health consequences historical and international policy shifts could broaden the scope of 'interventions' that health inequalities researchers might assess. The scope of the field must be broadened to be fit-for-purpose in the age of ecological public health. This involves looking outwards (to other countries and regions), onwards (to the future) and upwards (to social determinants).¹²

Fourth, as Graham points out, the social factors that impact on the health of individuals and populations are not the same as the 'the social processes underlying the unequal distribution of these factors'.⁷ Yet, both researchers and policymakers continue to conflate the two. This may be one reason for the continuing privileging of health sector interventions over broader approaches. More work exploring the social processes which underlie the unequal distribution of the social determinants of health and varying perceptions of these processes is needed.

Finally, determined action to address health inequalities will require public as well as political will. This requires health inequalities researchers to pay much more attention to public and media understandings of health inequalities and to public preferences for different policy proposals. It may also require stronger links between research and public health advocacy, which can be a difficult and uncomfortable boundary to negotiate. Not all health inequalities researchers are likely to view themselves as advocates, yet (in contrast to other areas of public health) there are few third sector organisations to take on this role. The responsibility for advocating to reduce health inequalities therefore requires further consideration and debate.

For policymakers	For researchers	For research funders
 Emphasise addressing 	Research or read	Provide more
underlying social	existing research about	interdisciplinary funding
inequalities through	the actors, ideas and	opportunities by engaging
universal, upstream	institutions affecting the	in more collaborative
policies.	policies that impact on the	funding calls (e.g. between
	social determinants of	different research

Box 1: Potential ways forward in health inequalities research

Implement a HiAP,	health and their unequal	councils). This should help
cross-departmental	distribution.	promote research
•		•
approach, which pays	Engage in more	involving multiple
more attention to the	interdisciplinary research	methods and
potential health impacts	with researchers who are	perspectives.
of non-health policy. This	not health-focused.	 Provide more funding
may involve shifting policy	 Research the social 	opportunities for assessing
responsibility for health	processes underlying the	the impacts of non-health
inequalities into central	unequal distribution of the	policies on population
departments (such as the	social determinants of	health and health
Cabinet Office, in the UK)	health; intergenerational	inequalities (including
 Try to achieve a shift to 	equity; and links between	those mediated by social
a longer-term, future-	public health and	and economic
orientated health agenda.	sustainability.	determinants).
 Invest more resources 	• Evaluate the impacts of	 Create more funding
in assessing the impacts of	upstream policy	opportunities for
non-health policies on	developments on: (a) the	international, historical
social determinants of	distribution of the social	and future-orientated
health and their unequal	determinants of health	research.
distribution.	and (b) differential health	• Ring-fence some public
	outcomes across different	health funding for higher
	social groups.	risk 'blue-skies' research
		projects.

Acknowledgements

No specific funding was received for this work. SVK is funded by the Chief Scientist Office at the Scottish Health Directorate as part of the Evaluating Social Interventions programme at the MRC Social and Public Health Sciences Unit (Grant number MC_US_A540_0013). KS is supported by an ESRC Future Research Leader grant (Grant number ES/K001728/1).

Competing Interest Statement

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work [or describe if any]; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Exclusive License Statement

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in BMJ editions and any other BMJPGL products and sublicences to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence (<u>http://resources.bmj.com/bmj/authors/checklists-forms/licence-for-publication</u>).

References

- 1. Eikemo TA, Mackenbach JP, editors. *EURO GBD SE: The potential for reduction of health inequalities in Europe.* Rotterdam: Erasmus MC, 2012.
- 2. Mackenbach JP. Can we reduce health inequalities? An analysis of the English strategy (1997–2010). *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2011;65(7):568-75.
- 3. Lorenc T, Petticrew M, Welch V, Tugwell P. What types of interventions generate inequalities? Evidence from systematic reviews. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 2012.
- 4. Harrington BE, Smith KE, Hunter DJ, Marks L, Blackman TJ, McKee L, et al. Health inequalities in England, Scotland and Wales: Stakeholders' accounts and policy compared. *Public Health* 2009;123(1):e24.
- 5. Lynch JW, Kaplan GA, Salonen JT. Why do poor people behave poorly? Variation in adult health behaviours and psychosocial characteristics by stages of the socioeconomic lifecourse. *Social Science & Medicine* 1997;44(6):809-19.
- 6. Buck D, Frosini F. Clustering of unhealthy behaviours over time: implications for policy and practice. London: The King's Fund, 2012.
- 7. Graham H. Health inequalities, social determinants and public health policy. *Policy & Politics* 2009;37(4):463-79.
- CSDH. Closing the gap in a generation: health equity through action on the social determinants of health. Final Report of the Commission on Social Determinants of Health. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2008.
- 9. Graham H, University L. Social Determinants and Their Unequal Distribution: Clarifying Policy Understandings. *The Milbank Quarterly* 2004;82(1):101-24.
- Macintyre S. Inequalities in health in Scotland: what are they and what can we do about them? *MRC Social and Public Health Sciences Unit Occasional Papers*. Glasgow: MRC Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, 2007.
- 11. Ståhl T, Wismar M, Ollila E, Lahtinen E, Leppo K, editors. Health in all policies: prospects and potential. Helsinki: Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, Finland
- European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, 2006.

12. Pickett KE, Dorling D. Against the organization of misery? The Marmot Review of health inequalities. *Social Science & Medicine* 2010;71(7):1231.