

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### A Neutrophil-Centric View of Chemotaxis

Citation for published version: Michael, M & Vermeren, S 2019, 'A Neutrophil-Centric View of Chemotaxis', Essays in biochemistry. https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20190011

### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1042/EBC20190011

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

**Published In:** Essays in biochemistry

**Publisher Rights Statement:** Peer reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication.

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	A Neutrophil-Centric View of Chemotaxis				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	Melina Michael and Sonja Vermeren*				
7					
8					
9					
10	Centre for Inflammation Research, Queen's Medical Research Institute, University of				
11	Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16	Running Title: Neutrophil Chemotaxis				
17					
18	Keywords: Chemotaxis, neutrophil, polarisation, trafficking, swarming, reverse migration,				
19	chemokine				
20					
21					
22	* Author for correspondence				
23	Phone 0131 2426557; Fax 0131 2426578; Email sonja.vermeren@ed.ac.uk				
24	Postal Address: CIR, QMRI, 47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4TJ, U.K.				
25	ORCID 0000-0002-8460-0884				
26					

- 27 Abstract
- 28

29 Neutrophils are key players of the innate immune system, that are involved in coordinating the initiation, propagation and resolution of inflammation. Accurate neutrophil migration 30 31 (chemotaxis) to sites of inflammation in response to gradients of chemoattractants is pivotal to these roles. Binding of chemoattractants to dedicated G protein coupled receptors initiates 32 downstream signalling events that promote neutrophil polarisation, a prerequisite for 33 directional migration. We provide a brief summary of some of the recent insights into 34 35 signalling events and feedback loops that serve to initiate and maintain neutrophil 36 polarisation. This is followed by a discussion of recent developments in the understanding of in vivo neutrophil chemotaxis, a process that is frequently referred to as 'recruitment' or 37 'trafficking'. Here, we summarise neutrophil mobilisation from and homing to the bone 38 39 marrow, and briefly discuss the role of glucosaminoglycan-immobilised chemoattractants and their corresponding receptors in the regulation of neutrophil extravasation and neutrophil 40 swarming. We furthermore touch on some of the most recent insights into the roles of 41 42 atypical chemokine receptors in neutrophil recruitment, and discuss neutrophil reverse (transendothelial) migration together with potential function(s) in the dissemination and/or 43 44 resolution of inflammation.

#### 46 List of abbreviations

- 47 ACKR atypical chemokine receptors
- 48 COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- 49 DAG diacylglycerol
- 50 fMLF N-formylmethionine-leucyl-phenylalanine
- 51 GAG glycosaminoglycans
- 52 GAP GTPase activating protein
- 53 G-CSF Granulocyte colony stimulating factor
- 54 GEF guanine nucleotides exchange factor
- 55 GPCR G protein coupled receptor
- 56 GTPase Guanosine trisphosphatase
- 57 IP3 inositol trisphosphate
- 58 LTB4 leukotriene B4
- 59 MTOC microtubular organising centre
- 60 PI3K phosphoinositide 3-kinase
- 61 PIP3 phosphatidylinositol-(3,4,5)-trisphosphate
- 62 PLC phospholipase C
- 63 PTEN phosphatase and tensin homologue
- 64 RasGRP Ras guanyl releasing protein
- 65 SHIP SH2-containing inositol phosphatase
- 66
- 67

#### 68 Introduction

69 Chemotaxis is defined as directed cell migration in response to a gradient of a chemical stimulus, with migration occurring towards a chemoattractant, or away from a 70 71 chemorepellent. Chemotaxis is critical during embryonic development, where it promotes 72 morphogenetic movements in response to growth factor receptor-mediated gradient sensing by directional coordinated, collective cell migration. Examples of collective developmental 73 74 chemotaxis include the migration of neural crest cells and the angiogenic sprouting of blood 75 vessels towards growth factors [1]. In contrast, single cell chemotaxis provides a tightly 76 controlled mechanism throughout life by which immune cells are recruited, usually in response to G protein coupled receptor (GPCR) stimulation by chemoattractants. 77 78 79 Chemotaxis has fascinated scientists for decades. Single cells are more amenable to in vitro 80 analysis than embryos. Neutrophils, the most abundant circulating leukocytes in man, are short-lived immune cells of the granulocyte lineage. Neutrophils can produce reactive oxygen 81 82 species and degranulate, releasing cytotoxic products. Combined with their ability to 83 phagocytose and kill ingested microorganisms or to release chromatin-rich extracellular traps, 84 neutrophils provide a first line of defense against bacterial and fungal infections. They are 85 also key effectors in the inflammatory response (for general reviews [2, 3]). Neutrophils are highly motile and migrate as single cells with exquisite speed and directionality in response 86 87 to chemotattractant stimulation. Chemotaxis in vivo is essential for many of the neutrophil's functions throughout its lifetime. 88

89

#### 90 Experimental models of neutrophil chemotaxis

91 The highly motile primary neutrophil is very short-lived and not amenable to culture,

92 transfection or transduction. Although they chemotax very well, freshly purified human

93 neutrophils are therefore not frequently used for chemotaxis experiments. Alternative models 94 that are not always representative of all facets of neutrophil functions are usually used instead. Freshly isolated (often bone marrow-derived) neutrophils from mice that carry 95 96 genetic alterations of interest are frequently the model of choice. Mice, or indeed zebrafish, 97 offer in addition the opportunity to investigate neutrophil trafficking in vivo. Alternative more tractable alternatives to primary neutrophils for the study of single cell chemotaxis in vivo 98 99 include cultured cells that can be differentiated to become neutrophil-like (e.g. HL-60), and 100 the social amoeba Dictyostelium discoideum. Over time, primary neutrophils obtained from 101 knock-out mouse models, transfected cell lines, and genetically modified D. discoideum 102 strains in combination with *in vitro* chemotaxis chambers have helped to decipher many 103 facets of the molecular regulation of chemotaxis [4]. Aided by increasingly powerful 104 intravital microscopy, in recent years such in vitro studies and relatively straightforward in 105 vivo recruitment assays have been supplemented with in situ observations of neutrophil 106 recruitment. Some of the recent advances in the understanding of the molecular regulation of 107 neutrophil chemotaxis in vitro and insights into the molecular control of neutrophil trafficking in vivo are discussed here. 108

109

#### 110 Chemotaxis as a specialised form of cell migration

In chemotaxis, receptor-mediated chemoattractant gradient sensing promotes cell polarisation and thereby directional cell migration. General features of cell migration have been reviewed in depth elsewhere [5, 6]. *In vitro* cell migration occurs by different modes, depending on whether the cells are on a two-dimensional substrate or within a three-dimensional matrix. In the first instance, cells adopt a flattened shape, form integrin-based adhesions to the substratum, use actin-mediated propulsion led by a lamellipodium at their front, and are characterised by a trailing end [7]. In the latter case, rather than relying on integrin-based 118 adhesions [8], neutrophils migrate in a frequently non-proteolytic, amoeboid fashion and 119 depend on actin-mediated protrusions and myosin II-mediated contractions to propel themselves through a three-dimensional matrix [9]. In vivo, integrin-dependent steps involve 120 the breaching of barriers such as the vessel wall, whereas interstitial migration is thought to 121 122 be integrin-independent. 'Amoeboid' neutrophil migration in the interstitium involves the selection of a path of least resistance, with neutrophils probing for gaps that permit passage 123 124 of their multilobular nuclei. Interestingly, leukocytes undergoing amoeboid chemotaxis 125 exhibit a typical microtubular organising centre (MTOC) position behind or, in the case of 126 the neutrophil, in between nuclear lobes. Amoeboid cell migration contrasts with the much slower polarised 'mesenchymal' cell migration (that is exemplified by fibroblasts), which is 127 128 characterised by MTOC and Golgi apparatus polarisation in front of the nucleus [10-12]. An 129 elegant recent study that employed chemotactic mazes with channels of different sizes 130 demonstrated that the MTOC is a good indicator of the directional choice (or dominant pole) 131 of chemotaxing leukocytes [13]. Resting neutrophils are comparatively devoid of 132 microtubules, with chemoattractant stimulation causing microtubular polymerisation. Interestingly, neutrophil chemotaxis on two dimensional matrices or elastase-depending 133 134 invasion, but not transendothelial migration or crawling on immobilised chemoattractants 135 was shown to depend upon polymerisation of microtubules [14].

136

#### 137 Chemoattractant sensing by G protein coupled receptors

138 Chemoattractants bind G protein coupled cell surface receptors (GPCRs) which usually 139 signal through  $G\alpha_{i/0}$  containing heterotrimeric G proteins (reviewed in [15]). Although there 140 is some promiscuity, many chemoattractants have dedicated receptors. Several classes of 141 chemoattractants are known to act on neutrophils. They comprise lipids [e.g. leukotriene B4 142 (LTB4)], formylated peptides of bacterial or mitochondrial origin [e.g. N-formylmethionine143 leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLF) which is frequently used *in vitro*], protein fragments (e.g. C5a 144 and C3a complement fragments) and classical chemokines, which are classed according to their conserved cysteine residues into CC and CXC groupings. Table 1 provides a summary 145 146 of some major neutrophil chemoattractants together with their receptors. Many chemokines 147 can bind to extracellular glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) expressed by endothelial cells (or outside of the vasculature to the extracellular matrix). This serves to essentially immobilise 148 149 the gradient, which is important, for example in the context of blood flow [16, 17]. The 150 directional cell movement on immobilised chemoattractants is sometimes referred to as 151 'haptotaxis'. Experiments involving the simultaneous application of several chemoattractants 152 in vitro established chemoattractants to exist in a hierarchy, with 'end-target' attractants (e.g. fMLP or C5a) overruling intermediary chemoattractants (e.g. LTB4). Unsurprisingly, the 153 154 signalling pathways employed by intermediary and end-target chemoattractants are non-155 identical [18, 19].

156

#### 157 Molecular events regulating neutrophil polarisation.

158 Chemoattractant-sensing GPCRs are distributed uniformly on the neutrophil's surface. Both 159 directional and indeed uniform chemokine receptor stimulation of neutrophils in a dish 160 causes them to polarise, that is to say, adopt the morphology of the migrating cell described 161 above, prior to actually migrating in a directional, or random fashion by chemotaxis or 162 chemokinesis, respectively (Fig 1 for a simplified view of a polarised neutrophil).

163

On a molecular level, chemoattractant binding induces the G protein coupled chemoattractant
receptor to undergo a conformational change that allows it to activate heterotrimeric G
proteins, exchanging GDP for GTP on the Gα subunit. This in turn induces the release of the
Gβγ subunits, so that both Gα-GTP and Gβγ can activate downstream effectors, including

phospholipase C (PLC)  $\beta$  via G $\alpha$  and G $\beta\gamma$  as well as agonist-activated phosphoinositide 3-168 169 kinase (PI3K) by G<sub>β</sub>y subunits [20, 21]. Four agonist-activated PI3Ks are expressed in the neutrophil, PI3K $\alpha$ , PI3K $\beta$ , PI3K $\delta$  and PI3K $\gamma$  [22]. Of these, PI3K $\gamma$  is activated directly by 170 171 Gβγ in concert with Ras-GTP [23], with Ras being activated downstream of PLCβ by RasGRP4 [24]. Both PLCs and agonist activated PI3Ks are well known regulators of 172 173 phosphoinositides, lipid components of cellular membranes, with PLCs catalysing plasma 174 membrane phosphatidylinositol(4,5)bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P2] hydrolysis to generate inositol 175 trisphosphate (IP3) and diacylglycerol (DAG), whereas PI3Ks phosphorylate PI(4,5)P2 in the 3' position, generating the lipid second messenger phosphatidylinositol(3,4,5)trisphosphate 176 177 (PIP3). Several PI3K isoforms are thought to be involved in chemotaxis, likely at least in part because the individual PI3K isoforms cross-talk extensively [25]. The PI3K pathway 178 179 provides the mechanistic backdrop to the well-documented PIP3 polarisation to the leading 180 edge of polarised neutrophils, neutrophil-like cells and dictyostelium [26-28]. Many PI3K effectors are regulators of small GTPases, in particular guanine nucleotide exchange factors 181 182 (GEFs) and GTPase activating proteins (GAPs). These PIP3-responsive regulators of small 183 GTPases in polarised neutrophils together promote actin-dependent protrusion, for example by activating Rac1/2 and Arf6 and inactivating RhoA at the cell's pseudopod (reviewed in 184 185 [29, 30]). Research by many groups into the function of PI3K/PIP3, and into individual PI3K 186 isoforms in chemotaxis resulted in somewhat contradictory reports. Taken together, this large 187 body of work suggests that individual PI3K isoforms, in particular PI3K $\gamma/\delta$ , regulate 188 chemokinesis and/or chemotaxis in an assay-, substratum- and in the case of human 189 neutrophils likely also priming-dependent fashion [31-38]. D. discoideum cells were shown 190 to be able to chemotax poorly even in the absence of any PI3K isoform [39]. Human 191 neutrophils from chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients and the elderly 192 were characterised by excessive PI3K activity and poor chemotactic directionality, and could

be rescued with low concentrations of inhibitors of the leukocyte-specific PI3Kγ/δ that
partially inhibited these enzymes [40, 41]. This suggests that a 'goldilocks principle' applies
in chemotaxis, whereby too much PI3K activity may be just as disruptive as too little.

Neutrophil polarisation involves players including the above discussed PI3Ks and their 197 198 effectors, as well as PIP3 phosphatases. Amongst the numerous phosphoinositide 199 phosphatases that are expressed by leukocytes, the 3' phosphatase PTEN and the 5' 200 phosphatase SHIP are best understood; both were shown to regulate chemotaxis [18, 26, 42]. PTEN-mediated regulation was found to be rather context-dependent, with it being suggested 201 202 to control chemotaxis in the presence of two opposing gradients, and in distinguishing 203 between end-point and intermediate point chemoattractants [18]. In contrast, SHIP-deficient 204 neutrophils were extremely spread and failed to polarise or chemotax effectively [26, 42]. Further important contributions are likely regulated by feed-back loops. For instance, Rho 205 206 GTPases, actin polymerisation and PIP3 polarisation at the cell's front act in one such feedback loop [43-46]. Likely driven by PIP3-dependent Rac GEFs such as P-Rex1/2 and 207 208 DOCK2 [29], Rac activation has been shown to maintain neutrophil polarity through Hem-1, which assists in polarising the neutrophil by facilitating actin polymerisation and excluding 209 210 myosin activity at the front of the cells, whilst also promoting Rac activity at the front in a 211 positive feedback loop [47]. The RhoA and Arf6 GAP ARAP3 is being recruited to the plasma membrane in a PIP3 dependent fashion, regulating persistent PIP3 polarisation and 212 213 chemotactic directionality [48].

214

In the presence of uniform chemoattractant, neutrophils polarise randomly. Membrane
tension is one factor that has been shown to be involved in the regulation of such neutrophil
polarisation. Leading edge protrusions generate strong membrane tensions, thus inhibiting the

formation of secondary protrusions elsewhere in the neutrophil, and maintaining persistent polarisation [49]. In neutrophils that make contact with the substratum, a further regulatory input stems from altered membrane curvature. This is thought to be sufficient to break the symmetry of the non-polarised neutrophil, establishing cytoskeletal back polarisation in the adhering neutrophil in a PI4P, SRGAP2 and PIP5K1C90-dependent fashion [50].

223

224 In vivo neutrophil chemotaxis (trafficking)

Neutrophils migrate to new locations at least twice, and potentially more during their short
lives. All neutrophil trafficking events have their regulation by chemoattractant-mediated
chemotaxis in common. The remaining part of this minireview summarises some of the
recent insights into *in vivo* neutrophil chemotaxis in a range of situations (see Fig 2 for a
schematic diagram).

230

#### 231 Neutrophil chemotaxis during mobilisation and homing

232 Neutrophil differentiation from progenitors occurs in the bone marrow, with  $10^7$  and  $10^{11}$ neutrophils released into the circulation each day in mouse and human, respectively. The 233 234 regulation of neutrophil release into the circulation has been elucidated with the help of genetically modified mice. Immature and mature neutrophils are retained in the bone marrow 235 236 by CXCR4 chemokine receptor expression that is responsive to CXCL12 produced by bone 237 marrow stromal cells. The major mobilising cytokine G-CSF causes downregulation of CXCR4 on neutrophils and of CXCL12 in the bone marrow [51], as well as upregulation of 238 CXCR2. With the CXCR2 agonists CXCL1 and CXCL2 constitutively expressed by bone 239 240 marrow endothelium, these changes drive neutrophil mobilisation from the bone marrow to the circulation [52]. 241

242

Circulating neutrophils under homeostatic conditions are short-lived, persisting in the
circulation for only one day before becoming senescent. Senescent neutrophils upregulate
CXCR4, which increases their sensitivity to CXCL12 that is expressed in the bone marrow.
In this way, senescent neutrophils are recruited or 'home' back to the bone marrow, where
they undergo apoptosis for clearance by stromal macrophages [53, 54]. Interestingly, both
neutrophil release into the circulation and clearance of senescent neutrophils occur in a
circadian rhythm, providing immunity while protecting the host [55, 56].

250

#### 251 Neutrophil recruitment to inflammatory sites – extravasation

As the first circulating immune cells to be recruited to sites of inflammation, neutrophils 252 present a first line of cellular defense against infections. The initial step of neutrophil 253 254 recruitment from the circulation into the inflamed tissue is best understood in the inflamed 255 cremaster muscle, a site that is particularly amenable to intravital microscopy. Initially, 256 circulating, non-adhesive neutrophils form L-selectin and B2 integrin-mediated interactions on the luminal face of the wall of post-capillary venules [reviewed in [57]]. This is induced 257 258 by cytokine production (e.g. TNF) by resident macrophages, which in turn causes upregulation of adhesion molecules (P- and E-selectins and integrin ligands including 259 260 ICAM1) as well as chemokines by the endothelium. Selectin-mediated interactions cause 261 neutrophil rolling along the endothelium, allowing neutrophil interactions with chemokines to take place. Additional chemokine stimulation drives integrin activation and in turn integrin-262 mediated neutrophil adhesion to the endothelial surface. Immobilisation of the chemokines to 263 264 the luminal face of the endothelium occurs due to CXCL1/CXCL2/CXCL8 binding to GAGs, 265 carbohydrate moieties that are expressed on the endothelial cell surface. In some circumstances, GAGs not only bind, but transcytose chemokines [16, 17, 58]. GAG 266 267 chemokine immobilisation efficiency is chemokine-dependent, with chemokine

immobilisation avoiding chemokine diffusion despite the blood flow in the vessel. In this
way, GAG-dependent chemokine presentation ensures that rolling, but not circulating
neutrophils are activated while at the same time directing neutrophils to extravasate at
specific sites [59].

272

#### 273 Neutrophil swarming

274 Neutrophil-mediated amplification of a chemotactic gradient by neutrophil-mediated release 275 of 'intermediate-target' chemoattractants (such as the lipid mediator LTB4, which was to be 276 found stored in exosomes) can be induced by 'end target' chemoattractants such as C5a, bacterial formylated peptides, and cell death, which leads to the release of formylated 277 278 mitochondrial proteins [60, 61]. This autocrine-paracrine chemoattractant signal 279 amplification loop causes directional collective neutrophil recruitment ('swarming') in 280 response to the activation of a leading neutrophil (Fig 2). By generating LTB4, the leading 281 neutrophil instigates BLT1-mediated activation of the following neutrophils, which in turn 282 generate more LTB4 [62, 63]. Interestingly, microlesions, such as those caused by the death of individual parenchymal cells have recently been shown to be shielded by resident 283 284 macrophages. This neatly avoids a neutrophil swarming response to the released formylated peptide and concomitant bystander host injury caused by neutrophil-derived inflammation 285 286 [64].

287

#### 288 Neutrophil recruitment to inflamed sites by series of chemoattractants

In recent years it has come to be recognised that neutrophil recruitment to sites of inflammation *in vivo* is regulated by a hierarchical series of chemoattractants. This principle has been shown to hold true in several in models of sterile inflammation and injury. It is illustrated for example by neutrophil recruitment to the inflamed joint of mice in the K/BxN 293 serum transfer model of rheumatoid arthritis. A series of elegant studies performed over a 294 number of years that combined mouse genetics and lately multiphoton intravital signalling elucidated the sequential action of neutrophil chemoattractants in this disease model. Hence, 295 296 the deposition of immune complexes on the surface of the joint triggers the alternative 297 complement pathway, precipitating C5a generation and subsequent C5a deposition on the luminal surface of the joint vasculature, where it is immobilised in a GAG-mediated fashion. 298 299 C5a binding to its receptor C5aR1 promotes  $\beta$ 2 integrin activation, causing neutrophils to 300 arrest, spread and crawl on the joint endothelium. C5a also causes neutrophil-driven amplification of the chemotactic gradient by releasing LTB4, and in turn promoting BLT1-301 302 mediated extravasation into the joint tissue by autocrine-paracrine positive feedback loop. Here, immune complex-mediated FcyR stimulation causes neutrophils to release IL-1β. This 303 304 in turn induces the generation of endothelial cell- and synovial fibroblast-derived CCR1 and CXCR2 chemokine receptors ligands. CCR1 promotes neutrophil crawling on the joint 305 306 endothelium with neutrophil-generated CXCL2 orchestrating CXCR2-dependent 307 amplification of neutrophil recruitment to the joint [65-68]. 308

309 **Optimisation of neutrophil directional migration by atypical chemokine receptors** 310 In addition to G protein coupled chemokine receptors with signalling function, leukocytes 311 and stromal cells also express atypical chemokine receptors (ACKRs; table 1; Fig 2), which 312 do not signal through heterotrimeric G proteins. ACKRs are also known as scavenger or 313 decoy receptors, since some internalise and degrade chemokines, essentially functioning as 314 sinks to limit excessive inflammation [69, 70]. For example, ACKR2 was shown to limit 315 inflammation by reducing neutrophil directional migration to inflammatory chemokines by competing for CCR1 ligands in a neutrophil autonomous fashion [71]. 316

318 Neutrophil non-autonomous mechanisms also employ decoy receptors to finely tune 319 neutrophil migration. Unlike other atypical chemokine receptors, ACKR1 optimises 320 leukocyte extravasation by internalising and transcytosing chemokines [72, 73]. Some of the 321 latest studies in this area have coupled high resolution intravital imaging with genetics to 322 demonstrate how atypical chemokine receptors optimise neutrophil recruitment to inflamed 323 sites. Two atypical chemokine receptors were shown to jointly regulate neutrophil 324 recruitment to the inflamed joint in K/BxN serum transfer arthritis. Hence, C5aR2, an 325 atypical C5aR expressed by endothelial cells transports tissue-derived C5a across the 326 endothelium to be exposed on the luminal side, in this way aiding with arresting C5aR1expressing neutrophils. At the same time, endothelial ACKR1 was shown to transport 327 328 synovial tissue-derived CXCR2 ligands across the joint endothelium, facilitating neutrophil 329 adhesion and extravasation [74].

330

331 A separate study identified how the two CXCR2 ligands, CXCL1 and CXCL2 sequentially 332 direct neutrophil extravasation in the inflamed cremaster muscle. In this instance endothelial and pericyte GAG-immobilised CXCL1 promoted neutrophil adhesion and crawling, whereas 333 334 CXCL2 controlled transendothelial migration. Fascinatingly, the source of CXCL1 was 335 endothelial cells and pericytes, whereas CXCL2 was generated and released by neutrophils in 336 another example of a paracrine amplification loop of directional neutrophil migration. 337 Neutrophil-derived CXCL2 was subsequently immobilised by ACKR1 expressed by pericytes at venular cell-cell junctions, supporting the correct directionality of neutrophil 338 339 transendothelial migration [75].

340

#### 341 Reverse Migration

342 To avoid excessive inflammation, neutrophils were long thought to undergo apoptosis, 343 followed by being cleared ('efferocytosed') by resident pro-resolution macrophages at sites of inflammation [76]. Recent observations have, however, suggested that this may not be the 344 345 only possible fate of the neutrophil in sterile inflammation. Rather than undergoing apoptosis and dying, neutrophils were found to migrate away from a sterile wound in zebrafish larvae, 346 including, on occasion, entering the vasculature [77]. Zebrafish neutrophils express two 347 348 chemokine receptors, CXCR1 and CXCR2, of which CXCR1 regulates recruitment to the 349 sterile wound, and CXCR2 promotes CXCL8-induced reverse migration, which interestingly 350 occurred by chemokinesis rather than chemotaxis [78], a view shared by a separate study [79]. Interestingly, reverse migration may promote wound healing, since wounds in zebrafish 351 that are genetically deficient in CXCR2/CXCL8 displayed heightened inflammation [78]. 352 353 This view is supported by other observations made in the zebrafish, where retaining zebrafish 354 neutrophils at the wound site and reducing neutrophil apoptosis by inducing HIF1 $\alpha$  was also 355 pro-inflammatory [80]. In a similar vein, tashinone IIA, an active compound from a Chinese medicinal herb, that promoted neutrophil reverse migration was isolated in a zebrafish screen 356 357 aimed at identifying compounds that would aid the resolution of inflammation [81]. Interestingly, unlike their mammalian counterparts, neutrophils in zebrafish larvae are 358 359 generally tissue resident [82]. Therefore, the term reverse migration refers merely to the 360 direction of migration in the zebrafish, whilst it generally includes the breaching of the vessel wall in the luminal direction (i.e. reverse transendothelial migration) in mammals. Reverse 361 migration has been suggested to occur, too, in humans. This view is controversial, however, 362 with circulating neutrophils that comprise a 'reverse migration signature' (CD54<sup>hi</sup> CXCR1<sup>low</sup>) 363 being 4-8x more abundant in patients with systemic inflammation than in healthy individuals 364 [83]. Yet, there is evidence to support a potential role of neutrophil reverse migration in the 365 366 dissemination of inflammation from mouse models, where reverse migrated mouse

367 neutrophils observed after ischemia reperfusion injury augmented instances of inflammation 368 in the lung [84]. A subsequent study identified following ischemia reperfusion injury that neutrophil-derived LTB4 and elastase were responsible for loss of junctional JAM-C, 369 370 permitting neutrophil reverse (transendothelial) migration, with reverse migrated neutrophils 371 again travelling to the lung to spread inflammation [85]. In a separate study the neutrophilic response to a small localised burn in the liver was observed by intravital microscopy. This 372 induced neutrophil recruitment to the injury site, where neutrophils aided tissue repair, 373 374 phagocytosing dead tissue. Rather than undergoing apoptosis for phagocytosis at the injury 375 site, neutrophils once more left the wound, employing proteases to re-enter the vasculature by 376 reverse transendothelial migration. They entered the lung, and upregulated CXCR4 prior to 377 homing to the bone marrow for non-inflammatory clearance [86]. Clearly neutrophil reverse 378 migration is a very interesting area which remains to be further investigated and fully 379 understood. Does reverse migration only occur in response to sterile injury and, conversely, 380 is apoptosis at the site of inflammation followed by efferocytosis more typical of neutrophils 381 at sites of infection? Could reverse migration be involved in inducing lung injury under certain but not all instances? It will be exciting to follow new developments in this area in the 382 383 future.

384

#### 385 Conclusion

This minireview has highlighted key points of neutrophil chemotaxis, focussing on some molecular events that were shown *in vitro* to regulate neutrophil polarisation and summarising some exciting developments in neutrophil trafficking *in vivo*. The mindboggling complexity of the regulation of neutrophil chemotaxis is fascinating to the basic scientist and provides evidence of the physiological importance of the process that is being regulated. Meticulous regulation of neutrophil chemotaxis is required to balance neutrophilic

392 inflammation, to ensure adequate host defense while avoiding excessive host damage. As evidenced by rare genetic diseases such as leukocyte adhesion deficiencies, in which  $\beta 2$ 393 394 integrins are absent or their signalling dysfunctional, interfering with leukocyte recruitment 395 leaves the body open to recurrent serious bacterial infections. Conversely, certain chronic 396 inflammatory diseases (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) 397 are characterised by excessive neutrophilic inflammation. Therapeutically targeting neutrophil chemotaxis to alleviate such conditions may be feasible, but could result in 398 399 reduced host immunity as a trade-off.

#### 401 Summary Points

402 • Chemotaxis is defined as directional cell migration towards a source of chemoattractant, 403 whilst chemokinesis is chemoattractant-induced cell migration in the absence of a gradient. • Chemotaxis bears all the hallmarks of random migration, but in addition is characterised by 404 chemoattractant-induced polarisation, and directionality towards a source of chemoattractant 405 406 • Chemoattractants include lipids, peptides, protein fragments and chemotactic cytokines (chemokines). They are classed into intermediary and end-point chemoattractants, and 407 408 operate in a hierarchical fashion. Chemoattractants signal through G protein coupled 409 receptors. Atypical chemoattractant receptors bind chemoattractant without inducing 410 intracellular signalling. • Being amongst the fastest chemotaxing cells in the human body, neutrophils provide a first 411 line of defense against infections. 412 • Leukocyte recruitment to a site of inflammation is directed by chemoattractants and 413 414 therefore corresponds to chemotaxis in vivo. This area has been revolutionised by genetic approaches in combination with intravital imaging. Many of the latest insights are concerned 415 with the integration of different chemoattractants by the migrating cell, paracrine 416 amplification loops ('swarming') and reverse migration (ie away from the source of 417 418 chemoattractant). 419 420 421 422 423 424 425

	Receptor	Chemoattractant	Alternative name	Function
Chemokine Receptors	CXCR2	CXCL1	Gro-α (human)	Neutrophil recruitment &
			KC (mouse)*	activation
	CXCR2	CXCL2	Gro-β (human)	Neutrophil trafficking
			MIP2 (mouse)*	
	CXCR1	CXCL8	Interleukin 8 (IL-8)*	Neutrophil recruitment to
				sites of inflammation
	CXCR4	CXCL12	Stromal cell derived factor	Bone marrow homing
			1 (SDF1)	
Chemoattractant	BLT1	LTB4		Neutrophil recruitment
Receptors		(leukotriene B4)		and swarming
	FPR1	Bacterial and		Neutrophil recruitment
	(also known as fMLPR)	mitochondrial formylated		
	FPR2	peptides, e.g. fMLF		
	C5aR	C5a		Neutrophil recruitment (eg
				in autoantibody induced
				disease)
	C3aR	C3a		Inhibitor of neutrophil
				mobilisation
Atypical Chemokine	ACKR1			Chemokine transcytosis;
receptors	(formerly Duffy antigen			Haematopoiesis and
	receptor)			neutrophil blood counts
				[87]
	ACKR2	Inflammatory CC		Decoy / scavenger
	(formerly D6)	chemokines		receptor

Michael and Vermeren, Table 1.

#### Figure and Table Legends.

Table 1. Common neutrophil chemoattractants and their receptors. In addition to chemotactic cytokines (chemokines), which bind to chemokine receptors that signal or atypical chemokine receptors that do not signal, neutrophils express a series of chemoattractant receptors, which bind to lipids, peptide, protein fragments or chemokines. In additional to atypical chemokine receptors (ACKRs), there are also atypical chemoattractant receptors, e.g. C5aR2, see main text. \* Note, CXCL8/IL-8 and its receptor CXCR1 are lost in the mouse, where CXCL1/KC and CXCL2/MIP2 and their receptor CXCR2 appear to act as functional homologues.

**Figure 1. Molecular signalling events in neutrophil polarisation allowing movement towards the chemotactic gradient.** Binding of a chemoattractant to the G-protein coupled chemoattractant receptor induces intracellular signalling to regulate neutrophil polarisation. Polarised neutrophils are characterised by accumulation of PIP3 to the leading edge, where effectors such as Rho GEFs and GAPs promote actin polymerisation. Polarisation is maintained by feedback loops, for example inhibiting RhoA at the pseudopod. The bulky nucleus is used as a 'mechanical gauge' that together with the MTOC facilitates the cell's progress through pores in the interstitium. Trailing end retraction is facilitated by microtubule depolymerisation, activating RhoA and triggering actomyosin contractility in addition to feedback loops involving RhoA, Rac and PTEN.

**Figure 2.** Neutrophils are controlled by chemotaxis. Clockwise, from top left: *Mobilisation and Homing*. CXCR2 signalling leads to the mobilisation of neutrophils from the bone marrow into the bloodstream, whereas upregulation of CXCR4 in senescent neutrophils promotes chemokine-driven homing back to the bone marrow. *Recruitment*.

Resident macrophages at inflammatory sites release pro-inflammatory mediators that promote selectin-mediated interactions between neutrophils and the endothelium. Neutrophils tether and roll along the endothelium, where GAG-immobilised chemokines guide the neutrophils through G protein coupled receptor signalling, regulating integrin-mediated neutrophil extravasation. *Atypical chemokine receptors* have been shown to aid neutrophil recruitment to sites of inflammation. *Swarming*. Certain end-target chemoattractants cause the release of LTB4 containing exosomes. An autocrine-paracrine feedback amplification loop promotes directional migration of many neutrophils in a 'swarm'. *Chemoattractant hierarchies*. *In vivo* the neutrophil encounters multiple chemoattractants, the response to which must be tightly regulated. For example, neutrophils choose 'end-target' chemoattractants over intermediate chemoattractants. *Reverse migration*. Neutrophil reverse (transendothelial) migration has been observed in many contexts and, perhaps depending on circumstances, may or may not have pro-inflammatory consequences. See text for further discussion.

#### **Author Contributions**

MM and SV wrote the paper and drafted the figures.

#### Funding

Work in SV's laboratory is funded by the Medical Research Council UK [MR/K501293/1].

MM holds a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council industrial CASE PhD

studentship with GSK [BB/R505651/1].

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with this manuscript.

#### References

1 Scarpa, E. and Mayor, R. (2016) Collective cell migration in development. J Cell Biol. **212**, 143-155

2 Nathan, C. (2006) Neutrophils and immunity: challenges and opportunities. Nat Rev Immunol. **6**, 173-182

3 Nauseef, W. M. and Borregaard, N. (2014) Neutrophils at work. Nat Immunol. **15**, 602-611

4 Artemenko, Y., Lampert, T. J. and Devreotes, P. N. (2014) Moving towards a paradigm: common mechanisms of chemotactic signaling in Dictyostelium and mammalian leukocytes. Cell Mol Life Sci. **71**, 3711-3747

5 Trepat, X., Chen, Z. and Jacobson, K. (2012) Cell migration. Compr Physiol. **2**, 2369-2392

6 De Pascalis, C. and Etienne-Manneville, S. (2017) Single and collective cell migration: the mechanics of adhesions. Mol Biol Cell. **28**, 1833-1846

7 Lauffenburger, D. A. and Horwitz, A. F. (1996) Cell migration: a physically integrated molecular process. Cell. **84**, 359-369

Lammermann, T., Bader, B. L., Monkley, S. J., Worbs, T., Wedlich-Soldner, R.,
Hirsch, K., Keller, M., Forster, R., Critchley, D. R., Fassler, R. and Sixt, M. (2008) Rapid
leukocyte migration by integrin-independent flowing and squeezing. Nature. 453, 51-55
Petrie, R. J. and Yamada, K. M. (2016) Multiple mechanisms of 3D migration: the
origins of plasticity. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 42, 7-12

10 Yoo, S. K., Lam, P. Y., Eichelberg, M. R., Zasadil, L., Bement, W. M. and Huttenlocher, A. (2012) The role of microtubules in neutrophil polarity and migration in live zebrafish. J Cell Sci. **125**, 5702-5710 11 Luxton, G. W. and Gundersen, G. G. (2011) Orientation and function of the nuclearcentrosomal axis during cell migration. Curr Opin Cell Biol. **23**, 579-588

12 Vorotnikov, A. V. and Tyurin-Kuzmin, P. A. (2014) Chemotactic signaling in mesenchymal cells compared to amoeboid cells. Genes Dis. **1**, 162-173

13 Renkawitz, J., Kopf, A., Stopp, J., de Vries, I., Driscoll, M. K., Merrin, J., Hauschild, R., Welf, E. S., Danuser, G., Fiolka, R. and Sixt, M. (2019) Nuclear positioning facilitates amoeboid migration along the path of least resistance. Nature. **568**, 546-550

14 Yadav, S. K., Stojkov, D., Feigelson, S. W., Roncato, F., Simon, H. U., Yousefi, S. and Alon, R. (2019) Chemokine-triggered microtubule polymerization promotes neutrophil chemotaxis and invasion but not transendothelial migration. J Leukoc Biol. **105**, 755-766

15 Lammermann, T. and Kastenmuller, W. (2019) Concepts of GPCR-controlled navigation in the immune system. Immunol Rev. **289**, 205-231

16 Wang, L., Fuster, M., Sriramarao, P. and Esko, J. D. (2005) Endothelial heparan sulfate deficiency impairs L-selectin- and chemokine-mediated neutrophil trafficking during inflammatory responses. Nat Immunol. **6**, 902-910

17 Proudfoot, A. E., Handel, T. M., Johnson, Z., Lau, E. K., LiWang, P., Clark-Lewis, I., Borlat, F., Wells, T. N. and Kosco-Vilbois, M. H. (2003) Glycosaminoglycan binding and oligomerization are essential for the in vivo activity of certain chemokines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **100**, 1885-1890

18 Heit, B., Robbins, S. M., Downey, C. M., Guan, Z., Colarusso, P., Miller, B. J., Jirik, F. R. and Kubes, P. (2008) PTEN functions to 'prioritize' chemotactic cues and prevent 'distraction' in migrating neutrophils. Nat Immunol. **9**, 743-752

19 Heit, B., Tavener, S., Raharjo, E. and Kubes, P. (2002) An intracellular signaling hierarchy determines direction of migration in opposing chemotactic gradients. J Cell Biol. **159**, 91-102

20 Neptune, E. R. and Bourne, H. R. (1997) Receptors induce chemotaxis by releasing the betagamma subunit of Gi, not by activating Gq or Gs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **94**, 14489-14494

Lehmann, D. M., Seneviratne, A. M. and Smrcka, A. V. (2008) Small molecule disruption of G protein beta gamma subunit signaling inhibits neutrophil chemotaxis and inflammation. Mol Pharmacol. **73**, 410-418

Hawkins, P. T. and Stephens, L. R. (2015) PI3K signalling in inflammation. Biochim Biophys Acta. **1851**, 882-897

Suire, S., Condliffe, A. M., Ferguson, G. J., Ellson, C. D., Guillou, H., Davidson, K., Welch, H., Coadwell, J., Turner, M., Chilvers, E. R., Hawkins, P. T. and Stephens, L. (2006) Gbetagammas and the Ras binding domain of p110gamma are both important regulators of PI(3)Kgamma signalling in neutrophils. Nat Cell Biol. **8**, 1303-1309

Suire, S., Lecureuil, C., Anderson, K. E., Damoulakis, G., Niewczas, I., Davidson, K., Guillou, H., Pan, D., Jonathan, C., Phillip, T. H. and Stephens, L. (2012) GPCR activation of Ras and PI3Kc in neutrophils depends on PLCb2/b3 and the RasGEF RasGRP4. EMBO J. **31**, 3118-3129

25 Condliffe, A. M., Davidson, K., Anderson, K. E., Ellson, C. D., Crabbe, T., Okkenhaug, K., Vanhaesebroeck, B., Turner, M., Webb, L., Wymann, M. P., Hirsch, E., Ruckle, T., Camps, M., Rommel, C., Jackson, S. P., Chilvers, E. R., Stephens, L. R. and Hawkins, P. T. (2005) Sequential activation of class IB and class IA PI3K is important for the primed respiratory burst of human but not murine neutrophils. Blood. **106**, 1432-1440

Nishio, M., Watanabe, K., Sasaki, J., Taya, C., Takasuga, S., Iizuka, R., Balla, T., Yamazaki, M., Watanabe, H., Itoh, R., Kuroda, S., Horie, Y., Forster, I., Mak, T. W., Yonekawa, H., Penninger, J. M., Kanaho, Y., Suzuki, A. and Sasaki, T. (2007) Control of cell polarity and motility by the PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 phosphatase SHIP1. Nat Cell Biol. **9**, 36-44 Gerisch, G., Schroth-Diez, B., Muller-Taubenberger, A. and Ecke, M. (2012) PIP3
waves and PTEN dynamics in the emergence of cell polarity. Biophys J. 103, 1170-1178
Wong, K., Pertz, O., Hahn, K. and Bourne, H. (2006) Neutrophil polarization:
spatiotemporal dynamics of RhoA activity support a self-organizing mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 103, 3639-3644

29 Gambardella, L. and Vermeren, S. (2013) Molecular players in neutrophil chemotaxis--focus on PI3K and small GTPases. J Leukoc Biol. **94**, 603-612

30 Chu, J. Y., McCormick, B. and Vermeren, S. (2018) Small GTPase-dependent regulation of leukocyte-endothelial interactions in inflammation. Biochem Soc Trans. **46**, 649-658

31 Ferguson, G. J., Milne, L., Kulkarni, S., Sasaki, T., Walker, S., Andrews, S., Crabbe, T., Finan, P., Jones, G., Jackson, S., Camps, M., Rommel, C., Wymann, M., Hirsch, E., Hawkins, P. and Stephens, L. (2007) PI(3)Kgamma has an important context-dependent role in neutrophil chemokinesis. Nat Cell Biol. **9**, 86-91

32 Sasaki, T., Irie-Sasaki, J., Jones, R. G., Oliveira-dos-Santos, A. J., Stanford, W. L., Bolon, B., Wakeham, A., Itie, A., Bouchard, D., Kozieradzki, I., Joza, N., Mak, T. W., Ohashi, P. S., Suzuki, A. and Penninger, J. M. (2000) Function of PI3Kgamma in thymocyte development, T cell activation, and neutrophil migration. Science. **287**, 1040-1046

Hirsch, E., Katanaev, V. L., Garlanda, C., Azzolino, O., Pirola, L., Silengo, L.,
Sozzani, S., Mantovani, A., Altruda, F. and Wymann, M. P. (2000) Central role for G
protein-coupled phosphoinositide 3-kinase gamma in inflammation. Science. 287, 1049-1053
Li, Z., Jiang, H., Xie, W., Zhang, Z., Smrcka, A. V. and Wu, D. (2000) Roles of PLCbeta2 and -beta3 and PI3Kgamma in chemoattractant-mediated signal transduction. Science.
287, 1046-1049

35 Hannigan, M., Zhan, L., Li, Z., Ai, Y., Wu, D. and Huang, C. K. (2002) Neutrophils lacking phosphoinositide 3-kinase gamma show loss of directionality during N-formyl-Met-Leu-Phe-induced chemotaxis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **99**, 3603-3608

36 Sadhu, C., Masinovsky, B., Dick, K., Sowell, C. G. and Staunton, D. E. (2003) Essential role of phosphoinositide 3-kinase delta in neutrophil directional movement. J Immunol. **170**, 2647-2654

Heit, B., Liu, L., Colarusso, P., Puri, K. D. and Kubes, P. (2008) PI3K accelerates, but is not required for, neutrophil chemotaxis to fMLP. J Cell Sci. **121**, 205-214

38 Martin, K. J., Muessel, M. J., Pullar, C. E., Willars, G. B. and Wardlaw, A. J. (2015) The role of phosphoinositide 3-kinases in neutrophil migration in 3D collagen gels. PLoS One. **10**, e0116250

39 Hoeller, O. and Kay, R. R. (2007) Chemotaxis in the absence of PIP3 gradients. Curr Biol. **17**, 813-817

40 Sapey, E., Greenwood, H., Walton, G., Mann, E., Love, A., Aaronson, N., Insall, R. H., Stockley, R. A. and Lord, J. M. (2014) Phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibition restores neutrophil accuracy in the elderly: toward targeted treatments for immunosenescence. Blood. **123**, 239-248

Sapey, E., Stockley, J. A., Greenwood, H., Ahmad, A., Bayley, D., Lord, J. M., Insall,
R. H. and Stockley, R. A. (2011) Behavioral and structural differences in migrating
peripheral neutrophils from patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir
Crit Care Med. 183, 1176-1186

42 Mondal, S., Subramanian, K. K., Sakai, J., Bajrami, B. and Luo, H. R. (2012) Phosphoinositide lipid phosphatase SHIP1 and PTEN coordinate to regulate cell migration and adhesion. Mol Biol Cell. **23**, 1219-1230 43 Wang, F., Herzmark, P., Weiner, O. D., Srinivasan, S., Servant, G. and Bourne, H. R. (2002) Lipid products of PI(3)Ks maintain persistent cell polarity and directed motility in neutrophils. Nat Cell Biol. 4, 513-518

44 Van Keymeulen, A., Wong, K., Knight, Z. A., Govaerts, C., Hahn, K. M., Shokat, K. M. and Bourne, H. R. (2006) To stabilize neutrophil polarity, PIP3 and Cdc42 augment RhoA activity at the back as well as signals at the front. J Cell Biol. **174**, 437-445

45 Pestonjamasp, K. N., Forster, C., Sun, C., Gardiner, E. M., Bohl, B., Weiner, O., Bokoch, G. M. and Glogauer, M. (2006) Rac1 links leading edge and uropod events through Rho and myosin activation during chemotaxis. Blood. **108**, 2814-2820

46 Weiner, O. D., Neilsen, P. O., Prestwich, G. D., Kirschner, M. W., Cantley, L. C. and Bourne, H. R. (2002) A PtdInsP(3)- and Rho GTPase-mediated positive feedback loop regulates neutrophil polarity. Nat Cell Biol. **4**, 509-513

47 Weiner, O. D., Rentel, M. C., Ott, A., Brown, G. E., Jedrychowski, M., Yaffe, M. B., Gygi, S. P., Cantley, L. C., Bourne, H. R. and Kirschner, M. W. (2006) Hem-1 complexes are essential for Rac activation, actin polymerization, and myosin regulation during neutrophil chemotaxis. PLoS Biol. **4**, e38

48 Gambardella, L., Anderson, K. E., Nussbaum, C., Segonds-Pichon, A., Margarido, T., Norton, L., Ludwig, T., Sperandio, M., Hawkins, P. T., Stephens, L. and Vermeren, S. (2011) The GTPase-activating protein ARAP3 regulates chemotaxis and adhesion-dependent processes in neutrophils. Blood. **118**, 1087-1098

49 Houk, A. R., Jilkine, A., Mejean, C. O., Boltyanskiy, R., Dufresne, E. R., Angenent, S. B., Altschuler, S. J., Wu, L. F. and Weiner, O. D. (2012) Membrane tension maintains cell polarity by confining signals to the leading edge during neutrophil migration. Cell. **148**, 175-188

50 Ren, C., Yuan, Q., Braun, M., Zhang, X., Petri, B., Zhang, J., Kim, D., Guez-Haddad, J., Xue, W., Pan, W., Fan, R., Kubes, P., Sun, Z., Opatowsky, Y., Polleux, F., Karatekin, E., Tang, W. and Wu, D. (2019) Leukocyte Cytoskeleton Polarization Is Initiated by Plasma Membrane Curvature from Cell Attachment. Dev Cell. **49**, 206-219 e207

51 Kim, H. K., De La Luz Sierra, M., Williams, C. K., Gulino, A. V. and Tosato, G. (2006) G-CSF down-regulation of CXCR4 expression identified as a mechanism for mobilization of myeloid cells. Blood. **108**, 812-820

52 Eash, K. J., Greenbaum, A. M., Gopalan, P. K. and Link, D. C. (2010) CXCR2 and CXCR4 antagonistically regulate neutrophil trafficking from murine bone marrow. J Clin Invest. **120**, 2423-2431

53 Furze, R. C. and Rankin, S. M. (2008) The role of the bone marrow in neutrophil clearance under homeostatic conditions in the mouse. FASEB J. **22**, 3111-3119

Martin, C., Burdon, P. C., Bridger, G., Gutierrez-Ramos, J. C., Williams, T. J. and Rankin, S. M. (2003) Chemokines acting via CXCR2 and CXCR4 control the release of neutrophils from the bone marrow and their return following senescence. Immunity. **19**, 583-593

55 Casanova-Acebes, M., Pitaval, C., Weiss, L. A., Nombela-Arrieta, C., Chevre, R., N, A. G., Kunisaki, Y., Zhang, D., van Rooijen, N., Silberstein, L. E., Weber, C., Nagasawa, T., Frenette, P. S., Castrillo, A. and Hidalgo, A. (2013) Rhythmic modulation of the hematopoietic niche through neutrophil clearance. Cell. **153**, 1025-1035

56 Adrover, J. M., Del Fresno, C., Crainiciuc, G., Cuartero, M. I., Casanova-Acebes, M., Weiss, L. A., Huerga-Encabo, H., Silvestre-Roig, C., Rossaint, J., Cossio, I., Lechuga-Vieco, A. V., Garcia-Prieto, J., Gomez-Parrizas, M., Quintana, J. A., Ballesteros, I., Martin-Salamanca, S., Aroca-Crevillen, A., Chong, S. Z., Evrard, M., Balabanian, K., Lopez, J., Bidzhekov, K., Bachelerie, F., Abad-Santos, F., Munoz-Calleja, C., Zarbock, A., Soehnlein, O., Weber, C., Ng, L. G., Lopez-Rodriguez, C., Sancho, D., Moro, M. A., Ibanez, B. and Hidalgo, A. (2019) A Neutrophil Timer Coordinates Immune Defense and Vascular Protection. Immunity. **50**, 390-402 e310

57 Nourshargh, S. and Alon, R. (2014) Leukocyte migration into inflamed tissues. Immunity. **41**, 694-707

Lortat-Jacob, H., Grosdidier, A. and Imberty, A. (2002) Structural diversity of
heparan sulfate binding domains in chemokines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 99, 1229-1234
Phillipson, M., Heit, B., Colarusso, P., Liu, L., Ballantyne, C. M. and Kubes, P.

59 Phillipson, M., Heit, B., Colarusso, P., Liu, L., Ballantyne, C. M. and Kubes, P. (2006) Intraluminal crawling of neutrophils to emigration sites: a molecularly distinct process from adhesion in the recruitment cascade. J Exp Med. **203**, 2569-2575

60 Afonso, P. V., Janka-Junttila, M., Lee, Y. J., McCann, C. P., Oliver, C. M., Aamer, K. A., Losert, W., Cicerone, M. T. and Parent, C. A. (2012) LTB4 is a signal-relay molecule during neutrophil chemotaxis. Dev Cell. **22**, 1079-1091

61 Majumdar, R., Tavakoli Tameh, A. and Parent, C. A. (2016) Exosomes Mediate LTB4 Release during Neutrophil Chemotaxis. PLoS Biol. **14**, e1002336

62 Lammermann, T., Afonso, P. V., Angermann, B. R., Wang, J. M., Kastenmuller, W., Parent, C. A. and Germain, R. N. (2013) Neutrophil swarms require LTB4 and integrins at sites of cell death in vivo. Nature. **498**, 371-375

Ng, L. G., Qin, J. S., Roediger, B., Wang, Y., Jain, R., Cavanagh, L. L., Smith, A. L., Jones, C. A., de Veer, M., Grimbaldeston, M. A., Meeusen, E. N. and Weninger, W. (2011) Visualizing the neutrophil response to sterile tissue injury in mouse dermis reveals a three-phase cascade of events. J Invest Dermatol. **131**, 2058-2068

64 Uderhardt, S., Martins, A. J., Tsang, J. S., Lammermann, T. and Germain, R. N. (2019) Resident Macrophages Cloak Tissue Microlesions to Prevent Neutrophil-Driven Inflammatory Damage. Cell. **177**, 541-555 e517

65 Chou, R. C., Kim, N. D., Sadik, C. D., Seung, E., Lan, Y., Byrne, M. H., Haribabu, B., Iwakura, Y. and Luster, A. D. (2010) Lipid-cytokine-chemokine cascade drives

neutrophil recruitment in a murine model of inflammatory arthritis. Immunity. **33**, 266-278 66 Kim, N. D., Chou, R. C., Seung, E., Tager, A. M. and Luster, A. D. (2006) A unique requirement for the leukotriene B4 receptor BLT1 for neutrophil recruitment in inflammatory arthritis. J Exp Med. **203**, 829-835

67 Chen, M., Lam, B. K., Kanaoka, Y., Nigrovic, P. A., Audoly, L. P., Austen, K. F. and Lee, D. M. (2006) Neutrophil-derived leukotriene B4 is required for inflammatory arthritis. J Exp Med. **203**, 837-842

68 Miyabe, Y., Miyabe, C., Murooka, T. T., Kim, E. Y., Newton, G. A., Kim, N. D., Haribabu, B., Luscinskas, F. W., Mempel, T. R. and Luster, A. D. (2017) Complement C5a Receptor is the Key Initiator of Neutrophil Adhesion Igniting Immune Complex-induced Arthritis. Sci Immunol. **2** 

Jamieson, T., Cook, D. N., Nibbs, R. J., Rot, A., Nixon, C., McLean, P., Alcami, A., Lira, S. A., Wiekowski, M. and Graham, G. J. (2005) The chemokine receptor D6 limits the inflammatory response in vivo. Nat Immunol. **6**, 403-411

Vetrano, S., Borroni, E. M., Sarukhan, A., Savino, B., Bonecchi, R., Correale, C., Arena, V., Fantini, M., Roncalli, M., Malesci, A., Mantovani, A., Locati, M. and Danese, S. (2010) The lymphatic system controls intestinal inflammation and inflammation-associated Colon Cancer through the chemokine decoy receptor D6. Gut. **59**, 197-206

71 Rot, A., McKimmie, C., Burt, C. L., Pallas, K. J., Jamieson, T., Pruenster, M., Horuk, R., Nibbs, R. J. B. and Graham, G. J. (2013) Cell-autonomous regulation of neutrophil migration by the D6 chemokine decoy receptor. J Immunol. **190**, 6450-6456

72 Middleton, J., Neil, S., Wintle, J., Clark-Lewis, I., Moore, H., Lam, C., Auer, M., Hub, E. and Rot, A. (1997) Transcytosis and surface presentation of IL-8 by venular endothelial cells. Cell. **91**, 385-395 73 Pruenster, M., Mudde, L., Bombosi, P., Dimitrova, S., Zsak, M., Middleton, J., Richmond, A., Graham, G. J., Segerer, S., Nibbs, R. J. and Rot, A. (2009) The Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines transports chemokines and supports their promigratory activity. Nat Immunol. **10**, 101-108

74 Miyabe, Y., Miyabe, C., Mani, V., Mempel, T. R. and Luster, A. D. (2019) Atypical complement receptor C5aR2 transports C5a to initiate neutrophil adhesion and inflammation. Sci Immunol. **4** 

Girbl, T., Lenn, T., Perez, L., Rolas, L., Barkaway, A., Thiriot, A., Del Fresno, C., Lynam, E., Hub, E., Thelen, M., Graham, G., Alon, R., Sancho, D., von Andrian, U. H., Voisin, M. B., Rot, A. and Nourshargh, S. (2018) Distinct Compartmentalization of the Chemokines CXCL1 and CXCL2 and the Atypical Receptor ACKR1 Determine Discrete Stages of Neutrophil Diapedesis. Immunity. **49**, 1062-1076 e1066

Poon, I. K., Lucas, C. D., Rossi, A. G. and Ravichandran, K. S. (2014) Apoptotic cell clearance: basic biology and therapeutic potential. Nat Rev Immunol. **14**, 166-180

77 Mathias, J. R., Perrin, B. J., Liu, T. X., Kanki, J., Look, A. T. and Huttenlocher, A. (2006) Resolution of inflammation by retrograde chemotaxis of neutrophils in transgenic zebrafish. J Leukoc Biol. **80**, 1281-1288

Powell, D., Tauzin, S., Hind, L. E., Deng, Q., Beebe, D. J. and Huttenlocher, A.
(2017) Chemokine Signaling and the Regulation of Bidirectional Leukocyte Migration in Interstitial Tissues. Cell Rep. 19, 1572-1585

79 Holmes, G. R., Dixon, G., Anderson, S. R., Reyes-Aldasoro, C. C., Elks, P. M., Billings, S. A., Whyte, M. K., Kadirkamanathan, V. and Renshaw, S. A. (2012) Drift-Diffusion Analysis of Neutrophil Migration during Inflammation Resolution in a Zebrafish Model. Adv Hematol. **2012**, 792163

80 Elks, P. M., van Eeden, F. J., Dixon, G., Wang, X., Reyes-Aldasoro, C. C., Ingham, P. W., Whyte, M. K., Walmsley, S. R. and Renshaw, S. A. (2011) Activation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha (Hif-1alpha) delays inflammation resolution by reducing neutrophil apoptosis and reverse migration in a zebrafish inflammation model. Blood. 118, 712-722
81 Robertson, A. L., Holmes, G. R., Bojarczuk, A. N., Burgon, J., Loynes, C. A., Chimen, M., Sawtell, A. K., Hamza, B., Willson, J., Walmsley, S. R., Anderson, S. R., Coles, M. C., Farrow, S. N., Solari, R., Jones, S., Prince, L. R., Irimia, D., Rainger, G. E.,

Kadirkamanathan, V., Whyte, M. K. and Renshaw, S. A. (2014) A zebrafish compound screen reveals modulation of neutrophil reverse migration as an anti-inflammatory mechanism. Sci Transl Med. **6**, 225ra229

Le Guyader, D., Redd, M. J., Colucci-Guyon, E., Murayama, E., Kissa, K., Briolat, V., Mordelet, E., Zapata, A., Shinomiya, H. and Herbomel, P. (2008) Origins and unconventional behavior of neutrophils in developing zebrafish. Blood. **111**, 132-141

Buckley, C. D., Ross, E. A., McGettrick, H. M., Osborne, C. E., Haworth, O., Schmutz, C., Stone, P. C., Salmon, M., Matharu, N. M., Vohra, R. K., Nash, G. B. and Rainger, G. E. (2006) Identification of a phenotypically and functionally distinct population of long-lived neutrophils in a model of reverse endothelial migration. J Leukoc Biol. **79**, 303-311

84 Woodfin, A., Voisin, M. B., Beyrau, M., Colom, B., Caille, D., Diapouli, F. M., Nash, G. B., Chavakis, T., Albelda, S. M., Rainger, G. E., Meda, P., Imhof, B. A. and Nourshargh, S. (2011) The junctional adhesion molecule JAM-C regulates polarized transendothelial migration of neutrophils in vivo. Nat Immunol. **12**, 761-769

85 Colom, B., Bodkin, J. V., Beyrau, M., Woodfin, A., Ody, C., Rourke, C., Chavakis, T., Brohi, K., Imhof, B. A. and Nourshargh, S. (2015) Leukotriene B4-Neutrophil Elastase Axis Drives Neutrophil Reverse Transendothelial Cell Migration In Vivo. Immunity. **42**, 1075-1086 Wang, J., Hossain, M., Thanabalasuriar, A., Gunzer, M., Meininger, C. and Kubes, P. (2017) Visualizing the function and fate of neutrophils in sterile injury and repair. Science.
358, 111-116

87 Duchene, J., Novitzky-Basso, I., Thiriot, A., Casanova-Acebes, M., Bianchini, M., Etheridge, S. L., Hub, E., Nitz, K., Artinger, K., Eller, K., Caamano, J., Rulicke, T., Moss, P., Megens, R. T. A., von Andrian, U. H., Hidalgo, A., Weber, C. and Rot, A. (2017) Atypical chemokine receptor 1 on nucleated erythroid cells regulates hematopoiesis. Nat Immunol. **18**, 753-761



Michael & Vermeren Fig 1



Michael and Vermeren Fig 2